**California Air Resources Board** 

## **Quantification Methodology**

California State Coastal Conservancy Climate Ready Program

**California Climate Investments** 



FINAL June 13, 2019

#### March 26, 2021

### **Table of Contents**

| Section A.<br>Methodo  | Introduction<br>logy Development   | 1<br>2 |
|------------------------|--|--------|
| Tools for              | Tree Planting  | 3      |
| Tools for              | Carbon Farming   | 4      |
| Section B.<br>Tree Pla | Methods<br>nting Project Types   | 7<br>7 |
| Carbon F               | Farming Project Type   | 7      |
| General                | Approach to Tree Planting  | 9      |
| General                | Approach to Carbon Farming   | 10     |
| Α.                     | GHG Benefit from Carbon Stored in Trees Planted by the Climate Ready Project                                   | 11     |
| В.                     | GHG Benefit from Energy Savings as a Result of Strategically Planting<br>Trees to Shade Buildings              | 12     |
| C.                     | GHG Emissions from Tree Planting Implementation  | 13     |
| D.                     | Net GHG Benefit from Tree Planting   | 14     |
| E.                     | Air Pollutant Co-Benefit from Trees Planted by the Project   | 15     |
| F.                     | Air Pollutant Co-benefit from Energy Savings as a Result of Strategically<br>Planting Trees to Shade Buildings | 16     |
| G.                     | Net Air Pollutant Co-Benefit from Tree Planting  | 19     |
| Н.                     | Emissions Reductions from Carbon Farming Projects  | 20     |
| Section C.             | References   | 21     |
| Table 1. G             | eneral Approach to Quantification by Project Activity  | 9      |

## Section A. Introduction

California Climate Investments (CCI) is a statewide initiative that puts billions of Cap-and-Trade dollars to work facilitating greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions; strengthening the economy; improving public health and the environment; and providing benefits to residents of disadvantaged communities, low-income communities, and low-income households, collectively referred to as "priority populations." Where applicable and to the extent feasible, California Climate Investments must maximize economic, environmental, and public health co-benefits to the State.

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is responsible for providing guidance on estimating the net GHG benefit and co-benefits from projects receiving monies from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF). This guidance includes quantification methodologies, co-benefit assessment methodologies, and benefits calculator tools. CARB develops these methodologies and tools based on the project types or conservation practices eligible for funding by each administering agency, as reflected in the program expenditure records available at: www.arb.ca.gov/cci-expenditurerecords.

For the California State Coastal Conservancy (SCC) Climate Ready Program (CRP), CARB staff developed this CRP Quantification Methodology to provide guidance for estimating the net GHG benefit and selected co-benefits of each proposed project. This methodology uses calculations to estimate carbon sequestration in planted trees, GHG emission reductions from the effects of tree shade on building energy use, and GHG emissions associated with the implementation of CRP tree planting projects. This methodology also uses calculations to estimate carbon sequestration and GHG emission reductions from Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Conservation Practices and California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) Compost Application Rates associated with the implementation of CRP carbon farming projects. These methods are adapted from the CCI Urban Greening and Healthy Soils Programs to fit CRP program needs.

The CRP Benefits Calculator Tool automates methods described in this document along with the i-Tree Planting and/or the online COMET-Planner CDFA HSP Calculator Tool. Projects will report the total project GHG benefit and co-benefits estimated using the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool as well as the total project GHG benefit per dollar of GGRF funds requested. The CRP Benefits Calculator Tool is available for download at: <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/cci-resources</u>.

Using many of the same inputs required to estimate net GHG benefit, the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool estimates the following co-benefits and key variables: (for tree planting projects), trees planted (quantity of trees), energy use reductions (kWh and therms), energy and fuel cost savings (dollars), water savings (gallons and acre feet per year), and select criteria and toxic air pollutant emissions (pounds) – including reactive organic gases (ROG), nitrogen oxide (NOx), and fine particulate matter less than 2.5

March 26, 2021

micrometers (PM<sub>2.5</sub>); (for carbon farming projects) particulate matter 2.5 micrometers and smaller (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) (in lbs), nitrous oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) (in lbs), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) (in lbs), and land restored/treated (in acres). Key variables are project characteristics that contribute to a project's net GHG benefit and signal an additional benefit (e.g., energy use reductions, and number of trees planted).

Additional co-benefits for which CARB assessment methodologies were not incorporated into the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool may also be applicable to the project. Applicants, in coordination with the agency, should consult the CRP guidelines, solicitation materials, and agreements to ensure they are meeting CRP requirements. All CARB co-benefit assessment methodologies are available at: <u>www.arb.ca.gov/cci-cobenefits</u>.

## Methodology Development

CARB and SCC developed this Quantification Methodology consistent with the guiding principles of California Climate Investments, including ensuring transparency and accountability.<sup>1</sup> CARB and SCC developed this CRP Quantification Methodology to be used to estimate the outcomes of proposed projects, inform project selection, and track results of funded projects. The implementing principles ensure that the methodology would:

- Apply at the project-level;
- Provide uniform methods to be applied statewide, and be accessible by all applicants;
- Use existing and proven tools and methods;
- Use project-level data, where available and appropriate; and
- Result in net GHG benefit estimates that are conservative and supported by empirical literature.

CARB assessed peer-reviewed literature and tools and consulted with experts, as needed, to determine methods appropriate for the CRP project types. CARB also consulted with SCC to determine project-level inputs available. The methods were developed to provide estimates that are as accurate as possible with data readily available at the project level.

