Oil Field/Facility Identification Code	Grid Cell (I,J)	Comments
Los Angeles County		<u>.</u>
Alondra/100101	43, 13	Read from USGS oil and gas map
Canton Creek/100155	37, 28	Facility ID code assigned in this study; read from USGS oil and gas map
Hyperion/100112	43, 14	Rough guess from KVB UTMs
Las Llajas/100115	38, 24	Read from standard map
Lawndale/100116	43, 14	Read from USGS oil and gas map
Lyon Canyon/100146	40,24	Read from USGS oil and gas map
Newgate/100123	49, 14	Rough guess from KVB UTMs
Newhall-Potrero/100148	40, 25	Field should have been in original MED file; read from USGS oil and gas map
Saugus/100152	40, 26	Read from USGS oil and gas map
Orange County		
Brea-Olinda/100104 (Los Angeles County ID code)	52, 15	Same grid cell as Brea-Olinda No. 100202
Kraemer/100213	53, 14	Facility ID code assigned in this study; read from USGS oil and gas map

TABLE 4-7. Grid cells assigned to oil fields not in the original MED files.

Ć

Ę

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

Ś

C

Ś

83

# 5 TASK 3: SPECIES PROFILE DEVELOPMENT

The profiles used to speciate TOG emissions, which identify individual organic compounds and their weight percents, are considered the most uncertain component of the inventory. Accordingly, two methods were used in this study to develop improved organic gas species profiles: (1) sampling and analysis techniques and (2) a review of existing data. This section presents the species profiles developed using these two methods. The development of TOG species profiles is somewhat complicated by the fact that TOG emission estimates and emission factors are not always consistent in terms of the set of compounds that they include. Emission estimates and emission factors may be representative of TOG, total organic compounds (TOC), volatile organic compounds (VOC), HC, or some other set of compounds. In many cases, the kinds of compounds included in an emission estimate may not be clear. During the profile development work performed for this study, an attempt was made to develop profiles that represented all organic gas emissions and that were therefore compatible with TOG emission estimates.

# PROFILES DEVELOPED THROUGH ANALYTICAL WORK

At the beginning of this task, two general types of source testing and analysis were considered--one directed at improving emission estimates and one directed at improving speciation. After studying the options, it was concluded that all testing should be directed toward improving speciation. This conclusion was based on several considerations.

The current set of species profiles used in the emission inventory is uncertain. In fact, speciation is considered to be the most uncertain element in the inventory. Profiles were originally developed on the basis of limited testing and analysis. Because the number of profiles currently used in the inventory is limited, their applicability to many of the source categories in the inventory is also questionable.

The current set of emission factors is, in most cases, based on relatively extensive testing programs. Emission factors that have received little attention usually represent unusual source types that do not involve a large amount of emissions (e.g., a specialized chemical process).

84052(a)r g

(

A number of profiles can be developed through laboratory testing using limited field sampling. The cost effectiveness of this approach in reducing uncertainties is much better than that of emission rate testing, which generally requires extensive field sampling.

# Selection of Source Categories for Speciation Testing

After the decision was made to devote Task 3 efforts to speciation testing and analysis, the source categories to be tested were selected using the following considerations:

The improvement in the certainty of TOG speciation expected to result for a particular source category.

The total amount of ROG emissions that would be affected by the analytical work.

The estimated reactivity of the emissions being reviewed.

The estimated cost of the investigations, tests, and analyses.

On the basis of these considerations, the following source categories and general approaches were selected.

Gasoline--Analyses of both liquid and vapor samples for each product type (e.g., unleaded regular, leaded regular, etc.) and for both winter- and summer-blend gasolines were performed.

Industrial surface coatings--Samples of the most widely used coating types (e.g., lacquer, enamel, etc.) were obtained from both surface coating facilities and coating manufacturers. Composite samples for the different coating types were developed and analyzed.

Asphalts--slow cure and medium cure--Composite samples of two types of asphalt were developed and analyzed. However, after analysis it was found that some of the samples had been purposely altered at a refinery before shipment. As a result, the species profiles developed in this study deserve further attention.

Architectural surface coating--water-based coatings--A composite sample of water-based architectural coatings was developed. The volatile portion of the coating was then distilled off and analyzed.

Architectural surface coating--solvent usage--A sample of solvents used in conjunction with architectural coatings was developed and analyzed.

84052 9

C

Ć

Internal combustion engines--reciprocating-natural gas--Two different exhaust gas samples from stationary gas-fired IC engines were obtained and analyzed using gas chromatography. One of the samples was also analyzed for aldehydes.

## Sampling Methodology

An integral part of the speciation test program was the determination of the composition of the samples to be analyzed and their acquisition. The following paragraphs discuss the makeup of the composite samples, the process used to obtain each sample, and the development of the final composites.

#### Gasoline

 $\langle$ 

The approach used to develop composite samples was identical for the winter-blend and summer-blend gasoline samples. Composite samples were developed for each product type on the basis of total gasoline sales for the five largest refiners in California. The composition of the samples is presented in Table 5-1 by product type. The sales data were obtained from the 1979-1980 annual report of the California State Board of Equalization. The samples were obtained at service stations in the SOCAB. The winter- and summer-blend samples were taken in December 1983 and May 1984, respectively.

One gallon of gasoline was dispensed prior to pouring a sample. The gasoline was then dispensed into a chilled 40 milliliter (ml) glass container with a teflon seal cap. These samples were shipped in a refrigerated ice chest for next-day delivery to Radian's laboratories. Once received, the samples were stored in a walk-in freezer at  $-15^{\circ}$ C. The composite samples were developed inside the walk-in freezer and the transfers were made using a graduated cylinder. All containers were kept tightly sealed except during the actual transfer process. The composite samples remained in the walk-in freezer until they were analyzed. All composite samples except the heated and agitated vapor samples were developed and analyzed within 14 days of the date they were obtained. Difficulties were encountered during the initial analyses of the heated and agitated vapor samples because of the high concentrations of hydrocarbons. The gas chromatograph (GC) had not been set to analyze such high concentrations. This resulted in the GC being removed from operation until these high concentrations could be purged. Once the GC was back in operation, scheduling constraints resulted in the samples being stored in a freezer for approximately 60 days before the final analyses were performed.

86

Top Five Companies by Taxable Sales	Annual 1979–1980 Taxable Sales (1000 gallons)*	Unleaded Regular (% of Sample)	Unleaded Premium (% of Sample)	Leaded Regular (% of Sample)	Leaded Premium (% of Sample)
Chevron USA	2,052,202	28.2	34.4	34.4	
Shell Oil Co.	1,566,939	21.5	26.2	26.2	
ARCO	1,358,944	18.6	22.7	22.7	
Union Oil Co.	1,313,394	18.0			100.0
Mobil Oil Corp.	995,451	13.7	16.7	16.7	<b></b> .
Subtotal	7,286,930				
Total Annual Taxable Sales in California	11,916,829				

63

63

TABLE 5-1. Formulation of gasoline composite samples.

1.5

13

\* Data obtained from the 1979-1980 annual report of the California State Board of Equalization.

1.3

( )

11

# Industrial Surface Coatings

An important part of determining the makeup of the composite industrial surface coating samples concerned the nomenclature used for the coatings. There are seven SCCs currently used to categorize surface coatings. The coating descriptors for these SCCs are : 1) solvent-based paint, 2) water-based paint, 3) varnish/shellac, 4) lacquer, 5) enamel, 6) primer, and 7) adhesive. All of these SCCs have been used to some extent to categorize emissions from industrial surface coating in the inventory. The difficulty with this categorization system is that the distinction between some of the coatings is not clear. Thus, a surface coating sample could be categorized as one of several different coating types depending on the individual doing the categorization.

To clarify the categorization of industrial coatings, several coating manufacturers were contacted. These conversations resulted in the following general conclusions.

Paint is a generic classification and is used for the majority of coatings.

Water-based coatings are used on a limited basis in industrial coating operations.

Varnishes and shellacs are also used on a limited basis in industrial coating operations.

We decided that speciation testing should concentrate on four coating types: lacquer, enamel, primer, and adhesive. Attempts were made to obtain samples of these coating types from both industrial surface coating facilities and industrial surface coating manufacturers.

To develop the composite samples, the completed survey results were first reviewed. Because surveyed facilities had been randomly selected, we concluded that the coatings they used would be representative of coatings used throughout the SOCAB. Therefore, the surveyed facilities were ranked by emissions from each of the coating types. Facilities with the greatest emissions for each of the coating types were then contacted and samples of these coatings were requested.

This process resulted in the acquisition of three to five samples each of enamel, primer, and lacquer. The volatile portions of these samples were distilled off using a method described at the end of this section. These distillates were then combined in proportion to the emissions of the coating type and facility from which they were obtained. For example, if facility X had 200 tons/year of emissions from enamel usage and facility Y had 100 tons/year of emissions from enamel usage, then the distillates from the enamel samples would be combined in a two to one ratio. Table 5-2 presents the makeup of the three composite samples that were developed. Also presented in this table is the volume that was distilled from 5.0 grams of the sample coating.

These composite samples were developed from coating types for cases in which three or more samples had been obtained from industrial surface coating facilities. To obtain additional samples of each coating, surface coating manufacturers in the 1981 ARB industrial surface coating survey were also contacted. Samples from nine coating manufacturers were requested for the following coating types:

varnish/shellac

lacquer

enamel

primer

adhesive

Only six of the nine manufacturers actually supplied coating samples and in most cases, the manufacturer produced only one or two of the coating types. Most manufacturers were concerned that the samples they provided might not be representative of the general coating type. Representative industrial surface coating samples were difficult to obtain because each coating is formulated for a specific application.

Two additional composite samples--an adhesive and an enamel sample--were also developed. Two samples of adhesive were obtained from industrial coating facilities and one sample was obtained from a coating manufacturer. Because no other approach to developing a composite sample appeared justified, the distillates from these three samples were combined in equal amounts.

The second additional composite sample was an enamel sample. During the acquisition of samples from the coating manufacturers, enamel samples were those most frequently provided. For this reason, and because of the large amount of emissions categorized as enamels in the revised inventory, a composite sample of enamels from coating manufacturers was also developed. The formulation of the adhesive and enamel samples is presented in Table 5-3. Also presented in this table is the volume of distillate trapped from each coating sample.

The same general distillation process was used for all coating samples and was taken from the ASTM Method D 2369--Standard Test Method for Volatile Contents of Surface Coatings. A small quantity of the coating was weighed

84052 9

Coating Type and Facility	Percent of Composite Sample	Volume of Distillate Trapped <sup>*</sup> (ml)
Enamel		
General Motors Steelcase West Utility Trailer Questor Furniture Western Metal	46.0 41.3 6.7 4.0 2.0	3.3 0.3 0.5 1.2 0.8
Primer		
General Motors Questor Furniture Douglas Aircraft	50.9 34.1 15.0	3.3 0.7 1.8
Lacquer		
Questor Furniture <sup>†</sup> Questor Furniture <sup>§</sup> H. W. Hull General Motors	32.2 31.9 21.2 14.8	2.8 3.0 2.0 2.0

TABLE 5-2. Formulation of composite coating samples obtained from industrial surface coating facilities.

\* This column represents the amount of distillate that was produced from 5.0 grams of coating.

- <sup>†</sup> This sample was categorized as paint in the original inventory, but was found to be lacquer.
- § This sample was not the same as that designated t; it was correctly categorized as lacquer.

Coating Type and	Percent of	Volume of Distillate
Facility or Manufacturer	Composite Sample	Trapped <sup>*</sup> (ml)
Enamel		
DuPont	25.0	0.6
PPG	25.0	0.1
Fuller-O'Brien	25.0	0.4
Mobil Chemical	25.0	0.1
Adhesive		
DuPont	33.3	1.6
Steelcase West	33.3	3.4
Advanced Structures	33.3	4.0

TABLE 5-3. Formulation of composite coating samples obtained from industrial surface coating facilities and manufacturers.

\* This column represents the amount of distillate that was produced from 6.0 grams of coating for the enamel samples and from 4.0 grams of coating for the adhesive samples.

C

C

91

in a flask, which was then heated to 110°C for 60 minutes. During this time, the contents of the flask were purged with a continuous stream of organic free nitrogen. The resulting distillate was captured in a cryogenic trap.

The advantage of using the same general approach (110°C for 60 minutes) as the standard method is that the standard method is used for the development of emission factors for coatings. Therefore, the organics that are analyzed for emission factor development and are assumed to evaporate as the coating is applied are the same organics that were analyzed for speciation purposes.

# Asphalts--Slow Cure and Medium Cure

An extensive investigation of the composition of different types of asphalt was conducted prior to making the recommendation for asphalt speciation testing. The result of this investigation was the recommendation to analyze one sample of slow-cure and one sample of medium-cure asphalt. It was also determined that only two refineries in the SOCAB currently produce cutback asphalts, and that the most widely used slowcure asphalt is SC-800 and the most widely used medium-cure asphalt is MC-250. The actual production rates of these grades of asphalt, or of cutback asphalts in general, were not available for these refineries. As a result, composite samples of SC-800 and MC-250 were developed by combining equal amounts of these asphalts from the two refineries.

A distillation process similar to the one described for industrial surface coatings was then used to generate organic samples representative of the emissions during application. However, the temperatures at which these samples were heated were the average application temperatures of the asphalts obtained from CalTrans "Standard Specifications" published in January 1981. The temperatures that were used were approximately 100°C for SC-800 and 85°C for MC-250. The distillate was also cryogenically trapped for analysis.

# Architectural Surface Coating--Water-Based Coatings

The first step in developing composite samples of water-based architectural surface coatings was to obtain the 1980 ARB statewide architectural surface coating survey results, as presented in Table 5-4. Using the results for water-based coatings, four product types were identified as comprising the majority of the total emissions: interior flats, exterior flats, interior nonflats, and exterior nonflats. These four product types

PRODUCT TYPE	PRODUCT CODE	SOLVENT SALES 1000 GAL	WATER Sales 1000 Gal	SOLVENT EMISSIONS T/D	WATER EMISSIONS T/D	THIN CLEAN (1 PT/GAL) T/D	TOTAL EMISSIOWS T/9
Interior Flats	100	44.51	8445.53	.21	4.43	.05	4.69
Exterior Flats	110	78.45	7889.16	. 38	4.79	. 09	5.26
Interior Nonflats	120	1353.19	3596.69	5.56	2.78	1.48	9.82
Exterior Nonflats	130	1291.43	3507.60	5:21	2.69	1.42	9.02
Clears	140	2315.37	56.49	15.11	.07	2.54	17.72
Primers-General	200	670.78	358.75	2.97	.23	.74	3.93
Sealers	210	383.07	193.50	2.50	.07	.41	2.93
Waterproofers	215	485.23	31.42	3.89	.01	.53	4.44
Undercoaters	220	440.84	374.58	2.11	.13	.48	2.73
Wood Preservatives	300	617.61	.70	4.68	.00	.63	5.38
Dopaque Stains	310	536,80	1427.99	2.39	.84	.59	3.81
Semi-Transparent Stains	<b>3</b> 20	1232.94	174.60	8.40	.16	1.32	9.89
Industrial Metal Primers	400	609.27	20.45	3.29	.01	.67	2.07
Maintenance Finish-Lt. Duty	410	1062.06	60.28	5.57	.05	1.16	6.78
Maintenance Finish-Heavy Duty	420	498.15	8.55	2.38	.01	.55	2.94
Mastic-Texture	500	4.98	115.60	.02	.04	.01	.05
Mill White	520	15.44	.40	.07	.00	.02	.09
Roof Coating	530	2734.58	148.83	8.78	.02	.00	8.80
Swimming Pools Traffic Paints*	540	59.70	.00	.34	.00	.07	. 40
Tile-Like Glaze	560	22.63	.00	.10	.00	.02	.12
Sigh (Graphic Arts) Coatings	570	34.83	1.10	.11	.00	.04	.15
Multicolor	580	.42	40.00	.00	.15	.00	.15
Metallic	590	59.46	.00	. 32	.00	.07	.39
Fire Retardant	600	3.66	3.80	.00	.00	.00	.01
Aerosol	800	573.60	3.29	3.59	.00	.63	4.22
Other	900	.15	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TOTAL		15141.40	26471.07	78.03	16,50	13.56	108.09

# TABLE 5-4. ARB 1980 architectural coating survey results.

e٦

c  $\gamma$ 

13

15

\* Product not surveyed in 1980.

 $\sim$ 

( )

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

 $C^{n}$ 

 $\land )$ 

represented 14.69 tons/day of the total 16.50 tons/day of TOG emissions from water-based architectural coatings in the state in 1980.

To develop a composite sample from the various manufacturers of these architectural coating types, the detailed confidential results from the 1980 ARB survey, which present sales and emission data by product type and company, were used. The individual coatings for the four product types were ranked by emissions, and the seven coatings with the largest amount of emissions were selected for the composite sample. The seven samples represented 5.68 tons/day, or 39 percent of the 14.69 tons/day of emissions from the four product types.

The seven individual coatings were purchased at retail outlets in Sacramento, California and were combined in proportion to their 1980 statewide sales to develop 210 ml composite samples; these composites were considered representative of the mix of water-based architectural coatings. The 210 ml composite samples were then spiked with two surrogate standards, acetonitrile for water soluble compounds and deuterated bromobenzene for non-water-soluble compounds, to determine the efficiency of the distillation process. The amount of each standard added was 0.25 grams, resulting in a concentration of approximately 0.1 percent for each standard. The sample was then distilled at 110°C for 60 minutes, which was also selected to be consistent with ASTM Method D 2369.

## Architectural Surface Coating--Solvent Usage

The intention was to develop a composite sample for this source category that was representative of the solvents used in conjunction with architectural surface coatings. Based on discussions with ARB staff, it was decided that solvents should be purchased from the primary retail paint outlets in Sacramento used by painting contractors because contractors represent a large percentage of the total solvent used. The retail outlets that were recommended were Dunn-Edwards, Fuller-O'Brien, and Standard Brands. The managers at each of these outlets were requested to identify their largest selling solvents and the approximate percent of total solvent sales that each solvent represented. The solvents were then made into a composite on the basis of sales within each retail outlet and then combined in equal proportions for the three outlets. The formulation of the composite solvent sample is presented in Table 5-5.

# Internal Combustion Engines--Reciprocating-Natural Gas

Exhaust gas samples from two different natural-gas-fired IC engines were obtained and analyzed. The first sample was taken from a White Superior

84052(a)r 9

Ś

ā

Retail Outlet	Percent of Retail	Percent of
and Solvent	Outlet Sales	Sample Volume
Dunn-Edwards		
Paint thinner	50	16.7
Lacquer thinner	35	· 11.7
Benzine	15	5.0
Total	100	33.4
Fuller-O'Brien		
Paint thinner	80	26.7
Lacquer thinner	10	3.3
Brush cleaner	10	3.3
Total	100	33.3
Standard Brands		
Paint thinner	75	25.0
Lacquer thinner	20	6.7
Terpentine	5	1.7
Total	100	33.4

TABLE 5-5. Formulation of the composite sample of solvents used with architectural coatings.

ŝ

;

ź

ć

3G-825 500 horsepower (hp) engine and collected in an evacuated metal cylinder for analysis by a flame ionization detector/photo ionization detector gas chromatograph (FID/PID GC). The sample was taken during a SCAQMD compliance test on 13 September 1983 while the engine was operating at approximately 70 percent load. After reviewing the data collected during the source test, the engine was considered to be operating under normal conditions.

The second sample was taken from a Waukesha L7042 600 hp engine. In this case, one sample was collected in an evacuated cylinder for GC analysis, and another sample was collected using midget impingers containing 0.009N  $H_2SO_4$  for analysis of total aldehydes. The exhaust gas was passed through the impingers at a rate of approximately 1.0 liter per minute for one hour. This engine was also undergoing a compliance test at the time the samples were obtained. On the basis of data collected during this test, the engine was considered to be operating under normal conditions.

# Analytical Methodology

Many of the analytical procedures used during the speciation test program were developed in consultation with ARB chemists.

#### Gasoline

£

ć

Eight analyses were performed on each of the winter- and summer-blend gasolines for liquid and static vapor samples from each of the four product types. In addition, a heated and an agitated vapor sample of summer-blend gasoline were analyzed for a total of 18 gasoline analyses. To analyze the liquid gasoline samples, two microliters of each of the four composite gasoline samples were injected into four 2.8 liter stainless steel evacuated canisters. A heated injection port and a flow of zero grade nitrogen was used to insure complete volatilization and transfer into the canisters, which were then pressurized to four atmospheres. Five ml of this dilute gas phase mixture was then analyzed. The five-ml sample was cyrogenically concentrated with liquid oxygen and then thermally desorbed onto a 60-m fused silica capillary column at -50°C. This initial temperature was held for two minutes and then programmed up to 100°C at 6° per minute.

The analysis was performed on a dual detector FID/PID GC. The instrument was a Varian 3700 GC equipped with a FID and a 10.2 eV PID. The column effluent was split into the FID and PID at a ratio of four to one, respectively. The detector responses were plotted and integrated on a dual channel Varian 401 chromatographic data system. A second data system, using an Apple II minicomputer, identified the peaks by comparing the

84052(a)r 9

retention times and normalized response ratios with previously established standard values. The FID response was used to quantify each component. Response factors were determined using propane and hexane standards.

The static vapor samples were developed by allowing 30 ml of liquid and 10 ml of vapor to reach equilibrium at room temperature (23°C or 73°F). The heated vapor sample was developed by heating a static vapor sample to 35°C. The agitated vapor sample was produced by shaking a sample (also 30 ml liquid and 10 ml vapor) using a mechanical shaker for one minute and immediately analyzing the vapor. In all cases, a five microliter vapor sample was taken from the 40 ml container using a gas-tight syringe. This sample was then cryogenically trapped and analyzed using an FID/PID GC as described previously.

In addition to these tests, analyses were performed to evaluate the concentrations of ethylene dibromide (EDB) and other halogenated species in gasoline. Due to the relatively low concentrations of halogenated species relative to other organic species in gasoline, the FID/PIC GC analysis was unable to detect the halogenated species. Therefore, a detector that could selectively identify halogenated species was used to analyze two gasoline samples: the liquid summer-blend leaded regular and leaded premium composites. Only two samples were analyzed because this work was not included in the scope of the original analytical approach.

The analyses were performed using a Tracor 560/700A hall electroconductivity detector (HECD). A Ni-reactor tube was used at 900°C with a hydrogen reactant gas. A methanol electrolyte solution was also used at a flow rate of approximately 5 ml per minute. A certified gas-phase standard was employed to quantify all halogenated species except EDB since a gas-phase EDB standard was not available. Therefore, the response factor of 1,2-dichloroethane was used to quantify EDB. The EDB analyses showed concentrations of 0.0063 milligrams of carbon per ml for the leaded regular sample and 0.014 milligrams of carbon per ml for the leaded premium sample. In both cases, these EDB concentrations represented less than 0.01 percent by weight. Concentrations of all identified halogenated species are shown in Appendix D for the appropriate sample.

# Industrial Surface Coatings

The industrial surface coating samples were analyzed using both a GC and a gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS). Initially, the composite distillate from the various surface coating samples was injected directly into the GC. If the concentrations of compounds under these conditions were too high, the sample was diluted in a noninterfering solvent and injected again.

84052(a)r g

£

The GC/MS results were used to identify compounds and the GC was used with an FID for quantification. A 60-m fused silica capillary column was used on both instruments. The temperature program started at 35°C and was increased to 310°C at 8°C per minute.

#### Asphalts--Slow Cure and Medium Cure

The asphalt samples were analyzed using the same procedures and instruments as were used for industrial surface coatings.

# Architectural Surface Coating--Water-Based Coatings

A 210 ml composite sample of water-based architectural coatings was distilled as described previously, resulting in approximately 112.2 ml of total distillate. Acetonitrile and bromobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> were used as recovery surrogates to estimate the percent of recovery during distillation. Total recovery was measured at 105 percent for acetonitrile and 130 percent for bromobenzene-d<sub>5</sub>. The distillate consisted of three fractions: an organic layer (2.0 ml) with a density less than water, an aqueous layer (110 ml), and an organic layer (approximately 0.2 ml) with a density greater than water. Organic speciation of this sample was developed through an analysis of the first two fractions. The 0.2 ml fraction was not chromatographable and probably consisted of some sort of polymeric substance. The analyses were performed by GC/MS using a 60-m capillary column. The temperature program had an initial temperature of 35°C for one minute and then was increased to 320°C at 10° per minute. Toluene-d<sub>8</sub> and phenol-d<sub>6</sub> were used as internal standards.

Architectural Surface Coating--Solvent Usage

The solvent sample was also analyzed using the same procedures and instruments as were used for industrial surface coatings.

#### Internal Combustion Engines--Reciprocating-Natural Gas

The first of the two IC engine exhaust gas samples was analyzed using a FID/PID GC; the second sample was analyzed using both a FID/PID GC and the MBTH method. In the first method, the exhaust gas sample was injected directly onto a 60-m fused silica capillary column at  $-50^{\circ}$ C. This initial temperature was held for two minutes and then programmed up to  $100^{\circ}$ C at 6°C per minute.

Ę

The MBTH method (see Appendix C) was used to determine total aldehydes in the exhaust gas. In general, the method consists of trapping the aldehydes in a dilute sulfuric acid solution. The trapped aldehydes are then reacted in the laboratory with MBTH to form an azine. Oxidation of the excess MBTH forms a reactive cation, which adds to the azine to form a blue dye. The intensity of this blue dye is directly proportional to the concentration of the aldehydes. This procedure allows the aldehydes to be measured by a colorimetric method.

#### Species Profile Development from Analytical Results

The unreduced results of the analyses previously described are presented in Appendix D. These results were used to develop species profiles for each of the source categories under consideration. Table 5-6 lists the 68 profiles developed from both test results and existing information.

# Gasoline.

C

Ś

C

Species profiles for gasoline were developed directly from the analytical results. The units of the analytical results for liquid samples were milligrams of carbon per milliliter (mg-C/ml). The units of the analytical results for vapor samples were parts of carbon per thousand by volume (ppthv-C).

For vapor samples, to convert a concentration expressed as carbon to a concentration expressed as a specific compound, the following calculation was made:

$$C_{carb}(16/MW_{carb}) = C_{comp}$$

where

 $C_{carb}$  = Concentration expressed as carbon

C<sub>comp</sub> = Concentration expressed as the compound

 $MW_{carb} = Molecular$  weight of the carbon atoms in the compound

For liquid samples, to convert a concentration expressed as carbon to a concentration expressed as a specific compound, the following calculation was made:

 $C_{carb} (MW_{comp}/MW_{carb}) = C_{comp}$ 

84052(a)r 9

99

TABLE 5-6. New profiles developed in this study.

