

# Scoping for multiple policy goals in AB 32

30 July 2008

Amy D. Kyle, PhD MPH

University of California Berkeley

[adkyle@berkeley.edu](mailto:adkyle@berkeley.edu)

# Preview

- We are grateful for action
- But we need to address all policy attributes
- Key issues
- What to do

# Key observations

- Addressing climate change is about changes in all sectors
- New technologies are likely to matter more than pollution control strategies
  - Different from acid rain example
- "trade" in cap and trade is about achieving economic efficiency in pollution control

# Things that also matter

- Energy efficiency per emission unit
- Avoid harms, achieve gains in technology transition
  - don't threaten world food supply
- Target and invest to reduce cumulative burdens and public health impacts
- Address bad actor substances
- Work on multiple gains in local actions

# Leaking and sharing

- What will leak and what will be shared across states?
- Want to avoid leakage of health and community benefits
- Other things to consider across states
  - Management of bad actors
  - Sustainable energy supply
- Scale in California is bigger

# Metrics

- Carbon emissions are key metric related to current problem
- Need also metrics related to future solutions
- All part of solution
- Balance

# What to do?

- Scope out key questions to answer
- Consult with technical advisors
  - What has already been done
  - How to answer others
    - best available methods
    - May have to invent some
- Conceptual analysis (for scoping)
- Key policy considerations
- Detailed technical analysis (later)
- Integrate into implementation plan