In addition, the University of California, Berkeley, in collaboration with CARB, developed assessment methodologies for a variety of co-benefits such as providing cost savings, lessening the impacts and effects of climate change, and strengthening community engagement. Co-benefit assessment methodologies are posted at: www.arb.ca.gov/cci-cobenefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Air Resources Board. <u>www.arb.ca.gov/cci-fundingguidelines</u>

## **Tools for Tree Planting**

The CRP Benefits Calculator Tool relies on project-specific outputs from one of the two U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service (USFS) urban tree carbon accounting tools:

The USFS i-Tree Planting web based tool provides quantitative data for an individual or population of trees to be planted as part of the project including the amount of carbon stored, the estimated effects of tree shade on building energy use, and rainfall interception based on project characteristics such as the climate zone, tree species, tree age, tree diameter at breast height (DBH), and tree location relative to a building. i-Tree Planting can be accessed at: <u>https://planting.itreetools.org/</u>. A description about the tool can be accessed at: <u>https://planting.itreetools.org/</u>.

The i-Tree Planting tool is used statewide, subject to regular updates to incorporate new information, free of charge, and publicly available to anyone with internet access.

## **Tools for Carbon Farming**

The CRP Benefits Calculator Tool also relies on project-specific outputs from the online COMET-Planner CDFA HSP Calculator Tool to estimate the net GHG benefit of the proposed project. The online COMET-Planner CDFA HSP Calculator Tool is available at: <u>http://comet-planner-cdfahsp.com/</u>. The tool relies on project-specific outputs from the following tools:

COMET-Planner is largely derived using a sample-based approach and model runs in COMET-Farm, which utilizes USDA entity-scale GHG inventory methods. Coefficients were generalized by multi-county regions defined by USDA Major Land Resource Areas. Emissions estimates represent field emissions only, including those associated with soils and woody biomass as appropriate, and do not include off-site emissions, such as those from transportation, manufacturing, processing, etc. COMET-Farm is a web-based, whole farm, GHG accounting systems that employs methods outlined in the USDA Methods for Entity-Scale Inventory guidance. Estimation methods used for most GHG sources in COMET-Planner rely on advanced methods (commonly referred to as "Tier 3" methodologies in IPCC quantification methods), such as process-based modeling in DayCent and regionally-specific empirical calculations. COMET-Planner is available at: <a href="http://www.comet-planner.com/">http://www.comet-planner.com/</a>.

The Denitrification-Decomposition (DNDC) model is a process-based computer simulation model of carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) biogeochemistry and was developed for quantifying carbon sequestration and emissions of greenhouse gases in agroecosystems. The core of DNDC modeling consists of microbe-mediated biochemical processes commonly occurring in terrestrial soils. The processes simulated include decomposition, nitrification, denitrification, fermentation, and methanogenesis. A full description of the DNDC scientific basis and processes, including all equations involved, is available at <a href="http://www.dndc.sr.unh.edu/">http://www.dndc.sr.unh.edu/</a>.

COMET-Planner and DNDC are used statewide, subject to regular updates to incorporate new information, free of charge, and publicly available to anyone with internet access.

State Coastal Conservancy staff will use the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool to estimate the co-benefits of the proposed project. The CRP-Benefits Calculator Tool is available at: <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/cci-resources</u>. The tool relies on project-specific outputs from the DNDC model.

In addition to the tools above, the CRP Quantitative Methodology relies on CARB-developed emission factors. CARB has established a single repository for emission factors, referred to as the California Climate Investments Quantification Methodology Emission Factor Database (Database), available at: <a href="http://www.arb.ca.gov/cci-resources">http://www.arb.ca.gov/cci-resources</a>. The Database Documentation explains how

March 26, 2021

emission factors used in CARB benefits calculator tools are developed and updated. More information on quantification methods is available in the COMET-Planner Report.<sup>2</sup>

The agency, in coordination with applicants, must use the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool to estimate the net GHG benefits and co-benefits of the proposed project. The CRP Benefits Calculator Tool can be downloaded from: <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/cci-resources</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://bfuels.nrel.colostate.edu/health/COMET-Planner\_Report\_Final.pdf

## Updates

CARB staff periodically review each quantification methodology and benefits calculator tool to evaluate their effectiveness and update methodologies to make them more robust, user-friendly, and appropriate to the projects being quantified. CARB updated the Climate Ready Quantification Methodology from the previous version to enhance the analysis and provide additional clarity. The changes include:

- Correction of water savings estimate as irrigation savings only (previous version incorrectly summed rainfall interception, stormwater runoff, and irrigation savings as total water savings);
- A new weblink to the new URL for the water budget workbook per CCI water cobenefit assessment methodology.
- Removal of iTree streets from the Calculator Tool and Quantification Methodology for consistency across projects, streamline reporting, and reduce error in data collection.
  - Equation 2 and 4 have been removed from this document as they pertained to iTree Streets. The remaining equations were not renumbered.
  - $\circ~$  Some iTree Streets references remain for transparency purposes and for context in this revision.

## **Section B. Methods**

The following section provides details on the methods supporting emission reductions in the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool. Two project types are available in the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool for tree planting utilizing the i-Tree tool. Another project type is available in the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool for carbon farming utilizing the online COMET-Planner CDFA HSP Calculator tool.

