


STAFF REPORT

for the Proposed
Suggested Control Measure for
Architectural Coatings



June 2000

California Environmental Protection Agency



Air Resources Board

California Environmental Protection Agency



Air Resources Board

**STAFF REPORT
FOR THE PROPOSED
SUGGESTED CONTROL MEASURE FOR
ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS**

**Release Date:
June 6, 2000**

**State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD**

**STAFF REPORT
FOR THE PROPOSED SUGGESTED CONTROL MEASURE
FOR ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS**

Public Meeting to Consider

**THE PROPOSED
SUGGESTED CONTROL MEASURE
FOR ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS**

To be considered by the Air Resources Board on June 22, 2000

Air Resources Board
Board Hearing Room, Lower Level
2020 L Street
Sacramento, California

Air Resources Board
P.O. Box 2815
Sacramento, CA 95812

This report has been prepared by the staff of the California Air Resources Board. Publication does not signify that the contents reflect the views and policies of the Air Resources Board, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

**State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD**

**THE PROPOSED
SUGGESTED CONTROL MEASURE
FOR ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS**

Prepared by:

**Stationary Source Division
Air Resources Board**

Reviewed by:

**Barbara A. Fry, Manager, Measures Development Section
Jim Nyarady, Manager, Strategy Evaluation Section
Janette M. Brooks, Chief, Air Quality Measures Branch
Donald J. Ames, Assistant Chief, Stationary Source Division
Peter D. Venturini, Chief, Stationary Source Division**

June 2000

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report and the proposed California architectural coating suggested control measure were developed by the following Air Resources Board staff:

Nancy Adams
Greg Allen
Andrew Chew, M.S.
Lesley Crowell
Marline Hicks
Mike Jaczola
Robert Jenne, J.D.
David Julian, P.E.
Sue Kaiser, M.A.
Reza Mahdavi, Ph.D.
Paul Milkey
Liz Ota, M.S.
Floyd Vergara, P.E.
Evan Wong, M.S.
Cheryl Young, M.S.

We would like to extend our appreciation to the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA), the CAPCOA Architectural Coatings Working Group, Jack Broadbent, Laki Tisopulos, Naveen Berry, and Darren Stroud of the South Coast Air Quality Management District, the National Paint and Coatings Association (NPCA), the Roof Coatings Manufacturers Association (RCMA), the Society for Protective Coatings, and the Paint and Decorating Contractors of America for facilitating discussion among industry and governmental representatives. We also would like to thank those coating manufacturers that met with ARB staff to share specific technical information regarding their products.

We would also like to express our appreciation, in memoriam, to Johnny Gordon, for providing his expertise over the years, especially as Chairman of the Air Resources Board's Architectural Coatings Task Force (1981-1988).

**Staff Report for the Proposed
Suggested Control Measure
for Architectural Coatings**

**Introduction and Executive Summary
and
Technical Support Document**

Air Resources Board
P. O. Box 2815
Sacramento, CA 95812

Staff Report for the Proposed Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Contents</u>	<u>Page</u>
Volume I: INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
I. Introduction	1
A. History and Background	1
II. Executive Summary	2
A. Introduction	2
B. Summary of Proposed Suggested Control Measure (SCM)	2
C. SCM Development Process and Evaluation of Alternatives	9
D. Compliance with the SCM Proposal	12
E. Environmental Impacts	14
F. Economic Impacts	15
G. Future Plans	17
III. Recommendation	19
Volume II: TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENT	
I. Introduction	21
A. Overview	21
B. Architectural Coatings Industry	22
C. Background	23
II. Proposed Suggested Control Measure	31
A. Applicability	31
B. Definitions	31
C. Standards	32
D. Container Labeling Requirements	36
E. Reporting Requirements	37
F. Compliance Provisions and Test Methods	37
III. Process for Developing the Proposed Suggested Control Measure	38
A. 1998 Architectural Coatings Survey	38
B. Working with Districts and U.S. EPA Representatives	38
C. Public Process	39
D. Evaluation of the National Rule	39
E. Technology Assessment	40
F. Evaluation of Alternatives in the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	41
G. Cost Analysis	42

