

Staff Analysis of Proposed Early Action for Climate Change Mitigation in California

1. Early Actions Strategy Name and Proponent

SUMMARY # C18
ID NUMBER: NA
TITLE: *REFRIGERANT TRACKING, REPORTING AND RECOVERY PROGRAM
(REFRIGERANT RECOVERY FROM DECOMMISSIONED REFRIGERATED SHIPPING CONTAINERS, RESIDENTIAL REFRIGERATION PROGRAM, HIGH-GWP TRACKING/REPORTING/REPAIR/DEPOSIT PROGRAM)*
PROPONENT: *STAKEHOLDER SUGGESTION- ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, ARB STAFF*

2. Staff Recommendation

This combination of measures is recommended for addition to the list of early actions. The Board date for consideration of these items is anticipated in 4th quarter of 2011. It is presented as one strategy given the interrelated objective, which is to reduce emissions of high-GWP GHGs through establishing requirements for enhanced monitoring, enforcement, reporting, and recovery. It may be determined that more than one strategy is required to effectively address the sources of interest and that the strategy or strategies are likely to include both regulatory and non-regulatory elements.

3. Early Action Description

Below is a brief description of potential approaches for addressing each of the source categories considered. Staff will explore the most efficient opportunities for achieving the largest reductions from the below categories which may translate into a single or multiple strategies.

Refrigerant Recovery from Decommissioned Shipping Containers: This action consists of an assessment of the magnitude of the emissions from refrigerated shipping containers. Depending on results, the strategy may be similar in scope to the measure aimed at enforcing the federal restrictions on refrigerant venting during servicing or dismantling of motor vehicle air conditioning systems (MVACS). After the recovery from a decommissioned container, it may be desirable to disable the refrigeration unit, which may require a regulation. Enforcement personnel and federal and local air management district assistance would be needed.

Residential Refrigeration Program: This involves supporting existing voluntary programs to promote the upgrade of pre-2000 residential refrigeration equipment in need of repair, such as refrigerators and freezers. The program could potentially be expanded to include window unit air conditioners (A/Cs); upgraded HVAC units are not recommended, as the costs are likely significant and would disproportionately impact lower-income people.

A statewide effort to support programs for expanding the upgrading of old appliances to Energy Star efficiencies or better should be coordinated with various local utilities' voluntary programs and the US EPA's RAD program¹. Given the utilities lead role in such programs, the ARB's role would be expected to consist of enhancing its outreach efforts to underscore the benefits of participating in such programs. This program could also be coordinated with a foam recovery program, especially if automated recovery of refrigerant, foam, and scrap metal is implemented.

This program will likely result in an increased number of refrigerators entering the waste stream that will need to be properly recycled to achieve GHG emission avoidance. However, if all waste refrigerant, foam, and other materials are properly recycled/destroyed, direct GHG emissions avoidance benefits may be significant, as well as indirect GHG emissions avoidance due to energy efficiency gains².

Part of the residential refrigeration program includes a strategy to be developed in collaboration with the US EPA to enhance the enforcement of end-of-life (EOL) recovery of refrigerant³.

Insulation foam contained in residential appliances will be addressed in another strategy, but there may be some overlap between refrigerant and foam recovery for appliances if the entities involved in manual refrigerant removal (which requires US EPA technician certification) are also able/willing to perform manual foam removal on appliances at end-of life (EOL).

The proposed measure will be voluntary, and ARB's role will be to promote replacement through coordination/outreach efforts with the utilities, the US EPA, and the California Energy Commission (CEC), which will enhance public awareness of energy savings and GHG benefits associated with the program.

For maximum effectiveness, this program will also have to be coordinated with ARB's planned end-of-life enforcement and foam recovery measures to ensure that old residential appliances are properly disposed of and high global warming potential (GWP) refrigerants/foams are properly recovered/recycled or destroyed.

High-GWP Tracking/Reporting/Repair/Deposit Program: This strategy involves the following: 1) expanding and enforcing the national ban on venting high-GWP GHGs (including fully emissive processes) during equipment/process lifetime; 2) requiring high-GWP GHG sales, use and energy use reporting as well as inspection and maintenance (I/M) and leak repair for equipment, cylinders, products, or systems with capacities

¹ <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/snap/emissions/radp.html>

² Dave Godwin, USEPA, personal communication, 7/06.

