

Archive of Substantive Email Comments Received 4/13/09 during the Public Meeting on Emissions Leakage Issues

Hanna and Morton, LLP

- 1) How does Australia plan to get the money to provide assistance to emissions-intensive, trade exposed industries?
- 2) What would be the basis for allocating the money among the missions-intensive, trade exposed industries?
- 3) What would be the EU's answers be to these same questions?
- 4) How would CARB answer these same questions with regard to the California program?

Norman A. Pedersen, Esq.
HANNA AND MORTON LLP
444 S. Flower Street, Suite 1500
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2916
Telephone: (213) 430-2510
Facsimile: (213) 623-3379
E-mail: npedersen@hanmor.com
Website: www.hanmor.com

Center for Resource Solutions

- 1) Would you please say a little bit more about the time frame for competitiveness analyses?
- 2) You described this as an exercise with a relatively short term perspective. Would these likely occur prior to each compliance period?
- 3) If the time frame being considered is longer than short term, the proposal framework's approach to consider "emission reduction opportunities given existing technology" seems questionable. It seems reasonable to expect that technology will continue to evolve, as will the policy environment outside of California, as Jamie Fine suggested.

Chris Busch, Ph.D.
Policy Director
Center for Resource Solutions
1012 Torney Ave., 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94129
chris@resource-solutions.org
415.568.4284 (direct)
415.561.2105 (fax)
www.resource-solutions.org

AMEC Geomatrix Inc.

To ARB:

My name is Anne McQueen with AMEC Geomatrix. Here are my two questions.

This workshop appears to be concerned with Part I of addressing leakage, namely identifying industries that are subject to leakage. When will Part II, assistance measures to those industries, be discussed?

Also, how will both of the above be tied into the economic analysis? In other words, depending on the assistance measures, the impacts on carbon intensive industries will differ significantly, and this could have a significant impact on the overall economy.

Thank you!

Anne T. McQueen, Ph.D., P.E.
Senior Engineer
AMEC Geomatrix Inc.
510 Superior Avenue, Suite 200
Newport Beach, CA 92663
Main: 949-642-0245
Direct: 949-574-7082
Fax: 949-642-4474
anne.mcqueen@amec.com
www.amecgeomatrixinc.com

Northern California Power Agency

The electricity sector should also be considered an affected entity. Not only are the customers going to be directly impacted by the price of electricity (which becomes inelastic at some point), the retail electric providers are going to have to make significant investments in low GHG resources in anticipation of serving their load, but may then be faced with significant stranded costs if those same businesses leave the jurisdiction.

Even though the electricity sector is unique, the issue of the affects of leakage and trade exposed industries must be viewed in the context of the entire program, and not just within the electricity sector. How will these discussions be integrated?

Thank you.

McCarthy & Berlin, LLP
100 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 501
San Jose, CA 95113
408-288-2080
fax 408-288-2085