

**Form Letter 1 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Shane  
Last Name: Trimmer  
Email Address: sjtrimmer@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Concerns  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:41:28

**Form Letter 2 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim  
Last Name: Warner  
Email Address: thewarroom@mac.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:41:33

**Form Letter 3 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Frank  
Last Name: Gonsalves  
Email Address: frankgonsalves@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Capandtrade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:42:12

**Form Letter 4 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alice  
Last Name: Moriarty  
Email Address: alice91367@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

The public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

A. Moriarty

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:42:56

**Form Letter 5 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: julie  
Last Name: Amato  
Email Address: julie@sayitaudio.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: GREENHOUSE GASE POLLUTION/BIG POLLUTERS  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:43:42

**Form Letter 6 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jessica  
Last Name: Krakow  
Email Address: scolex2643@mypacks.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: cap&trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:46:20

**Form Letter 7 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Geshlider  
Email Address: joopandhow@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:43:37

**Form Letter 8 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Miriam  
Last Name: Blatt  
Email Address: mblatt@sun.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Don't give away pollution allowances to big polluters  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:56:43

**Form Letter 9 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Karim  
Last Name: Sahli  
Email Address: public@logicalnot.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:01:16

**Form Letter 10 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mathias  
Last Name: van Thiel  
Email Address: mvthiel@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Assure the goal of AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:03:15

**Form Letter 11 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kathy  
Last Name: Popoff  
Email Address: kathy\_popoff@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap&Trade Comment  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:12:16

**Form Letter 12 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Julie  
Last Name: Sanford  
Email Address: jksanford@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As we saw with the defeat of Prop 23 in November, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:15:27

**Form Letter 13 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nigel  
Last Name: Crawford  
Email Address: nigelom@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32-Cap & Trade Allowances  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:16:30

**Form Letter 14 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David  
Last Name: Misch  
Email Address: david.misch@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:28:34

**Form Letter 15 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: richard  
Last Name: robinson  
Email Address: robinsonm2@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade proposal  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:28:30

**Form Letter 16 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Garth  
Last Name: Casaday  
Email Address: garthcas@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-Based Compliance Mechanisms

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:30:42

**Form Letter 17 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joan  
Last Name: Ishihara  
Email Address: ishirog@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Reconsideration of AB 32 allowance giveaways pollution allowances  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Yours truly,  
Mrs. Joan Ishihara

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:28:57

**Form Letter 18 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Judith  
Last Name: Anderson  
Email Address: jskanderson@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:35:16

**Form Letter 19 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James  
Last Name: Columbia  
Email Address: jmcolumbia@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Stop the nonsensical cap & giveaway program  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:26:10

**Form Letter 20 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: chris  
Last Name: chouteau  
Email Address: chrischouteau@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Comment on AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing or severely curtailing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:26:24

**Form Letter 21 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marlene  
Last Name: Waite  
Email Address: marwaite1@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Remove Massive Allowance Giveaways  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:37:23

**Form Letter 22 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joan  
Last Name: Dyer  
Email Address: jjdyer@theunion.net  
Affiliation: Calif. League of Conservation Voters

Subject: Please don't let the big polluters off the hook  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:41:44

**Form Letter 23 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bruce  
Last Name: Pettibone  
Email Address: bruce\_pettibone@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:46:19

**Form Letter 24 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Meigs

Last Name: Matheson

Email Address: mooncats7@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32- greenhouse gases

Comment:

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:49:12

**Form Letter 25 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jamie  
Last Name: Cooper  
Email Address: j.cooper2@cox.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:56:23

**Form Letter 26 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Howard  
Last Name: Cohen  
Email Address: howard@cohensw.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Get it right on global warming -- No free passes to poison us all  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Howard J Cohen, Ph.D.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:09:11

**Form Letter 27 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: susan  
Last Name: rudnicki  
Email Address: susanrudnicki@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade rules to implementation of AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:10:27

**Form Letter 28 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard  
Last Name: Horne  
Email Address: hornerichard@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:17:22

**Form Letter 29 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Garofano  
Email Address: jgaro@pacbell.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:25:23

**Form Letter 30 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dan  
Last Name: Silver  
Email Address: dsilverla@me.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank you for moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). The public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest emitters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:39:06

**Form Letter 31 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joe  
Last Name: Wolcott  
Email Address: joe@honeyboy.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 comment  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:42:07

**Form Letter 32 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: PETER  
Last Name: JENSEN  
Email Address: TWINTREES@COX.NET  
Affiliation:

Subject: DON'T ALLOW POLLUTERS A CHANCE TO PROFIT BY POLLUTING  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:16:53

**Form Letter 33 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Rhonda  
Last Name: Giannini  
Email Address: rhonda.giannini@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

CARB,

By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. Please don't allow this to happen. We will all feel the ill effects from any form of pollution.