## **Tree Planting Project Types**

SCC incentivizes tree planting project activities for which there are methods to quantify a net GHG benefit.<sup>3</sup> Other project features may be eligible for funding under the Climate Ready Program; however, each project requesting GGRF funding must include at least one of the following:

- Sequester and store carbon by planting trees; or
- Reduce building energy use from strategically planting trees to shade buildings

## **Carbon Farming Project Type**

SCC also incentivizes carbon farming project activities (initially developed for CDFA's Healthy Soils Program) for which there are methods to quantify a net GHG benefit. Other project features may be eligible for funding under the SCC CRP carbon farming project type; however, each project quantified by the online COMET-Planner CDFA HSP Calculator Tool must include at least one of the following Practice Implementations, as defined by NRCS Conservation Practice Standards<sup>4</sup> (CPS; each practice is uniquely numbered) or CDFA Compost Application Rates for California Croplands and Rangelands<sup>5</sup>:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> California State Coastal Conservancy (2018). Climate Ready Grant Announcement, Nature-Based Solutions for Climate Adaptation. <u>http://scc.ca.gov/files/2018/04/Climate-Ready-Announcement.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Details about specific NRCS California Conservation Practices can be found at

https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/; California; Section IV; Old Section IV; Conservation Practices – CA Approved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Details about CDFA Compost Application Practices can be found at https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/healthysoils/docs/CompostApplicationRate WhitePaper.pdf.

#### Soil Management Practices

#### **Cropland Management Practice Implementations**

- Cover Crop (CPS 340)
  - Add Legume Seasonal Cover Crop to Irrigated Cropland
  - Add Non-Legume Seasonal Cover Crop to Irrigated Cropland
- Nutrient Management (CPS 590)
  - Improved N Fertilizer Management on Irrigated Croplands Reduce Fertilizer Application Rate by 15%
- Residue and Tillage Management No Till (CPS 329)
  - Intensive Till to No Till or Strip Till on Irrigated Cropland
  - o Intensive Till to No Till or Strip Till on Non-Irrigated Cropland
- Residue and Tillage Management Reduced Till (CPS 345)
  - o Intensive Till to Reduced Till on Irrigated Cropland
  - Intensive Till to Reduced Till on Non-Irrigated Cropland

#### Compost Application Practice Implementations<sup>6</sup>

These Implementations are both for Compost Purchased from a Certified Facility and On-Farm Compost

- Annual Crops (CDFA Compost Application)
  - Compost (C/N > 11) Application to Annual Crops
  - Compost (C/N < or = 11) Application to Annual Crops
- Grasslands (CDFA Compost Application)
  - $\circ$  Compost (C/N > 11) Application to Grazed Grassland
  - Compost (C/N > 11) Application to Grazed Irrigated Pasture
- Perennials Orchards and Vineyards (CDFA Compost Application)
  - Compost (C/N > 11) Application to Perennials, Orchards and Vineyards
  - Compost (C/N < or = 11) Application to Perennials, Orchards and Vineyards

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> These practice implementations are in Compost Purchased from a Certified Facility and On-Farm Compost. Some project sites may be ineligible for Compost Application as the quantifications of the implementations are no applicable due to high soil organic matter. Refer to the SCC Carbon Farming Project types and the Compost Ineligibility Map at <a href="http://www.arb.ca.gov/cci-quantification">www.arb.ca.gov/cci-quantification</a>.

## **General Approach to Tree Planting**

Methods used in the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool for estimating the net GHG benefit and air pollutant emission co-benefits by activity type are provided in this section. The Database Documentation explains how emission factors used in CARB benefits calculator tools are developed and updated.

These methods account for carbon storage in planted trees, energy savings from the benefits of tree shade, and the GHG emissions associated with the implementation of tree planting projects. In general, the net GHG benefit is estimated in the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool using the approaches in Table 1. The CRP Benefits Calculator Tool also estimates air pollutant emission co-benefits and key variables using many of the same inputs used to estimate the net GHG benefit.

#### Table 1. General Approach to Quantification by Project Activity

#### Tree Planting and Energy Savings from Tree Shade

Net GHG benefit = carbon storage in planted trees – carbon in planted trees not assumed to survive<sup>7</sup> + GHG reductions from energy savings from shade<sup>8</sup> – GHG emissions from tree planting and maintenance.

#### <u>User Tip:</u>

Due to the difference in the outputs from the two urban tree accounting tools available for use, some equations are tool-specific, as indicated below.

## **General Approach to Carbon Farming**

Methods used in the online COMET-Planner CDFA HSP Calculator Tool and the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool for estimating the net GHG benefit and air pollutant emission co-benefits by activity type are provided in this section. More Information about the CDFA and CARB Compost Application practices is available in the CDFA and CARB White Paper.<sup>7</sup> The CCI Quantification Methodology Emission Factor Database Documentation<sup>8</sup> explains how emission factors used in CARB Benefits Calculator Tools are developed and updated.

The CRP Quantification Methodology also estimates air pollutant emission co-benefits (NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and key variables (NH<sub>3</sub> and land restored/treated) using the same inputs used to estimate the net GHG benefit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/auctionproceeds/dndc\_calculations.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/cci-quantification-benefits-and-reporting-materials

# A. GHG Benefit from Carbon Stored in Trees Planted by the Climate Ready Project

The GHG benefit from carbon stored in trees planted by the project is calculated as the sum of carbon stored in individual trees 40 years after project start, accounting for a 3% annual tree mortality rate<sup>9</sup> for the years after the period of establishment care (including replacement) provided by the project through year 10.<sup>10</sup> Equation 1 is used to determine the GHG benefit from carbon stored in live project trees at the end of the project if the agency in coordination with the applicant used i-Tree Planting. Equation 2 is removed from the quantification methodology and tool to streamline the accounting process and apply a consistency across projects.