1

Ś

ξ

C

Ċ

C

Ć

Profile Code	Profile Description
701	TAUTA CASATINE - INTEADED DECUTAD - CUMMED DIEND
701	TOUTD CASOLINE - LEADED REGULAR - SUMMED READ
702	LIQUID GASCLINE - LIQUED REGULAR - SUMMER DIEND
703	LIQUID GASCLINE - UNLEADED PREMIUM - SUMMER BLEND
704	CACOLINE VADODO UNITEADED DECULAD - CUMMED DIEND
705	CASOLINE VAPORS - UNLEADED REGULAR - SUMMER BLEND
700	CASOLINE VAPORS - LEADED REGULAR - SUMMER BLEND
707	CASOLINE VAPORS - UNLEADED PREMIUM - SUMMER BLEND
708	GASOLINE VAPORS - LEADED PREMIUM - SUMMER BLEND
709	CASOLINE WADDRE - COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT - SUMMER BLEND
710	GASOLINE VAPORS - COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT - SUMMER BLEND
712	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING - COMPOSITE LAQUER
712	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING - COMPOSITE ENAMEL
713	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING - COMPOSITE PRIMER
715	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE WATING - COMPUSITE ADHESIVE
715	SLOW CURE ASPHALT
710	MEDIUM CURE ASPHALT ADCUITECTUDAL CUDEACE COAMING MAMED DACED DAINE
717	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATING - WATER BASED PAINT
710	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE WATING - COMPOSITE SULVENT
719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE - RECIPROLATING-NATURAL GAS FIRED
721	LIQUID GASOLINE - UNLEADED REGULAR - WINTER BLEND
722	LIQUID GASOLINE - LEADED REGULAR - WINTER BLEND
725	LIQUID GASOLINE - UNLEADED PREMIUM - WINTER BLEND
724	CASOLINE - LEADED PREMIUM - WINTER BLEND
725	CASOLINE VAPORS - UNLEADED REGULAR - WINTER BLEND
720	CASOLINE VAPORS - LEADED REGULAR - WINTER BLEND
727	CASOLINE VAPORS - UNLEADED PREMIUM - WINIER BLEND
720	TIOLINE VARUES - LEADED PREMIUM - WINIER BLEND
729	CASOLINE VADORS - COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT - WINTER BLEND
730	REATED CASOLINE VAPORS - CONFUSILE OF FRODUCT - WINTER BLEND
731	MEATED CASOLINE VAPORS - UNLEADED REGULAR - SUMMER DIEND
752	AGITATED GABOLINE VAPORS - UNLEADED REGULAR - SUMMER DILEND
751	ACKILONIIKILE-DUIADIENE-SIIKENE (ADS) KESIN MFG.
752	CUTVD FINE
758	CULODOCOLIVE
755	TO TCHI ODOTTI TI OUDOFTHANIF
756	ATLAND CAS PRODUCTION FUCTATVES-ITOUTD SEDVICE
750	OIL AND CAS PRODUCTION FUCITIVES TROUD SERVICE
758	OIL AND CAS PRODUCTION FUCTATIVES VALUES DERVICE
759	OIL AND CAS PRODUCTION FUCTION FUCTION FUCTIONS AND CAS PRODUCTION FUCTION FUC
760	FURDER FOR THE FUEL FOR THE FUEL
761	EVADORATIVE ENISSIONS-NADUTUA
762	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{M}} = (\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf$
763	DUTUALLY AND THE MEY - YVI FUE OVIDATION
763	
765	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
765	$r_{\rm LOORCARDON} = 23/22 \text{ FIANOFACTOR LING}$
760	FLOOR CARDON = 113/114 FIANUFACIUKING
101	

TABLE 5-6 (concluded)

ł

 $\langle$ 

Ę

Ś

C

Profile Code	Profile Description
768	FLUOROCARBON - 113
769	FLUOROCARBON - 114
770	CHLOROFLUOROCARBONS
771	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
772	ORTHO-XYLENE
773	FLUOROCARBON MFG - VALVES, PUMPS, ETC
774	ISOBUTYL ACETATE
775	ISOBUTYL ALCOHOL
776	ISOBUTYL ISOBUTYRATE
777	METHYL AMYL KETONE
778	METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE
779	N-BUTYL ACETATE
780	N-PROPYL ACETATE
781	N-PROPYL ALCOHOL
782	HEXYLENE GLYCOL
783	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING - SOLVENT BASED PAINT
784	SYNTHETIC RUBBER MFG - STYRENE-BUTADIENE RUBBER
785	ETHYLENE OXIDE
786	METHYL ALCOHOL
787	CARBON BLACK MANUFACTURING

where

MW<sub>comp</sub> = Molecular weight of the compound

Values for  $\text{MW}_{\mbox{carb}}$  and  $\text{MW}_{\mbox{comp}}$  are presented next for some example compounds.

Compound	MWcarb	MWcomp
Ethane	24	30
Butane	48	58
Butene	48	56

To convert liquid sample concentrations expressed as carbon to percent by weight, it was assumed that the difference between a weight percent expressed as carbon and a weight percent expressed as the specific compound was insignificant. This assumption is justified for two reasons:

The ratio of the molecular weight of a compound to the molecular weight of carbon in the compound  $(MW_{comp}/MW_{carb})$  does not vary much for the organics found in gasoline.

The imprecision introduced by this assumption is small relative to the overall imprecision of the analytical results.

Once this assumption was made, the calculation of weight and volume percents was straightforward. For liquid samples, the concentration of a compound in mg-C/ml was divided by the total nonmethane hydrocarbon concentration of the sample and multiplied by 100. This resulted in the weight percent of that compound. For vapor samples, this same calculation as applied to a concentration in ppthv resulted in the volume percent of the compound.

A total of eighteen composite gasoline samples were analyzed. Sixteen of these samples consisted of liquid and vapor samples for each of the four product types for both winter- and summer-blend gasolines. The additional two samples were a heated and an agitated vapor sample for summer-blend unleaded regular. The eighteen species profiles that were developed from these analytical results are presented in Appendix E-1.

Gasoline evaporative emissions in the current 1979 SOCAB inventory are not differentiated by product type. Therefore, it was necessary to develop composite summer- and winter-blend gasoline profiles representative of the 1979 product mix. Using 1979 data from the California Energy Commission production and consumption report (CEC, 1981) as presented in Table 5-7,

84052(a)r 9

Product Type	1979 California Sales (1000 barrels)	Percent of Total Sales
Unleadèd regular	100,178	39.8
Leaded regular	95,206	37.8
Unleaded premium	0	0.0
Leaded premium	56,587	22.4
Total	251,971	100.0

TABLE 5-7. Sales of gasoline products in California in 1979.

0

ĩ

C

four liquid and vapor composite profiles were developed for the winterand summer-blend gasolines. These profiles are also presented in Appendix E-1.

Several comparisons were made to evaluate the new gasoline species profiles. The new profiles were first compared to the profile in the VOC species data manual (EPA, 1980) for evaporative gasoline emissions. This profile, No. 98, is quite similar to the new liquid gasoline profiles we developed. Assuming that profile No. 98 was based on an analysis of liquid gasoline, the profile often used for gasoline evaporative emissions to date is inappropriate for emissions of gasoline vapors. Furthermore, gasoline vapors, in contrast to the complete evaporative emissions.

To further evaluate the new gasoline profiles, a comparison was made of the weight percents of the primary compound groups in each sample (Table 5-8). This comparison shows that there is a good agreement in the trends among all samples analyzed except the heated vapor sample. The low paraffin content in the heated vapor sample may be the result of the loss of some of the lowest molecular weight compounds during heating or storage. Trends for the liquid and static vapor samples are as follows:

The paraffin content in static vapor samples is much higher than in liquid samples. This trend occurs because the lower molecular weight and more volatile paraffins tend to move into the vapor phase more readily than do the aromatics.

The unleaded liquid samples tend to have a higher aromatic content than do the leaded liquid samples.

The comprehensive analyses performed for gasoline samples represent more extensive results than originally called for by the scope of the project. Profiles of liquid gasoline are now available for evaporative losses resulting from such occurrences as gasoline spillage. Similarly, related gasoline profiles for static vapor emissions are available for such conditions as storage tank losses.

# Industrial Surface Coatings

Five composite industrial surface coating samples of the following coating types were analyzed:

Lacquer Primer

84052(a)r 9

	Percent by Weight				
Sample	Paraffins	Olefins	Aromatics		
Summon Bland					
Liquid					
Unleaded regular	51 2	- 8.0	39.7		
leaded regular	62 4	8.5	28.9		
Unleaded premium	48.5	7.5	43.9		
Leaded premium	56.3	3.5	40.2		
Vapor					
Unleaded regular	85.2	13.4	1.3		
Leaded regular	84.2	14.9	0.9		
Unleaded premium	83.4	13.3	3.2		
Leaded premium	92.9	5.2	1.9		
Heated Vapor					
Unleaded regular	37.9	10.0	52.0		
Agitated Vapor					
Unleaded regular	54.8	13.0	32.2		
Winter Blend					
liquid					
Unleaded regular	51.0	7.2	41.8		
Leaded regular	57.6	10.0	32.4		
Unleaded premium	48.3	7.3	44.3		
Leaded premium	61.8	4.2	34.0		
Margare 1					
vapor		10.7	2.0		
Unieaded regular	00-7	10./	3.9		
Leaged regular	ØU•/	12.6	4•C		
Looded premium	03.2	12.0	4.5		
Leaded premium	92.0	4./	2.0		

TABLE 5-8. Comparison of gasoline species profiles developed in this study.

Ć

Ċ

i

C

Ć

Adhesive

C

2

C

Enamel obtained from surface coating facilities Enamel obtained from surface coating manufacturers

Species profiles were developed for each of these samples. A sixth industrial surface coating profile, representative of general enamel samples, was also developed by taking an arithmetic average of the two enamel profiles. The new industrial surface coating profiles that were assigned profile numbers are presented in Appendix E-2.

The following conclusions can be drawn from a comparison of the new species profiles with the existing profiles for these coating types.

The profiles of the two enamel samples analyzed in this study contain significant differences. This demonstrates that composite samples of industrial coatings composed of three to five individual coating samples are probably not representative of general coating types. A greater number of individual coating samples appears necessary to achieve a representative coating type.

The new industrial coating profiles have a high aromatic content, especially of toluene and xylene. This is in contrast to the currently used profiles, which consist primarily of "mineral spirits." Mineral spirits refer to a mixture of primarily  $C_6$  to  $C_{10}$  straight-chain paraffins.

Asphalts--Slow Cure and Medium Cure

Species profiles were developed for composite slow cure and medium cure cutback asphalt samples. However, after the samples were analyzed and profiles were developed, it was discovered that one of the individual samples used in each composite sample had been purposely altered by the supplier. Unknown to us before our analyses, these samples had been distilled and then washed with caustic in an attempt to remove the lighter, more volatile compounds. Because the profile currently used for cutback asphalts is the result of a grab sample of emissions taken from a hot-mix asphalt pile, we feel the new profiles, though still deserving future investigation, better represent emissions from cutback asphalts. The new profiles are shown in Appendix E-3.

84052(a)r 9

## Architectural Surface Coating--Water-Based Coatings

The new species profile for water-based architectural surface coating is presented in Appendix E-4. These results indicate that three compounds make up over 65 percent of the organics in the sample. These three compounds are listed next along with their approximate weight percents in the sample.

2-Methylpropanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-1-(2-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) propyl ester: 23.2 percent by weight

2-Methylpropanoic acid, 3-hydroxy-2,4,4-trimethylpentyl ester: 23.8 percent by weight

1-Butanol: 19.5 percent by weight

It is important to note that the identification and quantification of these compounds were not confirmed by the use of standards. Therefore, particularly for the more complex species, the results should be considered an indication of the type of species present in the sample, but not a positive identification of the particular species.

### Architectural Surface Coating--Solvent Usage

Using the analytical results of the composite solvent sample, the species profile presented in Appendix E-5 was developed. This profile consists of a wide variety of different compounds, with few halogenated hydrocarbons.

Internal Combustion Engines--Reciprocating-Natural Gas

Two gas-fired IC engine exhaust samples were analyzed using gas chromatography, and one of these samples was also analyzed for aldehyde content. The measured aldehyde content was assumed to be applicable to both exhaust samples, and was used to develop two profiles. These two profiles were then arithmetically averaged to develop the composite species profile shown in Appendix E-6. As can be seen from the composite profile, the primary constituent of the organic gases is methane, but other low molecular weight paraffins and olefins are present in measurable quantities.

PROFILES DEVELOPED FROM LITERATURE, SURVEY RESULTS, AND OTHER EXISTING DATA

In addition to developing species profiles through analytical work, efforts were made to develop profiles from existing data. Moreover, the speciation of TOG emissions in the inventory was improved significantly

(

ź

C

through changes to SCCs used to identify emission sources and to assign speciation profiles. An example of this improvement is a source that originally was identified with SCC 3-01-999-99, chemical manufacturingunspecified. After reviewing the survey results and emission fee data for the appropriate facility, this SCC was changed to a more specific SCC such as 3-01-018-01, chemical manufacturing-plastics production-polyvinyl chloride. Use of this revised SCC resulted in the assignment of a more specific and reliable speciation profile which, in turn, led to an improved inventory.

To develop new organic gas species profiles from existing data, several steps were taken.

Computerized literature search Manual search of Radian's library Contacts with individuals at Radian and other companies and agencies Review of data obtained in the Task 2 survey

## Computerized Literature Search

á

Several computerized data bases were searched using combinations of various key words:

DOE Energy National Technical Information Service Chemical Abstracts Search Compendex Pollution Abstracts

Various combinations of keywords were used to emphasize organic gas speciation. However, no documents were identified that specifically addressed the development of a species profile. Those documents that did treat organic gas speciation did so in a limited manner (e.g., documents might have emphasized the speciation of polynuclear aromatic compounds or the speciation of  $C_2$  through  $C_6$  hydrocarbons, but not full organic gas speciation). Therefore, no profiles were added to the inventory through this effort.

#### Other Existing Data

During additional data collection efforts, a number of documents were identified that contained speciation information. However, in most cases

84052(a)r g

these data were too limited to allow the development of species profiles. The documents we reviewed are briefly described:

<u>Control Technique Guideline Documents</u>. These documents, in particular those related to surface coating (e.g., EPA, 1977), normally identify the specific organic compounds emitted from the source category of interest. However, no quantification of the relative amounts of these compounds is provided.

Vapor Control Methods for Gasoline Marketing. This report (Radian, 1975) contains useful information on gasoline vapor speciation as well as on the hydrocarbon concentration gradient that exists between the liquid surface and the outlet in underground gasoline storage tanks.

Analysis of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PNA) in Liquid Fuels. This document (Radian, 1979) contains a detailed analysis of PNAs in jet fuels and diesel fuels. However, full speciation of these fuels was not obtained in this study.

Assessment of Emissions from Petroleum Refining. In this study (Radian, 1980), more than 20 analyses of refinery products and intermediates were made. Because the emphasis was on quantifying aromatics, full speciation was not available.

1974 Composition of Los Angeles Gasolines. In this analysis (ARB, 1975), full hydrocarbon speciation was developed for several gasoline samples.

Vehicular Evaporative Emissions. Hydrocarbon speciation was obtained in this study (Mayrsohn and Crabtree, 1975) for numerous gasoline samples.

Source Reconciliation of Hydrocarbons in the SOCAB. This document (ARB, 1976) presents limited speciation data for a variety of fuels and solvents. Compounds greater than  $C_6$  are not differentiated beyond the number of carbon atoms present.

In addition to these documents, two ongoing studies were identified that may later provide valuable speciation data. Mr. John Wood at the South Coast Air Quality Management District is investigating the speciation of emissions from surface coating activities before and after incineration devices. In addition, Dr. Charles Spicer at Battelle Laboratories in Columbus, Ohio is performing a major study of the composition of jet engine exhaust.

 $84052(a)r^9$ 

E

C

## Species Profile Development

The documents just described provided a useful perspective, but were not directly used to develop profiles. Nevertheless, a total of 37 new species profiles labeled as profile codes Nos. 751 through 787 were developed from existing data. More than half of these profiles consisted of one specific compound, and thus no documentation of their development is necessary. A brief documentation of the development of the remaining profiles is provided next. Each of these profiles is presented in Appendix E-7.

<u>Profile 751--Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene (ABS) Resin Manufacturing</u>. On the basis of a conversation with one of the environmental coordinators at an ABS resin plant, emissions from ABS manufacturing were assumed to be evaporative emissions from a liquid mixture at standard conditions. This liquid mixture was further assumed to be 20 percent acrylonitrile and 80 percent styrene. Profile 751 was developed on the basis of the vapor pressures of these materials at standard conditions.

<u>Profile 752--Polystyrene Resin Manufacturing</u>. From the survey responses and literature review, we found that (1) styrene and ethylbenzene are present in emissions from polystyrene manufacturing, and (2) styrene is the major constituent of these emissions. Profile 752 was developed on the basis of this information.

<u>Profiles 756 through 759--Oil and Gas Production Fugitives</u>. The first step in developing these profiles was to determine the ratio of liquid service and gas service components for valves and fittings in the SOCAB. This was done using information from two sources. The number of wells in the SOCAB in each of four gas-to-oil ratio (GOR) categories was determined using the "67th Report of the State Oil and Gas Supervisor, 1981." Data for 1981 were felt to be appropriate because the profiles that were developed would be used for both 1979 and future inventories. These well counts were then used in conjunction with information on the number of liquid and gas service components for each GOR category. This information was developed by KVB and was contained in a ARB technical note entitled, "Estimation of Fugitive PROC Emissions from Oil and Gas Operations and Gas Processing Plants." The calculation of the ratio of liquid and gas service valves and fittings in the SOCAB is shown next.

Ç

# Well Populations by GOR in the SOCAB

Gas-to-Oil Ratio <100 100-400 400-900 >900

Number of Wells 2,203 3,271 3,054 1,204

These data were then used in conjunction with information on the number of liquid and gas service components for each GOR category, as shown next.

# Valve and Fitting Populations per Well by GOR

Gas-to-Oil Ratio	<100	100-400	400-900	>900
Liquid Service Valves/Well	31.2	23.1	24.7	10.9
Gas Service Valves/Well	0.7	16.4	18.1	10.5
Liquid Service Fittings/Well	24.2	197.4	145.8	63.4
Gas Service Fittings/Well	5.2	98.4	108.0	72.8

Using these data, component populations for gas and liquid service valves and fittings in the SOCAB were developed:

# Valve and Fitting Populations in the SOCAB

Gas-to-Oil Ratio	<100	100-400	400-900	>900
Liquid Service Valves	68,734	75,560	75,434	13,124
Gas Service Valves	1,542	53,644	55,277	12,642
Liquid Service Fittings	53,313	645,695	445,273	76,334
Gas Service Fittings	11,456	321,866	329,832	87,651

Therefore, the total ratio of liquid service to gas service valves is 232,852 to 123,105 or 0.654 to 0.346. The total ratio of liquid service to gas service fittings is 1,220,615 to 750,805 or 0.619 to 0.381.

The second step in developing profiles for oil and gas production was to develop composite profiles based on the liquid service and gas service species data developed for API by Rockwell. These species data, from page E-4 of the API/Rockwell report, are presented next.

84052(a)r 9

Ę

Weight Fraction of Species

	C <sub>1</sub>	<sup>C</sup> 2	с <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	с <sub>5</sub>	°6+
liquid Service	0.375	0.064	0.101	0.078	0.056	0.326
Gas Service	0.614	0.079	0.070	0.045	0.030	0.171

Using these species data and the ratio of gas and liquid service components, the following species data were developed:

#### Weight Fraction of Species

	<u>c</u> 1	<sup>C</sup> 2	с <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	с <sub>5</sub>	C6+
Valves	0.458	0.069	0.090	0.067	0.044	0.272
Fittings	0.466	0.070	0.089	0.065	0.043	0.267

To obtain detailed profiles, this information was used in conjunction with Table 4-03-001C from the VOC species data manual (EPA, 1980). We assume that the resulting profiles contain a fair amount of uncertainty. The following steps were taken in developing these profiles:

- The  $C_4$  component was divided into n-butane and isobutane in (1)proportion to the weight percentages of these compounds in Table 4-03-001C of the VOC species data manual.
- The  $C_6^+$  component was divided into isomers of hexane, heptane, (2) and octane; C-7 and C-8 cycloparaffins; and benzene in proportion to the weight percentages of these compounds in Table 4-03-001C of the VOC species data manual.

Profiles 760 and 761--Evaporative Emissions-Distillate Fuel and Naphtha. These profiles were obtained from the species data manual. Profile 760 consists of Table 3-06-008F and profile 761 consists of Table 3-06-008G. The series of profiles 3-06-008A through 3-06-008G were developed for fugitive leaks from valves and flanges carrying various petroleum products and intermediates. Because data for emissions from storage tanks containing a variety of petroleum products do not exist, these data were felt to be the best available for storage tank evaporative emissions.

The current profile for distillate fuel is based on a test of weed oil and consists of most naphthalenes. Profile 760, which consists primarily of paraffins with no aromatics, is considered to be more representative of

 $84052(a)r^9$ 

distillate fuel because aromatics are normally not added to distillate fuel in order to lower the self-ignition point of the fuel.

Profile 761, which contains both paraffins and aromatics, is believed to be representative of evaporative emissions from middle distillate petroleum intermediates such as naphtha.

<u>Profile 762--BTX (Benzene/Toluene/Xylene)</u>. BTX is a common term for a mixture of aromatic compounds including benzene, toluene, and xylene. Although it is known that the composition of BTX is variable, no data were available on the average composition of BTX. As a result, it was assumed for this profile that BTX emissions contain the three main constituents in equal proportions.

<u>Profile 763--Phthalic Anhydride Manufacturing-Xylene Oxidation</u>. The composition of emissions from phthalic anhydride manufacturing, as well as the primary and secondary chemical reactions involved, is discussed in several documents. This information was reviewed and a rough estimate of the composition of these emissions was made. The compounds that are emitted are similar in chemical structure. Therefore, inaccuracies in the weight percent of each compound are less significant than they would otherwise be. The documents reviewed include

"Report on the Emissions from a Gas-Fired Afterburner Serving a Phthalic Anhydride Plant," SCAQMD, 1976.

EPA Publication No. AP-42 (including supplements 1 through 14), EPA, 1983.

Industrial Chemistry, 7th Edition, Kent, 1974.

Industrial Chemicals, Lowenheim and Moran, 1975.

"Potential Pollutants from Petrochemical Processes," Monsanto Research Corp., 1973.

<u>Profiles 764 through 766--Fluorocarbon Manufacturing</u>. These profiles were developed using two sources of information: "Organic Chemical Manufacturing" prepared by IT-Enviroscience for EPA and the 1979 SCAQMD emission fee data for a fluorocarbon manufacturing facility. These two information sources presented different estimates for the composition of emissions from each of the types of fluorocarbon manufacturing. The individual estimates were therefore averaged to develop profiles 764 through 766. The derivation of these profiles is shown in Table 5-9.

84052(a)r 9

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percent by Weight			
	Organic Chemical	SCAQMD		
Product Constituents	Manufacturing Estimate	Estimate	Average	
\				
Fluorocarbon 12/11				
Fluorocarbon 11		5.8	2.9	
Fluorocarbon 12	99.0	47.2	73.1	
Fluorocarbon 13	1.0	47.1	24.0	
Fluorocarbon 23/22				
Fluorocarbon 22	33.3	1.6	17.4	
Fluorocarbon 23 🛸	66.7	98.4	82.6	
Fluorocarbon 113/114				
Fluorocarbon 113	39.6	NA	39.6	
Fluorocarbon 114 /	58.0	NA	58.0	
Fluorocarbon 115 🚽	2.4	NA	2.4	

.

TABLE 5-9. Derivation of Profiles 764 through 766.

\* NA indicates that data were not available.

84052 3

E,

ć

C

Ć

E

Ċ

Profile 773--Fluorocarbon Manufacturing-Miscellaneous Emissions. This profile was developed to represent a composite of all TOG emissions related to fluorocarbon manufacturing. To develop this profile, a facility primarily engaged in fluorocarbon manufacturing that had been surveyed in Task 2 was identified (No. 435.19). The inventory for this facility after inclusion of the recommended changes was reviewed, and all devices and SCCs that specifically related to fluorocarbon manufacturing were identified. A composite profile was then developed by weighting the profiles for each of these SCCs in proportion to their rate of emissions at the facility. The devices, SCCs, emissions, and percent that each profile was weighted are as follows:

Device	SCC	TOG Emissions	Percent of Total
		(tons/year)	
101 -	3-01-127-02	1.8	6.6
102	3-01-127-03	12.5	46.1
103	3-01-127-12	2.0	7.4
104	3-01-127-13	7.3	26.9
105	3-01-127-14	0.3	1.1
106	3-01-127-11	3.2	11.8
Total		27.1	99.9

<u>Profile 783</u>-Industrial Surface Coating-Solvent Based Paint. From conversations with industrial surface coating manufacturers, it was concluded that the term "paint" is a general word used to categorize surface coatings that would more appropriately be classified as enamels, primers, and lacquers. Therefore, a composite profile was developed for paint from the profiles for enamels, primers, and lacquers. To estimate the relative amounts of enamels, primers, and lacquers that were used in the SOCAB and might be classified as paints, the surveyed facilities from the EIS file and their emission fee data were reviewed. These data provided a detailed breakdown of emissions by coating type. Using the survey results and emission fee data, total emission totals were: enamel--1003.6 tons/ year; primer-.356.8 tons/year; lacquer-.207.0 tons/year.

The species profile developed for paint was a composite of the profiles for these coatings in proportion to their individual emission totals. The profiles that were used for the composite and their weighting are as follows.

Profile Number	and Name	Percent of	Composite Profile
711Composite	Lacquer		13.2
712Composite	Primer		22.8
713Composite	Enamel		64.0

 $84052(a)r_9$ 

C

115

Profile 784--Synthetic Rubber Manufacturing-Styrene-Butadiene Rubber. AP-42 (EPA, 1983) contains a discussion of emissions from synthetic rubber manufacturing which states that styrene-butadiene rubber contains less than 45 percent styrene. This report also states that VOC emissions from the various processes are primarily styrene and butadiene. From this information, a rough estimate of the composition of organic gas emissions of synthetic rubber manufacturing was made.