Take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:47:34

**Form Letter 34 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Denise  
Last Name: Marcus  
Email Address: marcus5@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & trade  
Comment:

Dear Board Members,

Please do not let California's biggest polluters off the hook with the ability to pollute & profit from their pollution. We as a people pay now or later, with our climate & our health & possibly the lives of our children. We need to take a stand & force companies to make the needed changes to do business & not harm the earth.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Sincerely,

Denise Marcus

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 21:07:13

**Form Letter 35 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Bloom

Email Address: richandbridge@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)

Comment:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 21:19:02

**Form Letter 36 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: michele  
Last Name: cappa  
Email Address: michelecappa@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: California cap on Greenhouse gas emissions and compliance regulations  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 21:51:53

**Form Letter 37 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark  
Last Name: Jones  
Email Address: marksjones@comcast.net  
Affiliation: California Native

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.  
Mark Jones

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:01:33

**Form Letter 38 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steven  
Last Name: Chasen  
Email Address: stevenchasen@msn.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:12:05

**Form Letter 39 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alison  
Last Name: Poetsch  
Email Address: alisonlp@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 implementation  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I'm writing to express my concern about having AB32 the Global Warming Solutions Act implemented by CARB in the spirit in which it was authored, and the spirit in which the public would be expecting.

I understand that one part of the cap and trade plan would give credits to some of the biggest polluters. The would not provide the proper incentives toward reducing pollution overall. In fact, this provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill. Your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:09:18

**Form Letter 40 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mary Ellen  
Last Name: Hasbrouck  
Email Address: mehasbrouck@earthlink.net  
Affiliation: none

Subject: AB 32 & cap & trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:34:33

**Form Letter 41 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kermit  
Last Name: Cuff  
Email Address: tierno23@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:42:16

**Form Letter 42 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Caryn  
Last Name: Graves  
Email Address: caryn@lmi.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Do not allow the big polluters to profit from their pollution  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 23:07:03

**Form Letter 43 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary  
Last Name: Farber  
Email Address: gary-f@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 CO2 Cap & Trade Proposal  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Given the continuing and overwhelming scientific evidence that human activities -the burning of fossil fuels - is accelerating, I am glad to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing AB 32. The overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November indicates that the public is ready for California to take necessary steps to reduce the pollution that causes climate change.

While many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan are a reasonable beginning, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 23:27:48

**Form Letter 44 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Judith  
Last Name: Chock  
Email Address: jchock@cox.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Say no to Pollution Allowance Giveaways in AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 00:04:23

**Form Letter 45 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Barbara  
Last Name: Lynch  
Email Address: dancerbarb@phch.org  
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Barbara Lynch

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 00:24:31

**Form Letter 46 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mike  
Last Name: Gilliam  
Email Address: mgilliam11@charter.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Action and AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 06:27:30

**Form Letter 47 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary  
Last Name: Zimmerman  
Email Address: Zimmermanz@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Greenhouse Gas Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am pleased that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). The overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 last November showed us that the California public is ready for the state to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 06:31:47

**Form Letter 48 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stephen  
Last Name: Vollucci  
Email Address: svollucci@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:10:10

**Form Letter 49 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Caryn  
Last Name: Cowin  
Email Address: caryn\_cowin@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:28:56

**Form Letter 50 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: andy

Last Name: tomsky

Email Address: atomsky@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: no free ride for polluters!

Comment:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:32:44

**Form Letter 51 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ivan  
Last Name: Light  
Email Address: ihlight@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: global warming solutions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited and surprised to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public wants government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:38:34

**Form Letter 52 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Constance  
Last Name: Spenger  
Email Address: cspenger@suddenlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Don't trade away our air  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). With the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

Cap and trade is not the answer! By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, the proposal would reward the biggest polluters. This would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:42:17

**Form Letter 53 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: whitney  
Last Name: schutt  
Email Address: cawhit@saber.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: remove the massive allowance giveaways in Ab 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:48:56

**Form Letter 54 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris  
Last Name: Best  
Email Address: cbest48@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution give aways-please stop  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank You for protecting the enviornment.  
While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Chris Best