| Equation 1: GHG Benefit of Carbon Stored in Live Project Trees (i-Tree Planting) |  |   |                   |  |
|--|--|---|-------------------|--|
| GHG <sub>CSC</sub> =   | $=\frac{\sum_{i} C_{ITP_{i}}}{\sum_{i} C_{ITP_{i}}}$ | $\frac{1}{2,204.62}$ $(1-0.03)^{10-YC}$   |                   |  |
| Where.   |  |   | Units             |  |
| GHGcsc   | =  | GHG benefit of carbon stored in live project trees estimated using<br>i-Tree Planting   | MT CO2e           |  |
| Спр  | =  | Carbon stored in each group of project trees i over the 40 year<br>quantification period (from i-Tree Planting)   | <u>ဖြ</u> င်္ပင်္ |  |
| i  | =  | Each group of project trees planted   |                   |  |
| 0.03   | =  | Mortality rate (3% annual)  |                   |  |
| 10   | =  | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality   | years             |  |
| YC   | =  | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by project<br>(The maximum value for the purposes of this equation is 9 years.<br>SCC requires a minimum of 10 years for establishment and<br>replacement care at the project location, so the calculator is set to a<br>default of 9 years to represent the maximum value.) | years             |  |
| 2,204.62   | =  | Conversion factor from Ib to MT   | lb/MT             |  |

http://www.itreetools.org/resources/manuals/Ecov6\_ManualsGuides/Ecov6Guide\_UsingForecast.pdf. United States Department of Energy Information Administration. (April 1998). *Method for Calculating Carbon Sequestration by Trees in Urban and Suburban Settings*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Roman, Lara. (Spring 2014). How many trees are enough? Tree death and the urban canopy. *Scenario Journal*. <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/pubs/jrnl/2014/nrs\_2014\_roman\_001.pdf</u>. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service. *i-Tree ECO Guide to Using the Forecast Model*.

http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/Downloads/method-calculating-carbon-sequestration-trees-urbanand-suburban-settings.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Establishment and replacement care reduces the risk of mortality of trees planted by the project. Because this methodology applies an increased mortality rate in the first ten years after planting when trees are most at risk, the maximum value for years of establishment care in Equations 1-4 is 9 years to limit the tree mortality rate to 3%.

# B. GHG Benefit from Energy Savings as a Result of Strategically Planting Trees to Shade Buildings

The GHG benefit from energy savings is calculated as the total annual energy savings from individual trees planted strategically to shade buildings (i.e., planted within 60 feet) during the 40 year quantification period, taking tree mortality into account. Equation 3 is used to determine the GHG emission reductions from energy savings throughout the quantification period of the project if the agency in coordination with the applicant used i-Tree Planting.

Equation 4 is removed from the quantification methodology and tool to streamline the accounting process and apply a consistency across projects.

| Equation 3:                | GH            | G Benefit from Energy Savings (i-Tree Planting)  |                                |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------------------|
| $GHG_{ESC} = ($            | $\sum_{i} ER$ | $P_{ITP,i} \times EF_{ELEC} + \sum_{i} NG_{ITP,i} \times 10 \times EF_{NG}) \times (1 - 0.03)^{10 - YC}$   |                                |
| Where,<br>GHGesc<br>ERITEI | =<br>=        | GHG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting<br>Total electricity reductions from each group of project trees over the<br>40 year quantification period (from i-Tree Planting)  | <u>Units</u><br>MT CO2e<br>kWh |
| EFelec                     | =             | GHG emission factor for electricity  | MT CO2e/                       |
| NGm <sup>e</sup>           | =             | Total fuel reductions from each group of project trees over the 40<br>year quantification period (from i-Tree Planting)<br>Group of project trees planted  | MMBtu                          |
| 10                         | =             | Conversion factor from MMBtu to therms   | therms/                        |
| EFng                       | =             | GHG emission factor for natural gas  | MT CO2e/<br>therm              |
| 0.03                       | =             | Mortality rate (3% annual)   |                                |
| 10                         | =             | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality  | years                          |
| YC                         | =             | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by project<br>(The maximum value for the purposes of this equation is 9 years.<br>SCC requires a minimum of 9 years for establishment and<br>replacement care at the project location, so the calculator is set to a<br>default of 9 years to represent the maximum value.) | years                          |

## C. GHG Emissions from Tree Planting Implementation

Tree planting projects must account for GHG emissions from tree planting, maintenance, and other related activities. The GHG emissions from implementation of tree planting projects are calculated by deducting 5%<sup>11</sup> of the annual reductions obtained through carbon storage and avoided emissions from energy savings. Equation 5 is used to determine the GHG emissions from implementation of tree planting projects.

| Equation 5: GHG Emissions from Tree Planting Project Implementation |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---------|--|--|--|
| $GHG_{PI} = (G$   | $GHG_{PI} = (GHG_{CSC} + GHG_{CSI} + GHG_{ESC} + GHG_{ESI}) \times EF_{IMP}$ |  |         |  |  |  |
| Where,  |  |  | Units   |  |  |  |
| GHGPi   | =  | GHG emissions from tree planting                                     | MT CO2e |  |  |  |
| GHGcsc  | =  | GHG benefit from carbon stored in live project trees estimated using | MT CO2e |  |  |  |
|   |  | i-Tree Planting (from Equation 1)                                    |         |  |  |  |
| GHGcsi  | =  | GHG benefit from carbon stored in live project trees estimated using | MT CO2e |  |  |  |
|   |  | i-Tree Streets (from Equation 2)                                     |         |  |  |  |
| GHGESC  | =  | GHG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting      | MT CO2e |  |  |  |
|   |  | (from Equation 3)  |         |  |  |  |
| GHGESI  | =  | GHG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Streets       | MT CO2e |  |  |  |
|   |  | (from Equation 4)  |         |  |  |  |
| EFIMP   | =  | Emission factor for project implementation emissions                 |         |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Tree Guides (multiple publications). <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/psw/programs/uesd/uep/tree\_guides.shtml</u>.