<u>Profile 787--Carbon Black Manufacturing</u>. AP-42 (EPA, 1983) presents a species profile for the main process vent from carbon black manufacturing by the oil furnace process. The oil furnace process accounts for over 90 percent of carbon black manufacturing in the United States, and the majority of emissions from this process come from the main process vent. Therefore, this species profile was assumed to be applicable to general carbon black manufacturing.

# 6 TASK 4: INVENTORY IMPROVEMENT

PROCEDURES USED TO DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CHANGES TO THE 1979 INVENTORY

This section describes the procedures used to develop recommendations for changes to the 1979 inventory on the basis of new information described in Sections 4 and 5. The first step in developing these recommendations was to review existing information in the Emission Data System (EDS), including turnaround documents (TADs) and summary inventory printouts for the facilities under consideration. The TADs contain a complete set of the information in EDS, whereas the summary inventory printouts are organized by SCC for each facility and contain the following information:

Device identification number Permit identification number Process rate Process rate units NO<sub>x</sub> emission factor NO<sub>x</sub> emissions TOG emission factor TOG emissions

During the initial review of the TADs and summary inventory printouts, data for devices possibly needing revision, such as entries for unspecific SCCs, were identified. In addition, if a SCC for a facility did not appear reasonable considering the SIC code, the appropriate device was identified.

The next step was to compare the existing inventory with other available emission information--primarily that contained in the Task 2 survey responses and the 1979 emission fee data. On the basis of this comparison, changes to the inventory were developed for specific point sources, which in many cases required the development of new SCCs.

\* Some of this information (e.g., permit number and process rate) is not available for devices at all facilities.

84052(a)r 10

ĩ

## Description of Recommended Changes

Study recommendations for changes to the inventory were generated for each facility in a document containing four major sections. The first section of each document contained identifying facility information and the name of a facility contact. This introductory information included

Facility identification number Company and facility name Facility location Name, title, and telephone number of an appropriate individual

The second section, labeled recommended changes, contained instructions for adding, deleting, or modifying data for devices at the facility. The third section, documentation, described the supporting activity data, emission factors, and general approach that were used to calculate the revised emissions. The documentation section was correlated with the recommended changes section through the use of consistent entry numbers. The final section, uncertainties after recommended changes, presented uncertainty codes and associated comments for devices and is discussed in detail later in this section. The facility-specific recommended changes were developed in this manner so that ARB staff would be able to use this information to make corresponding changes to the EDS data base at a later date.

An example of the format and content of the document developed for each facility receiving inventory changes is presented in Exhibit 6-1 for a surface coating facility with relatively low emissions. This facility is only one of the many types of facilities that were surveyed and revised in the inventory. The specific changes recommended for a more representative set of six facilities, together with the summary inventory printouts for these facilities, are presented in this same format in Appendix F.

# Development of New SCCs

During the development of inventory changes to individual facilities, we generated and entered SCCs that had not been used before. These new SCCs permitted a more specific identification of certain emission sources at the surveyed facilities, which improved the overall inventory. Better identification of the operations at these facilities through the use of new SCCs resulted in the assignment of more specific speciation profiles, which, in turn, reduced inventory uncertainty. Thus, for each new SCC, either an existing or a new species profile developed for organic gases in this study was assigned. The 148 new SCCs and their assigned profile codes are presented in Table 6-1.
### EXHIBIT 6-1.

An Example of the Changes Recommended for a Specific Facility.

Facility ID: "Number" "Facility Name"

Facility Location: "Address"

Contact Name: "Name" "Title" "Phone No."

ć

Ç

L

 $\langle$ 

C

Ć

A. Recommended Changes

- Delete Device 1 with SCC 4-01-002-99 Organic Solvent-Vapor Degreasing - Open Top - Miscellaneous. TOG Emissions - '0.0 ton/yr.
- (2) Delete Device 2 with SCC 4-02-999-99 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Miscellaneous.
   TOG Emissions - 1.7 ton/yr.
- (3) Add Device 101 with SCC 4-01-002-03 Organic Solvent -Vapor Degreasing - Open Top - Perchloroethylene. TOG Emissions - 6.1 ton/yr. Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- (4) Add Device 102 with SCC 4-02-001-01 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Paint General - Solvent Base Ctng.
   TOG Emissions - 0.1 ton/yr.
   Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- (5) Add Device 102 with SCC 4-02-004-01 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Lacquer - General. TOG Emissions - 0.3 ton/yr. Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.

(continued)

84052(a)r<sup>11</sup>

### EXHIBIT 6-1 (continued)

- (6) Add Device 102 with SCC 4-02-005-01 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Enamel - General.
   TOG Emissions - 4.6 ton/yr.
   Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- Add Device 102 with SCC 4-02-006-01 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Primer - General.
   TOG Emissions - 1.3 ton/yr.
   Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- (8) Add Device 103 with SCC 4-02-009-01 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Thinning Solvent - Miscellaneous.
   TOG Emissions - 5.5 ton/yr.
   Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- (9) Add Device 103 with SCC 4-02-009-06 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Thinning Solvent - Cellosolve. TOG Emissions - 1.4 ton/yr. Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- (10) Add Device 103 with SCC 4-02-009-22 Organic Solvent -Surface Coating - Thinning Solvent - Toluene. TOG Emissions - 1.8 ton/yr. Assumed operating hours - 8 hr/day, 5 day/wk, 52 wk/yr.
- B. Documentation
  - (1) This device was deleted to allow for more specific identification of each solvent that was used in vapor degreasing in 1979.
  - (2) This device was deleted to allow for more specific identification of each solvent that was used in surface coating in 1979.
  - (3) 1979 usage of perchlorethylene comes from questionnaire responses.
    An emission factor of 13.5 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table.
    (898 gal/yr) (13.5 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 6.1 ton/yr.
  - (4) 1979 usage of epoxy enamel comes from questionnaire responses. An emission factor of 5.5 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table.
     (33 gal/yr) (5.5 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 0.1 ton/yr.

(continued)

#### EXHIBIT 6-1 (concluded)

- (5) 1979 usage of polyurethane comes from questionnaire responses. An emission factor of 5.2 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table. (129 gal/yr) (5.2 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 0.3 ton/yr.
- (6) 1979 usage of enamel comes from questionnaire responses. An emission factor of 4.5 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table. (2029 gal/yr) (4.5 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 4.6 ton/yr.
- (7) 1979 usage of primer comes from questionnaire responses. An emission factor of 5.0 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table. (519 gal/yr) (5.0 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 1.3 ton/yr.
- (8) 1979 usage of RhoSolv 703 & RhoSolv 778 comes from questionnaire responses. An emission factor of 7.0 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table. (770 gal/yr) (7.0 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 2.7 ton/yr; (787 gal/yr) (7.0 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 2.8 ton/yr. Total 5.5 ton/yr.
- (9) 1979 usage of butyl cellosolve comes from questionnaire responses.
  An emission factor of 7.5 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table.
  (365 gal/yr) (7.5 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 1.4 ton/yr.
- (10) 1979 usage of toluene comes from questionnaire responses. An emission factor of 7.2 lb/gal was taken from SCAQMD, Fee Form B-3, Emission Factor Table. (495 gal/yr) (7.2 lb/gal) (1 ton/2000 lb) = 1.8 ton/yr.
- C. Uncertainties After Recommended Changes

Device(s)	Uncertainty Code(s)	Comments
101-108	42	
101-108	1	Usage has been extrapolated from 1982 data
102	34	Made up of epoxy enamel
103	34	Consists of polyurethane
107	34	Consists of butyl cellosolve

84052(a)r 11

SCC Code	C Profile Description of SCC de Code	
10200405	E Ø A	EVT COME BOLLER-INDUSTRIAL-RESIDUAL OIL-NOT CLASSIFIED
10200405	3.04	EXT COMB BOLLER INDUSTRIAL NATURAL GAS-NOT CLASSIFIED
10200004	3	FXT COMB BOILER-COMMERCIAL/INSTITUTIONAL-NATURAL GAS-NOT CLASSIFIED
30100920	761	CHEMICAL MFG-SOAP/DETERGENTS-PETROLEUM SULFONATE-GENERAL PROCESS
30100921	31	CHEMICAL MFG-SOAP/DETERGENTS-PETROLEUM SULFONATE-WASTEWATER TREATMENT
30100922	761	CHEMICAL MFG-SOAP/DETERGENTS-PETROLEUM SULFONATE-VALVES, PUMPS, ETC
30101403	783	CHEMICAL MFG-PAINT MFG-RESIN PRODUCT-GENERAL
30101404	196	CHEMICAL MFG-PAINT MFG-ARCHITECTURAL COATING
3Ø1Ø14Ø5	783	CHEMICAL MFG-PAINT MFG-INDUSTRIAL COATING
3Ø1Ø1511	66	CHEMICAL MFG-VARNISH MFG- RESIN REACTOR
30101818	751	CHEMICAL MFG PLASTICS PRODUCTION-ABS RESIN-GENERAL
3Ø1Ø1819	752	CHEMICAL MFG PLASTICS PRODUCTION-POLYSTYRENE FOAM-BLOWING
3Ø1Ø1861	51Ø	CHEMICAL MFG-PLASTIC PRODUCTION-POLYVINYL CHLORIDE-VALVES, PUMPS, ETC
3Ø1Ø1862	5Ø5	CHEMICAL MEG-PLASTICS PRODUCTION-UNSPEC. RESIN-VALVES, POMPS, ETC
3Ø1125Ø5	78	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-EIHVLENE DICHLORIDE-VALVES, PUMPS, EIC
3Ø1127Ø2	764	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-FLUOROCARBON - 12/11
30112703	765	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-FLUOROCARDON $= 23/22$
30112704	/66	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-FLOOROCARBON - 113/114
30112711	770	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEM, FELOROCARBON PACKAGING FILOPOCARBONS 11
30112712	/6/	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMFLOOROCARBON PACKAGING-FLOOROCARBONS 11
30112713	760	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMFLUOROCARBON PACKAGING-FLUOROCARBONS 114
30112714	709	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMELOROCARBONS-VALVES PIMPS FTC
20112215	210	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-ORGANIC ACIDS PRODUCT W/ ACETONE
20125001	600	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-AUTO SPECIALTY PRODUCTS-MIXING TANKS
30125901	600	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGANIC CHEMICALS-AUTO SPECIALTY PRODUCTS-VALVES, PUMPS, ETC
30601501	600	PETROLEUM INDUSTRY-PETROLEUM REFINING-SULFUR PLANT-TAIL GAS VENTS
308001501	784	RUBBER/PLASTICS-RUBBER PRODUCT-SYNTHETIC RUBBER-BLENDING
-31000101	758	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-VALVES-UNSPECIFIED SERVICE
31000102	757	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-VALVES-GAS SERVICE
31000103	756	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-VALVES-LIQUID SERVICE
31000104	759	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-FITTINGS-UNSPECIFIED SERVICE
31000105	757	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-FITTINGS-GAS SERVICE
31000106	756	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-FITTINGS-LIQUID SERVICE
31000107	756	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-PUMP SEALS
31000108	757	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-COMPRESSOR SEALS
31000109	757	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PROD-PRESSURE RELIEF VALVES-NO RUPTURE DISCS
31000111	756	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-WELL HEADS
31000121	296	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-WELL CELLARS
31000122	296	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-SUMPS AND PILS
31000131	31	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PROD-MECHANICAL UIL/WAIER SEPARATURS
31000141	600	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-FIREFLOOD
31000142	31	OIL AND GAS PRODCRUDE OIL PRODUCTION-OIL/WATER SEPARATION
31000203	/56	UIL AND GAS PRUDN,-NATURAL GAS PRUDN-VALVES-LIQUID SERVICE
3 1 20 20 2 20 4	/59	OIL AND AND LUONA'-NAINVAL AND LUONA-LILIINAD. MALECILIEN DEUAICE

۴ì

 $C \mathbf{N}$ 

6.5

 $< \gamma$ 

TABLE 6-1. New source classification codes developed in this study.

 $\cap$ 

 $\cap$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\cap$ 

TABLE 6-1 (continued)

( )

.

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

13

SCC Code	Profile Code	Description of SCC
0100000	757	ALL AND CAS BRODN -NATURAL CAS BRODN-FITTINGS-CAS SERVICE
31000205	/5/	OIL AND GAS FRODNNATURAL GAS FRODN-FITTINGS-GAS SERVICE
31000200	750	OIL AND GAS FRODN, MATURAL GAS FRODN-FITTING EIGED SERVICE
31000207	750	OIL AND GAS FRODN - NATURAL GAS FRODN - COMPRESSOR SEALS
31000200	757	OIL AND GAS PRODN - NATURAL GAS PRODN -PRES RELITE VALVES-NO RUPTURE DISCS
21000203	757	OIL AND GAS PRODN -NATURAL GAS PRODN-WELL HEADS
10100211	221	ORGANIC SOLVENT-VAPOR DEGREASING-OPEN TOP-METHYLETHTYLKETONE (MEK)
40100200	754	ORGANIC SOLVENT-VAPOR DEGREASING-OPEN TOP-CHLOROSOLVE
40100210	506	ORGANIC SOLVENT-VAPOR DEGREASING-OPEN TOP-UNSPECIFIED HALOGENATED SOLVENTS
40100307	86	ORGANIC SOLVENT-COLD CLEANING-STODDARD SOLVENT
40200111	783	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-PAINT-POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
40200927	230	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-HEXANE
40200928	512	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-METHYLENE CHLORIDE
40200929	718	ORGANIC SOLVENT~SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-LACQUER THINNER
40200930	87	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT- 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE
40200931	782	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-HEXYLENE GLYCOL
40200932	3Ø1	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-HEPTANE
40200933	785	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-ETHYLENE OXIDE
40202601	753	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-RESINS-FIBERGLASS RESIN
4ø2ø26ø2	753	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SURFACE COATING-RESINS-GEL COAT
4ø3øø117	753	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-STYRENE
40300118	219	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-ACETONE
40300119	221	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-METHYLETHYLETONE (MEK)
4Ø3ØØ12Ø	· 31	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-RECOVERED OIL
4ø3øø121	76Ø	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-FUEL OIL
40300122	761	STORAGE -FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-LIGHT NAPHINA
40300123	760	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-TORBINE FUEL
40300124	/61	STURAGE-FIXED RUOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-GAS FUEL
40300125	78	STURAGE-FIXED RUOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-EINYLENE DICHLORIDE
40300120	762	STURAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-BIA
40390127	771	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS-ORTHO-XVIENE
40300120	716	STORAGE FIXED ROOF TANKS BREATHING LOSS ASPHALT
40300129	761	
40300130	271	STORAGE FIXED ROOF TANKS BREATHING LOSS TRICHLOROETHYLENE
40300132	289	STORAGE FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS- SEC-BUTYL ALCOHOL
40300133	786	STORAGE FIXED ROOF TANKS-BREATHING LOSS- METHANOL
40300162	753	STURAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-STYRENE
40300163	219	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-ACETONE
40300164	221	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-METHYLETHYLKETONE (MEK)
40300165	31	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-RECOVERED OIL
40300166	76Ø	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-FUEL OIL
40300167	761	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-LIGHT NAPHTHA
40300168	76Ø	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-TURBINE FUEL
40300169	761	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-GAS FUEL

£Ν

 $\sim 1$ 

123

### TABLE 6-1 (continued)

( )

 $C \supset$ 

 $\sim$ 

SCC Code	Profile Code	Description of SCC		
10300170	78	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-FTHVLENE DICHLORIDE		
40300170	762	STORAGE FIXED ROOF TANKS WORKING LOSS BTX		
40300171	771	STORAGE - FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-CARBON TETRACHLORIDE		
40300172	772	STORAGE FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-ORTHO-XYLENE		
40300174	716	STORAGE - FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-ASPHALT		
40300175	761	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-NAPHTHA		
40300176	271	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-TRICHLOROETHYLENE		
40300177	289	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS- SEC-BUTYL ALCOHOL		
40300178	786	STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS- METHANOL		
4ø3øø22ø	31	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-RECOVERED OIL		
4Ø3ØØ221	76Ø	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-FUEL OIL		
4ø3øø222	761	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-LIGHT NAPHTHA		
4ø3øø223	76Ø	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-TURBINE FUEL		
40300224	761	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-GAS OIL		
4ø3øø23ø	71Ø	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-AVGAS.		
4ø3øø25ø	1ØØ	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-JET FUEL		
4ø3øø251	761	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-KEROSENE		
4Ø3ØØ252	76Ø	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-DISTILLATE FUEL		
4Ø3ØØ265	31	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-RECOVERED OIL		
4Ø3ØØ266	76Ø	STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-FUEL OIL		
40300267	761	STORAGE-FLUATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-LIGHT NAPHINA		
40300268	760	STORAGE -FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-TORBINE FUEL		
40300269	761	STORAGE -FLOATING ROOF TANKS-WORKING LOSS-GAS OIL		
40300275	710	STURAGE FLUATING ROUF TANKS-WORKING LUSS-AVGAS.		
40300298	297	STURAGE-FLUATING ROOF TANKS-STANDING LOSS-NOT CLASSIFIED		
40300474	121	STURAGE-UNDERGROUND TANKS-WURKING LUSS-VARNISH		
40399901	600	STURAGE TANK CLEANING NOT CLASSIFIED		
40400121	220			
40400122	220	BULK TERMINALS STORAGE FIXED ROOF ISORUTYL ACETATE		
40400123	775			
40400124	776	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-ISOBUTYL ISOBUTYRATE		
40400125	228	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-ISOPROPYL ACETATE		
40400127	777	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-METHYL AMYL KETONE		
40400128	778	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE		
40400129	779	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF- N-BUTYL ACETATE		
40400130	289	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF- N-BUTYL ALCOHOL		
40400131	780	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF- N-PROPYL ACETATE		
40400132	781	BULK TERMINALS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF- N-PROPYL ALCOHOL		
40400136	514	BULK TERMINAL-STORAGE TANK-FIXED ROOF-LUBE OILS		
40400137	76Ø	BULK TERMINAL-STORAGE TANK-FIXED ROOF-DIST. FUEL		
40400138	76Ø	BULK TERMINAL-STORAGE TANK-FIXED ROOF-FUEL OIL		
40400161	31	BULK TERMINALS-MISCELLANEOUS-SUMPS AND PITS		
40400162	31	BULK TERMINALS-MISCELLANEOUS-MECHANICAL OIL/WATER SEPARATORS		
40400233	100	BULK PLANTS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-JET FUEL		

13

 $(\neg)$ 

1.5

### TABLE 6-1 (concluded)

 $f \cap$ 

7.8

 $\frown$ 

SCC Code	Profile Code	Description of SCC
4Ø4ØØ234	761	BULK PLANTS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-KEROSENE
4Ø4ØØ235	71Ø	BULK PLANTS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-GASOLINE
4Ø4ØØ236	· 76Ø	BULK PLANTS-STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-LUBE OILS
4Ø4ØØ261	31	BULK PLANTS-MISCELLANEOUS-SUMPS AND PITS
40400262	31	BULK PLANTS-MISCELLANEOUS-MECHANICAL OIL/WATER SEPARATORS
4Ø4ØØ285	71Ø	BULK PLANTS-STORAGE-FLOATING ROOF- GASOLINE
40400399	296	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION-CRUDE OIL STORAGE-UNSPECIFIED
40600111	78	PETROLEUM MARKETING-TANK CARS/TRUCKS~ETHYLENE DICHLORIDE-SUBMERGED LOADING
40600206	76Ø	PETROLEUM MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-FUEL OIL-LOADING
40600207	762	PETROLEUM MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-BTX-LOADING
40700151	6ØØ	CHEMICAL MARKETING-MISCELLANEOUS-VALVES,FLANGES,PUMPS
40700201	85	CHEMICAL MARKETING-TANK CARS/TRUCKS-SUBMERGED LOADING-PERCHLOROETHYLENE
4ø7øø2ø2	87	CHEMICAL MARKETING-TANK CARS/TRUCKS-SUBMERGED LOADING-1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE

κ. ۲

 $Z_{i}(t)$ 

۱

 $< \gamma$ 

 $c \rightarrow$ 

 $Z^{\prime} \Sigma$ 

٠

### Assignment of New Profiles to Existing SCCs

This study resulted in many new TOG speciation profiles. To fully benefit from this improved data set, the original assignment of species profiles to existing SCCs was reviewed to determine if more appropriate profiles were available. As a result, the existing SCCs and CESs shown in Table 6-2 were assigned new species profiles.

#### Revision of the MED Inventory

Several procedures were followed in making the actual revisions to the MED files. An important consideration was the conversion to kilograms per hour (kg/hr) of the emission rates presented in tons per year (tons/yr) in the documents developed for facilities receiving inventory changes. The equation we used followed the ARB procedure for converting point source EDS data (tons/yr) to the MED format (kg/hr) for a summer weekday (Johns, 1983).

where

Ć

£

Fraction for July = fraction of emissions occurring in July;

Hours per day = end hour - begin hour + 1, for source operations;

Weeks per month = 4.345

Information on seasonal operations for individual facilities was limited. Therefore, the fraction for July equaled 1/12 during the conversion process. The fraction for Thursday used the ARB convention as follows:

SCC Code	Old Profile	New Profile	Description of SCC
20100201		719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-ELEC GEN- NATURAL GAS-TURBINE
20100202	เต	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-ELEC GEN- NATURAL GAS-RECIPROCATING
20200201	-~7	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-INDUSTRIAL-NATURAL GAS-TURBINE
20200202	ìø	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-INDUSTRIAL-NATURAL GAS-RECIPROCATING
20200802	1Ø	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-INDUSTRIAL-NATURAL GAS- RECIPROCATING
20299997	1Ø	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-GASEOUS MATERIAL COMBUSTION
20300201	1.8	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-COMM/INSTIT-NATURAL GAS-RECIPROCATING
20400301	7	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION-ENGINE TESTING-TURBINE-NATURAL GAS
3Ø1ØØ5Ø1	<b>6</b> ØØ	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-CHANNEL PROCESS
3Ø1ØØ5Ø2	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-THERMAL PROCESS
3Ø1ØØ5Ø3	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-FURNACE PROC GAS-MAIN PROCESS VENT
3Ø1ØØ5Ø4	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK- FURNACE PROCESS OIL- VENT
3ø1øø5ø5	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK- FURNACE PROCESS GAS/OIL
3ø1øø5ø6	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-TRANSPORT AIR VNT
3ø1øø5ø7	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-PELLET DRYER
3ø1øø5ø8	6ØØ	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-BAGGING/LOADING
3Ø1ØØ5Ø9	6 <i>ØØ</i>	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-FURNACE PROCESS-FUGITIVE EMISSION
3Ø1ØØ599	600	787	CHEMICAL MFG- CARBON BLACK-OTHER/NOT CLASIFD-**
3Ø1Ø14Ø1	125	783	CHEMICAL MFG- GENERAL PAINTS- MIXING/HANDLING
3Ø1Ø14Ø2	125	783	CHEMICAL MFG-IND PROC-PAINT MFG-PIGMENT KILN
3Ø1Ø1499	125	783	CHEMICAL MFG- GENERAL PAINTS- UNCLASSIFIED
3Ø1Ø1817	600	752	CHEMICAL MFG-PLAST PRODN-POLVSTVRENE-GENERAL
3Ø1Ø1837	600	753	CHEMICAL MFG- POLYESTER RESINS
3Ø1Ø19Ø1	600	763	CHEMICAL MFG-ORGAN CHEM-PHTHALIC ANHYDRID-XYLENE OXDN-RXR
3Ø1Ø19Ø2	600	763	CHEMICAL MFG-ORGAN CHEM-PHTHALIC ANHYDRID-XYLENE OXDN-IREAL
3Ø1Ø19Ø3	600	763	CHEMICAL MFG- PHTHALIC ANHYDRIDE UNCONTROLLED
3Ø1Ø19Ø4	600	763	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGAN CHEM-PHTHALIC ANHYDRID-NAPILN OXDN-DISIN
30101905	600	763	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGAN CHEM-PHIHALIC ANHYDRID-NAPILN OXDN-XXX
30101906	600	763	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGAN CHEM-PHIMALIC ANHYDRID-NAPILN OXDN-IREAL
30101907	600	763	CHEMICAL MEG-ORGAN CHEM-PHIHALIC ANHYDRID-NAPILN OXDN-DISIN
30102699	6.00	784	CHEMICAL MEG- SYNTHETIC RUBBER
30105001	600	714	CHEMICAL MFG- GENERAL ADHESIVES
31000199	296	758	UIL & GAS PRODUCTION- CRUDE- NOI CLASSIFIED
31.00.0299	520	758	UIL & GAS PRODUCTION- NATURAL GAS- NOT CLASSIFIED
31088801	500	758	
40100207	271	755	ORGANIC SOLVENT DEGREASING TRICHOROTRIFLOORDEINAME
402001.01	125	703	ORGANIC SOLVENT COATINGS FAINT FOLMERIC NOT AIR DRILD
40200110	125	703	ORGANIC SOLVENT- CONTINGS- FAINT SOLVENT-BASED
40200199	120	703	ORGANIC SOLVENT CONTING HATEP-BASED BAINT CENEPAL
40200201	190	717	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- WATER-BASED PAINT GENERAL
40200210	1 20	711	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING ENERAL LACOUER
40200401	149	711	
40200410	149	711	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING ON CLASSIFIED(LADUER)
40200499	156	712	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING FRAMEL- GENERAL
40200301	150	712	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING ENAMEL - GENERAL
*************	100	112	ONGANIO BOLYENI CONTING ENABLE GENERAL

TABLE 6-2. Existing SCCs and CESs assigned new species profiles.