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 08:20:10

**Form Letter 55 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Susan  
Last Name: Cliff  
Email Address: shantisimo@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade plan AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank CARB for moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. Please remove the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 08:21:59

**Form Letter 56 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Donna  
Last Name: Tracy  
Email Address: kaplop@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:15:32

**Form Letter 57 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: LYnda  
Last Name: Sayre  
Email Address: lyndasayre@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: global warming & AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am happy to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:38:45

**Form Letter 58 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Janet  
Last Name: Kutulas  
Email Address: jkutulas@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board,

I am very concerned about the cap and trade component of AB 32 which I feel will make it easy for businesses to continue existing polluting practices that will not help to reverse the growing climate change situation. To put it in simple terms, we've polluted the landscape (our planet) to such an acute degree that I feel we are in big fat trouble and cannot afford now to make anymore compromises and concessions to polluters. I concur with the following comments from The California League of Conservation voters:

"I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules."

Thanks for your time,

Janet Kutulas

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:38:07

**Form Letter 59 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sue  
Last Name: Vang  
Email Address: espgurl@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 comment  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Sue Vang  
Sacramento, CA

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:55:37

**Form Letter 60 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joseph  
Last Name: Buhowsky  
Email Address: jbuhowsky@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Capandtrade 10  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:59:57

**Form Letter 61 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Amanda  
Last Name: Pyle  
Email Address: aepyle@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution allowances  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 10:49:36

**Form Letter 62 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pam  
Last Name: Statz  
Email Address: pam@actionpam.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Stop the nonsensical cap & giveaway program  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 11:00:14

**Form Letter 63 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gabriel  
Last Name: Schwartz  
Email Address: gabriel\_schwartz@brown.edu  
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Policy  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 11:52:43

**Form Letter 64 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Deborah  
Last Name: Richmond  
Email Address: debrichmondca@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: capandtrade10  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am taking time out of a very busy schedule to comment on this measure because my family is experiencing a sharp decline in respiratory health this fall and I can't help wondering if Mayor Villaraigosa's relaxed policies towards our local polluters is having some concrete effect. Both my husband and 4-year-old son have made visits to the ER this fall for first-time, asthma-related illnesses. We have been an otherwise very healthy household until now and very, very worried about staying in Los Angeles at this point. Below, I am copying remarks from a local advocacy group who called my attention to this matter. I agree wholeheartedly with these comments but add that my main concern is public health.

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Debbie Richmond

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 11:55:34

**Form Letter 65 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sheri  
Last Name: Kuticka  
Email Address: kyti1653@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: pollution  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 12:38:50

**Form Letter 66 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nancy  
Last Name: Morimoto  
Email Address: nmorimoto@pacbell.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Reward only decreasing pollution  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:24:05

**Form Letter 67 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kathryn

Last Name: Cerra

Email Address: kcerra@earthlink.net

Affiliation: American Society of Landscape Architects

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32) Implementation

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad the California Air Resources Board (CARB) is moving forward to implement the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

As the defeat of Prop 23 in November showed us, Californians are ready to reduce our contributions to climate change. In fact, doing so will be our great strength in the coming difficult times. I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan. However, the free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design are excessive. I urge you to reduce and create a phase out plan of free allocations to the industrial sector. The proposed levels are higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several studies show that industrial sector claims are exaggerated.

Subsidizing these industries through free allowances slows the important transition to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in a clearly described management process to reduce free allocations based on specific evaluation measurements.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:24:53

**Form Letter 68 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul  
Last Name: Fritz  
Email Address: pcfritz2000@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am happy to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). California is once again leading the country on environmental issues and the rest of the nation will be watching us as we implement this landmark law.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:32:00

**Form Letter 69 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mr and Mrs James  
Last Name: Denison  
Email Address: LAnnD4animals@charter.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Please revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:35:38

**Form Letter 70 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Birgitte  
Last Name: Moyer  
Email Address: bpmoyer@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reduce industrial pollution credits when implementing AB 32  
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am writing on behalf of both myself and my deceased husband, Carl Moyer, who, as you remember, worked tirelessly for many years for clean air in California.

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you so much and happy holidays,  
Birgitte

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:35:05

**Form Letter 71 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Arlene  
Last Name: Zimmer  
Email Address: crea\_tech@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Regulation to cap Greenhouse Gas Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

In regard to AB 32 Scoping Plan/Cap & Trade Design seems to allow too many free pollution credits to the industrial sector. Please consider revision to level of free allocation to the industrial sector. Studies have found that subsidizing these industries impedes obtaining a cleaner economy. An effective process for allocation to the industrial sector should be based on specified evaluation metrics.