## D. Net GHG Benefit from Tree Planting

The net GHG benefit from any project is the sum of the carbon stored in planted trees and emission reductions from energy savings, less the GHG emissions associated with the implementation of the project. Equation 6 is used to determine the net GHG benefit from Climate Ready projects.

| Equation 6: Net GHG Benefit |    |  |              |  |  |
|-----------------------------|----|--|--------------|--|--|
|                             | GI | $HG = (GHG_{CSC} + GHG_{CSI} + GHG_{ESC} + GHG_{ESI}) - GHG_{PI}$  |              |  |  |
| Where,                      |    |  | <u>Units</u> |  |  |
| GHG                         | =  | Net GHG benefit from the project                                   | MT CO2e      |  |  |
| GHGcsc                      | =  | GHG benefit of carbon stored in live project trees estimated using | MT CO2e      |  |  |
|                             |    | i-Tree Planting (from Equation 1)                                  |              |  |  |
| GHGcsi                      | =  | GHG benefit of carbon stored in live project trees estimated using | MT CO2e      |  |  |
|                             |    | i-Tree Streets (from Equation 2)                                   |              |  |  |
| GHGESC                      | =  | GHG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting    | MT CO2e      |  |  |
|                             |    | (from Equation 3)  |              |  |  |
| GHGESI                      | =  | GHG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Streets     | MT CO2e      |  |  |
|                             |    | (from Equation 4)  |              |  |  |
| GHGPI                       | =  | GHG emissions from project implementation (from Equation 5)        | MT CO2e      |  |  |

March 26, 2021

# E. Air Pollutant Co-Benefit from Trees Planted by the Project

The air pollutant emission co-benefit from trees planted by the project is calculated as the sum of air pollutant emissions removed from the atmosphere by individual trees during the 40 year quantification period, accounting for a 3% annual tree mortality rate for the years after the period of establishment care (including replacement) provided by the project through year 10. Equations 7 and 8 are used to determine the air pollutant emission co-benefits from live project trees at the end of the project if the agency in coordination with the applicant used i-Tree Planting.

| Equation             | Equation 7: PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions Co-benefit from Tree Absorption                         |   |            |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|
| $PM_{2.5,TA} =$      | $PM_{2.5,TA} = (ER_{PM,ITP} + (ER_{PM,ITS} \times 20 \times 0.28)) \times (1 - 0.03)^{10 - YC}$ |   |            |  |  |  |
| Where,               |   |   | Units      |  |  |  |
| РМ2.5, ТА            | =   | PM2.5 benefit of tree planting in live project trees estimated using<br>i-Tree Planting and i-Tree Streets  | <u>lb</u>  |  |  |  |
| ERpm,ittp            | =   | Total PM25 savings over the 40 year quantification period calculated<br>from i-Tree Planting  | lk         |  |  |  |
| ER <sub>PM,ITS</sub> | =   | Annual PM10 savings 40 years after project start calculated from i-<br>Tree Streets   | <u>lk</u>  |  |  |  |
| 20                   | =   | Years adjusted for annual savings output at year 40   | years      |  |  |  |
| 0.28                 | =   | Conversion from PM10 to PM2.5   | PM2.5/PM10 |  |  |  |
| 0.03                 | =   | Mortality rate (3% annual)  |            |  |  |  |
| 10                   | =   | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality   | years      |  |  |  |
| YC                   | =   | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by project<br>(the maximum value for the purposes of this equation is 9 years;<br>enter 9 if the project provides establishment and replacement care<br>for a longer period of time) | years      |  |  |  |

| Equation 8      | Equation 8: NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Co-benefit from Tree Absorption               |  |                 |  |  |  |
|-----------------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| $NO_{x,TA} = ($ | $NO_{x,TA} = (ER_{NOx,ITP} + (ER_{NOx,ITS} \times 20)) \times (1 - 0.03)^{10 - YC}$ |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Where,          |   |  | Units           |  |  |  |
| NOx, TA         | =   | NO <sub>x</sub> benefit of tree planting in live project trees estimated using<br>i-Tree Planting and i-Tree Streets | <mark>lb</mark> |  |  |  |
| ERNOX,ITP       | =   | Total NOx savings over the 40 year quantification period calculated from i-Tree Planting                             | lb              |  |  |  |
| ERNOx,ITS       | =   | Annual NO <sub>x</sub> savings 40 years after project start calculated from<br>i-Tree Streets                        | lb              |  |  |  |
| 20              | =   | Years adjusted for annual savings output at year 40  | years           |  |  |  |
| 0.03            | =   | Mortality rate (3% annual)   |                 |  |  |  |
| 10              | =   | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality  | years           |  |  |  |
| YC              | =   | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by project  | years           |  |  |  |
|                 |   | (the maximum value for the purposes of this equation is 9 years;   |                 |  |  |  |
|                 |   | enter 9 if the project provides establishment and replacement care   |                 |  |  |  |
|                 |   | for a longer period of time)   |                 |  |  |  |

# F. Air Pollutant Co-benefit from Energy Savings as a Result of Strategically Planting Trees to Shade Buildings

Equations 9 through 11 are used to determine the air pollutant emission co-benefits from energy savings throughout the quantification period of the project if the agency in coordination with the applicant used i-Tree Planting.