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Contents</u>	<u>Page</u>
IV. Technical Basis for the Proposed SCM	44
A. Sales Data from ARB Survey	44
B. Information from Coating Manufacturers, Resin Suppliers, and Other Industry Groups	45
C. Test Results	46
D. Existing Regulatory Limits	48
E. Comments Received	48
V. Emissions	52
A. Ambient Air Quality and the Need for Emissions Reductions	52
B. Why Regulate Architectural Coatings?	59
C. Estimated Emissions from Architectural Coating	60
VI. Description and Technical Assessment of the Coating Categories	65
A. Coating Categories For Which We Are Proposing New VOC Limits	66
1. Flat-Coatings	66
2. Non-flat Coatings - Low and Medium Gloss	81
3. Non-flat Coatings - High Gloss	101
4. Antenna Coatings	106
5. Antifouling Coatings	108
6. Bituminous Roof Coatings	111
7. Bituminous Roof Primer Coatings	116
8. Clear Brushing Lacquer Coatings	119
9. Faux Finishing Coatings	122
10. Fire-Resistive Coatings	126
11. Floor Coatings	131
12. Flow Coatings	137
13. High-Temperature Coatings	139
14. Industrial Maintenance Coatings	142
15. Lacquer Coatings	156
16. Low Solids Coatings	159
17. Multi-Color Coatings	163
18. Primers, Sealers, and Undercoater Coatings	166
19. Quick-Dry Enamel Coatings	175
20. Quick-Dry Primer, Sealer, and Undercoater Coatings	182
21. Recycled Coatings	187
22. Roof Coatings	192
23. Rust Preventative Coatings	195

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Contents</u>	<u>Page</u>
24. Specialty Primer Coatings	199
25. Stains	204
26. Swimming Pool Coatings	208
27. Swimming Pool Repair and Maintenance Coatings	212
28. Temperature-Indicator Safety Coatings	214
29. Traffic Marking Coatings	216
30. Waterproofing Concrete/Masonry Sealers	220
31. Waterproofing Wood Sealers	225
B. Coating Categories For Which The Proposed VOC Limits Are Generally Consistent With District Rules	230
1. Bond Breakers	230
2. Concrete Curing Compounds	232
3. Dry-Fog Coatings	234
4. Fire Retardant Coatings - Clear	236
5. Fire-Retardant Coatings - Opaque	240
6. Form Release Compounds	242
7. Graphic Arts Coatings	244
8. Magnesite Cement Coatings	247
9. Mastic Texture Coatings	250
10. Metallic Pigmented Coatings	252
11. Pre-treatment Wash Primers	257
12. Sanding Sealers (Non-Lacquer)	260
13. Shellac - Clear	263
14. Shellac - Opaque	267
15. Varnishes	270
16. Wood Preservatives	274
C. Categories Not Proposed For Inclusion In The SCM	279
1. Anti-graffiti Coatings	279
2. Calcimine Recoater Coatings	283
3. Chalkboard Resurfacer Coatings	284
4. Concrete Curing and Sealing	285
5. Concrete Protective Coatings	287
6. Concrete Surface Retarder Coatings	289
7. Conversion Varnish Coatings	291
8. Extreme High Durability Coatings	293
9. Heat Reactive Coatings	295
10. Impacted Immersion Coatings	297

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Contents</u>	<u>Page</u>
11. Nonferrous Ornamental Lacquer Coatings	298
12. Nuclear Coatings	299
13. Repair and Maintenance Thermoplastic Coatings	303
14. Stain Controller Coatings	305
15. Thermoplastic Rubber Coatings and Mastics	307
16. Zone Marking Coatings	309
VII. Environmental Impacts	311
A. Summary of Environmental Impacts	311
VIII. Economic Impacts	316
A. Introduction	316
B. Annual Costs and the Cost-effectiveness of the Proposed Standards	320
C. Economic Impacts on California Businesses	331
D. Potential Impacts on California State or Local Agencies	338
E. Potential Impacts on California Consumers	338
F. Mitigation of Potential Impacts Through Additional Regulatory Flexibility	339
IX. Future Activities	341
A. Development of Final VOC Limits	341
B. Reactivity-Based Limits	341
C. Architectural Coatings Survey	341
D. Technology Assessments of Proposed Limits	341
E. Statewide Consumer Education Program	342
F. Further Extramural Research	342
G. VOC Calculation for Water-based Coatings	343
APPENDIX A: Proposed Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings.	
APPENDIX B: ARB-CAPCOA Suggested Control Measure for Architectural Coatings (May 1989).	
APPENDIX C: Summary of Current U.S. EPA, SCM, and District Rule VOC Limits.	
APPENDIX D: SCM Meeting/Workshops Notices .	
APPENDIX E: South Coast AQMD Phase II Assessment Study of Architectural Coatings by National Technical Systems.	
APPENDIX F: Summary of Cost Calculations.	