Comment 1 for Vapor Recovery Certification and Test Procedures (evr11) - 45 Day.

First Name: Andy
Last Name: Speidel
Email Address: andy_speidel@veyance.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Effective Date
Comment:

```
Manufacturers were previously informed that the proposed effective
date would be July 1, 2013. Why has this changed?  Manufacturers
need clearly defined dates to plan and budget for new product
approvals and production startup.
```

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-19 08:37:41

No Duplicates.

Comment 2 for Vapor Recovery Certification and Test Procedures (evr11) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jay
Last Name: McKeeman
Email Address: jaymck@cioma.com
Affiliation: CIOMA

Subject: EVR Regulations
Comment:

```
Please see attached CIOMA comments on EVR regulation proposal.  We
support part of the propsal and oppose another part of the
proposal.
```

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/evr11/3-cioma_4-year_and_hose_rqmt_rule_comments_9-20-11.pdf

Original File Name: CIOMA 4-year and hose rqmt rule comments 9-20-11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-20 22:42:36

No Duplicates.

There are no comments posted to Vapor Recovery Certification and Test Procedures (evr11) that were presented during the Board Hearing at this time.

Comment 1 for Vapor Recovery Certification and Test Procedures (evr11) - 15-1.

First Name: Jay
Last Name: McKeeman
Email Address: jaymck@cioma.com
Affiliation: CIOMA

Subject: CIOMA Comments to evr11
Comment:

```
Please see attached 15-day notice comments from CIOMA.
```

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/evr11/4-evr_15-day_notice_-_cioma_letter_2-27-12.pdf

Original File Name: EVR 15-day notice - CIOMA letter 2-27-12.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2012-02-27 11:19:18

No Duplicates.

Comment 1 for Vapor Recovery Certification and Test Procedures (evr11) - 15-2.

First Name: Ed
Last Name: Stewart
Email Address: estewart@lacsd.org
Affiliation:

Subject: Clarification
Comment:

```
Hello,
Is there a track change version of the changes to the Underground
and Aboveground Storage Tank including gasoline dispensing facility
hose regulation so that the revisions can be clearly observed?
Thank you,
```

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2012-10-30 10:51:27

No Duplicates.

Comment 2 for Vapor Recovery Certification and Test Procedures (evr11) - 15-2.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Friedman
Email Address: ezflonozzle@gmail.com
Affiliation: California Nozzle Manufacturer

Subject: evr11 front end warranty
Comment:

```
There is no one-year warranty requirement for replacement sub parts
(spout, boot, and face seal) in this draft of CP-201. Attached to
this submission is a response letter from CARB to EZ flo nozzle.
At that time the CP-201 regulations in effect were adopted prior to
the CP-201 draft disapproved by OAL. In the attached documents, at
the top of page 3 CARB admitted EZ flo was correct and they would
contact nozzle manufactures and require them to implement a one
year warranty on the above mentioned products.  This has never
happened and Franklin Fueling was recently re-certified without the
requirement of a one year warranty. Additionally no other
manufacturer has modified there warranty to include spout, boot,
and face seal as CARB stated they would require.  If CARB wanted to
implement this warranty then it should be included in the new draft
of CP-201 disapproved by OAL but there is no such requirement in
either the previous CP-201 regulations or current draft submitted
to OAL.
```

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/evr11/7-oal_1-3.pdf

Original File Name: oal 1-3.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2012-11-12 15:39:11

No Duplicates.