| Equation 9: PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions Co-benefit from Energy Savings |   |   |                 |  |  |
|--|---|---|-----------------|--|--|
| $PM_{2.5.ES} = (($   | $PM_{2.5.ES} = \left( \left( ER_{ITP} + \left( ER_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \times PM_{ELEC} + \left( NG_{ITP} + \left( NG_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000 \right) \right) \right)$ |   |                 |  |  |
|  | × 0.  | $(1 \times 20)) \times PM_{NG} \times (1 - 0.03)^{10 - YC}$   |                 |  |  |
| Where.   |   |   | Units           |  |  |
| PM2.5,ES   | =   | PM25 benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting<br>and i-Tree Streets  | lk              |  |  |
| ERm  | =   | Total energy savings over the 40 year quantification period<br>calculated from i-Tree Planting  | kWh             |  |  |
| ERπs   | =   | Annual energy savings 40 years after project start calculated from<br>i-Tree Streets  | MWh             |  |  |
| Shade %  |   | The percent of the trees that will be planted to shade buildings<br>(i.e. within 60 ft); for users of i-Tree Streets  | %               |  |  |
| 20   | =   | Years adjusted for annual energy savings output at year 40  | years           |  |  |
| 1,000  | =   | Conversion factor from MWh to kWh   | kWh/MWh         |  |  |
| PMelec   | =   | PM2.5 emission factor for electricity   | l <u>b</u> /kWh |  |  |
| NGm  | =   | Total natural gas savings over the 40 year quantification period<br>calculated from i-Tree Planting   | MMBtu           |  |  |
| NGits  | =   | Annual natural gas savings 40 years after project start calculated<br>from i-Tree Streets   | therms          |  |  |
| 0.1  | =   | Conversion from therms to MMBtu   | MMBtu/therms    |  |  |
| PMng   | =   | PM2.5 emission factor for natural gas   | lb/MMBtu        |  |  |
| 0.03   | =   | Mortality rate (3% annual)  |                 |  |  |
| 10   | =   | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality   | years           |  |  |
| YC   | =   | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by project<br>(the maximum value for the purposes of this equation is 9 years;<br>enter 9 if the project provides establishment and replacement<br>care for a longer period of time) | years           |  |  |

March 26, 2021

| Equation 10: NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Co-benefit from Energy Savings |   |  |                           |  |  |
|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | (   |  |                           |  |  |
| $NO_{X,ES} =$   | $= ((ER_{IT}))$   | $P + (ER_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000)) \times NO_{X,ELEC} + (NG_{IT})$                              | $_{P} + (NG_{ITS} \times$ |  |  |
|   | $(0.1 \times 20)) \times NO_{X,NG} \times (1 - 0.03)^{10 - YC}$ |  |                           |  |  |
| Where   |   |  | Units                     |  |  |
| NO <sub>x,ES</sub>  | =   | NO <sub>x</sub> benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting<br>and i-Tree Streets                    | lb                        |  |  |
| ERinp   | =   | Total energy savings over the 40 year quantification period<br>calculated from i-Tree Planting                       | kWh                       |  |  |
| ERıts   | =   | Annual energy savings 40 years after project start calculated<br>from i-Tree Streets                                 | MWh                       |  |  |
| Shade %   |   | The percent of the trees that will be planted to shade buildings<br>(i.e. within 60 ft); for users of i-Tree Streets | %                         |  |  |
| 20  | =   | Years adjusted for annual energy savings output at year 40   | years                     |  |  |
| 1,000   | =   | Conversion factor from MWh to kWh  | kWh/MWh                   |  |  |
| NOxelec   | =   | NO <sub>x</sub> emission factor for electricity  | lb/kWh                    |  |  |
| NGm   | =   | Total natural gas savings over the 40 year quantification period<br>calculated from i-Tree Planting                  | MMBtu                     |  |  |
| NGits   | =   | Annual natural gas savings 40 years after project start calculated<br>from i-Tree Streets                            | therms                    |  |  |
| 0.1   | =   | Conversion from therms to MMBtu  | MMBtu/therm               |  |  |
| NOx, NG   | =   | NOx emission factor for natural gas  | lb/MMBtu                  |  |  |
| 0.03  | =   | Mortality rate (3% annual)   |                           |  |  |
| 10  | =   | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality  | years                     |  |  |
| YC  | =   | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by project  | years                     |  |  |
|   |   | (the maximum value for the purposes of this equation is 9 years;   | -                         |  |  |
|   |   | enter 9 if the project provides establishment and replacement  |                           |  |  |
|   |   | care for a longer period of time)  |                           |  |  |

