

SECTION 7.6

CATTLE FEEDLOT DUST

(Updated March 1989)

EMISSION INVENTORY SOURCE CATEGORY

Miscellaneous Processes / Farming Operations

EMISSION INVENTORY CODES (CES CODES) AND DESCRIPTION

620-616-5400-0000 (47340) Cattle Feedlot Dust

METHODS AND SOURCES

This category includes the fugitive dust emissions from beef cattle feedlots. A beef cattle feedlot is an area where beef cattle are confined for four to five months for fattening before marketing. Most feedlot dust is created by cattle movement in the holding pens. Vehicular traffic also creates dust in alleyways.

The activity level for this category is based on beef cattle feedlot throughput. Beef cattle feedlot throughput is defined as the number of beef cattle that have been confined in feedlots for four to five months for fattening before marketing.

The California Livestock Statistics report¹ includes the yearly number of beef cattle marketed (feedlot throughput) in California and the monthly number of cattle in feedlots for each of the four regions in the State. The latter (shown in Table I) represents only the number of beef cattle confined in feedlots and not the feedlot throughput (defined as beef cattle that were confined and then marketed). Actual data on individual feedlot throughput are not available.

The statewide feedlot throughput is disaggregated to each region based on the proportion of the annual average number of beef cattle confined in feedlots in each region. The regions' annual average is estimated by summing the monthly average number of beef cattle confined in feedlots for each region for the 12-month period reported in the California Livestock Statistics report.

To estimate the feedlot throughput for each county in a region, the calculated feedlot throughput for that region is disaggregated by the estimated proportion of beef cattle confined in feedlots in each county obtained from the County Livestock Farm Advisor.² Table II lists the counties that are covered by each region.³ For the year 1987, based on the information from the Farm Advisor, there are several counties that do not have beef cattle feedlot operations and

therefore no dust emissions from the cattle feedlot category. For the counties that have beef cattle feedlot operations, the Farm Advisor provided the rough estimates of the number of beef cattle confined in feedlots in each county based on the approximate sizes of the feedlots. Individual feedlot throughput information is confidential and is not released by the feedlot owners.

The emission factors used in estimating fugitive dust emissions from cattle feedlots are:

PM = 27 tons/1000 head throughput

PM₁₀ = 17.2 tons/1000 head throughput [(0.21 PM₁₀ /0.33 PM) x (27 tons PM/1000 head throughput)].

These factors are from AP-42⁴ and represent the values during a dry season at a feedlot where dust control measures are not employed regularly.

ASSUMPTIONS

1. Both the PM and PM₁₀ emission factors are applicable to this inventory year.
2. The total number of beef cattle marketed from each county is proportional to the total number of heads of beef cattle confined in feedlots in each county.
3. There are no dust control measures used by the feedlot operators.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A direct head count of the number of beef cattle confined in and marketed from each feedlot coupled with the information on the location of each feedlot will be a more accurate method of estimating emissions than the disaggregation of the statewide number.

The PM emission factor is used for feedlots that do not have any dust control measures. Some feedlots have installed sprinklers for watering as a dust control measure. Dust caused by vehicular traffic in alleyways can be reduced by paving or applying sand oils. Information on these conditions in each feedlot and the development of emission factors to reflect these conditions will greatly improve the emission estimates in this category.

CHANGES IN METHODOLOGY

For the 1983 inventory, the regional beef cattle feedlot population was disaggregated to each county based on the proportion of total beef cattle population in each county. The limitation to this method is that there are some counties which have beef cattle but no beef cattle feedlot operations.

For the 1987 inventory, the method is improved by disaggregating the beef cattle confined in feedlots and then marketed in each region only to the counties that have beef cattle feedlot operations.

