State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Resolution 79-14

March 22, 1979

A. WHEREAS, Section 39602 of the Health and Safety Code designates the Air Resources Board (ARB or Board) as the air pollution control agency for all purposes set forth in federal law and designates the ARB as the state agency responsible for the preparation of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) required by the Clean Air Act;

B. WHEREAS, the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 mandates the revision of the SIP in designated nonattainment areas of the state in order to assure the attainment and maintenance of national ambient air quality standards by new specified deadlines;

C. WHEREAS, Tulare County was designated nonattainment for carbon monoxide, and the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin was designated nonattainment for oxidant and total suspended particulate under provisions of Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act;

D. WHEREAS, Kings County Air Pollution Control Board (APCB), Madera County APCB, Merced County Board of Supervisors, and the Tulare County Association of Governments were designated by the ARB on April 3, 1978 as the local lead agencies for the preparation of the 1979 oxidant nonattainment plan for their respective counties;

E. WHEREAS, the plans were reviewed by the cities of the respective regions, the county boards of supervisors, the councils of county governments, other interested organizations, and the public;

F. WHEREAS, the plans were adopted by the respective lead agencies on the following dates (Kings: December 26, 1978, Madera: January 9, 1979, Merced: November 28, 1978, and Tulare: December 26, 1978) to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act as amended;

G. WHEREAS, the respective lead agencies transmitted the plans to the ARB for approval as revisions to the State Implementation Plan;

H. WHEREAS, the Clean Air Act and SIP regulations promulgated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) require that revisions to the SIP be adopted after a public hearing for which 30 days notice to the public has been provided;

I. WHEREAS, a public hearing upon 30 days notice and other administrative proceedings have been held in accordance with the Clean Air Act and the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (California Government Code, Title 2, Division 3, Part 1, Chapter 4.5);

BASINWIDE CONTROL STRATEGY ANALYSIS

1. NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board recommends the respective county APCDs and county boards of supervisors and councils of county governments work with the other nonattainment lead agencies in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin and with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Basinwide Control Council in developing basinwide control strategies where appropriate for consideration for the 1982 plan;

AIR QUALITY ANALYSES

2. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that further study to determine the feasibility of the development and application of basinwide photochemical simulation modeling to analyze oxidant formation and the impact of control measures is necessary and desirable, and directs staff to complete a feasibility study in cooperation with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Basinwide Control Council, the local APCDs, the local NAP lead agencies, councils of governments, and other appropriate public and private entities concerned with the Valley oxidant problem;

REASONABLY AVAILABLE CONTROL MEASURES

3. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board accepts as adequate and approves the respective lead agency and/or APCB commitments to adopt all RACMs (reasonable available control measures) shown in the adopted NAPs;

4. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board delegates authority to the ARB Executive Officer to adopt or amend as necessary, after hearing, the federally and state required RACMs [Control Technology Guidances I (CTGs) and ARB Category I RACMs], included in the respective NAPs which the respective APCBs do not adopt by May 30, 1979. The Executive Officer is authorized to submit such adopted rules to the EPA as a SIP submission;

5. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that various stationary source control measures require further study (see ARB staff report 79-6-2, Tables 1, 2, and 3 on pages 11-13) and directs staff to study further these measures;

MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTION PROGRAM

6. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that to meet the Clean Air Act requirements for granting an extension for attaining the ozone standard, Kings, Madera, Merced, and Tulare must commit to an Inspection and Maintenance program. The Board finds that the inclusion of "Motor Vehicle Inspection Maintenance" as a tactic in the Madera, Merced, and Tulare NAPs demonstrates local commitment to an adequate Inspection and Maintenance program, and the Board supports legislative authorization of such a program for the areas;

OTHER MEASURES

7. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that the respective plan commitments to the further study of mobile source controls, stationary source controls, and transportation measures, as well as other requirements of the continuing planning program, demonstrates adequately compliance with Section 172(b)(11)(C) which requires the identification of other measures necessary to provide for attainment of the NAAQS for ozone not later than December 31, 1987;

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION

8. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that the respective plans do not demonstrate attainment of the national standards for ozone by December 31, 1982 despite the implementation of all reasonably available control measures;

9. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that an extension of the attainment date for the national ozone standard until no later than December 31, 1987 is justified;

10. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board approves the request of the local lead agencies for such an extension for attainment of the ozone standard;

EMISSIONS GROWTH - NEW SOURCE REVIEW

11. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board delegates to the ARB Executive Officer the authority to adopt, after hearing, and after finding that the local NSR rule is inadequate and that the respective APCB does not commit to making it adequate, the ARB model New Source

Review rule for those of the four counties in which the respective APCB fails to adopt an equivalent rule by May 30, 1979. The Executive Officer is authorized to submit such a rule to the EPA as a SIP submission;

PRE-PERMIT REVIEW

12. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process equivalent to that required by Section 172(b)(11)(A) of the Clean Air Act relating to industrial siting;

BOARD ADOPTION

13. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that except as otherwise specified above, the Board finds that the Kings, Madera, Merced, and Tulare plans contain those elements necessary to meet the presently applicable requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act as amended. The Board approves those elements and directs the Executive Officer to submit the respective plans to EPA for approval, together with all acceptable technical support documentation as may be useful in showing compliance with the requirements of Part D.

This is to certify that this is a true copy of Resolution 79-14 as passed by the Air Resources Board.

Joan Gilpin
Board Secretary

State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Response to Significant Environmental Issues

Item:	Public Hearing to Consider Adoption of the Kings County, Madera County, Merced County, and Tulare County Nonattainment Plans (NAPs) as Revisions to the State of California Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Attainment and Maintenance of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).
Public Hearing Date:	March 22, 1979
Response Date:	March 22, 1979
Issuing Authority:	Executive Officer
Comment:	None received.
Response:	N/A

CERTIFIED: Joan Gilpin
Board Secretary

Date: May 15, 1979

Resolution No. 79-14

State of California

Memorandum

To : Huey E. Johnson
Secretary
Resources Agency

Date : May 30, 1979

Subject: Filing of Notice of Decision for the Air Resources Board

From : **Air Resources Board**

Pursuant to Title 17, Section 60007(b) and in compliance with Air Resources Board certification under Section 21080.5 of the Public Resources Code, the Air Resources Board hereby forwards for posting the attached notices of decision and response to environmental comments raised during the comment period.

Joan Gilpin
Board Secretary

Attachments

Resolution No. 79-8
79-9
79-11
79-12
79-13
79-14
79-15
79-27
79-29