March 26, 2021

| Equation 11: ROG Emissions Co-benefit from Energy Savings |       |  |                           |
|---|-------|--|---------------------------|
|   |       |  |                           |
| $ROG_{ES} = (($   | ERITP | + $(ER_{ITS} \times Shade \% \times 20 \times 1,000)$ × $ROG_{ELEC}$ + $(NG_{ITP})$                                  | $+ (NG_{ITS} \times 0.1)$ |
|   | × 20  | $(0)) \times ROG_{NG} \times (1 - 0.03)^{10 - YC}$   |                           |
| Where.  |       |  | Units                     |
| ROGES   | =     | ROG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting<br>and i-Tree Streets                                | lb                        |
| ERitte  | =     | Total energy savings over the 40 year quantification period<br>calculated from i-Tree Planting                       | kWh                       |
| ERits   | =     | Annual energy savings calculated from i-Tree Streets   | MWh                       |
| Shade %   |       | The percent of the trees that will be planted to shade buildings<br>(i.e. within 60 ft): for users of i-Tree Streets | %                         |
| 20  | =     | Years adjusted for annual energy savings output at year 40   | years                     |
| 1,000   | =     | Conversion factor from MWh to kWh  | kWh/MWh                   |
| ROGELEC   | =     | ROG emission factor for electricity  | lb/kWh                    |
| NGm   | =     | Total natural gas savings over the 40 year quantification period<br>calculated from i-Tree Planting                  | MMBtu                     |
| NGitts  | =     | Annual natural gas savings 40 years after project start<br>calculated from i-Tree Streets                            | therms                    |
| 0.1   | =     | Conversion from therms to MMBtu  | MMBtu/therm               |
| ROGNG   | =     | ROG emission factor for natural gas  | lb/MMBtu                  |
| 0.03  | =     | Mortality rate (3% annual)   |                           |
| 10  | =     | Years after planting with greatest risk for mortality  | years                     |
| YC  | =     | Years of establishment and replacement care provided by  | years                     |
|   |       | project (the maximum value for the purposes of this equation is  |                           |
|   |       | 9 years; enter 9 if the project provides establishment and   |                           |
|   |       | replacement care for a longer period of time)  |                           |

## G. Net Air Pollutant Co-Benefit from Tree Planting

The net air pollutant emission co-benefits from any project is the sum of the individual air pollutant emissions adsorbed by planted trees, and emission reductions from energy savings. Equations 12 through 14 are used to determine the net air pollutant emission co-benefits from CRP projects.

| Equation 12: PM <sub>2.5</sub> Net Emissions Benefit |                      |  |                  |  |
|--|----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| $PM_{2.5} = H$                                       | РМ <sub>2.5,ТА</sub> | $+ PM_{2.5,ES}$  | <u>Units</u>     |  |
| PM25   | =                    | Net PM25 benefit from the project  | lb               |  |
| РМ2.5, ТА  | =                    | PM25 benefit of tree absorption in live project trees estimated using                                    | lb               |  |
| PM2.5,ES   | =                    | PM2.5 benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting<br>or i-Tree Streets (from Equation 8) | <mark>lb.</mark> |  |

| Equation 13: NO <sub>x</sub> Net Emissions Benefit |   |   |       |  |  |
|--|---|---|-------|--|--|
| $NO_X = NO_{X,TA} + NO_{x,ES}$                     |   |   |       |  |  |
| Where  |   |   | Units |  |  |
| NOx  | = | Net NO <sub>x</sub> benefit from the project  | lb    |  |  |
| NOx, TA  | = | NOx benefit of tree absorption in live project trees estimated using<br>i-Tree Planting or i-Tree Streets (from Equation 7) | lb    |  |  |
| NO <sub>x,ES</sub>                                 | = | NO <sub>x</sub> benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting or<br>i-Tree Streets (from Equation 9)          | lb    |  |  |

| Equation 14: ROG Net Emissions Benefit |                  |   |              |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| ROG = RG                               | OG <sub>ES</sub> |   | <u>Units</u> |  |  |  |  |
| Where,<br>ROG<br>ROGES                 | =<br>=           | Net ROG benefit from the project<br>ROG benefit from energy savings estimated using i-Tree Planting<br>or i-Tree Streets (from Equation 10) | lb.<br>Ib    |  |  |  |  |

## H. Emissions Reductions from Carbon Farming Projects

Both the net GHG benefit and air pollutant emission reductions from project implementation are estimated using Equations 15-17.

| Equation 15: Emission Reductions from Project Practice Implementation |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| $ER_{CFP} = QA_{PIM} \times Yr \times ERC_{POL,PIM,C}$                |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Where,  |   |  | <u>Units</u>                     |  |  |  |  |
| ERCFP   | = | GHG and air pollutant emissions reductions from carbon<br>farming project implementation.                      | MTCO2e/yr<br>or lbs/yr           |  |  |  |  |
| QAPIM   | = | Quantified area of project site for a practice implementation  | acres                            |  |  |  |  |
| Yr  | = | Number of years project practice will be implemented   | year                             |  |  |  |  |
| ERCPOL,PIM,C  | = | Emission Reduction Coefficient for a given pollutant for a<br>practice implementation implemented in a county. | MTCO2e/acre-yr<br>or lbs/acre-yr |  |  |  |  |

| Equation 16: Quantified Area of Project Practice Implementation |                            |  |       |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| W/boro  | $QA = PA_{PIM} - CA_{PIM}$ |  |       |  |  |  |  |
| QA  | =                          | Quantified area: The area project site where the practice<br>implementation is estimated for Benefits  | acres |  |  |  |  |
| РАрім   | =                          | Project area: The area where the practice is implemented in the project.   | acres |  |  |  |  |
| САрім   | =                          | Continuing area: The area in the project where any practice<br>implementation was implemented in the previous year. CA<br>has a value of zero for new practices to the Project area. | acres |  |  |  |  |

| Equation 17: Area Calculation for Linear Practice Implementations |                       |   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Equation 17. Area Calculation for Ellear Fractice implementations |                       |   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|   |                       |   | $A_{PIM} = \frac{1}{43,560} \times L_{PIM} \times W_{PIM}$  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Where   | <sup>9,</sup><br>Арім | = | Area of a practice implementation.  | <u>Units</u><br>acres |  |  |  |  |
|   | LPIM                  | = | The center-line length of a linear practice implementation.   | feet                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | WPIM                  | = | The width of a practice implementation, determined by the<br>agency in coordination with the applicant, Conservation<br>Practice Standard, Conservation Practice Specification, or<br>Conservation Management Plan. Refer to Climate Ready<br>Program Guidelines. | feet                  |  |  |  |  |

## Section C. References

The following references were used in the development of this Quantification Methodology and the CRP Benefits Calculator Tool.