15

17

۴ ۱

1 -

1

< `</p>

( )

1 Z N

()

( )

**\_** 

TABLE	6-2	(continued)

SCC Code	Old Profile	New Profile	Description of SCC
40200599	136	712	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- COMPOSITE
40200601	134	713	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- PRIMER- GENERAL
40200610	134	713	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- PRIMER- GENERAL
40200699	136	713	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- COMPOSITE
40200701	141	714	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- ADHESIVE- GENERAL
40200710	142	714	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- ADHESIVE- GENERAL
40200799	136	714	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- COMPOSITE
40200801	136	783	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- OVEN- UNSPECIFIED
40200802	136	783	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- OVEN- <175 F- UNSPECIFIED
40200803	136	783	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- OVEN- >175 F
40200810	136	783	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- OVEN- GENERAL
40200899	136	783	ORGANIC SOLVENT- COATING- OVEN- UNSPECIFIED
40200911	98	71Ø	ORGANIC SOLVENT-SRFC COATING-THINNING SOLVENT-GASOLINE
4Ø3ØØ1Ø1	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE- FIXED ROOF TANK- BREATHING LOSS
40300103	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE- FIXED ROOF TANK- WORKING LOSS
40300107	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DIST OIL - FIXED ROOF TANK- BREATHING LOSS
4Ø3ØØ152	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DIST OIL- FIXED ROOF TANK- WORKING LOSS
4Ø3ØØ2Ø1	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE- FLOATING ROOF- STANDING LOSS
40300202	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE- FLOATING ROOF- WORKING LOSS
4Ø3ØØ2Ø7	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DISTILLATE OIL- FLOATING ROOF- STANDING LOSS
4ø3øø3ø2	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE- VAR VAPOR SPACE- WORKING LOSS
40300305	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DIST OIL- VAR VAPOR SPACE- WORKING LOSS
4Ø3Ø1ØØ <b>1</b>	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-67K BBL-BREATHING
4Ø3Ø1ØØ2	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 10-67K BBL-BREATHING
40301003	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-67K BBL-BREATHING
4Ø3Ø1ØØ4	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-250K BBL-BREATHNG
40301005	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 10-250K BBL-BREATHNG
4ø3ø1øø6	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-250K BBL-BREATHING
4Ø3Ø1ØØ7	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-WORKING LOSS
4Ø3Ø1ØØ8	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE RVP1Ø- FIXED ROOF- WORKING LOSS
4ø3ø1øø9	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-WORKING LOSS
4Ø3Ø1Ø1 <b>9</b>	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DIST #2- FIXED ROOF- 67K BBL-BREATHING
4Ø3Ø1Ø2Ø	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DIST #2- FIXED ROOF- 250K BBL-BREATHING
4Ø3Ø1Ø21	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE- DIST #2- FIXED ROOF- WORKING LOSS
4Ø3Ø11Ø1	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-67K BBL-STAND LOS
4Ø3Ø11Ø2	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE STORAGE
4ø3ø11ø3	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-67K BBL-STAND LOS
4Ø3Ø11Ø4	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-250K BBL-STAND LS
4Ø3Ø11Ø5	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE RVP10- FLOATING- 250K BBL STANDING LUSS
4Ø3Ø11Ø6	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-250K BBL-STAND LS
4Ø3Ø11Ø7	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-6/K BBL- WITHDRAW
4Ø3Ø11Ø8	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE RVP13/10//- FLOATING- 250K BBL WITHDRAWAL
40301115	514	76.0	PETRO STORAGE- DIST #2- FLOATING- 6/K BBL STANDING LOSS
4Ø3Ø1116	514	76Ø	PEIRO SIORAGE- DISI #Z- FLOAIING- 250 K BBL SIANDING LOSS
4Ø3Ø112Ø	514	76Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF INKS-DIST.OIL #2-WITHDRAWAL LOSS
4ø3ø1131	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-EXINL-PRMRY SEAL-GAS

A 1 1-3

4 s

TABLE 6-2 (continued)

C

7.3

70.

SCC	01d	New	Description of SCC
Code	Profile	Profile	
<u> </u>			
40301135	514	760	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-EXTNL-PRMRY SEAL-DIST.OIL #2
40301141	98	71Ø	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-EXTNL-SCDRY SEAL-GAS
40301145	514	760	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-EXTNL-SCDRY SEAL-DIST.OIL #2
40301143	98	710	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-INTERN ROOF-GAS
40301155	514	760	PETRO STORAGE-FLOAT ROOF TNKS-INTERN ROOF-DIST. OIL #2
40301201	98	710	PETRO STORAGE- GASOLINE RVP13- VAR VAPOR SPACE- FILLING LOSS
40301201	98	710	PETRO STORAGE-VAR. VAPOR SP. TNKS-GAS-RVP 10-FILLING LOSS
40301202	98	710	PETRO STORAGE-VAR.VAPOR SP.TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-FILLING LOSS
40301205	514	760	PETRO STORAGE-VAR.VAPOR SP.TNKS-DIST FUEL #4-FILLING LOSS
40301200	98	710	BUIK TERMINALS-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-67K BBL-BREATHING
40400101 A0A00107	98	710	BULK TERMINALS- GASOLINE RVP10- FIXED ROOF- 67K BBL BREATHING LOSS
40400102	98	710	BULK TERMINALS-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-67K BBL-BREATHING
40400103	90	710	BULK TERMINALS-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-250K BBL-BREATHNG
40400104	50	710	BULK TERMINALS-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 10-250K BBL-BREATHNG
40400105	50	710	BULK TERMINALS-FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 7-250K BBL-BREATHNG
40400100	90	710	BULK TERMINALS FIXED ROOF TNKS-GAS-RVP 13-WORKING LOSS
40400107	90	710	BULK TERMINALS - CASOLINE RVP10 - FIXED ROOF - WORKING LOSS
40400108	90	710	BULK TERMINALS ETVER POOF TAKS-CAS-RVP 7-WORKING LOSS
40400109	90	710	BULK TERMINALS FLOAT ROOF THES GAS RVP 13-67K BBI - STAND LOS
40400110	90	710	BULK TERMINALS - CASOLINE RVP10 - FLOATING - 67K BBL STANDING LOSS
40400111	98	710	BULK TERMINALS GASOLINE RVP3- FLOATING - 67K BBL STANDING LOSS
40400112	98	710	BULK TERMINALS GASCHINE KYY - CAS-RVP 13-256K BBI - STAND IS
40400113	98	710	BULK TERMINALS - CASOLINE PUPIL - FLOATING - 250K BRI STANDING LOSS
40400114	98	710	BULK TERMINALS - GASCETNE RVITS - CASERVP 7-250K BRI - STANDIS
40400115	98	710	BULK TERMINALS - CASOLINE DVD12/10/7- FLOATING - 67K BRI VITHDRAVAL
40400116	98	710	BULK TERMINALS GASOLINE RVF13/18/7- FLOATING 256K BRI WITHDRAWAL
40400117	98	710	DULK TERMINALS - GASOLINE KYTISTIST, TEORING SOE WITTSKING
40400118	98	710	DULK TERMINALS VAR, VAFOR ST INKS GAS KVI 13 10,5K BRI FILL US
40400119	98	710	BULK TERMINALS VAR, VARON SET INVS-CASERVE TO TAKE BULFILL LS
40400120	98	710	BULK TERMINALS VAR, VAFOR SETAN VALVESETANCES & DIMOS
40400151	98	710	BULK TERMINALS-MISC-LEAKS FROM VALVES-FLANGES & FOMFS
40400154	98	710	BULK TERMINALS-MISCHANK TRUCK VAFUR-LEANS
40400250	98	710	BULK PLANIS-MISC-LUADING RACKS
4.06.001.01	_ 98 .	710	PETRO MARKETING - GASOLINE LOADING - TANK CARSTINOCKS
40600105	. 514	760	PETRO MARKETING DIST DIL TANK TRUCKS LOADING
40600126	98	719	PETRO MARKETING GASOLINE TANK TRUCKS SUBMERGED LOADING
4Ø6ØØ13Ø	514	760	PETRO MARKETING DIST OIL TANK TRUCKS SUBMERGED LOADING
40600131	98	710	PEIRO MARKETING - GASOLINE - TANK TRUCKS - SUBMERGED LOADING
40600135	514	76Ø	PETRO MARKETING- DIST UIL- TANK TRUCKS- SUBMERGED LUADING
4ø6øø136	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- TANK TRUCKS- SPLASH LOADING
40600140	514	76Ø	PETRO MARKETING-INK CARS/IRKS-DISI OIL-SPLASH-LUAD-NORMAL SCV
40600141	98	· 71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-GAS-SBMRG-LOAD-BALANCE SVC
40600144	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-GAS-SPLASH-LOAD-BALANCE SCV
48688147	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-GASOLINE-SBMRG-LUAD-CLEAN TANK
40600151	98	71 <i>Ø</i>	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- TANK TRUCKS- UNLOADING
40600155	514	76 <i>Ø</i>	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-DIST OIL-UNLOAD **
40600161	514	76Ø	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-DIST OIL-SBMRG-LOAD-CLEAN-TANK

 $\sim 2$ 

*ب* 4

1-4

15

/ ,

TABLE 6-2 (continued)

( )

SCC Code	Old Profile	New Profile	Description of SCC
			······································
4Ø6ØØ162	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-GAS-TRANSIT-LOADED W/ FUEL
40600163	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-TNK CARS/TRKS-GAS-TRANSIT-LOADED W/ VAPOR
40600201	98	7 1 Ø	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- MARINE VESSELS- LOADING
40600205	514	76Ø	PETRO MARKETING- DIST OIL- MARINE VESSELS- LOADING
4ø6øø226	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GASOLINE-UNLOADING **
40600230	514	76Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-DISTIL OIL-UNLOADING
4ø6øø231	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS SHIP-CLEAN TANK
4ø6øø232	98	7 1 Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS BARGE-LOADING
40600233	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS BARGE-CLEAN TANK
40600234	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS SHIP-LOADING-BALLASIED
4ø6øø235	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS BARGE-LOADING-BALLASTED
40600236	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS SHIP-UNCLEAN TANK
4Ø6ØØ237	98	710	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS BARGE-UNCLEAN TANK
40600238	98	710	PEIRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS BARGE-UNCLEAN TANK
40600239	98	/10	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS SHIP-AVERAGE TAAK
40600240	98	710	PEIRU MARKEIING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS BARGE-AVERAGE TANK
40600241	98	710	PEIRO MARKEIING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS TANKER-BALLASIING
40600242	98	710	PETRU MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-GAS-TANKER
40600246	514	760	PETRU MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-DIST OIL TANKER-LOADING
40600251	514	760	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-DIST UL TANKER-LOADING
40600257	514	760	PETRO MARKETING-MARINE VESSELS-DISTIL OLL-TRANSIT LOSS
40600301	98	71.0	PETRO MARKETING-SERVICE STATIONS-STAGE I-SFLASH FILLING
40600302	98	710	PETRO MARKETING - GASOLINE - SERVICE STATIONS - SUBMERCED FILL
40000303	98	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- STATION TANKS- SUBMERGED LOADING
40000304	90	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- STATION TANKS SUBALICED LODDING
4 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	90	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- STATION TANKS ONLOADING
40000300	50	710	PETRO MARKETING-SERVICE STATIONS-STAGE I -BREATH-UNDRGD TNK
40000307	90	710	PETRO MARKETING - GASOLINE - STATION TANKS - UNSPECIFIED
40000399	90	710	PETRO MARKETING - GASOLINE - STATION TANKS - VAPOR NO CONTROL
106000401	98	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- STATION TANKS- LIQUID NO CONTROL
40600402	98	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- STATION TANKS- CONTROLS
40600499	98	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- STATION TANKS- NOT CLASSIFIED
40688801	98	710	PETRO MARKETING- GASOLINE- FUGITIVE EMISSIONS
406888802	98	710	PETRO MARKETING-FUGITIVE EMIS-NOT CLASSIFIED
40688803	98	710	PETRO MARKETING-FUGITIVE EMIS-NOT CLASSIFIED
40688804	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-FUGITIVE EMIS-NOT CLASSIFIED
406888805	98	71Ø	PETRO MARKETING-FUGITIVE EMIS-NOT CLASSIFIED
AAA11676	98	71Ø	AIRPORT- VEHICLE REFUELING- GASOLINE
AAA17947	96	783	SURFACE COATING- CANS & CONTAINERS
AAA16697	96	783	SURFACE COATING- EDUCATIONAL SERVICES
AAA19Ø18	96	783	SURFACE COATING- AIR TRANSPORTATION
AAA19Ø26	96	783	SURFACE COATING- MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES
AAA19Ø34	96	783	SURFACE COATING- MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING
AAA191Ø9	96	783	SURFACE COATING- TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT #1
AAA1919Ø	96	783	SURFACE COATING- TIRE MANUFACTURING- RUBBER & PLASTICS

4 ک

 $C^{(n)}$ 

 $r \gamma$ 

< 3

;

6.

130

\_

TABLE 6-2 (concluded)

63

7.8

7.8

 $C^{\infty}$ 

SCC Code	Old Profile	New Profile	Description of SCC	
<u></u>	<u></u>			
AAA19315	96	783	SURFACE COATING- WOOD FURNITURE & FIXTURES	
AAA2Ø1Ø7	96	783	SURFACE COATING- MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES- WHOLESALE & RETAIL	
AAA2Ø586	96	783	SURFACE COATING- AMUSEMENTS & MOTION PICTURES	
AAA24729	96	783	SURFACE COATING- PRINT & PUBLISHING	
AAA24794	96	783	SURFACE COATING- RUBBER & PLASTICS MANUFACTURING	
AAA24877	96	783	SURFACE COATING- FURNITURE & FIXTURES- COTTON GIN	
AAA24935	96	763	SURFACE COATING- TEXTILES & APPAREL	
AAA25Ø56	96	783	SURFACE COATING- WHOLESALE & RETAIL AUTO	
AAA25213	96	783	SURFACE COATING- FABRICATED STEEL	
AAA25262	96	783	SURFACE COATING- IRON & STEEL FOUNDRY	
AAA25916	96	783	SURFACE COATING- GLASS PRODUCTS	
AAA27854	96	783	SURFACE COATING- TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT #2	
AAA2792Ø	96	783	SURFACE COATING- TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT #3	
AAA27995	96	783	SURFACE COATING- LUMBER & WOOD PRODUCTS	
AAA28464	96	783	SURFACE COATING- MACHINERY CONSTRUCTION	
AAA31583	96	783	SURFACE COATING- MW PRODUCTS	
AAA31963	96	783	SURFACE COATING- FABRICATED STRUCTURAL METAL	
AAA32342	96	. 783	SURFACE COATING- LUMBER & WOOD	
AAA34835	96	783	SURFACE COATING- PAINT_MANUFACTURING	
AAA35212	96	783	SURFACE COATING- NON FERROUS METALS	
AAA37861	96	783	SURFACE COATING- TRANSPORTATION_EQUIPMENT- MISSLES	
AAA39Ø24	96	783	SURFACE COATING- CHEMICAL & ALLIED	
AAA42358	96	783	SURFACE COATING- RUBBER & PLASTICS FABRICATION	
AAA42416	96	783	SURFACE COATING- MACHINERY- ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	
AAA 4 6 4 6 6	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- TANK BREATHING LOSS- BULK PLANTS	
AAA46474	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- TANK WORKING LOSS- BULK PLANTS	
AAA46482	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- TANK CARS & TRUCKS WORKING LOSS	
AAA4649Ø	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- MARKETING- STORAGE & TRANSFER- BULK	
AAA46532	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION AT SERVICE STATIONS- TANK WORKING_LOSS	
AAA4654Ø	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION AT SERVICE STATIONS- VEHICLE REFUELING	
AAA46557	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION AT SERVICE STATIONS- TANK BREATHING LOSS	
AAA46565	98	7Ø9	GASOLINE EVAPORATION AT SERVICE STATIONS- SPILLAGE	
AAA46581	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- TANKER LOADING	
AAA46631	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- BARGE LOADING	
AAA4673Ø	98	71Ø	GASOLINE EVAPORATION- TANKER/BARGE BALLASTING	
AAA46748	156	783	SURFACE COATING- EVAPORATION- MANUFACTURING & INDUSTRIAL	
AAA46755	196	717	SURFACE COATING- EVAPORATION- WATER BASED	
AAA46771	136	718	SURFACE COATING- EVAPORATION- SOLVENT	
AAA4687Ø	26	716	ASPHALT PAVING- CUTBACK ASPHALT EVAPORATION	
AAA46888	26	715	ASPHALT PAVING- ROAD OIL EVAPORATION	
AAA66787	1 Ø	719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION- NATURAL GAS	
AAA74682	7	719	FUEL COMBUSTION (COGENERATION)	
AAA90003	514	76Ø	ALFALFA DESSICATION - APPLICATION LOSS - CHEVRON WEEDOIL	
AAA9ØØØ4	514	76Ø	ALFALFA DESSICATION - EVAPORATION LOSS - CHEVRON WEEDOIL	

ويعتا

 $\sim 1$ 

6.5

7 - 5

ζ. Υ

 $< \gamma$ 

 $( \mathbf{N} )$ 

Days	Fraction
per Week	for Thursday
1	0.20000
2	0.00000*
3	0.20000
4	0.20000
5	0.20000
6	0.16667
7	0.14286

To determine the number of hours per day of point source operations, we used the beginning and ending hours of equipment activity; we determined these beginning and ending hours from the daily hours of equipment operation, again using the ARB procedure.

Daily Hours	Begin	End
of Operation	Hour	Hour
1	8	15
2	8	15
3	8	15
4	8	15
5	8	15
6	9	14
7	9	15
8	8	15
9	8	16
10	7	16
11	7	17
12	6	17
13	8	20
14	8	21
15	8	22
16	8	23
17	7	23
18	6	23
19	3	21
20	3	22

\* This value is assigned by ARB by convention; the 2 days per week are assumed to be a weekend.

84052(a)r 10

ž

÷

Ć

21	2	22
22	1	22
23	1	23
24	0	23

To make the conversion from tons/yr to kg/hr, therefore, it was necessary to estimate the number of days/week and hours/day of operation for each source to be added to the inventory. Because we had obtained summaries of the TADs for many surveyed facilities, we used the days/week and hours/day information given in the TADs for devices at a facility. In cases for which we did not have facility TADs, operating schedules were estimated from a knowledge of typical source operations and from survey responses.

Several other procedures were followed in revising the inventory:

- If emissions other than TOG or NO<sub>X</sub> were listed in the inventory for a facility device that was to be deleted from the file, all emissions for the device were deleted.
- (2) If some but not all devices with the same SCC at a facility were to be deleted, then the ratio of the individual emissions was used to determine the amount of emissions to retain.
- (3) When emissions from multiple devices with the same SCC were added for a facility, the emissions were summed before being entered into the file.
- (4) If an SCC was added and the same SCC already existed and was retained for a facility in the file, then the new emission rate for the SCC was entered separately from the emission rate for the existing SCC.
- (5) New emission rates were rounded to the nearest tenth of a kg/hr unless the value was less than 0.05 kg/hr, in which case we rounded the value to one significant digit and entered the new emission rate.

One specific change to emissions in the area source file was made in addition to the reassignment of speciation profiles. As discussed in Section 4 and Appendix B, revisions were made to the inventory for emissions from internal combustion engines that affected both the point and area source MED files. To change the area source file, the ratio of the total area source emissions for CES 66787 (internal combustion engines, natural gas) in the original file to the revised emissions by pollutant was used to derive multipliers for revising the inventory. The following factors were used for every grid cell with CES 66787 emissions in the area source file:

 $84052(a)r^{10}$ 

t

Ú.



Before entering the revisions to the inventory, we first produced a new set of the original point, area, and speciation files on the computing system. Changes were then made to these MED files, and the new files were transmitted to the ARB. No changes were made to the stack data file.

#### RESULTS OBTAINED FROM INVENTORY REVISIONS

Results obtained using the "old inventory category" (OIC) system (among others) for grouping sources are presented in this section. It was necessary to generate new OIC codes for combinations of SIC/SCC pairs because (1) new SCCs and new SIC/SCC pairs were developed in this study, and (2) many existing SIC/SCC pairs in the original inventory were not assigned OIC codes in our November 1982 version of the file "category." The OIC codes we assigned to SIC/SCC pairs for reporting purposes are listed in Appendix G.

In addition, two unusual SCCs in the original point source file appeared to be in error. The SCCs occurred at two facilities, Nos. 6550 and 7182, in Los Angeles County. We revised these two SCCs to what appeared to be their correct codes. There were also four SCCs that were not assigned speciation profiles in the original MED files: 4-02-002-07, 4-02-004-11, 4-02-005-11, and 4-09-009-22. A miscellaneous profile was used to speciate emissions for these SCCs.

Appendix H contains a listing of all facilities in the point source file that underwent revisions during the study. The revised emission rates for devices at these facilities were developed from several sources of information including survey responses and SCAQMD emission fee data. The emission changes affected facilities in all four counties of the SOCAB and included additions, deletions, and modifications, primarily for TOG and  $NO_x$ ; revisions to  $SO_x$ , CO, and PM were also made for combustion equipment at some facilities. All changes were initially generated on a tons/yr basis and then converted to kg/hr for the MED inventory as described. In addition, minor revisions to the MED files for surveyed facilties were also occasionally incorporated (e.g., the revision of an SIC code). All changes to the MED inventory were documented in a set of handwritten pages for each facility (e.g., SCC entries, tons/yr and kg/hr emission rates, beginning and ending hours, justification for the revisions) for subsequent use by the ARB and SCAQMD in updating their data bases. This documentation has been transmitted to the ARB.

84052(a)r 10

A substantial body of data is contained in the documentation of study recommendations for changes to the inventory. Changes in emission rates were made to 241 facilities. We made an average of about seven changes per facility, so about 1500 MED entries (or computer records) were revised during the project. In addition to these changes, modifications to the MED inventory were incorporated for speciation profiles. Most of the emission changes to the MED point source file were on the order of 0.2 to 5.0 kg/hr, but changes as large as 150 kg/hr were also made. Eight cases of double counting of facilities were also uncovered. Double-counted facilities were deleted from the MED file, as appropriate. This topic is discussed later in this section.

### Emission Data and Classes of Organic Gases

The overall effects of these revisions on inventory totals are demonstrated through a comparison of tables containing categories of emissions for the original and revised inventories. Tables 6-3 and 6-4 present emission rates using the OIC system of categorizing sources for the original and revised inventories, respectively. Emissions from the point and area source files are summarized in these tables, but the totals do not represent the complete SOCAB inventory because on-road motor vehicle emissions are excluded. In all of these tables, SOCAB emissions are given in tons/day for a 1979 summer weekday. Emissions of TOG and ROG are expressed as methane and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are expressed as nitrogen dioxide.

Although changes to the spatial resolution (e.g., from oil field revisions) and temporal resolution (e.g., from the modified diurnal profile for power plants) of the inventory cannot be ascertained from such tabulations, Tables 6-3 and 6-4 do show that overall TOG and ROG emissions have increased by 6.2 and 7.5 tons/day (0.2 and 1.0 percent), but that  $NO_{\chi}$ emissions have decreased by 15.6 tons/day (3.0 percent). Total CO and  $SO_{\chi}$ emissions have decreased a fraction. We note that some particulate matter emissions not shown in the tables were added to the inventory for petroleum refining activities.

A comparison of source categories presented in these tables reveals some interesting trends. Important changes occur within the petroleum industry for organic gases. Emissions of TOG from petroleum production (OIC 120 plus 410) increase, whereas ROG emissions decrease somewhat. Both petroleum refining (OIC 130 plus 420) TOG and ROG emissions decrease, as do petroleum marketing (OIC 430) TOG and ROG emissions. However, the reactivity of petroleum production emissions has been reduced significantly as measured by the ROG/TOG ratio for OIC 410. The same ratio for petroleum refining (OIC 420) has hardly changed.

84052(a)r 10

E

TABLE 6-3. Original emission data by OIC code.

11

715

*′*.