The favorable public climate that California currently enjoys will continue and expand with AB 32. It requires bold actions and removal of the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:49:17

**Form Letter 72 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: laurel  
Last Name: lee  
Email Address: hippodameia\_2000@yahoo.com  
Affiliation: CLCV

Subject: No CAP and GIVE AWAYS  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Laurel Lee  
2435 Grant Street  
Berkeley, CA 94703

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 14:20:02

**Form Letter 73 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Edwin

Last Name: Aiken

Email Address: eandjaiken@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Comment to capandtrade10

Comment:

\* Thank you for taking global warming seriously and developing a plan to reduce global warming pollution while at the same time creating jobs and making California a healthier and more prosperous place to live. The proposed program will decrease global warming emissions from the state's largest polluters and establish a market price on these emissions. This program is one of many policies CARB is using to reach the state's goal of reducing global warming emissions to 1990 levels by 2020, along with renewable energy, energy efficiency, and cleaner transportation standards.

\* Parts of the proposal are strong, such as setting a limit that declines each year, and setting a minimum price on carbon pollution. This steady price signal will help businesses make long-term investments in strategies to reduce global warming emissions.

\* I recommend that the program be strengthened by requiring more of the state's largest polluters to pay for their global warming emissions instead of giving permits away for free. By forcing polluters to pay for the emissions they generate by purchasing permits in an auction, we can then re-invest the auction funds into efforts that will help us transition to a cleaner economy and help lower energy costs for Californians—such as making homes and businesses more energy efficient, increasing public transit options, and developing wind and solar projects in California.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 14:20:30

**Form Letter 74 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alan  
Last Name: Lindsley  
Email Address: alan@lindsleylighting.com  
Affiliation: LEED Certified Architect

Subject: California's Global Warming Program  
Comment:

Thanks for being proactive and recognizing that climate change is serious business. Your basic program is strong but would benefit from requiring more of the state's largest polluters to pay for their global warming emissions instead of giving permits away for free.

Please act NOW to make this happen!

Thanks for listening.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 14:25:04

**Form Letter 75 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Holtzclaw  
Email Address: john.holtzclaw@sierraclub.org  
Affiliation:

Subject: implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 15:50:52

**Form Letter 76 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alan  
Last Name: Schlenger  
Email Address: alan@ucsc.edu  
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed Cap on GHG Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:  
I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change. While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics. Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.  
Alan Schlenger

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 17:39:45

**Form Letter 77 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James  
Last Name: Smelker  
Email Address: smelker@astound.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: No Free Ride For Polluters  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 20:47:58

**Form Letter 78 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Anastasia  
Last Name: Nicole  
Email Address: sustaina@sonic.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: "Cap and Trade" policies to implement AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. "Cap and Trade" is simply not a viable way to implement the measures necessary to meet AB32 goals.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Anastasia Nicole

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 21:31:16

**Form Letter 79 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: William  
Last Name: Holt  
Email Address: merrill@bluerug.org  
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 21:52:18

**Form Letter 80 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Pann  
Email Address: bobpann@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 - NO on Cap & Trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

Although I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am greatly concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design.

I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage.

Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 23:12:28

**Form Letter 81 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lynne  
Last Name: Nittler  
Email Address: lnittler@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation: secondary teacher

Subject: comment on implementing AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 00:19:37

**Form Letter 82 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard  
Last Name: McAdam  
Email Address: RichardCM@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: comments on implementing AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 00:24:23

**Form Letter 83 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: A.  
Last Name: Norris  
Email Address: Amezzo@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 00:37:48

**Form Letter 84 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dan  
Last Name: Dixon  
Email Address: DanDixon@pacbell.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 08:04:51

**Form Letter 85 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tom  
Last Name: Hazelleaf  
Email Address: cheapcruiser2003@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is advancing toward implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

I am, however, concerned about the number of free pollution credits for the industrial sector in the Cap and Trade Design. Please phase out the free allocation of pollution credits to the industrial sector. Studies have shown that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated. Over allocation of free credits will slow our progress to a cleaner environment.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 10:54:28

**Form Letter 86 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nat  
Last Name: Childs  
Email Address: nat@asis.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap on Greenhouse Emissions  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 12:15:01

**Form Letter 87 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Regina  
Last Name: DeFalco Lippert  
Email Address: italiagina21@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Implementing AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 12:47:47