The emission factor used for the 1987 emission estimates is based on feedlot throughputs whereas in 1983, the emission factor was based on feedlot capacity. This change in the use of emission factors is based on the fact that the activity data reflect feedlot throughput rather than feedlot capacity. An emission factor specific to PM₁₀ emissions has been recently developed by EPA⁵ and is used in the 1987 inventory of PM₁₀ emissions from this source category.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN 1983 AND 1987 EMISSION ESTIMATES

The 1987 PM statewide emission estimates are lower than the 1983 emission estimates. The differences are due to the use of a different emission factor which reflects the feedlot throughput rather than the feedlot capacity.

TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION

The emissions are assumed to be uniform on an annual and weekly basis. This may be invalid because the fattening period for each herd of cattle lasts only four to five months. Actual data should be used if they are available. Daily activity would probably be higher during daylight hours.

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Use Merced County as an example (Merced County is one of the seven counties that comprise Region 2 (Table II)):

Total beef cattle marketed from feedlots (feedlot throughput) in CA for the year 1987¹
= 765,000

Average number of beef cattle confined in feedlots in Region 2 in 1987 (from Table I)
= 132,825

Estimated number of beef cattle marketed (throughput) from the feedlots in Region 2
= (total beef cattle marketed from feedlots in CA)
x (average number of head of beef cattle confined in feedlots in Region 2
/average number of head of beef cattle confined in feedlots in all 4 regions.)

= 765,000 x (132,825/402,087)

= 252,710

Estimated number of beef cattle marketed (throughput) from the feedlots in Merced
= (calculated feedlot throughput in Region 2)
x (county's estimated number of beef cattle confined in feedlots in Merced
/estimated number of beef cattle confined in feedlots in Region 2 (data for
Merced county and San Joaquin Valley Region are provided by the Farm
Advisors³)).

$$= 252,710 \times (46,000/339,000)$$

$$= 34,291$$

PM emissions from beef cattle feedlot operations

$$= (34,291 \text{ head throughput/year}) \times (27 \text{ tons PM}/1,000 \text{ head throughput})$$

$$= 925.9 \text{ tons/year}$$

PM₁₀ emissions from beef cattle feedlot operations

$$= (34,291 \text{ head throughput/year}) \times (17.2 \text{ tons PM}_{10} / 1,000 \text{ head throughput})$$

$$= 589.2 \text{ tons/year}$$

TABLE I

NUMBER OF BEEF CATTLE IN FEEDLOTS, BY DISTRICT, BY MONTH

| Month and Year | NUMBER OF HEAD | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Sacramento Vly, and Central, North Coast <u>(Region 1)</u> | San Joaquin Vly, Less Kern Co. <u>(Region 2)</u> | So. Calif., Kern, Less Imperial Valley <u>(Region 3)</u> | Imperial Valley <u>(Region 4)</u> |
| <u>1987</u> | | | | |
| Jan. 1 | 6,100 | 120,100 | 37,300 | 231,500 |
| Feb. 1 | 6,000 | 102,300 | 33,100 | 218,600 |
| Mar. 1 | 4,700 | 82,700 | 2,200 | 215,400 |
| Apr. 1 | 4,800 | 73,000 | 31,200 | 206,000 |
| May 1 | 4,600 | 84,000 | 32,200 | 209,200 |
| Jun. 1 | 6,500 | 102,500 | 33,000 | 208,000 |
| Jul. 1 | 7,200 | 166,300 | 34,200 | 207,300 |
| Aug. 1 | 6,700 | 166,300 | 33,000 | 219,000 |
| Sep. 1 | 6,000 | 171,200 | 29,800 | 248,000 |
| Oct. 1 | 7,900 | 179,300 | 31,000 | 256,800 |
| Nov. 1 | 8,800 | 185,300 | 30,000 | 270,900 |
| Dec. 1 | 10,000 | 160,900 | 33,000 | 271,100 |
| Average | 6,612 | 132,825 | 32,500 | 230,150 |