 $L_{\rm eff}$  (  $L_{\rm eff}$ 

 $(\cdot)$ 

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

 $C^{\infty}$ 

 $f \geq$ 

( )

( )

(Tons per day)

CODE	SOURCE NAME	TOG	ROG	C0	NOX	SOX
100		ศ ต.ศ	Ø. 110	0.00	Ø.AØ	Ø.ØI
110		Ø.9Ø	Ø.80	0.00	Ø.Ø3	ø.ou
120	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	3.79	0.66	1.07	7.48	Ø.1Ø
130	PETROLEUM REFINING	11.05	4.67	3.68	59.35	6.21
110	OTHER MANUFACTURING/INDUSTRIA	7.35	3.Ø3	71.13	62.41	16.Ø4
150	FLECTRIC UTILITIES	12.03	8.41	14.21	136.36	89.38
160	OTHER SERVICES AND COMMERCE	3.75	Ø.82	2.Ø7	25.25	1.64
170	RESIDENTIAL	1.84	Ø.67	1.75	27.30	Ø.Ø5
199	OTHER	15.78	2.11	12.73	43.92	Ø.66
200	WASTE BURNING	I.IØ	Ø.ØØ	ø.øø	0.00	Ø.ØØ
210	AGRICULTURAL DEBRIS	Ø.Ø6	Ø.Ø2	<i>V</i> .76	Ø. <i>E</i> 8	0.00
220	RANGE MANAGEMENT	Ø.IØ	Ø.ØØ	ø.øø	Ø.00	Ø.U0
230	FOREST MANAGEMENT	Ø.UØ	ø.øø	ø.øø	0.00	Ø.00
240	INCINERATION	Ø.16	Ø.Ø4	Ø.43	Ø.42	3.39
299	OTHER	Ø.IØ	Ø.ØØ	Ø.34	Ø. II	Ø.UØ
300	SOLVENT USE	Ø. <i>UØ</i>	Ø.ØØ	ø.øø	Ø.0Ø	Ø.%Ø
31Ø	DRY CLEANING	11.60	8.2Ø	Ø.ØØ	Ø.00	Ø.ØU
32Ø	DEGREASING	33.94	23.15	0.00	Ø.13	Ø.ØØ
3 <b>3</b> Ø	ARCHITECTURAL COATING	88.91	87.98	ø.øø	Ø.ØØ	Ø.0Ø
34Ø	OTHER SURFACE COATING	<b>14</b> 1.4Ø	138.71	Ø.38	Ø.39	Ø.04
35Ø	ASPHALT PAVING	32.28	22.83	0.00	0.00	0.00
36Ø	PRINTING	12.40	12.Ø4	0.01	Ø.13	0.00
ີ່ພີ່ 37Ø	DOMESTIC	46.26	39.63	0.01	0.00	0.00
o 380	INDUSTRIAL SOLVENT USE	23.16	22.64	0.00	Ø.02	0.00
399	OTHER	2.93	1.88	0.00	0.00	0.00
4ØØ	PETROLEUM PROCESS, STORAGE & TRANSFER	Ŋ.IJØ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4 1 Ø	OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION	56.86	40.45	0.00	Ø.11	0.00 EC 91
4 2 Ø	PETROLEUM REFINING	55.51	49.13	15.82	13.30	50.01
4 3 <i>Ø</i>	PETROLEUM MARKETING	372.18	84.02	0.00	0.03	<b>0.00</b>
499	OTHER	12.43	1.0.04	0.02	0.00	<b>0.90</b> 6 90
5 <i>ØØ</i>	INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES	10.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	2 16
51Ø	CHEMICAL	1.0.00	7.89	2.57 W 2E	0.30	2.10
520	FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL	10.03	0.20	0.20	1 27	2 10
560	MINERAL PROCESSES	1.11	2.75	164 61	4.27	1 / 1 / 1 / 1
570	METAL PROCESSES	4.31	2.20	6 00	0.04	10.50 6 65
580	WOOD AND PAPER	10.13	0.09		Ø.07 Ø.49	<i>U</i> . <i>U</i> U <i>U G G G</i>
599	UTHER DESCRIPTION	4.11	3.00	N 66	9 . 4 5 9 . (1)	0.05 0.KG
600	MISC PROCESSES	15 24	12 67	0.00	6 88	Q (14
610		107.04	15.07			0.EC
620	FARMING OPERATIONS	137.34	15.75	5.00 8 01	0.00 6 66	0.00 0 00
630	CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION		6 60	0 00	Ø. 01	ศ. ผม
640	ENTRAINED ROAD DUST - FAVED	0.00 0 00	ม. 55 ส เเน	ศ.ศต	Ø. 00	Ø.00
660	- HNDLANDED EIDER	85 95	17 77	499.94	14.77	Ø. 60
600	COLTO MACTE LANDETLI	1638 83	18.16	455.54	6.69	Ø. 110
600	OTHED	14 31	9.42	0.13	Ø.67	ั้ย. มจ
מאק	ON POAD VEHICLES	3 (1 <b>0</b>	Ø. 40	J . 110	டைய் ப	0.00
700	LICHT DUTY PASSENGER	ે. મંગ	0.00	Ø. UØ	0.11	0.20
720	LIGHT AND MEDIUM OUTV TRUCKS	ต. ยุต	Ø . UØ	y . UN	Ø. UU	Ø. UN
730	HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	Ø. UØ	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ø. 1818

### TABLE 6-3 (concluded)

 $\partial \gamma$ 

 $\sim$ 

<u>د - ۲</u>

 $\mathcal{C} X$ 

1 -

CODE	SOURCE NAME	TOG	ROG	со	NOX	SOX
74Ø	HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	Ø.ØØ	Ø.9Ø	0.00	Ø, 613	ø.00
75Ø	MOTORCYCLES	Ø.ØØ	0.00	Ø.ØJ	0.00	Ø.ØC
8 <i>ØØ</i>	OTHER MOBILE	Ø.IØ	Ø.ØØ	0.00	Ø.UU	Ø.00
81Ø	OFF ROAD VEHICLES	31.04	26.07	103.70	8.22	Ø.87
82Ø ·	TRAINS	6.53	5.98	10.04	21.09	2.14
83Ø	SHIPS	1.78	1.63	3.51	12.7Ø	17.37
85Ø	AIRCRAFT - GOVERNMENT	Ø.17	Ø.17	1.53	Ø.49	Ø.Ø7
86Ø	AIRCRAFT - OTHER	19.56	18.94	83.79	11.99	1.12
87Ø	MOBILE EQUIPMENT	24.87	19.56	16Ø.87	73.27	5,36
88Ø	UTILITY EQUIPMENT	12.42	10.39	110.97	1.66	Ø.Ø5
900	UNSPECIFIED SOURCES	Ø. IØ	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØI	0.00
TOTAL		2962.42	771.98	1278.27	528.9Ø	217.61

 $r \rightarrow$ 

 $\cap$ 

 $\sim$ 

( )

# TABLE 6-4. Revised emission data by OIC code.

17

1.

1.5

1

/\* N

(Tons per day)

CODE	SOURCE NAME	TOG	ROG	CO	NOX	SOX
1.500	SUEL COMBUSTION		3.99	N . GN	<b>9 .</b>	Ø . MM
1102		E . 193	1.10	1 . BN	$\mathfrak{D}$ . $\mathfrak{C}$	$\mathcal{G}_{n,n}(\mathcal{G})$
123	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	4.53	8.54	1.22	3.47	<i>M</i> .1 <i>M</i>
120	PETROLEUM REFINING	19.63	3.40	2.98	65.48	6.25
1467	OTHER MANUFACTURING/INDUSTRIAL	7.41	3.03	71.15	63.22	16.15
156	STREE WINDERS	13.49	8.37	14.77	137.76	89.38
163	OTHER SERVICES AND COMMERCE	3.74	9.83	2.37	25.18	1.63
178	SERVICES AND COMPERCE	. 1.84	9.67	1.75	27.1	£ 125
199	OTHER	7.54	1.15	9.35	17.10	<i>ы.</i> с6
200	WASTE BURNING	5.113	11.018	H.N.C	H 19	$B \circ E G$
216	ACRICHTHRAL DERRIS	9.16	2 . ME.	9.76	21 . 1. 21	$m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{m{$
220	PANCE MANAGEMENT	1.105	6.115	g.g0	10 . 10	<i>91.116</i>
226	SOREST MANAGEMENT	5.19	2.39	11.59	5.29	$\mathcal{G}_{\bullet}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$
2.20		<i>ы</i> .16	8.04	9.45	1. 1.	3.55
200	OTHER	1. 80	11 . 1: 20	9.34	$\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$	$\mathfrak{G}$ . The $\mathfrak{G}$
266	SOLVENT USE	13.118	11 <b>.</b> E.C	$g$ . $g_{J}$	$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$	B . $Bb$
216	DRV CLEANING	1.1.65	8.20	1.183	$bb = b^{\prime}bb$	6
320	DECREASING	34.87	23.55	I. HI	0.13	Ø.150
320 990	APCHITECTURAL COATING	97.46	89.58	N. MN	1.19	$\mathbb{M}:\mathbb{M}$
33.9 9401	OTHER SUBFACE COATING	152.93	151.19	5.39	B = 29	6.114
34/2	ASSUALT PAVING	33.7%	G2,21	11.1319	$M \cup JE$	M . $MM$
30.9	BELATING	12.44	2.114	1. 91	ø.÷3	10 . 11 10
276	DOMESTIC	46.26	39.63	$_{kl}$ . $_{SS}$	J . 19.1	M:MM
288	INDUSTRIAL SOLVENT USE	29.37	69.37	9 <b>.</b> 113	<i>9</i> 7. UZ	15 . MM
200	OTHER	1.85	1.77	M , $MH$	11	$\mathfrak{G}$ . $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{G}$
399 A 61 61	PETROLEUM PROCESS STORAGE & TRANSFER	$(\mathcal{A}, \mu)E$	11.1012	9.03	$\mathcal{A}$ . $\mathbb{C}$	97 <b>.</b> M
4 1 M	OTL AND CAS EXTRACTION	66.91	36.86	$\mathcal{A}$ , $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}$	0.13	g . NE
415	PETROLEUM REEINING	48.78	12.59	16.17	13.120	54.86
462	PETROLEUM MARKETING	367.48	79.62	11.110	£3	वि 🚛 मध्य
400	OTHER	9.26	7.39	Ø.Ø2	$M$ , $M \otimes$	ki . 1314
499	INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES	: N.MM	13 . 1410	$\mathfrak{G}$ , $\mathfrak{M}M$	19 • 18 M	13 - 1010
5.0.0 5.1 St	CHEMICA)	5.61	5.53	2.55	10 . 13	2.16
529	EDOD AND AGRICHI THRAL	2.90	4.24	5.25	8.25	$M_{1,1}(2)$
566	MINERAL PROCESSES	1.11	. 75	5.07		3.15
679	NETAL PROCESSES	6.25		164.61	5.04	$1\%$ . $\odot 3$
529	MOOD AND PAPER	<i>1</i> .13	$\mathcal{N}$ . $\mathcal{M}$ $\mathfrak{D}$	g , $Mg$	3.117	16 19 19
50,5 500	OTAER	A. 01	4.11	1.21	<i>1</i> . 41	$E_{s}/3$
6.66	MISC PROCESSES	9.16	1	M , $MM$	<b>9 .</b> NY	15 . MA
619	PESTICIDE APPLICATION	1 > .34	1 191	5.69	<i>M M</i>	$\mathcal{U}$ . $\mathcal{M}$
629	FARMING OPERATIONS	13 . 34	19.73	$g$ . $g_{\mathbb{N}}$	B $S$	£1 - 1919)
639	CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION		1. N. S.	6.68	5. Z	1
649	INTRAINED ROAD DUST - PAVED	1.135	$S=k^{\prime}k^{\prime}k^{\prime}$	13 . 1911	19	$K \circ Z S$
65.9	ENTRAIMED ROAD DUST ~ UNPAVED	1	S . 100	11.19	X 4	15 . 16 3
666	UNPLANNED FIRES	85.95	47.77	499.94	12.17	19 I.C.D
68/4	SOLID VASTE LANDETLI	1639.03	18.16	1.319	B . W	2
600	ATHER	12.01	0.94	<i>V</i> ,13	1. 7	<i>6.</i> 79
700	ON ROSE VERICLES	9. <i>UU</i>	14 . L/K	11.19.6	11 . 11.1	$E_{\rm ext}/R_{\rm e}$
7157	LIGHT DUTY PASSENGER	91 M.S	1. 8.1	$\mathcal{Y}$ , $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}$	$\mathcal{B}$ . S $\mathcal{B}$	I
720	LIGHT AND MEDIUM DUTY TRUCKS	11. J.M.	1.23	<i>ដ</i> េតាម	Ø . 1. J	15 . 1. 13
73	SEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	1. 19	2.50	9.69	6.33	19 <b>.</b>

 $\cap$ 

138

()

()

 $\sim$ 

( )

TABLE 6-4 (concluded)

15-3

13 .

 $t \gamma a$ 

1

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

 $Z^{-1}X$ 

CODE	SOURCE NAME	706	ROG	CO	NOX	SOX
Calculation of the Association of the State of the						
74.9	HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	2.13	H . BH	9. <b>1</b> 9. 3	Ø	<i>M 1</i> 7
758	HOTORCYCLES	N . 13 1	19 . 2010	15 . 1953		x
8.93	OTHER MOBILE	E.19	9.99	S. SS	M	1
81%	OFF ROAD VEHICLES	31.54	28.07	1110.78	8.12	$b \sim c$
829	TRAINS	6.53	8.98	111.111	21.00	2.14
830	GHIPS	1.78	1.63	3.51	12.76	17.37
85 <i>1</i> /	AIRCRAFT - GOVERNMENT	赵,17	2.17	1.53	$\mathcal{A}$ , $\mathcal{A}$	1.24
868	AIRCRAFT - OTHER	19.55	18.94	83.79	119	1 + 2
87.7	MOBILE EQUIPMENT	24.37	19.56	102.87	73.27	5.38
889	UTILITY EQUIPMENT	12.42	15.39	1:14.97	1.05	21 13
9ØØ	UNSPECIFIED SOURCES	M . $M$	9.98	11 . 11 M	16 •	<b>U .</b> .:::
TOTAL		2968.62	779.48	1275.28	513.29	215.59

 $\sim$ 

13

 $\cap$ 

139

 $\sim$ 

Furthermore, some surface coating (OIC 340) TOG and ROG emissions have increased, as have industrial solvent use (OIC 380) TOG and ROG emissions. The ROG/TOG ratio has hardly changed for miscellaneous fuel combustion (OIC 199); both TOG and ROG emissions have decreased for this category. Moreover, the asphalt paving (OIC 350) ROG/TOG ratio has increased substantially due to revised species profiles. We conclude from this comparison that important changes to organic gas reactivity in the inventory have occurred as a result of this study.

In the case of  $NO_{\chi}$  emissions, the major changes occur for the petroleum refining (OIC 130) and miscellaneous (OIC 199) fuel combustion groups--NO\_{\chi} emissions for refining have increased and those for unspecified sources have decreased. These NO\_{\chi} changes are the result of specific identi-fication of internal combustion engines as part of the petroleum refining sector.

Another means of reviewing the results in the original and revised inventories is provided in Tables 6-5 and 6-6, which show emissions for each speciation profile. All profiles numbered in the 700 series were developed in this study, so Table 6-6 is longer than Table 6-5. The TOG and ROG emissions for profile 600, a category used for those sources without an appropriate speciation profile, have been reduced in the revised inventory as a result of the reassignment of new profiles appropriate to several of those source types. Other profile-to-profile comparisons are less meaningful. For example, TOG and ROG emissions for profile 96 are significantly reduced, but a large percentage of the surface coating solvent emissions in the revised inventory have been assigned new species profiles in the 700 series. In addition, overall changes in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from internal combustion engines are demonstrated in these tables by comparing the original NO<sub>x</sub> emissions for profile 719 in Table 6-6.

Tables 6-7 and 6-8 provide information on the reactivity of organic gas emissions for source categories in the original and revised files. Individual TOG species were placed into six carbon-bond classes to generate these two tables:

Olefins (OLE) Paraffins (PAR) Aromatics (ARO) Carbonyls (CARB) Ethylene (ETH) Unreactive

84052(a)r<sup>10</sup>

(

€

Ć

 $\left( \right)$ 

Ć

Ć

Ç

TABLE 6-5. Original emission data by profile code.

 $\mathcal{A}^{*} Y$ 

MA

1.1

 $< \gamma_{\rm e}$ 

. 1

(Tons per day)

CODE	PROFILE NAME	TOG	ROG	C0	NOX	SOX
3	EXTERNAL COMBUSTION BOILER- NATURAL GAS	6.36	2.31	8.11	123.77	3.19
4	BOILERS- REFINERY GAS	4.55	3.00	7.20	44.51	3.4Ø
5	BOILERS- COKE OVEN GAS	1.57	Ø.23	7.ØØ	3.82	27.14
7	INTERNAL COMB. ENG TURBINE- NATURAL G	3.77	Ø.7Ø	2.46	6.84	Ø.Ø2 :
9	INDUSTRIAL ICE- DISTILLATE OIL	2.68	2.00	2.95	4.33	Ø.51
1Ø	INDUSTRIAL ICE- NAT. GAS- RECIPROCATING	26.36	2.98	8.66	58.79	Ø.Ø1
11	COKE OVEN STACK GAS- PRIMARY METALS	2.22	Ø.84	32.34	Ø.72	Ø.8Ø
13	IRON SINTERING- PRIMARY METALS	Ø.Ø9	ø.ø2	92.42	1.16	8.66
21	ASPHALT ROOFING- BLOWING OPERATION	Ø.U6	Ø.Ø6	Ø.ØØ	0.11.5	0.00
22	ASPHALT ROOFING- DIPPING	1.13	1.05	Ø.Ø1	0.05	Ø.Ø4
25	ASPHALT CONCRETE- ROT. DRYER- NAT. GAS	Ø.1Ø	Ø.Ø4	Ø.79	Ø.99	Ø.00
26	ASPHALT CONCRETE- IN PLACE ROAD ASPHALT	32.28	22.83	0.00	Ø.69	0.00
29	REFINERY CO BOILER- FCC	Ø.78	Ø.42	14.Ø9	8.91	33.62
31	REFINERY- FUGI. EMISS. FROM COVERED DRAI	1.39	1.26	Ø.34	Ø.92	Ø.28
35	REFINERY COOLING TOWERS FUGITIVE EMISSIO	0.90	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00	0.00
39	PETRO INDUSTRY- COMPRESSOR SEALS- REFINE	Ø.53	Ø.4Ø	0.00	0.00	0.00
47	PETRO MARKETING- RELIEF VALVES- LPG	Ø.26	Ø.14	0.00	<i>N</i> .00	0.00
51	REFINERY FLARES- NATURAL GAS	Ø.Ø6	Ø.Ø2	0.12	0.03	0.03
53	REFINERY- CATALYTIC REFORMER- GENERAL FU	2.98	2.18	0.15	0.05	0.07
66	VARNISH MANUFACTURING	Ø.Ø5	0.05	9.00	0.00	0.00
72	PRINT. INK COOKING- GENERAL	8.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
76	PESTICIDE USE- COMPOSITE DOMESTIC & COMM	14.50	12.49	0.00	Ø. ØØ	0.00
78	ETHYLENE DICHLORIDE MANUFACTURING	9.90	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.03	0.00
79	FLARES- CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING	0.01	Ø.ØI	0.00	10 . 10 / J	3,20
85	PERCHLOROETHYLENE CLEANING SOLVENI	<b>b.</b> 05	00.00	0.00		0.00
86	STODDARD CLEANING SOLVENI	24.58	24.58	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
87	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE CLEANING SOLVENT	4.58		0.00	0.00	0.00
90	DEGREASING- TULUENE	בע, ע סאי לל	75 42	0.00 (1.27	6 23	0.00 0 00
96	SURFACE CUATING SULVENTE GENERAL	06 70	75.45	<i>м</i> ((6)	Ø,2.5 Ø 66	6 GU
98	GASULINE EVAP-COMPOSITE WORKING & DREATH	1 57	1 57	0.00 0 00	Ø 66	<i>и</i> 60
100	OPEN DURNING DUMP LANDSCARE (PRUNING	1.37 a 1.67	· 0 (0	N GG	0 C 0	<b>и</b> йй
121	DAD CODEEN MAGTE INCINEDATOR. SOLID MAST	10	a a 1	и 32	ดัวล	ต.โต
122	BAR SCREEN WASTE INCINERATOR - SOLID WAST	14 25	14 25	1 66	Ø.00	ด.ดัด
125	SUPEACE COATING EVAP- CENERAL VARNISH/SH	2.50	2.50	Ø. ØØ	ห.ยต	Ø.ØØ
121	SURFACE COATING EVAP- GENERAL PRIMER	4.27	4.21	ส.ศต	6.60	Ø.00
126	SUPEACE COATING EVAP- METAL PRIMER	36.56	35.93	a. a1	Ø. 11	Ø. &Ø
1 / 1	SURFACE COATING EVAR- HERAE FRIMER	7.39	7.39	ส.ศต	Ø.99	<i>U</i> . <i>UU</i>
141	SUPFACE COATING EVAN METAL FURNITURE AD	Й. <b>46</b>	11.46	0.00	ស.រាជ	Ø.60
142	SURFACE COATING LACOUER- METAL FURNITURE	8.34	8.12	0.00	0.03	Ø. 11 X
149	SURFACE COATING LACOUER - PAPERBOARD PROD	10.99	10.34	9.00	60.0	0.00
156	SURFACE COATING EVAP- GENERAL COMPOSITE	11.95	11.90	0.00	4.65	0.04
157	SURFACE COATING EVAP- COMPOSITE WOOD FUR	8.00	Ø.UØ	8.00	0.00	Ø. 10
159	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SHEET METAL ENAMEL	Ø.SØ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10
172	FLEX, PRINT, - COMPOSITE OF ALCOHOL BASED	1.37	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
182	EVAP- GRAVURE PRINT GENERAL SOLVENT	6.98	6.02	9.00	0.90	Ø.UU
185	PETRO STORAGE~ FIXED ROOF- TOLUENE	0.83	<i>U</i> .83	0.00	0.00	Ø. 90
195	METHANE SOURCE	<i>U.DØ</i>	0.00	ø.øø	いんび	Ø.00
196	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATINGS- COMPOSIT	69.88	69.3Ø	<i>U</i> . <i>UU</i>	0.30	8.05
197	DOMESTIC SOLVENTS- GENERAL COMPOSITE	23.02	22.97	U. II	0.00	0.00

141

 $\cap$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\cap$ 

174

TABLE 6-5 (continued)

 $A^* N$ 

1-5

1.5

6.2

CODE	PROFILE NAME	TOG	ROG	<b>C</b> 0	NOX	SOX
202		1638.83	18.16	0.00	0.00	Ø.E.I
202	ANIMAL WASTE DECOMPOSITION	137.34	15.73	9.60	8.00	Ø. 1: 10
211	BEER EERMENTATION- ETHANOL	4.92	4.02	I. II	0.00	0.00
217	COKE OVEN BLAST FURNACE- PROCESS GAS	Ø.11	Ø. 102	37.19	1.19	Ø.VI
219	ACETONE - PAINT SOLVENT	3.37	3.37	0.00	Ø.DØ	Ø.UI
220	SURFACE COATING EVAP- ETHYL ACETATE	Ø.29	Ø.21	ø.øø	<b>U.</b> II	Ø.EU
221	SURFACE COATING EVAP- METHYL ETHYL KETON	2.22	2.22	ø.øø	6.50	Ø.VØ
222	SURFACE COATING EVAP- CELLOSOLVE ACETATE	8.29	0.99	Ø.Ø9	<b>Ø</b> . <i>ØØ</i>	<b>U.</b> E.O
223	SURFACE COATING EVAP- XYLENE SOLVENT	Ø.Ø3	Ø.Ø3	Ø.ØØ	Ø.Ø3	Ø.ØØ
225	SURFACE COATING EVAP- MINERAL SPIRITS SP	1.21	1.21	Ø.ØI	Ø.UØ	Ø.UØ
226	SURFACE COATING SOLVENT- ETHYL ALCOHOL	I. 4 <i>I</i>	Ø.4Ø	Ø.ØØ	Ø.UØ	Ø. UØ
227	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- ISOPROPYL	Ø.78	Ø.78	ø.øø	Ø.UØ	Ø.00
228	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- ISOPROPYL	Ø.13	Ø.13	Ø.ØØ	ด.ยอ	Ø.ØØ
229	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- LACTOL SP	1.63	1.63	ø.øø	Ø. 9Ø	ø.eø
230	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- HEXANE	Ø.06	Ø.Ø6	Ø.ØØ	V . V .S	0.93
271	TRICHLOROETHYLENE CLEANING SOLVENT	Ø.31	Ø.31	ø.øø	Ø.53	0.00
274	SYNTHEIC RUBBER AUTO TIRE PRODUCTION	U.UØ	Ø. UØ	Ø.ØØ	0.09	0.00
28Ø	SURFACE COATING PRIMER- WATER BASED AUTO	<i>U</i> . <i>I</i> Ø	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØØ	Ø.KS	0.00
281	SURFACE COATING EVAP- MINERAL SPIRITS	Ø.ØØ	Ø.68	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00
282	SURFACE COATING EVAP- NAPHTHA	1.00	1.00	ø.øø	0.00	0.60
289	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- BUTYL ALC	Ø.Ø1	Ø.Ø1	0.00	0.00	- 10.1010
29Ø	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- CELLOSOLV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.0.0 0.00
294	CITRUS COATING WAX- FLAVORSEAL 320-0820	Ø. Ø3	Ø.Ø3	0.00	0.00	שפו, ש
- <b>⊳</b> 296	COMPOSITE CRUDE OIL EVAP- FIXED ROOF- PR	0.00	0.00	0.00	10. ki 10	0.90
№ 297	CRUDE OIL EVAP- VAPOR COMPOSITE FROM FIX	82.52	65,//	0.00	0.00	Ø,18 Ø,18
298	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- BENZENE	0.07	0.01	0.00	Ø.03	
299	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- CYCLOHEXANE	0.01	0.01	0.00	<b>10</b> . 15 .0	0.0.0 6 0.07
3Ø1	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- HEPTANE	0.00	0.00	9.00	0.0.0	0.00
3Ø3	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- PENTANE	0.01				0.00
3Ø4	EVAP- FLEX, PRINT, PRESS- N-PROPYL ALCOH	3.34	3.15	0.01	Ø.04 (7 42	Ø.00
3Ø6	OPEN HEARTH WITH OXYGEN LANCE- STEEL PRO			291 16	1 (X 7 7	0.19 0 60
307	FORREST FIRES	<b>54.51</b>	36.93	301.10	10.77 (1.11)	0.00 0 00
316	REFINERY~ PIPES, VALVES & FLANGES~ COMPO	1.30	14 94	0.00 0 29	1 26	6 26
321	REFINERY FUMP SEALS - COMPOSITE	13.01	14.04 M GG	8 83	a 68	Ø.60
500	CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES" EXHAUST	9.00 6 60	<i>и ии</i>	a aa	ม	ดี แต่
5/01	GASULINE EVANS CANISTERS LOV HUT SOARS	53 67	11 90	434.37	7.13	Ø.48
502	NUN-CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES" EXHAUS	03.07 6 66	a.aa	Ø. 00	ด. ดบ	Ø.00
503	DIESEL ENHAUSINALDEHIDES NOT IN CHISSION	7 93	7 19	9.00	112.86	105.88
504	DIACTICS MANUEACTURE- VC & POLVEROPVIENE	3 24	3.24	ส.ศัต	ศ.ยส	Ø.ØØ
505	HALOCENATED CLEANING SOLVENTS- MIXED	ส.ศัต	ด.ดิด	a. 30	0.00	0.00
500	JET EVHAUET- COMPOSITE	18.50	18.10	30.18	12,91	1.28
500	PLASTICS MEC- VINVL CHLORIDE	Ø. Ø3	0.03	0.00	Ø . Ø .	Ø.30
510	PLASTICS MEG- POLVPROPVLENE	Ø.12	Ø.12	0.00	0.00	Ø. IV
512	ORGANIC SOLVENT- DICHLOROMETHANE	ø.gø	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ø.6Ø
512	SURFACE COATING EVAP- METHVI ISOBUTVI KE	Ø.Ø1	0.01	0.00	Ø.ØC	Ø.30
514	EVAP- CHEVRON WEED OIL	4.64	4.64	Ø. IØ	Ø. 183	Ø. IØ
515	COMPOSITE INDUSTRIAL DEGREASERS	9.92	6.89	I. IØ	Ø.13	Ø.ŰØ
516	COMPOSITE DRY-CLEANING SOLVENTS	Ø.16	Ø. UØ	0.00	S . 3 x	Ø. 3Ø
E 1 7		1 43	1 43	a . aa	Ø. 85	Ø. 10

 $i^{(1)}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

 $( \cdot )$ 

 $\cap$ 

TABLE	6-5	(concluded)
	~ ~	(concruded)

1 4

2.5

~

2 N 2 N

CODE	PROFILE NAME	TOG	ROG	со	NOX	SOX
		<u> </u>				
518	AEROSOL SPRAYS- NON-SYNTHETIC	21.7Ø	16.66	Ø.ØØ	Ø. <i>UØ</i>	Ø.Øð
519	AEROSOL SPRAYS- SYNTHETIC	1.53	Ø.IØ	Ø.ØØ	ស.សស	Ø.00
52Ø	COMPOSITE NATURAL GAS	295.76	5.46	ø.ø1	0.07	0.60
521	DIESEL EXHAUST(ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	17.01	15.58	31.10	94.51	15.19
522	FORMICA MANUFACTURING	. 1.26	Ø.88	<i>U.</i> ØØ	Ø.Ø9	Ø.ØØ
523	OPEN BURNING DUMP- LANDSCAPE/PRUNING (MO	22.32	9.69	119.51	0.69	Ø.00
524.	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	Ø.23	Ø.6Ø	0.00	<b>U.</b> UN	Ø.ØØ
525	CATALYST EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS	I.II	0.00	Ø.ØØ	6.83	Ø.ØØ
526	1979 EXHAUST COMPOSITE 50/50 (ALDEHYDES	I.IØ	0.00	Ø.ØØ	Ø.0Ø	Ø.00
527	NON-CATALYST EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISS	U.UØ	Ø.ØØ	ø.øø	Ø.ØA	Ø.60
600	SPECIES UNKNOWN- ALL CATEGORY COMPOSITE	42.28	29.92	56.96	32.58	6.97
9Ø9	MISCELLANEOUS	Ø.2Ø	Ø.14	Ø.ØØ	Ø.01	Ø.ØØ
TOTAL		2962.42	771.99	1278.26	528.9Ø	217.61

,

143

### TABLE 6-6. Revised emission data by profile code.

د...