**Form Letter 88 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Carol  
Last Name: Teutsch  
Email Address: cbteutsch@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I heartily support the California Air Resources Board (CARB) efforts to move forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). With overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public wants California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. I AM PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN BEING SURE THAT NEW CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS SUCH AS AT THE PORT AND ANY MAJOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION AND TUNNELS ADHERE TO THE HIGHEST STANDARDS. THESE ARE OFTEN MASSIVE PROJECTS EXTENDING OVER YEARS WITH HUGE IMPACT. ALSO IF PROJECTS CONTRIBUTE TO INCREASED TRAFFIC, THAT SHOULD BE ACCOUNTED FOR (INDUCED CONGESTION). CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 16:17:35

**Form Letter 89 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pamela  
Last Name: Kelly  
Email Address: pkellycom@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Greenhouse Gas Emissions & Compliance  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 16:47:06

**Form Letter 90 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jennifer  
Last Name: Biswas  
Email Address: jennifer@netcaffeine.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 08:25:46

**Form Letter 91 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jeff  
Last Name: Parker  
Email Address: jeffparker@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB: phase out free pollution allocations to the industrial sector  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 09:32:56

**Form Letter 92 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jennifer  
Last Name: DiJoseph  
Email Address: jtdijoseph@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 14:57:58

**Form Letter 93 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Judy

Last Name: Moores

Email Address: jemoores@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CA Cap and Trade on GHG Emissions - please revise and phase out free allocation

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Your work as part of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32) is critical to the health of our state, its people, and its plants and animals.

Now is the time for bold action.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am very concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics. With the resounding defeat of Prob 23, you have a mandate to take the much-needed and critical actions and remove the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 15:46:05

**Form Letter 94 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gerald  
Last Name: Glendenning  
Email Address: jerryglen@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 17:17:57

**Form Letter 95 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nancy  
Last Name: Painter  
Email Address: npainter@astound.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Nancy Painter

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 18:41:52

**Form Letter 96 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Andrew  
Last Name: Altamirano  
Email Address: andrew\_altamirano@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution CREDITS ?!  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 18:50:12

**Form Letter 97 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jean  
Last Name: King  
Email Address: whjaking@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank you for moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. Please revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 08:24:18

**Form Letter 98 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Glina  
Email Address: jcglina@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 10:08:57

**Form Letter 99 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paula  
Last Name: Cabot  
Email Address: pcabot@mac.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: No credits for industrial sector in AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 10:38:01

**Form Letter 100 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: celia  
Last Name: pool  
Email Address: celia.pool@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: No to polluters  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 10:56:53

**Form Letter 101 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tara  
Last Name: Schubert  
Email Address: taraschubert@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Implementation of AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 12:25:42

**Form Letter 102 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ken  
Last Name: Novak  
Email Address: krnovak@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, lower, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation is much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate and implement AB 32 with bold actions, and remove the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 15:14:35

**Form Letter 103 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Eileen  
Last Name: Bergan  
Email Address: leener389@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 15:28:30

**Form Letter 104 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jack  
Last Name: Lindblad  
Email Address: jplindblad@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

**Subject: CARB is hereby URGED TO PHASE OUT FREE POLLUTION ALLOCATIONS TO  
THE INDUSTRIAL SECTOR**

**Comment:**

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 22:14:22

**Form Letter 105 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Charles  
Last Name: Rose  
Email Address: charlesrose007@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 09:34:50

**Form Letter 106 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: d  
Last Name: brody  
Email Address: davidbrody25@comcast.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 13:11:35

**Form Letter 107 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Diana  
Last Name: Crane  
Email Address: dbcrane47@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: phase out free pollution credits!  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 13:27:40

**Form Letter 108 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Errol  
Last Name: Davis  
Email Address: errol\_davis@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: too many free credits for polluting industries  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change!

I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, but I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:39:11

**Form Letter 109 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Emily  
Last Name: Peinert  
Email Address: emily.a.peinert@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Please don't give my air to polluters for free  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the amount free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit and revise your allocation method. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy.

Looking to the EU for an example, their plan allocated too many permits for free, and this has been a major obstacle to their success.

Giving out permits for free means that the cost and harm of pollution remains on the citizens and not on the industries that are profiting while polluting.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:37:49

**Form Letter 110 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dawn  
Last Name: Lyon  
Email Address: dawnlyon@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:51:10

**Form Letter 111 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program  
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Amir  
Last Name: Osterweil  
Email Address: aoster48@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Cap and Trade Policy  
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.  
Thank you.  
Amir Osterweil

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-15 08:35:07