TABLE II

COUNTIES COVERED BY EACH REGION

| <u>Sacramento Valley, Central & North Coast</u> | <u>San Joaquin Valley, Less Kern County</u> | <u>So. Calif., Kern, Less Imperial Vly</u> | <u>Imperial Valley</u> |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| Alameda | Fresno | Kern | Imperial |
| Alpine | Kings | Los Angeles | |
| Amador | Madera | Orange | |
| Butte | Merced | Riverside | |
| Calaveras | San Joaquin | San Bernardino | |
| Colusa | Stanislaus | San Diego | |
| Contra Costa | Tulare | San Luis Obispo | |
| Del Norte | | Santa Barbara | |
| El Dorado | | Ventura | |
| Glenn | | | |
| Humboldt | | | |
| Inyo | | | |
| Lake | | | |
| Lassen | | | |
| Marin | | | |
| Mariposa | | | |
| Mendocino | | | |
| Modoc | | | |
| Mono | | | |
| Monterey | | | |
| Napa | | | |
| Nevada | | | |
| Placer | | | |
| Plumas | | | |
| Sacramento | | | |
| San Benito | | | |
| San Francisco | | | |
| San Mateo | | | |
| Santa Clara | | | |
| Santa Cruz | | | |
| Shasta | | | |
| Sierra | | | |
| Siskiyou | | | |
| Solano | | | |
| Sonoma | | | |
| Sutter | | | |
| Tehama | | | |
| Trinity | | | |
| Tuolumne | | | |
| Yolo | | | |
| Yuba | | | |

REFERENCES

1. California Department of Food and Agriculture, California Agricultural Statistics : California Livestock Statistics, (1987).
2. Cooperative Extension, University of California, Davis, County Livestock Farm Advisors, personal communications.
3. Neil Gum, California Department of Food and Agriculture, Livestock Statistics Section.
4. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, AP-42, Table 6.15-1.
5. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency: Gap Filling PM10 Emission Factors For Selected Open Area Dust Sources. (February 1988).

PREPARED BY

Irene Hui
March 1989

Table III
 1987 Area Source Emissions
 Activity: Agricultural Livestock
 Process: Farming Operations
 Entrainment: Dust
 Dimn: Cattle Feedlot
 CES: 47340
 Process Rate Unit: Head of Cattle Capacity

| AB | County | Process Rate | TOG Emis. (Tons / Year) | CO Emis. (Tons / Year) | NOX Emis. (Tons / Year) | SOX Emis. (Tons / Year) | PM Emis. (Tons / Year) |
|-------|----------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| NC | HUMBOLDT | 214 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.80 |
| NCC | MONTEREY | 536 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.50 |
| | SAN BENITO | 214 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.80 |
| NEP | LASSEN | 1221 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.00 |
| | SISKIYOU | 428 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.60 |
| SC | LOS ANGELES | 1431 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1781.20 |
| | RIVERSIDE | 1431 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7832.90 |
| | SAN BERNARDINO | 1218 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8745.40 |
| SCC | SANTA BARBARA | 3708 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.10 |
| | VENTURA | 106 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.90 |
| SED | IMPERIAL | 437877 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11822.70 |
| | LOS ANGELES | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.50 |
| | RIVERSIDE | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 121.50 |
| | SAN BERNARDINO | 7200 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 371.00 |
| SJV | FRESNO | 184128 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4971.50 |
| | KERN | 52985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1430.60 |
| | KINGS | 3728 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.70 |
| | MADERA | 3728 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.70 |
| | MERCED | 34291 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 925.90 |
| | SAN JOAQUIN | 6709 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 181.10 |
| | STANISLAUS | 6709 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 181.10 |
| | TULARE | 13418 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 362.30 |
| SV | SACRAMENTO | 8037 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 217.00 |
| | YUBA | 1929 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52.10 |
| TOTAL | | 771249 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 39411.90 |

Fraction of Reactive Organic Gases (FROG): .7500
 (Reactive Organic Gases (ROG) Emissions = TOG X FROG)
 Fraction of PM10 (FRPM10): .4800
 (PM10 Emissions = PM X FRPM10)