³ The CFC-12 refrigerant/CFC-11 foam blowing agent combination was used for many years in residential refrigerators and freezers, and phaseout of HCFC-141b from appliance foam has only been occurring in the past four years. New refrigerators and freezers generally contain HFC-134a as the refrigerant and HFC-245fa as the foam blowing agent. Currently, ODS recovery is mandated by federal law, and venting HFCs is forbidden, but enforcement is weak and venting is not well-defined. Additionally, EOL technician certification for recovery/reclamation is only required for ODSs and is subject to little oversight/enforcement; the EOL recovery regulation would extend the certification requirement to other high-GWP GHGs and would call for additional oversight/enforcement at transfer stations, landfills, and other disposal facilities.

above some CO₂E threshold; 3) requiring technician certification for sales, purchase, transport, recovery, reclamation, resale, I/M; and 4) establishing a high-GWP GHG deposit program and/or fines for emissive processes or leaky systems.

Currently, Section 608 of the CAAA limits intentional venting of ODSs and HFCs, requires record keeping for systems employing more than 50 lbs of an ODS, and requires technician certification for ODS systems (I/M, repair, recovery, reclamation). High-GWP GHG sales are only restricted to ODSs in cylinders (not pre-charged equipment); the sales restriction does not apply to HFCs.

Reporting, in addition to record-keeping for ODS systems > 50 lbs, is required in SCAQMD (Rule 1415), and it is proposed that ARB implements a high-GWP GHG reporting requirement rather than record-keeping only. Reporting would be for any high-GWP GHG above a specified CO₂E threshold (extending beyond ODSs). The permanent reporting protocol could apply to any high-GWP GHG bought, sold, or used, by any manufacturer, retailer, distributor, repair person/technician, auditor, facility/corporate parent. Production plus imports into California (gas in cylinders or as an equipment charge) can be checked against use and exports out of California for mass balance purposes.

High-GWP GHG sales will be restricted to certified technicians (i.e., consumers cannot not buy cans or cylinders of high-GWP GHGs over some threshold value), which differs from current federal law which only limits sales of ODSs to certified technicians (except for ODS refrigerants contained in air conditioners and refrigerators).

The deposit program could apply to cylinders (raw chemical) or pre-charged equipment (such as refrigerators, A/Cs, vending machines, etc.)⁴. Furthermore, fines could be assessed based on annual use reporting and auditing for systems above some CO₂E threshold. Reporting will have little to no impact on leaking/emissive equipment if there are not financial disincentives in excess of refrigerant costs (i.e., the deposit or fine should cost more than refrigerant needed to recharge a leaky system, so that leaks are promptly fixed).

Deposit/return and/or fine programs would encourage leak-tightness and recovery of high GWP GHGs, as well as encourage upgrading of old, leaky equipment. A similar program has been adopted in Australia, and industry groups are voluntarily considering a deposit/return program in the US.

Adoption of this measure will require a blend of regulatory/non-regulatory approaches, as it will extend current regulations and also require a collaborative effort with the US EPA to enforce what is already established by law.

4. Potential Emission Reductions

Refrigerant Recovery from Decommissioned Shipping Containers: There is insufficient data on the emissions from this source. For the decommissioned shipping containers, it is estimated that the HFC-134a refrigerant bank at end-of-life could be

⁴ Consumer goods would be more difficult to subject to deposit and return since they are intended to be fully emissive, but it is believed that purchases over a given CO₂E limited to certified technicians will inhibit consumers from buying more than small numbers of product.

approximately 15,000 MTCO₂E per year in the area surrounding the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles. This is based on the estimated Los Angeles-Long Beach fraction of world shipping container activity of approximately 8 percent and 30 percent of the total container population consists of refrigerated shipping containers. The percent of refrigerated containers that a ship may carry varies between 10 to 50 percent of the total container capacity. The estimated Los Angeles-Long Beach fraction of world refrigerated shipping container activity applied to the estimated annual turnover rate of refrigerated shipping containers has been estimated to be 100,000. The refrigerant charge in modern shipping containers ranges from 13 to 16 pounds. If these containers are allowed to accumulate, the bank could become on the order of 0.1 MMTCO₂E in a 5 to 10 year period assuming a 10 pound refrigerant charge at decommissioning. Thus, the reduction potential of a mitigation strategy for this source would be less than 0.1 MMTCO₂E in 2020. In addition, given that these shipping containers may last from 20 to 30 years, there may be a significant number of older CFC-based systems. Finally, it is important to determine what happens to the shipping containers as they approach end-of-life.