ı.

/ `

15

< >

1. 1

 $f^{(1,2)}$ 

(Tons per day)

CODE	PROFILE NAME	TOG	ROG	со	NOX	SOX
	EVERNAL CONDUCTION POILER_NATURAL CAS	6.35	2.31	8.1%	123.23	3.19
<u>ت</u>	EXTERNAL COMBOSTION BUILER-NATURAL GAS	4.85	3.00	7.23	44.41	3.49
c] 1-	BUILERS-REFINERY GAS	1.57	1.23	7.53	3.41	27.14
')	BUILERSHOURE UVER GAD INTERNAL COMPLETION ENCINE-TUPRINE-MATHRAL CAS	3.66	SI . SI (1	1.13	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathbb{N}$	11 N
/	CHDUCTDIAL LOS STON ENGINE TORBINE WATCHAL GAS	M. 47	6.72	1.85	3.72	<i>ы</i> .52
3	INDUSTRIAL ICE-NATURAL CAS-DECIRROCATING	4.47	M , $MM$	4.96	10 <b>.</b>	$55 \dots 54$
1.0	INDUSTRIAL ICE-NATURAL GASTRECTING	2.22	A. 34	32.34	6.72	19.30
11	TOON CONTEDINGLOOTMADY METALS	<i>ज</i> ्जभ	9.82	92.42	1.6	8.00
13	ACOMALT ROOTING - REPART DETAILS	4.61	(I. (I)	11. 13 X	g . All	10 . 119
21	ASTRALT ROOFING DIPPING OF RATION	1.13	1.05	0.01	10.115	S . $J$ 4
44	ASPHALT CONCRETE-DOTARY DRVER-NATURAL CAS FIRED	1.19	1. 81 4	9.79	Ø. S9	K . Past
20	ASPHALT CONCRETE-IN PLACE BOAD ASPHALT	5.08	3.59	5.90	5.30	19 . 1. 1. 1. 1.
20	REFINERY ON ROLFER FLACE ROAD ASTIMET	1.56	9.34	14.11	8.79	33.28
29	DEFINERY CO BOLLERAFUC DEFINERY CO BOLLERAFUC DEFINERY CO BOLLERAFUC DEFINERY CO BOLLERAFUC	2.24	2.69	11.34	g . S 1	10.27
31 0F	REFINERY-FOGITIVE EMISS, FROM COV. URAINFOLD FILD	9.15	<i>vi</i> . 15	11.53	Ø N	19 . 1515
35	OCTOO ANDUCTOVICOMODECCOOP SEALS-PEEINERVICAS	M. 63	£ . 47	3.23	19 . 1610	< 11 . 10 M
39	DETDO MADRETING-DELISE VALVES-LOC	1.15	9.60	0.91	12 . 1.5	5.72
47	PETRO MARKETING-RELIEF VALVES-EIG OFFINEDV FLADES-MATURAL CAS	9.06	g. M2	9.12	9.13	B , $E$ ?
51	REFINERY FLARESTNATONAL GAS DECINERY-CATALYTIC DECORMER-CENERAL FUCITIVE EMISS	1 36	3.99	1.15	6.25	91 K
53	REFINERY-CETALYFIC REFORMER-GENERAL FOGITIVE ENTRO	d. 11	5.11	$g$ . $g_J$	ø	L. Same
50	VARNISH MANUFACTURING DUINTING INK COUKING-CEMERAI	ы . ы <i>м</i>	61.61.61	51 . M.U	14 . 19 14	15 . 1615
14	PRINTING INK COURING GENERAL OFOTIOIDE HEELCOMBORITE DOMESTIC & COMMERCIAL	14.50	12.49	4.23	1.111	16 . 141
70	PESTICIDE OSE-COMPOSITE DOMESTIC & COMMENCIAE	G . 077	1.107	5.95	91.19	$G:\mathscr{AE}$
<u>18</u>	CLADES_CHEMICAL MANUSACTUDING	1.41	9.91	9.95	$g_{1,2}^{*}M$	3.25
4 /9	PLAKESTURETIUME MANUFACTURING DEBERGRODOFTUMENE OFFENING SOLVENT	5.27	E = MM	1.90	19 . 184	16 . 162
- 85	CTONDARD CLEANING SOLVENT	24.64	24.65	11.1815	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{O}$	$E \sim 100$
85	STOUDARD CLEANING SOLVENT	1.65	6 . 91.91	11.112	3.110	5.1.6
87	DECREACING SOLUCIONE	91.413	£1 . 61 G	4.99	13 . 19 1	$M \in GM$
9.9	PEGREASINGTICLUENE Subsacs conting solvent_conform	37.85	32.15	\$.37	5.73	$\mathscr{G} : \mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}$
90	CACOLINE EVALCOMP MORE & RREATH TANK LOSS	5	61.31	1.99	$M \bullet M$	£ . 1950
213	SASULINE EVAFTCOME WORK, & BREATH, TARK LOUG	2.46	2.58	11.211	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{G}$	1.140
1.0.0	DAD CODEEN MACTE INCINEDATOR-SOLID WASTE	4.39	9. 11	5.32	意。128	N . 1 19
122	DAR SURGEN WASTE INCOMENTION SUCHD WASTE	6. 165	3.43	6.95	10 . 11 16	k . 179
125	POLYMERIC SURFACE CONTINUE NOT AIR DRIED PUBEACE CONTINC EVAD-DENERAL VARNISH/SHFILAC	2.16	2.16	6.31	10 . 11 16	16 . 19 16
104	SURFACE COATING EVAL-GENERAL PRIMER	ម.សភ	4.69	6.33	3.23	19 a. n. 19
104	CHDEACE COATING EVAP- METAL PRIMER	S. 1814	1. 1614	Ø.IS	91 <b>.</b> 11 16	M . $MM$
141	SURFACE CONTING EVALUATED ABBESIVES		31.25	1.50	$\mathcal{G}$ . All	6 . 1115
141	SURFACE COATING EVAP-METAL FURNITURE ADDESIVES	1.1	3.20	H . MH	2.23	15 . 18 1
142	SURFACE COATING LACOUER-METAL SURNITURE	4.501	31 . 16 M	<u>9</u> .154	21	K > M M
140	SURFACE COAT LACOUER -PAPERROARD PROD. & CONTAINER	3.11	S . A.d	<i>1.99</i>	2 25	11 • 11 1J
145	SURFACE COATING SWAP-GENERAL COMPOSUTE FRAMEL	91. MA	11.112	13 . 19	$g$ . $\mathbb{R}^{d}$	10 - 100
167	SURFACE COAT EVAP-COMPOSITE WOOD FURWITURE ENAMEL	St . NU	5.38	11 <b>.</b> 1937	19 . 18 19	16 . N.19
157	SURVACE COATING EVAP-SHEET METAL ENAMEL	5.39	S . Sid	$\mathfrak{G}$ , $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}$	16 . della	16 - 14 C
170	STEVOCRAPHIC PRINT-COMP OF ALCOHI BASED SOLVFVAP	1.37	1.32	$\mathfrak{g}$ , $\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{g}$	19 . 1 26	B , $EB$
192	EVAPORATION-GRAVURE PRINTING-GENERAL SOLVENT	3. 18	5.02	9.35	6.10	5.39
102	STEPA STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-TOLUENE	1. 5%	1. 8.2	5.25	9.22	M . N Z
105	METHANE SOUCCE	11.11.1	$\mathcal{J}$ . $MH$	(9, 57)	26 . 1. 28	16 - 1.1.SI
195	APCHIME SUBRUE SURFACE COATINGS-COMPOSITE SOLVENT	53.32	53.47	11.110	10 X	$\mathcal{D}$ . All
107	DOMESTIC SOLVENTS-CENERAL COMPOSITE	23.92	22.97	3.13	$y_{\bullet}$ , $z_{\bullet}$	5.5.5
262	LANDETLE EMESSIONS	1638.83	18.16	9.95	Ø	1 <b>1</b> . 1919

 $\sim$ 

 $\cap$ 

 $\cap$ 

 $\cap$ 

 $\cap$ 

TABLE 6-6 (continued)

63

F

C > 1

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

CODE	PROFILE NAME	TOG	ROG	co	NOX	SOX
242	ANIMAL MASTE DECOMPOSITION	137.34	15.73	9.89	<b>g</b> . 119	<b>\$.</b> 50
211	REFRIENTATION-FTHANOL	4.02	4. 12	M , $MM$	M . 17M	He . All
217	COVE OVEN REAST FURNACE-PROCESS GAS	5.11	1.02	37.19	1.19	$\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{G} \mathcal{G}$
210	ACETOUE - PAINT SOLVENT	4.25	4.25	9 . AN	11.19	$\mathscr{D}$ . $\mathscr{D}$
212	SUPEACE COATING EVAP-ETHVI ACETATE	10.29	1.22	$m{eta}$ , $m{B}$	<b>16 .</b> 197	16 . 2016
221	SUBFACE COATING EVAN ETHYL ETHYL KETONE	3.12	3.82	9.99	10 • 10 10	$\mathscr{G}$ . $\mathscr{L}\mathscr{G}$
222	SURFACE COATING EVAP-CELLOSOLVE ACETATE	9.52	11.12	y . A5	11 . 15	$M : \mathbb{R}[M]$
223	SURFACE COATING EVAP-XYLENE SOLVENT	10 . HA	N. 144	5.99	$g$ . $\mathbb{R}^3$	$x^i: \mathcal{F}M$
225	SURFACE COATING EVAP-MINERAL SPIRITS SPECIES	2.35	2.33	9.19	Ø 15	:I <b>.</b> MI
226	SURFACE COATING SOLVENT-ETHYL ALCOHOL	Ø.68	3.68	ส.ศก	0.1.5	16 <b>.</b> NA
227	SUBFACE COATING EVAP-SOLVENT-ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL	9.55	1.55	M . U.I	21 <b>.</b>	19.111
225	SUBRACE COATING EVAP-SOLVENT-ISOPROPYL ACETONE	3.14	61.14	3.99	Ø.266	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$
220	SUPPRACE CONTING EVAP-SOLVENT-LACTOL SPIRITS	1.37	1 37	<b>I.I</b> I	10 . Alto	19 . 191 <b>9</b>
229	25TRO STORAGE-ELVED ROOF-HEXANE	2.15	<i>y</i> .15	S. J.J	Ø . A.M	Ø. C.G
271	TRICHLOROFTHVIENE CLEANING SOLVENT	9.35	M.35	9.91	19.11.12	B = 123
274	SYNTHEIC BURBER AUTO TIRE PRODUCTION	3.20	A . 1919	1.30	5 . EM	16 . 1. 26
206	SHRE COAT PRIMER-WATER BAS, AUTO PAINT SPRAY BOOTH	9.99	2.92	$g$ . $g_J$	$B$ . $dx^{i}$	$\mathcal{L}$ . $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}$
291	SUBFACE COATING EVAPORATION-MINERAL SPIRITS	5.00	9.95	9.39	10 . M.S	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{M}$
202	SURFACE COATING EVAPORATION HIRRING OF THE SURFACE	1.69	1.69	11.00	19 . 199	16 . : 19
283	SURFACE CONTING EVAPORATION SOLVENT-BUTYL ALCOHOL	1.12	9.02	11.150	<b>Ø.</b> F 5	16 , XII
202	SURFACE COATING EVAPORATION-SOLVENT-CELLOSOLVE	5.62	11.102	S . S 15	16 . 1613	ki , $kikl$
201	CITRUS COATING WAX-FLAVORSEAL 320-0820	1.13	21.13	5.39	<b>6.</b> 725	15 . 15 M
205	COMPOSITE CRUDE OIL EVAP-EIXED ROOF-PRODUCTION	12.8%	8.77	11 . A.A	$g_{\star} _{2} _{2} _{2}$	$E$ . $M \mathcal{G}$
297	CRUDE OIL EVAP-VAPOR COMP FROM FIXED ROOF TANKS	32.19	25.00	11 . II	1. 11.6	$\mathcal{K}$ , ), 1
208	25TRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-BENZENE	<i>1</i> . <i>1</i> .	9.11	1) <b>.</b> II I	Ø.20	<b>\$</b> .118
299	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-CYCLOHEXANE	1.19	$m{m{arsigma}}$ . If $m{ar{J}}$	M <b>. 19</b> 19	${\mathcal D}$ . $EM$	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}$
201	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-HEPTANE	<i>ы.</i> ØЗ	$\emptyset$ . $H3$	$\mathfrak{N}$ . $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{M}$	$\mathscr{I} \bullet \mathscr{A}^{\ell}$	10 1 3
343	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-PENTANE	1.8.1	11 . A A	9 . II	<i>3</i> .29	18 . N. 19
301	EVAP-ELEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESS-N-PROPYL ALCOHOL	3.35	3,15	11.101	19 <b>.</b> 4	6.131
305	OPEN HEARTH WITH OXYGEN LANCE-STEEL PRODUCTION	3.99	1.11	1.2%	11.42	Ø.19
307	FORREST FIRES	64.6L	38.05	381.13	19.77	$\mathcal{G}$ . H $\mathcal{G}$
316	REFINERV-PIPES, VALVES & FLANGES-COMPOSITE	3.62	2.28	្វ.សហ	$\mathfrak{g}$ . $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{N}$	14 . 154
321	REFINERV-PUMP_SEALS-COMPOSITE	12.24	13.49	9.23	1.1.4	3.87
566	CATALVST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES-EXHAUST	S. 119	si . (191	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}$	19. 11.11	每,於以
501	GASOLINE EVAPORATION - CANISTER-LDV HOT SOAKS	5.13	E , $EG$	S . $SS$	$\mathfrak{G}$ . $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathfrak{G}}$	Z . SO
502	NON-CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES-EXHAUST	53.67	1. 1 W.I.	434.37	7.13	<i>й</i> .48
503	DIESEL EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES NOT IN EMISSIONS)	85 <b>.</b> MM	91 <b>.</b> SHE	St . 1973	${\mathcal B}$ . A ${\mathcal A}$	$\mathscr{B}$ . $\mathbb{N}M$
5 <i>M</i> 4	EXTERNAL COMBUSTION BOILERS-DISTILLATE OR RESIDUAL	7.86	7.13	.).01	119.83	1.55.98
505	PLASTICS MANUFACTURE-VC & POLYFROPVLENE MIXED	3.29	3.29	11 . M.J	Ø . 17 S	B , $BS$
506	HALOGENATED CLEANING SOLVENTS-MIXED	5.99	$x^i$ , $EM$	$\mu$ , $MS$	Ø	Q . 1319
503	AFT FWHAUST-COMPOSITE	18.49	18.59	3.9.16	12.32	1.28
51 sĭ	PLASTICS MEG-VINVL COLORIDE	$\mathscr{I}$ . 1 $\mathscr{I}$	xi . 1 19	£ . G Ø	${oldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}}$ , ${\mathcal{U}}^{(M)}$	E , $SE$
511	PLASTICS MEG-POLYPROPYLENE	1 <b>7 .</b> 1819	SI . 999	11 <b>.</b> 1310	<b>12 .</b> 18	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{H}$
512	ORGAN (C. SOLVENT-DICHLOROMETHANE	9.91	. 96	1.1.1	$\mathcal{D}$ , $\mathcal{D}$	& , $BB$
513	SURFACE COATING EVAPORATION-METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE	5.51	1.11	1.55	5. V	18 . 18 <i>1</i> 8
514	EVAPORATION-CHEVRON WEED OIL	19.111	1. 195	19.19.2	9.1.19	$E : S \mathscr{G}$
615	COMPOSITE INDUSTRIAL DEGREASERS	9.37	6.51	15 . IS	<i>M</i> .13	M = M M
515	COMPOSITE DRY-C'EANING SOLVENTS	.16	15.1010	£1 • £1.6	$\mathfrak{A}$ , $\mathbb{A}$	Q . 2 9
517	PRINTING EVAPORATION LOSS-GENERAL	1.43	1.43	11.33	6.19	16 . 111
517	TEPOSOL SPRAVS-RON-SVNTHETIC	21.78	16.66	5.80	10 . Vill	19 . I.I

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

( )

 $i \geq i$ 

 $f^{\lambda}$ 

# TABLE 6-6 (continued)

たい

1.4

 $\ell \rightarrow$ 

( h

11

 $( \cap$ 

CODE	PROFILE NAME	TOG	ROG	C0	NOX	SOX
610	AFROSOL SESAVE-SYNTHETIC	1.53	6.110	3.93	<b>S.</b> 14	6.99
519	COMPOSITE MATHRAL CAS	282.47	5.34	ø.91	15.27	Ø 1515
521	DIEGEL EVHAUST (ALDEHVDES IN EMISSIONS)	17.01	15.58	31.1.1	94.11	15.19
522	ENEMICA MANJEACTURING	1.93	1.73	9.98	<i>19</i> 18	ki d
523	OPEN BURNING DUMP-LANDSCAPE/PRUN. (MOD. XVB 121)	22.32	9.69	119.51	10	6
524	METEVIENE CHLORIDE	16 . 1. 4	10 . 1910	$g$ , $g_S$	M . $1.17$	ki -
525	CATALYST EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	$\chi^{*}$ , $f^{*} g f$	. I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I	ป.มี	1 <b>9 -</b> 1419	M <b>.</b> [1].S
526	1979 EXHAUST COMP. 50/50 (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	19 <b>.</b> 19 19	<i>9.01</i>	$\mathbb{S}$ . Set	11 <b>-</b> 11	16 . <i>111</i> 1
527	NON-CATALYST EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	A . 11.8	.9 . 19 M	៨.៨៧	2 . 19	51.1.12
6.03	SPECIES UNKNOWN-ALL CATEGORY COMPOSITE	35.79	25.33	54.78	32.51	7.97
7.01	LIQUID GASOLINE-UNLEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	N. M.S	10 . 10 10	1.57	9.13	16.189
7.02	LIQUID GASOLINE- LEADED REGULAR-SUMMER PLEND	1.23	11 . AN	$g$ , $g_{0}$	19	$S \sim S$
7Ø3	LIQUID GASOLINE-UNLEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	1.33	Ø . SK	A • 9.7	$g$ . $\mathbb{R}$	K. 50
7.04	LIQUID GASOLINE- LEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	9.199	Ø.I9	1.99	1. 1. 1	11
7.05	GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	A . MM	1.99	9 . 97	$\mathcal{J}$ , $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}$	k) - 192
7.06	GASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	A . MA	S . I.I	1. 3.8	0.12	M . 22
7Ø7	GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	I.II	I . II	M . DI	10 . 1976	たい こうごう
7Ø8	GASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER SLEND	$\mathcal{J}$ . $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}$	2.99	N <b>.</b> 19 M	19 - J. 21	10 - 10 19 11 - 11 - 11
<b>7</b> Ø9	LIQUID GASOLINE-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-SUMMER BLEND	_3.49	6.37	$g$ . $g_M$	$\frac{10}{10}$ , $\frac{10}{10}$	$M \sim 1 + 12$ $M \sim 1 + 12$
719	GASOLINE VAPORS-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-SUMMER BLEND	7 1 . 34	71.64	1.99	2	19 • 1 1.2 18 - 1 12
711	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE LACQUER	27.18	21.81	9.94	2	10 • 15 12 17 • 13 4
712	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE ENAMEL	17.52	16.90	11.2059	10	10 4
713	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE PRIMER	6.49	6.82	1. 19 M	18 • . · 18 • . · .	10 . 1716 11 . 1717
714	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE ADHESIVE	0.4/	8.47	19.210	20	10 • 1710 61 • 1710
715	SLOW CURE ASPHALT	5.65	5.65	10 • 21.1 31 • 11.1	10	61 1167
716	MEDIUM CURE ASPHALT	2.3.15.3	23.92	11 • 2014 G - 0160	M 160	6 114
717	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATING-WATER BASED PAINT	13.41	13.43	13 • 5010 (1 - 6060	6 - 1151	12 - 12 10
718	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE SULVENT	24 . 1 8	24.60	10 • 10 10 12 • 13 10	БA	6 ZA
719	TRIERMAL COMBUSTION ENGINE RECIPROLATING WAT, GAS	· Z : . 07	1. • 7 4. M - 61 %	0.00 6 %A	4. 8	· M. 10
721	LIQUID CASOLINE-UNLEADED REGULAR-WINTER DIEND	10 • X119 - 7 • M Cit	10 • 10 x 11 - 11 13	हा के से आप इ.स. आप	57	M M
122	LIQUID CASOLINE - LEADED REGULAR WIRTER DEEND		N 610	9 . GO	51 . 1 1	Ø . 33
123	LIQUID GAOOLINE - LEADED DDEMIUMINISTS DEEND	24 - 24 ld	มั เสียี	9.49	¥	5.85
723	CHOOLD GASULINE - LEADED FREMIDHEWINIER DIEND	11 - 11 M	N 676	5.99	26 . 12	10.316
720	CASOLINE WARDEST LEADED REGULAR-WINTER SEEDE	1. 10	1.91	4.110	2.19	6.22
723	CASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED REGULAR WINTER BLEND	4.19	8.89	si . 199	14 . 1 21	1118
722	CASOLINE VARORS- LEADED PREMIUM-WINTER SLEND	5.15	1.95	1.99	10 . 1 21	6.16
720	TOUTD CASELINE COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-VINTER BLEND	<i>:i.J</i> D	15. 1110	1.39	15 . 1 16	N , $MN$
726	GASOLINE VARORS-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-VINTER BLEND	H . U.D	10 . NVS	9.39	15 <b>.</b> 17 M	$M \sim M$
731	HEATED GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEAD REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	15 . 2016	1. 6.6	G	K . 1619
732	AGIT, GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEAD REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	4. 19	10 . H 11	at <b>.</b> 19	M 13	16
751	ACRVLONITRILE-BUTADIENE-STYRENE (ABS) RESIN MFG.	1.11	S. 11	11. NO	11 M	6
752	POLYSTVRENE RESIN MFG.	27.45	B.45	11.JN	M . $M$	M , $MM$
753	STYRENE	5.44	11.44	អ.អទ	$\mu$ , $M$	M . 199
754	CHLORUSOLVE	1. 28	9.16	1.IS	AS	19 . 1.13
755	TRICHLOROTRIFLOUROETHANE	34	9.69	9.93	12	<i>1</i> 9 . 199
756	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION FUGITIVES-LIQUID SERVICE	xi.12	2.16	$g_{1}, g_{2}$	13 . 1. 2	£1.119
757	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION FUGITIVES-GAS SERVICE	1.05	3.32	H . JH	18 5	M . 1.15
753	OIL & GAS PRODUCTION FUGITIVES-VALVES-UNSPECIFIED	33.83	18.163	1.30	21 A	18 - 119
759	OIL & GAS PROD FUGITIVES-FITTINGS-UNSPECIFIED	9.15	4.16	11 . Sto	Q . 1. 16	0.06

146

 $\cap$ 

C

<u>۲</u>٦

 $\cap$ 

TABLE 6-6 (concluded)

≁ ۶

.

