

Bay Area AIR QUALITY

Management

DISTRICT

SINCE 1955

ALAMEDA COUNTY Tom Bates Scott Haggerty Janet Lockhart Nate Miley

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY John Gigia Mark Ross (Vice-Chair) Michael Shimansky Gayle B. Uilkema (Chair)

MARIN COUNTY Harold C. Brown, Jr.

NAPA COUNTY Brad Wagenknecht

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY Chris Dalv Jake McGoldrick Gavin Newsom

SAN MATEO COUNTY Jerry Hill (Secretary) Carol Klatt

SANTA CLARA COUNTY Erin Garner Yoriko Kishimoto Liz Kniss Patrick Kwok

SOLANO COUNTY John F. Silva

SONOMA COUNTY Tim Smith Pamela Torliatt

Jack P. Broadbent EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO December 05, 2006

4159288560

Ms. Catherine Witherspoon Executive Officer California Air Resources Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95812

> Proposed Amendments to Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Chrome Plating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operations

Dear Ms. Witherspoon:

Re:

I am writing as a follow-up to my letter to you dated September 27, 2006, regarding the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAAQMD) comments on your staff's proposed amendments to the Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Chrome Plating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operations. The proposed amendments would strengthen this ATCM, and thereby provide a further measure of public health protection.

The primary concern expressed in my September 27, 2006 letter, and in testimony provided by BAAQMD staff at the September 28, 2006 Public Hearing on this matter, was that the proposed amendments would require add-on controls for most decorative plating facilities within our jurisdiction without the option for more cost-effective alternative methods that could achieve stated emission limits.

Based on comments received, your staff modified the original proposal considered by the Board on September 28, 2006, and issued draft rule amendments dated November 30, 2006. We have reviewed this modified proposal, and find that it adequately addresses our earlier concerns. Nearly all of our decorative platers fall within the new category created for facilities with a sensitive receptor distance more than 330 feet, and with annual throughput less than or equal to 500,000 amp-hours. Under the new proposal, these facilities will have to meet the very stringent 0.0015 mg/amp-hr emission limit, but not be required to use an add-on control device if compliance can be demonstrated using multiple plating bath additives or other alternative control methods.

Thank you for considering our comments, and modifying the proposed ATCM in a way that provides public health protection while allowing flexibility in terms of more cost-effective control options. If you have any questions or

Spare the Air

Ms. Catherine Witherspoon December 05, 2006 Page 2 of 2

would like to discuss this matter further, please call me at (415) 749-5052 or Brian Bateman, Engineering Division Director, at (415) 749-4653.

Sincerely,

(ack P. Broadbent

Executive Officer/APCO

JB:BFB

cc: Clerk of the Board, Air Resources Board