

ANTELOPE VALLEY AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

RULE 808 – EVIDENCE

(Adopted: 8/1/75; Amended: 2/5/88; Amended: 10/21/97)

- (a) Oral evidence shall be taken only on oath or affirmation.
- (b) Each party shall have these rights: to call and examine witnesses; to introduce exhibits; to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues even though that matter was not covered in the direct examination; to impeach any witness regardless of which party first called him to testify; and to rebut the evidence against him. If respondent does not testify in his own behalf, he may be called and examined as if under cross-examination.
- (c) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses. Any relevant evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of any common law or statutory rule which might make improper the admission of such evidence over objection in civil actions. Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining any direct evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection in civil actions. The rules of privilege shall be effective to the same extent that they are now, or hereafter may be, recognized in civil actions, and irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded.
- (d) The Hearing Board shall allow interested members of the public a reasonable opportunity to testify with regard to a matter under consideration. Interested persons may attend and submit oral or written statements (the original plus 8 copies) at the hearing; however, it is desirable that written statements be submitted to the Hearing Board five days before the hearing. Statements need not conform to formal rules of evidence, nor with subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this rule. The chairman may impose reasonable limits on the duration of oral presentations.

[SIP: Deletion challenged U.S. 9th Cir. Case #97-71117; Deleted 6/27/97, 62 FR 34641, 40 CFR 52.220(c)(39)(iii)(F) and 40 CFR 52.220(c)(30)(x)(B); Approved 9/8/78, 43 FR 40011, 40 CFR 52.220(c)(39)(iii)(C); Approved 6/14/78, 43 FR 25684, 40 CFR 52.220 (c)(30)(x)(A)]