Residential Refrigeration Program: Estimated annual emission reductions of 0.8 MMTCO₂E are possible for refrigerant recovery⁵. Of the 0.8 MMTCO₂E of annual emissions avoided for refrigerant recovery, about 0.7 is due to recovery of R-12 refrigerant. This estimate does not include the benefits from deploying more efficient systems sooner (see energy efficiency calculations, below).

Although refrigerant recovery is currently supposed to occur at the time of disposal, destruction of refrigerant is not required, and it is generally assumed that recovered/reused refrigerant will eventually be emitted.

The CO₂E emissions avoidance was calculated for 2005, and only refrigerators and freezers going to landfills were considered; numbers of pre-2000 appliances in need of repair were not available. Inclusion of portable A/C units could increase emissions benefits, but numbers of portable units that are repaired or landfilled each year are unknown. Without knowledge of the numbers and age distributions of appliances in California, 2020 emissions reductions based on sector growth and transitional refrigerant/blowing agent use estimates were not possible. However, it is reasonable to assume that approximately 0.8 MMTCO₂E reductions will be possible every year until refrigerators and freezers containing R-12 are gone, which will happen in large part by 2020.

Energy efficiency emissions avoidance in 2020 resulting from appliance retirement could not be calculated due to lack of data regarding age distribution of California appliances, but again it is reasonable to assume that an additional 0.45 MMTCO₂ reduction is possible annually⁶.

⁵ The following assumptions were used: 1) 20 year lifetimes for refrigerators, 2) R-12 use in refrigerators stopped in 1995; from 1995 – 2005 HFC-134a was used, 3) in 2005, half of disposed refrigerators contain R-12 as the refrigerant and the other half contain HFC-134a as the refrigerant, 4) 13,000,000 refrigerator/freezers are disposed of annually in the US and 60% go to landfills or transfer stations, 5) the California population fraction was roughly 13% in 2005, 6) 100-year direct GWPs of 8100 and 1300 were used for R-12 and HFC-134a, respectively, 7) refrigerant masses of 0.23 kg/appliance and 0.16 kg/appliance for R-12 and HFC-134a, respectively, were obtained from USEPA (Dave Godwin, personal conversation, 2/07).

⁶ USEPA estimates that 700 kWh/year savings are possible by replacement of a 20 yr old refrigerator with a current energy star appliance; an emission factor of approximately 1.4 lbs

To summarize, by 2020, annual emission reductions of roughly 1.25 MMTCO₂E are possible by recovering refrigerant from pre-2000 refrigerators and freezers, and by requiring upgrading to Energy Star or better appliances.

High-GWP Tracking/Reporting/Repair/Deposit Program: Staff believes that significant emission reductions may be realized through the proposed strategy; however, emission reductions cannot be estimated for this strategy, as there are no data to support emission avoidance calculations.

Total Reductions: The combined annual reductions possible with this group of strategies is 1.25 MMTCO₂E, which is a lower-bound estimate that does not include CFC-containing shipping containers, appliances that are upgraded rather than repaired, and the impacts of requiring reporting/repair/deposits for systems over a given CO₂E threshold.

5. Estimated Costs/Economic Impacts and the Impacted Sectors/Entities

Refrigerant Recovery from Decommissioned Shipping Containers: Very little specific information on costs and economic impacts is known today. Per the federal regulation (40 CFR 82), refrigerant cannot be released to the atmosphere. Specialized equipment and certified technicians are required to properly carry out this measure. Equipment to recover the refrigerant may cost \$5,000. The training cost for servicing certification is minimal. Both the equipment and the certified technicians are something that businesses should already have if they are in compliance with the existing federal regulation. It is possible that existing businesses in the air conditioning and refrigeration servicing industry may be able to handle recovering the refrigerant from the decommissioned refrigerated shipping containers. There will also be a requirement to remove or disable the decommissioned refrigeration unit, which should be a minimal cost. It is believed that as these shipping containers age, they get sold to smaller shipping businesses and these may bear the brunt of the measure for decommissioned containers. In addition, some of these units may be sold to restaurants and other businesses for increased refrigeration capacity. If the federal regulation is applied to in-use containers, then all segments of the business would be affected.