California Air Resources Board. (2018). *California Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory*.

https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg\_inventory\_sector\_sum\_200016.pdf

California Air Resources Board. (2017). Quantification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Compost Application in California Croplands. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/auctionproceeds/dndc\_calculations.pdf">https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/auctionproceeds/dndc\_calculations.pdf</a>.

California Air Resources Board. (2016). ARB Miscellaneous Process Methodology Section 7.4: Agricultural Land Preparation Operations. Sacramento, CA: Janet Spencer. <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/ei/areasrc/fullpdf/full7-4\_2016.pdf</u>.

California Air Resources Board. (2013). Calibrating, Validating, and Implementing Process Models for California Agriculture Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Sacramento, CA: Li, Changsheng. <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/research/rsc/1-31-14/item11dfr10-309.pdf</u>.

California Air Resources Board, California Department of Transportation, and the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association. (2005). *Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects*. https://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/tsag/eval/eval.htm

California Air Resources Board. (2003). Computing Agricultural PM<sub>10</sub> Fugitive Dust Emissions Using Process Specific Emission Rates and GIS. Sacramento, CA: Patrick Gaffney and Hong You. <u>https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/conference/ei12/fugdust/yu.pdf</u>.

California Department of Food and Agriculture. (2016). Compost Application Rates for California Croplands and Grasslands for a CDFA Healthy Soils Incentives Program. Davis, CA: Grauver, Kelly.

https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/efasap/docs/CompostApplicationRate\_WhitePaper.pdf.

California Energy Commission. (2018). *California Energy Almanac: California Electricity Data Facts, and Statistics*. https://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity\_data/electricity\_generation.html

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2006). Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use. Hayama, Japan: Bickel, K., G. Richard, M. Köhl and R.L.V. Rodrigues. <u>https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html</u>.

National Resource Conservation Service. (2017a). COMET-Planner: Carbon and greenhouse gas evaluation for NRCS conservation practice planning. Ft. Collins, CO: Swan, Amy. <u>http://comet-planner.nrel.colostate.edu/COMET-Planner\_Report\_Final.pdf</u>.

National Resource Conservation Service. (2017b). Field Office Technical Guide. Retrieved from <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/technical/fotg/</u>.

National Resource Conservation Service. (2016). COMET-Farm Tool. Retrieved from <u>http://cometfarm.nrel.colostate.edu/</u>.

National Resource Conservation Service. (2008). Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR). Retrieved from

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1119754.pdf.

Roman, L.A. (2014). How many trees are enough? Tree death and the urban canopy. *Scenario Journal*, (*04*). http://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/pubs/jrnl/2014/nrs 2014 roman 001.pdf

University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. (2009). Classification of Conservation Tillage Practices in California Irrigated Row Crop Systems. Publication 8364. <u>https://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/pdf/8364.pdf</u>.

University of California, Crocker Nuclear Laboratory. (2001). Sources and Sinks of PM<sub>10</sub> in the San Joaquin Valley. U.S. Department of Agriculture Special Research Grants Program, Contract Nos. 94-33825-0383 and 98-38825-6063. Davis, CA: Robert G. Flocchini. <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/research/apr/reports/l2022.pdf</u>.

University of New Hampshire, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space. (2012). DeNitrification DeComposition Model. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.dndc.sr.unh.edu/">http://www.dndc.sr.unh.edu/</a>.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2014). Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Agriculture and Forestry: Methods for Entity-Scale Inventory. Washington, D.C.: Eve, M. <u>http://www.usda.gov/oce/climate\_change/estimation.htm</u>.

U.S. Forest Service. (2018). Forest Inventory & Analysis. Retrieved from <u>https://www.fia.fs.fed.us/</u>.

United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service. *i-Tree Streets User's Manual* v.5.0. <u>https://www.itreetools.org/resources/manuals/Streets\_Manual\_v5.pdf</u>

United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service. *i-Tree ECO Guide to Using the Forecast Model*.

http://www.itreetools.org/resources/manuals/Ecov6\_ManualsGuides/Ecov6Guide\_Using Forecast.pdf

United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. *i-Tree Methods and Files [i-Tree Streets & STRATUM Resources: i Tree Streets Reference City Community Tree Guides]*. <u>https://www.itreetools.org/resources/archives.php</u>

United States Department of Energy Information Administration. (1998). *Method for Calculating Carbon Sequestration by Trees in Urban and Suburban Settings*. Washington, D.C. <u>http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/Downloads/method-calculating-carbon-sequestration-trees-urban-and-suburban-settings.pdf</u>

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2017). *Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. <u>http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/201512/documents/emission-factors\_nov\_2015.pdf</u>

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (1998). *AP 42: Compilation of Air Emissions Factors*, Fifth Edition, Volume I, Chapter 1: External Combustion Sources, 1.4 Natural Gas Combustion. <u>https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/ch01/final/c01s04.pdf</u>

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (November, 1998). *AP 42: Compilation of Air Emissions Factors*, Fifth Edition, Volume I, Chapter 2: Solid Waste Disposal, 2.4, Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/ap42/ch02/final/c02s04.pdf

Western Regional Climate Center. Western U.S. Local Climate Data (LCD) [California] https://wrcc.dri.edu/Climate/west\_lcd.php