1.1

1 1

 $\mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}}$ 

CODE	PROFILE NAME	70G	ROG	c0	NOX	SOX
764	EVADORATIVE EMISSIONS-DISTULATE FUEL	A. 3 <b>7</b>	4.95	$g_{+}g_{-}g_{-}g_{-}g_{-}g_{-}g_{-}g_{-}g_{-$	9	<b>19 .</b> 18:3
702	CVAPORATIVE ENISSIONS-DISTILLATE TOLL	9.52	6.66	9.61	15 . 215	B B
762	DTV / DENZERE/TOLHENE/VVIENE)	1. 1	z . EV	11 , A.A.	Ø H	த பிர
702	BIT ADDREES AND ADDE MECHAVIENS OXIDATION	5.12	3.89	2.54	61 . 22	E, DE
703	CLUODOCARDON-12/11 MANUEACTURING	1.45	51.413	$g_{\star}g_{\delta}$	5.00	15 <b>.</b> / 16
704	FLUOROCADDON-12/11 PRANTRACTORING	a ( ,	4.64	1.99	13 . 1 V	6.29
765	FLUOROCARBON~23722 MANUFACTURING	3.971	ST . 1157	5.55	Ø . A.I	6.153
765	FLUOROCARBON-1137114 MANOFACTORING	1 1191	ST 974	11.44	11. 11.1	P
767	FLUOROCARBON 112	4 16	1. 110	9.91	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathbb{P}$	M = M
763	FLUOROCARBON 113	4 118	91. 1161	9.43		16 21 19
769	FLUOROCARBON - LT 4	nd (1.d	61 61 61	ମ ମାନ	$\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{J}$	11.17.19
779	CHLOROFLUUROCARBONS	17 - 17 M 17 - 17 M		11.1191	6	6.00
771	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	21 - 21 - 21 11 - 14 M	11 614	4.99	$\theta_{i}$ , in $G$	5.99
772		1.09	3 66 J	6.24	61.114	16.10
773	FLUOROGARBON MEG-VALVES, PUMPS, ETC	21 - 31 - 2 11 - 11 1	A 666	ส สส	$\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$	$B \sim 10$
774	ISOBUTYL ACETATE	17 , 1919 18 - 1918	17 - 17 19 El - 18 91	:r (16)	64 . 11.1	67 . 1915
775	ISOBUTYL ALCOHOL	1 a.1.57 57 - 67 1	K) - 1276 151 - 613	11.110	9 . 5 S	W. All
776	ISOBUTYL ISOBUTYRATE	21.194	17 • 19 <b>1</b> CI - 6166	11 × 10 12 11 - 61 64	5 16	M . ~ 5
777	METHYL AMYL KETONE	31 <b>.</b> 19 5)	St • 1010 C • 1457	1 MG	13 - 13 19 13 - 24	6.336
778	METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE		10 • 10 20 15 - 00 50	3 . 20 M	M CF 37	64 - 13M
779	N-BUTYL ACETATE	19 . 1970	M . 10 15	. 7 • 1670 . 3 - 66. X	$C_{1} = C_{1} C_{2}$	61 - 114
78 <i>9</i>	H-PROPVL ACETATE	19 • 1916 - 1916	£) • 10.0	29 • 1979 17 - 1919	30 • 7. 30 23 - 174	66 - 17.66
781	N-PROPYL ALCOHOL	51 <b>.</b> P.9	0.00	10 . 10 19 11 - 11 - 11	G + M	K / // // _ ///
782	HEXYLENE GLYCOL	5.87	10.W/	13.19.4	72 • 8 77 60 - 15 A	10 • 1 • 10 M = 16 10
783	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-SOLVENT BASED PAINT	13.44	//.15	21 . W I	17 • 1 · 1	14 114
3 784	SYNTHETIC RUBBER MFG-STYRENE-BUTADIENE RUBBER	1.2.9	1.21	M • 1930	13 • 2 - 5	10 m 1 M 0 11 m 1 M 0
785	ETHYLENE OXIDE	<i>1</i> 9.105	15 . K Z	11 • 1913	20	10 • 72774 7 194
786	METHYL ALCOHOL	A. 18	C.N8	1.09	19 . 2-19	10 x 12 13
787	CARBON BLACK MANUFACTURING	⊻ <b>.</b> 119	16 . 1918	M. 199	<i>2</i> 1 •	RI - 12 12
9Ø9	MISCELLANEOUS	ы.17	Ø.12	Ŋ.IJS	9	10 . 1:19
τοται		<b>296</b> 8.62	779.46	1275.27	513,28	215.50

1.1

13

 $(\cdot)$ 

7.1

### TABLE 6-7. Original classes of organic gases by OIC code.

.4 )

145.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $< \gamma$ 

 $7 \ge$ 

 $( \geq$ 

- \

(Tons per day)

CODE	SOURCE NAME	OLE	PAR	AR0	CARB	ETH
100		Ø.0Ø	Ø.90	Ø.ØJ	<b>I.</b> NI	Ø.110
110		Ø.0Ø	0.00	1.00	Ø.51	Ø.00
120	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	Ø.IØ	Ø.28	ø.ø1	Ø.35	Ø.Ø2
130	PETROLEUM REFINING	<i>i</i> .89	2.93	<b>11.0</b> 2	Ø.21	Ø.61
140	OTHER MANUFACTURING/INDUSTRIAL	Ø.21	2.12	Ø.13	Ø.17	Ø.41
150	ELECTRIC UTILITIES	Ø.42	6.21	ø.22	Ø.44	1.12
160	OTHER SERVICES AND COMMERCE	ø.35	Ø.63	Ø.Ø1	Ø.05	Ø.118
170	RESIDENTIAL	I.IØ	Ø.55	Ø.Ø3	Ø. ມວ	Ø.00
199	OTHER	Ø.94	1.68	Ø.Ø6	Ø.19	Ø.23
200	WASTE BURNING	0.90	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00
210	AGRICULTURAL DEBRIS	I.IA	Ø.Ø1	Ø.ØØ	0.03	0.01
220	RANGE MANAGEMENT	ØØ	Ø.UØ	£.ØØ	0.00	0.00
230	FOREST MANAGEMENT	Ø.UØ.	Ø. HI	Ø.ØØ	0.00	Ø.00
240	INCINERATION	Ø	Ø.Ø2	ø.øø	0.01	0.01
299	OTHER	Ø. <i>90</i>	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØØ	8.03	Ø.00
300	SOLVENT USE	Ø.IJØ	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.09	0.00
310	DRY CLEANING	9.90	8.20	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00
32Ø	DEGREASING	Ø.0Ø	21.71	Ø.9Ø	0.00	1.44
33Ø	ARCHITECTURAL COATING	U.ØØ	74.74	9.96	3.29	0.00
34Ø	OTHER SURFACE COATING	ØØØ	105.04	25.63	8.03	0.01
35Ø	ASPHALT PAVING	1.85	20.32	9.00	0.00	10.67
36Ø	PRINTING	Ø.IØ	10.71	0.57	Ŵ./6	0.00
₩ 37Ø	DOMESTIC	ø	38.68	0.00	0.95	0.00
ta 38Ø	INDUSTRIAL SOLVENT USE	I.U.	20.14	1.44	0.98	0.07
399	OTHER	Ø	1.66	Ø.14	0.05	0.03
4 <i>ØØ</i>	PETROLEUM PROCESS, STORAGE & TRANSFER	Ø.90	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00	0.100
4 1 Ø	OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION	I.IØ	39.64	0.77	0.0U	0.03
42Ø	PETROLEUM REFINING	Ø.23	42.44	6.15	0.28	0.03
43Ø	PETROLEUM MARKETING	Ø.83	61.82	21.37	0.00	0.00
499	OTHER	Ø. 92	9.05	1./6	0.00	0.01
5ØØ	INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES	0.00	0.00	Ø.00	0.0.0	0.00
51Ø	CHEMICAL	Ø.U8	6.29	1.15	0.00	0.31
52Ø	FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL	1.10	.7.60	10.40 a ao	0.00	0.21
56Ø	NINERAL PROCESSES	Ø.UØ	0.63	10.08 0.17	0.01	0.03
57Ø	METAL PROCESSES	JJ . 13 4	1.33	10.17 0.01	<b>10.00</b> 61.621	0.72 0. 41
58Ø	WOOD AND PAPER	0.00	0.08	Ø.ØI	0.00	1 42
599	OTHER	1.49	1.30	10.10 0 (10)	0.00	1.95
6.10	MISC PROCESSES	0.00	19.90	0.00	0.00	0.0.0
61Ø	PESTICIDE APPLICATION	0.99	10.10	2.00	0.29	0.03 6 66
62Ø	FARMING OPERATIONS	10.00 G. S.J	15.75		6 611	0.00 0.00
63Ø	CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION	9.90	0.0.0 0. 0.0	3 86	60 . KU	0.00
64Ø	ENTRAINED ROAD DUST - PAVED	5.00	0.00	0.00		0.00 6 666
650	ENTRAINED ROAD DUST - UNPAVED		15 47	6 61	a o 7	26 74
66Ø	UNPLANNED FIRES	4./3	13.47	2 20	6 63	Q (5)
680	SULID WASTE LANDFILL	10 - 59 U 160	14,00	1 03	64 61.1	9 16
699	UTHER DATA VEHICLES	לא ליה בילא איז איז	1,93	 	64 (a : :	6 H M
700	UN KUAD VEHICLES	10 - 19 19 14 - 61 64		51 016 51 016	6 6	0 90
/1.0	LIGHT DULY PASSENGER	10 - 1910 Cl - 5101	0 . U.U 0 . U.U	្រែលហ	Ø . M . I	Ø
120	LIGHT AND MEDIUM DULY INCOME	10 - 13 10 17 - 17 10	6 40	ดัตต	Ø. 0	ซี. เชีย
/ 4//	HEAVY DULLY HAS INULES	K 0 X M	N ANN	~ • ~ ~		

TABLE 6-7 (	(concluded)	)
	Concraced	/

CODE	SOURCE NAME	OLE	PAR	ARO	CARB	ЕТН
74Ø	HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	Ø. 90	Ø.0Ø	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØØ	Ø.00
75Ø	MOTORCYCLES	Ø.4Ø	<b>U</b> .UØ	Ø.ØØ	6.00	0.09
800	OTHER MOBILE	<b>I.</b> II	Ø.UØ	0.00	0.00	0.00
81Ø	OFF ROAD VEHICLES	1.92	14.36	4.56	1.55	3.67
82Ø	TRAINS	Ø.18	4.62	<b>U.14</b>	ø.3ø	Ø.74
83Ø	SHIPS	Ø.Ø5	1.26	ø.ø4	0.08	Ø.2Ø
85Ø	AIRCRAFT - GOVERNMENT	ø	Ø.11	Ø.Ø5	Ø.มរ	Ø.ØØ
868	AIRCRAFT - OTHER	Ø.99	12.22	5.24	Ø.33	Ø.16
87Ø	MOBILE EQUIPMENT	<b>U.76</b>	13.73	2.19	Ø.76	2.11
88Ø	UTILITY EQUIPMENT	Ø.79	5.62	1.89	Ø.62	1.47
9.ØØ	UNSPECIFIED SOURCES	Ø.UØ	Ø.Ø\$	0.00	Ø.UU	Ø.ŬŰ
TOTAL		15.98	6Ø1.55	91.21	20.60	42.64

# TABLE 6-8. Revised classes of organic gases by OIC code.

713

2.3

· 1

1

ì

1.1

(Tons per day)

	,			400	CARR	стц
CODE	SOURCE NAME	01. E	PAR	AKU	UARB	
1.00	EUEL COMBUSTION	Ø.ØØ	Ø. IV	<b>g .</b> 199	<b>11 .</b> 16.15	5.29
119	AGRICHITURAL	1.99	15 . KN	5.55	$g_{12}^{(0)}$	6.115
120	OTLAND GAS PRODUCTION	5.15	<b>3.41</b>	M. M1	19 . A 4	<i>1</i> 9.83
120	PETROLEUM REFINING	<b>3.53</b>	2.62	5.63	19 H	&.11
1 4 4	OTHER MANUEACTURING/INDUSTRIAL	1.24	2.19	<i>¥.</i> 13	&.17	$\mathscr{A} = 6.9$
156	FLECTRIC UTILITIES	A. 46	6.43	1.23	Ø.)1	1 5
16%	OTHER SERVICES AND COMMERCE	и.//3	g.59	£,102	6.55	10 . 157
17.%	RESIDENTIAL	3.119	ø.55	₫,₫3	M.113	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}$
100	OTHER	Ø.13	Ø.77	9.17	Ø.47	S.10
200	WASTE BURNING	$\mathscr{A}$ , $\mathscr{A}\mathscr{A}$	9.00	95 <b>.</b> IN	$\mathscr{B}$ . $\mathscr{H}$	19 - AH
210		I. IF	5.11	$g.g_3$	19 . 1619	6.61
220	RANGE MANAGEMENT	0.06	Ø. k115	.a . gø	11	Ø.62
223	FOREST MANAGEMENT	9.69	5.69	g . 214	19 . IAM	g , $gg$
210	INCINERATION	15.100	Ø.92	N . EN	5.11	$g_{.,g_{1}}$
200	OTHER	8.80	9 . NØ	S.II	<b>S.</b> 511	$\mathscr{G}$ , $\mathscr{D}\mathscr{D}$
288	SOLVENT USE	I. 195	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{D}$	91 . O.S	12 . 11 3	$\mathcal{L}$ , $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{D}$
21.1	DRV CLEANING	2.96	8.216	N . NN	Sec. A.S.	9 . SB
324	DEGREASING	I. NA	26.00	<i>9.99</i>	10.13	1.44
226	ARCHITECTURAL COATING	1.22	75.07	10.71	2.98	11.26
249	OTHER SURFACE COATING	5.39	92.68	55.23	3.18	$\mathscr{G}$ . $\mathscr{G}$ 1
25.7	ACTING SOUTHOE	9.78	23.83	7.49	$\mathcal{B}$ . $M$ I	$\emptyset.11$
261	PPINTING CONTINUE	គ. បទ	19.71	Ø.57	<i>1</i> 5.76	0.90
30,0	DOMESTIC	3.60	38.68	9.63	ø.95	<u>ម.</u> ទេស
37.0	INDUSTRIAL SOLVENT USE	11.111	25.39	1.74	1.15	$g$ , $g_8$
200	OTHER	5.90	1.55	5.17	1. 195	$\mathscr{Q}$ , $\mathscr{G}$ $1$
3 3 3 3 ···	PETROLEUM PROCESS. STORAGE & TRANSFER	1. 18 Ø	1.21	98 <b>. 19</b> I	$M$ , $\pi^*M$	16 x 1718
400	OIL AND CAS EXTRACTION	1.89	35.68	5.15	9. A.S	ø.93
435	PETROLEUM REEINING	1.26	44.78	11.84	11.75	<i>ы.</i> #3
4 6.27 A Q W	PETROLEUM MARKETING	1.82	74.13	2.20	2.45	6.92
100	OTHER	9.91	7.72	ø.ø9	11.65	<i>b</i> . <i>b</i> 2
499 5 <i>0</i> 0		9.99	g . $gg$	11 . AN	B , $BS$	Ø.15Ø
519	CHEMICAL	1.22	3.61	1.32	y.13	Ø.24
528	SOOD AND AGRICHLTHRAL	9.91	7.57	1.40	9.33	6.28
560	MINERAL PROCESSES	9.00	ø.63	2.23	<i>9.11</i>	<i>%</i> .#3
570	METAL PROCESSES	9.94	1.29	5.16	<b>Ø</b> .199	<i>M</i> .72
586	VOOD AND PAPER	3.111	11.118	ø. <i>9</i> 1	15.15.15	$\omega$ . $\mathbb{R}^{d}$
500	ATHER	2.112	i.21	M.31	刻,短号	1,12
600	MISC BROCESSES	1.116	9.00	1.53	M . HH	10 . 1510
610	PESTICIDE APPLICATION	$x$ , $\mu \mu$	10.14	2.55	<i>1</i> 1. (29	Ø.M3
620	FARMING OPERATIONS	9.85	15.73	1.QS	M . $MZ$	$H$ . $\Sigma H$
620	CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION	2.50	5.34	$\mathcal{M}$ . $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$	19 . W.C	6.29
640	ENTRAINED ROAD DUST - PAVED	7.19	10 . 10 li	$\mathfrak{O}$ . $\mathfrak{O}\mathfrak{O}$	19	10 . 2111
659	ENTRAINED ROAD DUST ~ UNPAVED	6.52	5.196	Ø.ØØ	16 . 11 28	$\mathfrak{G}$ . $\mathfrak{G}$ $\mathfrak{G}$
660	UNPLANNED FIRES	4.73	15.47	A.B)	19.37	26.70
680	SOLID WASTE LANDEILL	1.39	14.58	2.29	5.89	16 . 15 19
690	OTHER	9.99	7.53	6.93	18 . 126	6.44
700	ON POSD VEHICLES	<i>ы.110</i>	16.110	11.515	10.22	13 . 1. 13
7.0 A	LIGHT DHTY PASSENGER	5. NE	1. 1. W.W.	5.69	1 T	$B \sim 1/M$
723	LICHT AND MEDIUM DUTY TRUCKS	1.55	5.60	1.153	10 . 12	16 . 1516
736	VEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	0. <b>5</b> 8	N. 00	9.00	<b>g</b> . 53	$\mathfrak{G}$ . $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{L}$

(N) (N)

150

15

2

1.1

 $\langle \hat{Q} \rangle$ 

## TABLE 6-8 (concluded)

 $\ell^{-1} N$ 

 $C \rightarrow 0$ 

 $|x| \propto$ 

< 1

*4* ٦

CODE	SOURCE NAME	0 L E	PAR	ARO	CARB	ГТН
······································						
743	HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	÷. <i>96</i>	$\mathcal{H}$ , fight	11.113	10.225	$m{eta}$ . Fig
75团	MOTORCYCLES	1.199	11.18.11	11 <b>. 1</b> 3	M . 191	$\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}$
3199	OTHER MOBILE	<i>图,图图</i>	N . N I	J . N.I	. J.197	10.1515
819	OFF ROAD VEHICLES	1.92	14.36	4.56	1.5	3.67
82.9	TRAINS	11.18	4.62	g.14	M . 211	Ø.74
839	SHIPS	Ø.Ø5	1.26	$M \cdot M^A$	10 . 193	$B$ , $\mathbb{Z}B$
850	AIRCRAFT - GOVERNMENT	13.161	∅.11	្វ.ឆ្ល	<u>19 - 21 21</u>	$\mathcal{A}$ , $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$
869	AIRCRAFT - OTHER	99 	12.22	5.24	<i>₩</i> .#3	9.10
87.9	MOBILE EQUIPMENT	5.76	13.73	2.19	11.76	2.11
889	UTILITY EQUIPMENT	Ø.79	5.62	1.89	1.82	1.47
9ø©	UNSPECIFIED SOURCES	9. <i>II</i>	XI . 2111	9.ET	<b>13 .</b> N.S.	I.II
TOTAL		16.79	<b>5</b> <i>09</i> .56	102.99	17.76	41.36

 $\leq \gamma$ 

151

 $i \geq 1$ 

13

12

A A

Emissions of the five reactive classes of organic gases are thus given in these tables in tons/day as methane for 1979. On-road motor vehicle emissions are excluded from these tables as well.

Emissions for two of the five classes of pollutants--OLE and ARO-increased, and emissions for three classes--PAR, CARB, and ETH--decreased in the revised inventory. One of the most important effects of the modifications to the inventory is an increase of 11.8 tons/day in the aromatic component of the inventory. This is chiefly the result of the improved speciation profiles for surface coating categories. The aromatic portion of emissions from OIC 340 (other surface coating) has increased more than 100 percent in the revised inventory. A major aromatic increase has also occurred for asphalt paving (OIC 350) emissions, but as discussed in Section 5, there is uncertainty associated with the new asphalt profiles. At the same time, substantial decreases in the aromatic portion of petroleum refining and marketing (OICs 420 and 430) emissions have resulted primarily from revised speciation profiles for gasoline. Similar conclusions regarding the aromatic component of the ROG inventory can be drawn from a comparison of Tables 6-9 and 6-10, which present classes of organic gases by profile code. Tables 6-7 through 6-10 generally indicate a more reactive mix of organic gases overall in the revised inventory.

#### Organic Gas Species

1

Ç

Appendix E provides a listing of the 68 new speciation profiles, including individual organic gas species for each profile. One result of the use of the new profiles is the addition of many new species that were not a part of the original SOCAB inventory. Table 6-11 lists species that were added to the inventory together with their SAROAD numbers and molecular weights.

Tables 6-12 and 6-13 list TOG emissions in the MED point and area source files by reactive and unreactive species for the original and revised inventories, respectively. Individual organic gas species contained in the speciation profiles for each inventory are given in these tables on a weight percent basis. Two weight percents are listed: one column in the table lists the percent of the point and area source inventory; the other column is similar, but excludes landfill emissions, which are primarily unreactive and make up a large portion of the TOG inventory.

Finally, Table 6-14 identifies the approximate relationship between existing and new speciation profiles. This table was created by comparing the original profiles and new profiles for existing SCCs that were reassigned revised profile numbers. The relationship in the table is approximate

 $84052(a)r^{10}$ 

TABLE 6-9. Original classes of organic gases by profile code.

 $\sim$ 

 $r \rightarrow$ 

(Tons per day)

,# \.

 $r \sim$ 

 $\epsilon_{\rm eV}$ 

1.5

CODE	PROFILE NAME	OLE	PAR	ARO	CARB	ETH
	EXTERNAL COMBUSTION BOLLER- NATURAL GAS	3.90	1.92	Ø.11	Ø.28	Ø.UØ
3	BOTLERS- REFINERV GAS	Ø.58	2.25	0.00	ø.18	Ø.00
5	BOILERS - COKE OVEN GAS	I.ØØ	Ø.Ø2	U.ØI	Ø.0Ø	Ø.21
7	INTERNAL COMB, ENG TURBINE- NATURAL G	Ø	Ø.0Ø	0.00	Ø.7Ø	ø.øø
á	INDUSTRIAL ICE- DISTULATE OIL	Ø.68	Ø.55	Ø.ØØ	Ø.00	Ø.77
1 0	INDUSTRIAL ICE- NAT. GAS- RECIPROCATING	Ø.IØ	2.55	Ø.ØØ	Ø.14	Ø.3Ø
11	COKE OVEN STACK GAS- PRIMARY METALS	9.94	Ø.13	Ø.Ø1	ម.រេ៤	Ø.65
1.3	IRON SINTERING- PRIMARY METALS		0.01	0.00	Ø.UU	Ø.Ø1
21	ASPHALT ROOFING- BLOWING OPERATION	Ø.IØ	0.06	0.00	Ø.U0	0.00
22	ASPHALT ROOFING- DIPPING	Ø Ø	1.02	0.00	0.01	Ø.Ø2
25	ASPHALT CONCRETE- ROT, DRYER- NAT, GAS	I. DØ	Ø.Ø3	Ø.ØØ	Ø.90	0.00
26	ASPHALT CONCRETE- IN PLACE ROAD ASPHALT	1.85	20.32	ø.øø	Ø. els	Ø.67
29	REFINERY CO BOILER- FCC	Ø.AØ	Ø.15	0.03	0.27	ø.uu
31	REFINERY- FUGI. EMISS. FROM COVERED DRAI	ø. <i>9ø</i>	1.26	ø.øø	8.68	Ø.60
35	REFINERY COOLING TOWERS FUGITIVE EMISSIO	I.II	Ø.ØØ	0.00	Ø.KØ	Ø.UU
39	PETRO INDUSTRY- COMPRESSOR SEALS- REFINE	Ø. Ø4	Ø.36	Ø.10	Ø.ØØ	Ø.øj
47	PETRO MARKETING~ RELIEF VALVES- LPG	Ø.Ø1	Ø.13	Ø.ØØ	<b>U</b> .UU	Ø.ØØ
51	REFINERY FLARES- NATURAL GAS	ø.0Ø	Ø.Ø1	ø.øø	Ø.Ø1	ຜູ້ເປັ
53	REFINERY- CATALYTIC REFORMER- GENERAL FU	Ø.ØØ	2.13	Ø.Ø4	0.00	Ø.190
66	VARNISH MANUFACTURING	Ø.ØØ	Ø.Ø4	0.00	Ø.01	Ø.Ø.
72	PRINT, INK COOKING- GENERAL	Ø.ØØ	ø.90	<i>w.øø</i>	Ø.WU	Ø.00
76	PESTICIDE USE- COMPOSITE DOMESTIC & COMM	I.IØ	9.61	2.60	Ø.29	Ø.9Ø
G 78	ETHYLENE DICHLORIDE MANUFACTURING	Ø.IØ	ø.øø	ø.øø	Ø.U.Ø	Ø.UV
ω <sub>79</sub>	FLARES- CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING	Ø. <i>I</i> Ø	0.00	0.00	Ø,ØØ	Ø.00
85	PERCHLOROETHYLENE CLEANING SOLVENT	Ø. <i>UØ</i>	ø.øø	0.00	Ø,ØI	Ø.199
86	STODDARD CLEANING SOLVENT	.I	24.58	0.00	ស.សរ	Ø.Ø9
87	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE CLEANING SOLVENT	I.IØ	ø.øø	ø.øø	0.09	Ø.00
9Ø	DEGREASING- TOLUENE	Ø.ØØ	Ø.00	Ø.Ø1	Ø.00	Ø.00
96	SURFACE COATING SOLVENT- GENERAL	Ø.O.I	66.17	6.68	2.59	Ø.00
98	GASOLINE EVAP-COMPOSITE WORKING & BREATH	1.03	57.51	26.37	Ø.00	0.00
100	JET FUEL EVAP	ØØ	1.57	ø.øø	Ø.3Ø	Ø.00
121	OPEN BURNING DUMP- LANDSCAPE/PRUNING	Ø. <i>UØ</i>	<b>U.</b> UØ	Ø.Ø9	0.09	0.00
122	BAR SCREEN WASTE INCINERATOR- SOLID WAST	Ø.90	Ø.ØØ	8.0A	0.00	0.01
125	POLYMERIC SURFACE COATING- HOT AIR DRIED	Ø. <i></i> 119	4.19	9.77	Ø.29	0.00
127	SURFACE COATING EVAP- GENERAL VARNISH/SH	I.(I)	2.13	11.00	Ø.3/	0.130
134	SURFACE COATING EVAP- GENERAL PRIMER	Ø.0Ø	3.34	Ø.38	£ . 49	0.10
136	SURFACE COATING EVAP- METAL PRIMER	Ø.2Ø	29.98	3.33	2.62	0.00
141	SURFACE COATING EVAP- LABEL ADHESIVES	Ø. <i>II</i>	2.23	4.99	Ø.16	0.00
142	SURFACE COATING EVAP- METAL FURNITURE AD	Ø.ØØ	៨.34	0.09	1.13 1.13	0.00
148	SURFACE COATING LACQUER- METAL FURNITURE	Ø.UØ	6.02	1.38	Ø./2	0.00
149	SURFACE COATING LACQUER- PAPERBOARD PROD	Ø.UØ	7.75	1,23	1.36	0.99
156	SURFACE COATING EVAP- GENERAL COMPOSITE	Ø.0Ø	9.83	Ø.79	1.29	0.00
157	SURFACE COATING EVAP- COMPOSITE WOOD FUR	Ø.Ø9	Ø. H.I	11.00	N. N.N.	0.00
159	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SHEET METAL ENAMEL	0.00	Ø.0Ø	0.00	0.90	0.00
172	FLEX. PRINT COMPOSITE OF ALCOHOL BASED	ØØ	1.31	0.00	0.01	0.00
182	EVAP- GRAVURE PRINT GENERAL SOLVENT	I.IØ	4.99	0.61	0.42	0.00
185	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- TOLUENE	Ø.3Ø	Ø.12	Ø./1	0.00	0.00 0.00
195	METHANE SOURCE	Ø.IØ	Ø.00	ມ.ຍມ	מש. ש	ממ. מ
196	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATINGS- COMPOSIT	1.30	59.18	8.27	1.85	0.00
197	DOMESTIC SOLVENTS- GENERAL COMPOSITE	ØØ	22.02	0,00	Ø.95	0.00

7.5

 $( \ )$ 

ŕ١

TAB	E	6-9	(continued)