Residential Refrigeration Program: The US EPA states that because of reduced energy demand, appliance incentive/disposal programs cost about \$0.04 on average to reduce each kWh of demand. This translates into about \$63/MTCO₂, which includes the incentives and credits given to upgrade older appliances⁷.

The impacted sectors and entities would mostly be appliance salvagers/recyclers and individuals disposing pre-2000 appliances; however, with incentives and rebates, the cost associated with disposal and some of the cost of a new appliance is avoided.

CO₂/kWh for gas-generated electricity was obtained from Carbon Dioxide Emissions from the Generation of Electric Power in the United States, DOE, 7/2000:
<http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/FTP/ROOT/environment/co2emiss00.pdf>

⁷ See above footnote.

The US EPA RAD program was started in 2006 and the success of the program has not been gauged yet, although it is anticipated that a mandatory program would be more effective.

High-GWP Tracking/Reporting/Repair/Deposit Program: Record-keeping, I/M and repair is already required for systems containing > 50 lbs of an ODS refrigerant; in SCAQMD, reporting is required for these systems in addition to record-keeping. Even those entities who are not yet keeping records for reporting purposes must still have some records of refrigerant/product purchases for resale and income tax purposes. Therefore, the costs associated with record-keeping and reporting are believed to be negligible.

I/M costs are not believed to be significant⁸, but leak repair and/or high GWP GHG recovery for some processes may be expensive. The costs associated with I/M and leak repair cannot be estimated due to the large variety in numbers and types of equipment covered by this strategy. Costs associated with a deposit and return program are unknown, but will presumably be passed on to the consumer at the time of purchase.

6. Technical Feasibility

The technology required to remove refrigerants from shipping containers and appliances is feasible and commercially available. Automated refrigerant and foam removal from appliances is also technically feasible, and can be performed during scrap metal processing and recovery⁹.

There are no anticipated technical feasibility issues for the tracking/reporting/repair/deposit program other than recovery of high-GWP GHGs for certain unknown, emissive processes.

7. Additional Considerations

All Strategies: Ozone depleting substances (ODSs) were used in the past as refrigerants and foam-blowing agents; each of the strategies described above include ODSs as they exist in older refrigeration systems, appliances, and foams. Recovering and destroying ODSs from containers and appliances is a cost-effective way to reduce high-GWP gas emissions, and also reduces negative impacts on stratospheric ozone.

⁸ Presently, owners or operators of large RAC systems should maintain and repair their systems for optimal performance and reduced energy costs, so the incremental cost of the new rule is not expected to be significantly higher than current costs, unless leaks are going undetected and unrepaired. The costs to pay for yearly inspection and maintenance by certified technicians is not expected to be more than about \$200 (based on one 8-hour workday by a HVAC technician at a rate of \$22/hour in California:
http://www.payscale.com/research/US/Job=HVAC_Service_Technician/Hourly_Rate/by_State).

The incremental costs per system associated with an owner, operator, or HVAC technician/auditor filling out several short reporting forms is also expected to be less than \$200 (see above).

⁹Guidance on the Recovery and Disposal of Controlled Substances Contained in Refrigerators and Freezers, SEPA, 2002:
http://www.sepa.org.uk/pdf/consultation/closed/2003/fridge/fridge_consultation.pdf

An enforcement component for the decommissioned container and tracking/reporting/repair/deposit measures is anticipated, since these are regulatory measures rather than voluntary measures.

