

September 11, 2009

The following comments were provided by Michael Rawson, Regional Targets Advisory Committee (RTAC) member, for consideration by the committee.

## Organization of the Draft Re Housing & Social Equity and Co-benefits

My intent in suggesting that we move the social equity section from Part III to Part II was not to stir debate. It just seemed to make sense organizationally because the recommendations of the section cover both target setting and implementation. It also seemed in keeping with our designation of social equity as a guiding principle and one that should be “lifted up” to ensure that it not be perceived as a category of secondary importance.

In looking at the draft again, it seems that the co-benefits section also contains recommendations for both target setting and implementation. And several portions of Part II address implementation.

I see a couple of ways to make the outline clearer. One is to eliminate bifurcation of the recommendations—Parts II and III would be merged into one part. [Currently, Part II is entitled “Recommendations” (with no modifier) and Part III is called “Recommendations on Implementation.”] The other way would be to create a separate part for Housing & Social Equity and Co-benefits that would follow Part II. The new part would provide an appropriate transition to the Implementation part.