Refrigerant Recovery from Shipping Containers: Staff will perform a needs assessment to improve the current understanding of overall refrigerant leakage emissions and refrigerant banks for both active and decommissioned refrigerated shipping containers. This is particularly important for the major port areas of Los Angeles, Long Beach, and Oakland. If mitigation action is supported by the analysis, the measure should involve a program enforcing the existing provisions of the existing federal regulation, 40 CFR 82. A basic inventory is needed to determine the extent that refrigerant emissions are unaccounted for. In addition, end-of-life accounting for these different types of refrigerated containers needs to be explored.

Residential Refrigeration Program: The impacted sectors and entities would mostly be appliance salvagers/recyclers and possibly individuals disposing of foam-containing appliances, as recovery costs are expected to be passed along to the user.

California trade associations associated with Certified Appliance Recyclers and recyclers of scrap metals are unknown.

Coordination with the US EPA with respect to this regulation is ongoing. Further coordination with utilities participating in appliance trade-in programs is anticipated.

High-GWP Tracking/Reporting/Repair/Deposit Program: The affected entities will be owners/operators/purchasers/sellers of high-GWP GHGs and systems containing those chemicals, as well as contractors/technicians who install/repair such systems.

A partial list of trade associations possibly impacted, either positively or negatively, by the regulation follows: ARAP (described previously), the Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Institute (ARI), American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE), North American Technician Excellence (NATE), and many others unknown to staff (equipment trade associations, building trade associations, industrial chemical and consumer trade groups, semiconductor and other industrial process trade groups, etc.).

Coordination with the US EPA and SCAQMD with respect to this strategy would be ongoing.

Trade Associations: Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers.

Comments Received From: Airgas, Inc., Maersk Inc. and APM Terminals, DuPont Company, Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers.

- 8. Division:** Research Division
Staff Lead: Whitney Leeman/Winston Potts
Section Manager: Michael Robert/Tao Huai
Branch Chief: Tony Andreoni/Alberto Ayala

9. References

American Association of Port Authorities (AAPA) web site: <http://www.aapa-ports.org/home.cfm>

Arthur D. Little, Inc., *Global Comparative Analysis of HFC and Alternative Technologies for Refrigeration, Air Conditioning, Foam, Solvent, Aerosol Propellant, and Fire Protection Applications, Final Report to the Alliance for Responsible Atmospheric Policy, March 21, 2002.*

David Godwin (USEPA), Marian Martin Van Pelt and Katrin Peterson (ICF Consulting), *Modeling Emissions of High Global Warming Potential Gases from Ozone Depleting Substance Substitutes, 2003.*

David Hatch, Carrier Transcold, personal communication, 5/07.

DOE, *Carbon Dioxide Emissions from the Generation of Electric Power in the United States*, DOE, 7/2000: <http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/FTP/ROOT/environment/co2emiss00.pdf>

EU (F-Gas Regulation), REGULATION (EC) No 842/2006 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL:

http://www.fluorocarbons.org/documents/library/Legislation/JO_L161_1_842_2006_Regulation.pdf

Federal Register, Section 608 of the CAAA, and USEPA's related website:

<http://www.epa.gov/oar/caa/caa608.txt>

<http://www.epa.gov/ozone/title6/608/index.html>

Harrison, R., "The Potential Impacts of Megaship Operations on Gulf Port," Center for Transportation Research, University of Texas

IPCC/TEAP, *IPCC Special Report on Safeguarding the Ozone Layer and the Global Climate System, Issues related to Hydrofluorocarbons and Perfluorocarbons, 2005.*

SEPA, *Guidance on the Recovery and Disposal of Controlled Substances Contained in Refrigerators and Freezers, 2002:*

http://www.sepa.org.uk/pdf/consultation/closed/2003/fridge/fridge_consultation.pdf

The Netherlands (STEK Regulation), "Order on leak-free refrigeration equipment", Dec. 18th 1994. SCAQMD, Rule 1415: <http://www.aqmd.gov/rules/reg/reg14/r1415.pdf>

USEPA, *Draft Proposed Measures Arising from the IPCC/TEAP Special Report & its Supplement, by End-Use, Expert Workshop on IPCC/TEAP Special Report, July 2006.*

USEPA, RAD program website: <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/snap/emissions/radp.html>

USEPA, *U.S. High GWP Emissions 1990-2010: Inventories, Projections and Opportunities for Reductions, EPA 000-F-97-000, June 2001.*