6.5

CODE	PROFILE NAME	OLE	PAR	ARO	CARE	ЕТН
200		<i>и</i> 39	14.58	3,20	Ø.00	Ø.£U
202	LANDFILL EMISSIONS	ดั้งต	15.73	ย.ยย	Ø. UU	0.00
203	PEED EEDMENTATION- ETHANOL	ต. ตต	4.02	0.00	K. U.S	Ø.ØI
217	COVE OVEN BLAST FURNACE- PROCESS GAS	Ø.Ø1	Ø.Ø1	0.00	Ø.111	Ø.ØØ
217	ACETONE PAINT SOLVENT	ดีสด	3.37	0.00	Ø.ØØ	0.00
220	SUBEACE COATING EVAP- ETHVL ACETATE	Ø.09	Ø.21	0.00	Ø.ØØ	0.00
221	SURFACE COATING EVAN- METHVI ETHVI KETON	9.00	1.67	U.ØØ	Ø.56	Ø.00
222	SURFACE COATING EVAP - CELLOSOLVE ACETATE	9.00	Ø.JØ	0.00	Ø. IV	Ø.ŪU
223	SURFACE COATING EVAP- XVIENE SOLVENT	Ø.DØ	Ø.Ø1	ø.ø2	Ø.09	0.00
225	SURFACE COATING EVAP- MINERAL SPIRITS SP	Ø.00	1.21	ø.øø	<b>U.</b> KU	ø.øø
226	SURFACE COATING SOLVENT- ETHYL ALCOHOL	I.UI	Ø.4Ø	0.30	ø.uø	0.00
227	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- ISOPROPYL	I.II	Ø.78	Ø.ØÏ	0.00	<b>Ø</b> .00
228	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- ISOPROPYL	Ø	Ø.13	0.00	Ø.0Ø	Ø. UU
229	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- LACTOL SP	Ø.0.0	1.63	Ø.ØØ	0.60	ø.uv
230	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- HEXANE	. ม.เฮ	ø.ø6	0.00	0.00	Ø.113
271	TRICHLOROETHYLENE CLEANING SOLVENT	Ø.9Ø	Ø.ØØ	<i>u.øø</i>	ø.ស១	Ø.31
274	SYNTHEIC RUBBER AUTO TIRE PRODUCTION	Ø. <i>UØ</i>	Ø.00	8.00	<i>u</i> .00	Ø.66
280	SURFACE COATING PRIMER- WATER BASED AUTO	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00	Ø. Ø.I	0.00
281	SURFACE COATING EVAP- MINERAL SPIRITS	ø.øø	0.00	0.00	Ø.VØ	Ø.90
282	SURFACE COATING EVAP- NAPHTHA	Ø.ØØ	1.00	0.00	0.00	Ø.09
289	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- BUTYL ALC	Ø.ØØ	Ø.Ø1	U. UU	Ø.CO	Ø,99
290	SURFACE COATING EVAP- SOLVENT- CELLOSOLV	Ø. <b>IØ</b>	Ø. IØ	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØI	Ø.ŸØ
294	CITRUS COATING WAX- FLAVORSEAL 320-0820	ØØØ	Ø.Ø1	Ø.Ø2	Ø.00	Ø.CØ
296	COMPOSITE CRUDE OIL EVAP- FIXED ROOF- PR	Ø.110	ø.0ø	ø.vø	Ø.00	Ø.92
297	CRUDE OIL EVAP- VAPOR COMPOSITE FROM FIX	ø.ua	64.67	1.10	I.II	. Ø.ØØ
298	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- BENZENE	Ø. IØ	Ø.Ø1	ø.øø	ø.øø	U.E.U
299	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- CYCLOHEXANE	ØØØ	Ø.J1	Ø.ØØ	ย.ยย	Ø.68
3.01	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- HEPTANE	Ø.9Ø	Ø.ØØ	Ø.Ø	Ø.UN	<b>U</b> .00
3Ø3	PETRO STORAGE- FIXED ROOF- PENTANE	I. HI	Ø.Ø1	ស.øu	U.UO	Ø.ØØ
3Ø4	EVAP- FLEX. PRINT. PRESS- N-PROPYL ALCOH	Ø.ØØ	2.91	¥.ØØ	ø.24	Ø.UØ
3Ø6	OPEN HEARTH WITH OXYGEN LANCE- STEEL PRO	Q. HØ	Ø.ØØ	ø.øø	Ø.II	Ø.ØØ
3Ø7	FORREST FIRES	4.22	11.02	IJ.ØØ	Ø.55	22.25
316	REFINERY- PIPES, VALVES & FLANGES- COMPO	I.II	Ø.86	Ø.Ø1	Ø.ØØ	Ø.9Ø
321	REFINERY- PUMP SEALS- COMPOSITE	I.OØ	14.23	Ø.61	Ø.II	Ø.&Ø
5ØØ	CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES- EXHAUST	0.00	Ø.IØ	0.00	Ø.ØJ	0.00
5Ø1	GASOLINE EVAP- CANISTER- LDV HOT SOAKS	Ø	Ø.II	0.60	Ø.00	0.00
5 <i>8</i> 2	NON-CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES- EXHAUS	3.40	24.27	8.17	2.70	6.36
503	DIESEL EXHAUST(ALDEHYDES NOT IN EMISSION	Ø.90	Ø.ØØ	N.ØØ	Ø.00	0.00
5Ø4	EXT. CONBUSTION BOILERS- DIST. OR RESID.	Ø.24	5.30	Ø.17	0.00	0.97
5Ø5	PLASTICS MANUFACTURE- VC & POLYPROPYLENE	1.49	ø.75	I.II	0.00	1.00
5Ø6	HALOGENATED CLEANING SOLVENTS- MIXED	Ø.00	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.03	0.00
5Ø8	JET EXHAUST- COMPOSITE	Ø.92	11.80	5.11	Ø.26	0.00
51Ø	PLASTICS MFG- VINYL CHLORIDE	Ø.IØ	Ø.0Ø	Ø.Ø0	0.09	0.03
511	PLASTICS MFG- POLYPROPYLENE	Ø.08	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
512	ORGANIC SOLVENT- DICHLOROMETHANE	Ø.ØØ	Ø.ØØ	U.99	0.00	0.00
513	SURFACE COATING EVAP- METHYL ISOBUTYL KE	Ø.Ø9	0.01	ມ.ພຍ	0.00	0.00
514	EVAP- CHEVRON WEED OIL	U , OU	2.88	1.76	0.00	0.00
515	COMPOSITE INDUSTRIAL DEGREASERS	Ø.80	5.67	<i>b</i> .00	0,00	1.22
616	COMPOSITE DRY-CLEANING SOLVENIS	10 - 10 N	0.00	10.10 10 11	00.00	אנא אנא רא הוא
617	PRINT, EVAP LOSS- GENERAL	10 . DV	1.51	0.00	N. 1 C	2.00

154

.
TABLE 6-9	(concluded)	).
-----------	-------------	----

 $S_{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{2} S_{\rm ef$ 

5

 $\sim_{1}$ 

1.1

4 \[\lambda

.

.

1.1

•

CODE	PROFILE NAME	OLE	PAR	ARO	CARB	ЕТН
518	AEROSOL SPRAYS- NON-SYNTHETIC	Ø.9Ø	16.66	Ø.ØØ	Ø.Ø9	<b>Ø</b> .00
519	AEROSOL SPRAYS~ SYNTHETIC	Ø.9Ø	Ø.Ø.	0.00	Ø.03	Ø.KK
52Ø	COMPOSITE NATURAL GAS	Ø.UI	5.46	Ø.ØØ	0.00	0.00
521	DIESEL EXHAUST(ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	Ø.48	12.Ø2	Ø.37	Ø.78	1.93
522	FORMICA MANUFACTURING	9.00	Ø.85	Ø,ØØ	Ø.Ø3	0.00
523	OPEN BURNING DUMP- LANDSCAPE/PRUNING (MO	Ø.51	4.41	8.00	Ø.32	4.46
524	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	0.09	Ø.SØ	W.ØØ	Ø,00	Ø.CØ
626	CATALYST EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS	Ø.ØØ	Ø,ØØ	Ø, ØØ	\$,53	0.00
526	1979 EXHAUST COMPOSITE 50/50 (ALDEHYDES	Ø.190	ø.øø	9.00	Ø.Øs	Ø.ØØ
527	NON-CATALYST EXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISS	Ø.6Ø	Ø.UØ	0.00	U.00	Ø.00
6 <i>ØØ</i>	SPECIES UNKNOWN- ALL CATEGORY COMPOSITE	<b>U.UØ</b>	25.17	3.28	0.00	1.46
9Ø9	MISCELLANEOUS	Ø.0Ø	Ø.12	Ø.Ø2	Ø.00	Ø.Ø1
					۱	
TOTAL		15.98	601.56	91.21	20.60	42.64

# TABLE 6-10. Revised classes of organic gases by profile code.

 $\sim \cdot$ 

(Tons per day)

79

C X

6.5

1 .

.

1

CODE	PROFILE NAME	OLE	PAR	ARO	CARB	ETH
()		1.76	1.92	Ø.11	$g_{\star}$ : $0$	8.39
.5	DATERNAL COMBOSTION BOILER RATORAL GAS	Ø.58	2.25	9.99	Ø 8	10 . 1.6
4	BOILERS-REFINERT GAS	3.29	12.72	.9.1	1.50	$m{eta}$ . $\gtrsim 1$
7	INTERNAL COMPUSITION ENGINE-TURBINE-NATURAL GAS	1.M8	9.80	1. <b>I</b> I	19 . 15	10
á	INDUSTRIAL (CE-DISTILLATE OIL	Ø.25	1.24	<b>11.</b> 1977	11 . 118	$\omega$ . $z$
1.67	INDUSTRIAL ICE-NATURAL GAS-RECIPROCATING	3.113	2.23	9.99	$\mathcal{D}$ . $t$ (a)	9 . N.W
11	COKE OVEN STACK GAS-PRIMARY METALS	11.114	N.13	B , $B$ )	19 . 1.1.16	6.65
13	TRON SINTERING-PRIMARY METALS	11.211	$\mathbb{M}$ , $\mathbb{M}1$	I.II	Ø . 510	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{G}$ )
21	ASPHALT ROOFING-BLOWING OPERATION	g . $zg$	M : M <b>1</b>		<i>姓</i> ,注 <i>注</i>	10 . 1. 16
20	ASPHALT ROOFING-DIPPING	N.9N	1.02	I.II	M , $r/M$	10.22
25	ASPHALT CONCRETE-ROTARY DRYER-NATURAL GAS FIRED	3.69.	Ø.Ø3	I.II	$\mathfrak{I}$ . $\mathfrak{I}$ $\mathfrak{I}$	ßi . 195
26	ASPHALT CONCRETE-IN PLACE ROAD ASPHALT	1.29	3.20	9.91	19 . 1. 21	6.11
2.9	REFINERY CO BOILER-FCC	A . HA	<i>b</i> .11	5.35	$g$ . $\mathbb{N}$	Ø : Ø
31	REFINERY-FUGITIVE EMISS. FROM COV. DRAIN/SEP FITS	# <b>.</b> 1115	1.10	g . I.I	.d9	6.14
35	REFINERY COOLING TOWERS FUGITIVE EMISSIONS	9.66	×7.15	G , $GH$	19 . C. A	Ø.119
39	PETRO INDUSTRY-COMPRESSOR SEALS-REFINERY GAS	H , $H$ 4	1.43	I . II	11.11.11	Ø • 1939
47	PETRO MARKETING-RELIEF VALVES-LPG	Ø.Ø4	3.36	$\mathscr{G}$ . $\mathscr{G}\mathscr{I}$	$\mathscr{G}$ . The	Ø.9 <i>0</i>
51	REFINERY FLARES-NATURAL GAS	11 <b>.</b> 6141	$\mathscr{G}$ . $\mathscr{G}$ )	9 <b>.</b> MA	$\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{J} \Lambda$	0.99
53	REFINERY-CATALYTIC REFORMER-GENERAL FUGITIVE EMISS	A . 11M	Ø.98	河,归之	16.1615	19. 1955
65	VARNISH MANUFACTURING	M , $MM$	M. 1.1	I.NI	<i>B</i> .02	16 - 1929
72	PRINTING INK COUKING-GENERAL	$\mathscr{G}$ , $\mathscr{M}$	S , $SS$	S . SI	10	6.29
76	PESTICIDE USE-COMPOSITE DOMESTIC & COMMERCIAL	1.118	9.61	2.59	5.2.5	N . AM
73	ETHYLENE DICHLORIDE MANUFACTURING	$\mathcal{D}$ . $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$	<b>g .</b> AA	A. 60	9.00	3.57
79	FLARES-CHEMICAL NANUFACTURING	$s$ , $s_k$	<i>U.S.</i>	$g_{\star}g_{A}$	X • M	10 • M.C.
85	PERCHLOROETHYLENE CLEANING SOLVENT	A . 99	<u>19 . 19 19</u>	g $JJ$	19 19 19 19	. 10 . 10 12
86	STODDARD CLEANING SOLVENT	$\mathscr{I}$ . $\mathscr{A}$	24.6%	11.1010	19 • 2012	x , $xyy$
87	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE CLEANING SOLVENT	1. 1.81	15.1916	19 • 18 M	29	$10^{\circ}$ , $1^{\circ}$ , $20^{\circ}$ $10^{\circ}$ , $1^{\circ}$ , $20^{\circ}$
9Ø	DEGREASING-TOLUENE	<b>F .</b> MA	N , ED	21.1919 O OF	$\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}$	$K_{i} = \dots = M_{i}$
96	SURFACE COATING SOLVENT-GENERAL	J . K.IJ	26.29	6.00	1. 1. 2.20	
93	GASOLINE EVAP-COMP WORK. & BREATH. TANK LOSS	1.1010	10.10.10	19 • 1019 11 - 1019	19 • 12 13	10 • 10 % 11 • 15 %
1.0.9	JET FUEL EVAPORATION	9.90	2.10	10 . 1319	19 • 10 10 14 • 11 11	V = V M
122	BAR SCREEN WASTE INCINERATOR-SOLID WASTE	9.99	Si - Xi Zi	2.20	10 . 1 . 10	10 x 1 12 - 114
125	POLYMERIC SURFACE COATING-HOT AIR DRIED	2.92	N . 20	33 • 23 M 48 - 13 M	11 • 11 14 11 • 11	10 + 1-10
127	SURFACE COATING EVAP-GENERAL VARNISH/SHELLAC	2.3.3	1.00	.0 • .909 1.1 - 6161	6	61
134	SURFACE COATING EVAP-GENERAL PRIMER	1.194	13 - 1010	22 • 10 10 C - 10 10	12 • 3 • 4 34 • 107	0 0
135	SURFACE COATING EVAP- METAL PRIMER	9.818	21 • 19 1 <b>9</b>	89 • 1919 67 - 6467	19 • 1 19 01 - 11 12	10
141	SURFACE COATING EVAP-LABEL ADHESIVES	1. 212	20 • 10 10 ·	12 + 1440 18 - 344	an an tair Tair an an	61 161
142	SURFACE COATING EVAP-METAL FURNITURE ADHESIVES	19. 1919	M = 10.10 M = 1.144	21 + 22 - 2 61 - 61 - 2	$M \sim 10$	18 - 1 - 1
143	SURFACE COATING LACQUER-BETAL FURNITURE	14 • 30 A 	$M \sim 10.10$	3 - 1913 1 - 1111	54 - 14 M	11 24
149	SURFACE COAT, LACQUER-PAPERBOARD PROD. & CONTAINER	1 . M.M.	22 • 10 10 11 - 11 14	24 - 2020 24 - 24:5	61	4
156	SURFACE COATING EVAP-GENERAL COMPOSITE ENAMEL	.9 %.039 -s: - stet	10 - 10 - 7 14 - 6114	1 14	14 - 16 14 14 - 16 14	61
157	SURFACE COAL. EVAP-COMPOSITE WOUD FURNITURE ENAMEL	3.09	3 666	6 08	16	67 . 1197
159	SURFACE CUATING EVAP-SHEET METAL ENAMEL	1 812 1 616	1 21	4 GU	97. 1	M . H.H
172	FUEXOBRAPHIC PRINT-COMP OF ALCONE DASED SOLV, "EVAF	3 6133	1 00	6 6 I	91.12	£. 32
102	EVAPORATION-GRAVORE ERINGING-GENERAL SOLVENT	1 111	W . 677	6.43	11. 114	6.39
100	ACTIVES CONSCENTION KOOL LOCATE	1 6115	11 / 1	. Gŭ	11.215	6.19
195	METHANE SUUKUE ADCHITECTUDAL CHOEACE COATINCS_COMPOSITE SOLVENT	1 116	A 66	6.28	1.3	10.13.5
195	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATINGS"COPPOSITE SULVENT	1 66	20.00	5 . MM	Ø . S	6 . 10
202	LANDFILL EMISSIONS	<i>M</i> .39	14.58	3.20	10 . 15 15	11 . SE

156

 $i \geq 1$ 

10

13

 $2^{-\infty}$ 

 $\langle C | V \rangle$ 

 $\ell^{*} X$ 

1 .

× 3

 $\langle F \rangle$ 

1.1

 $C^{\mathbf{N}}$ 

r >

15

157

 $C^{\infty}$ 

 $< \infty$ 

1.1

CODE	PROFILE NAME	OLE	PAR	ARO	CARB	ETH
263		а. 1991 —	15.73	1 <b>. I</b> A	<b>9.</b> 110	18
2.03	2FER SERMENTATION-FEHANOL	9.99	3.92	11.15	21.12.10	19 . 132
217	COXE OVEN BLAST FURNACE-PROCESS GAS	Ø.84	6. 91	$\mathfrak{G}$ . $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{M}$	M . 175	Ø . 13
219	ACETONE-PAINT SOLVENT	10 . S. 10	4.25	N.99	19 <b>.</b> 18 19	Ø.,715
225	SURFACE COATING EVAP-ETHYL ACETATE	8.111	1.22	<b>I.</b> II	10 . 18.5	<i>1</i> 6
221	SURFACE COATING EVAP-METHYL ETHYL KETONE	1.59	2.26	N . IS	<i>..</i> 75	J
222	SURFACE COATING EVAP-CELLOSOLVE ACETATE	1.99	1.51	6.64	$\mathscr{I} \bullet \mathbb{R}^{1}$	6.15
223	SURFACE COATING EVAP-XYLENE SOLVENT	<i>I.HN</i>	1.11	<i>I.Ø</i> 3	10 · 12 15	6.23
225	SURFACE COATING EVAP-MINERAL SPIRITS SPECIES	I. CU	2.35	11.114	g . $Gg$	M . 199
226	SURFACE COATING SOLVENT-ETHYL ALCOHOL	$\mathfrak{I}$ . MA	<i>M</i> .68	9. 1818	19 . 19 10	19 - 21 19
227	SURFACE COATING EVAP-SOLVENT-ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL	$\mathcal{J}$ . $\mathcal{J}$ $\mathcal{U}$	1.55	$g$ . $g_{M}$	$M \bullet M$	10 - 2.5
228	SURFACE COATING EVAP-SOLVENT-ISOPROPYL ACETONE	18 . 1918 - J	£ . 1.4	M • MH	10 . 12 24	10 • 1 • 20
229	SURFACE COATING EVAP-SOLVENT-LACTOL SPIRITS	J . N.M	1.37	11.100	19 • 13 M	10
239	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-HEXANE	. <u>A</u> . <u>117</u>	9.15	M. W.J	10 • 25 20	10 . / 13 6/ 10 C
271	TRICHLOROETHYLENE CLEANING SOLVENT	9.99	M . MM	M . 90 6 - 67	10 . 11.0 14 . 11.0	20 . E D 16 - 16 -
274	SYNTHEIC RUBBER AUTO TIRE PRODUCTION	10 . MM	19.22	10 - 20 80	21 + 21 20	10
281	SURF COAT PRIMER-WATER BAS. AUTO PAINT SPRAY BOOTH	53 • 5350 14 • 5469	N . D N G C C	10 . 10 11	$M \bullet M O$	10 - 10 10 11 - 214
281	SURFACE COATING EVAPORATION-MINERAL SPIRITS	2.99 6.00	1 50	M • 10 10 M • 10 10	12 • A 10 61 - 1 M	6 34
282	SURFACE COATING EVAPORATION-NAPHTHA	19 . 11 M	1,09	13 • 13 10 13 • 14 14	61 - 11 19	6 19
289	SURFACE COATING EVAPORATION-SULVENT-SULVE ALCOHOL	( M M)	10 + 10 Z 61 - 17 D	21 + K 21 21 - 14 23	$M = M^{-1}$	Ø. 59
290	SURFALE COATING EVAPORATION-SULVENT-CELLOSULVE	II A KINI KA - GUGI	19 • 19 2. 6( - 16 )	10 • 12 13 11 - 11 2	91 - 5197	6.38
294	COMPOSITE COUDE OIL EVAN-EIVED BOOK-BRODUCTION	10 . 10 M	8 77	1.93	9.39	$\emptyset$ . $\mathbb{N}S$
293	COMPOSITE CRODE OIL EVAPORTARD ROOF PRODUCTION	9. <i>5</i> 9	25.23	9.43	5.19	13 . 1.14
298	2 STRO STORAGE-FLYED ROOF-SENZENE	9.85	1.51	11.33	20 . 1.2.18	10 15
200	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-CVCLOHEXANE	9.49	0.50	1.00	2.11	0.50
301	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF OF DECINE AND	5.99	Ø.Ø3	11.199	2.72	Ø <b>.</b> HI
3Ø3	PETRO STORAGE-FIXED ROOF-PENTANE	3.116	0.19	9.05	6 . 41 8	Ø.M.S
3.04	EVAP-FLEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESS-N-PROPYL ALCOHOL	9.12	2,91	15 . 19 10	19 . Z 4	Ø.99
3.05	OPEN HEARTH WITH OXYGEN LANCE-STEEL PRODUCTION	3.31	H . NG	Ø. 6%	A	Ø.3Ø
3107	FORREST FIRES	4.22	11.12	11.119	創.55	22.25
316	REFINERY-PIPES, VALVES & FLANGES-COMPOSITE	$\mathcal{J}$ , $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}$	2.25	$g$ . $g_2$	13 🖬 12	M • 17.00
321	REFINERY-PUMP SEALS-COMPOSITE	$\mathcal{G}$ , $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{G}$	11.91	15.47	$H \sim M$	$g$ , $\mathbb{Z}^{q}$
5 <i>1</i> 91	CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES-EXHAUST	1.10	6.93	$\mathfrak{g}$ . $\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{g}$	$\vartheta$ . $\mathbb{N}^{2}$	10.124
5.01	GASOLINE EVAPORATION-CANISTER-LDV HOT SOAKS	5.49	科,這個	M.90	$M \cdot M M$	10.1010
5Ø2	NON-CATALYST LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES-EXHAUST	3.48	24.27	8.17	2. • 1.89	<b>0.30</b> a ca
5Ø3	DIESEL EXHAUST(ALDEHYDES NOT IN EMISSIONS)	I. KN	$\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{G} \mathcal{K}$	9.916	22 • 10 - 10 11 • 10 - 10 - 10	9.75
$5\emptyset$	EXTERMAL COMBUSTION BOILERS-DISTILLATE OR RESIDUAL	9.2.4	5.75	9.11	21 • 31 XI 21 • 31 XI	1 62
5Ø5	PLASTICS MANUFACTURE-VC & POLYPROPYLENE MIXED	1.51	1.76	9 . M.M	20 . 19 19 18 - 19 19	1.02
5.06	HALOGENATED CLEANING SOLVENTS-MIXED	.d • M2	,0, 10 U	D . 20.0 C 1.1	$M \cdot N O$ $M \cdot N C$	10 . 13 10 18 13 13
5.08	JET EXHAUST-COMPOSITE	19.96	11.79	0.J.L 0.03	10 • 5. 0 6 - 14	6 1 M
519	PLASTICS NEG-VINYL CHLORIDE	20 <b>- 1</b> 2120 1 17 - 1	19 • 19 19 67 - 11 67	13 • 19 3 61 - 11 61	$\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$	6.84
511	PLASTICS MFG-FULVPROPYLENC	10 = 3010	15 + 1918 61 - 6167	57 <b>. 10</b> 10 11 - 11 10	11 . 12	26 764
514 ·	ORGANIC SOLVENTEDICHEOROMETHAND. (SODUTV) VETONE	2 64	10 - 10 14 11 - 61 16	સ હાલ સંસ	64 10	11.59
513	SURFACE CONTINUE EVALUMENTON THE ITTE ISOBUTTE RETONE EVADOSATION-CHEVRON DEED OTI	16 - 1779 16 - 1617	11.000	5.68	55 . 11	Ø.159
516	COMPOSITE INFIGTRIAL DECREASERS	1.44	5.36	1 . Mil	<i>1J 2i</i>	1.15
518	COMPOSITE DOV-CLEANING SOLVENTS	1.1	6.50	1.112	2.12	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathbb{H}\mathcal{G}$
517	28INTING EVAPORATION LOSS-CENERAL	9.00	1.31	5.63	5.12	10 . 15 M
518	AFROSOL SPRAVS-NON-SVNTHETIC	1.16	16.66	9.09	9 . NB	Ø. NO

TABLE 6-10	(continued)
------------	-------------

 $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}$  )

63

1.5

1.

7 N

( )

 $\cap$ 

158

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

C00E	PROFILE NAME	01.E	PAR	ARO	CARB	CTH
E 1 0	AEPOSOL SPRAYS-SVNTHETIC	<i>ब</i> ्र प्रज	91.91 <i>9</i> 1	ฎ. มีป	<i>H</i> .36	6.:19
519	COMPOSITE MATHRAL GAS	9.99	5.34	9.99	13 . 1. 16	$\mathcal{O}$ . $\mathbb{D}$
521	DIESEL EXHAUSTIALDEHVDES IN EMISSIONS)	3.48	12.92	Ø.37	9.76	1,93
522	FORMICA MANUFACTURING	15.99	6.70	S.9M	Ø 3	<b>10 .</b> 14 15
823	OPEN BURNING DUMP-LANDSCAPE/PRUN. (MOD. KVB 121)	J.51	4.41	<b>U</b> . ៨ឆ	10 . 1 2	4.45
524	METHVIENE CHIORIDE	11 . 1111	R . N G	H.ØØ	19 · 11 19	10 <b>.</b> EU
525	CATALYST FWHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	11 <b>.</b> 1543	15.159	<i>st. 30</i>	10 - 10 10	18 . 193
526	1979 FYHAUST COUP. 50/50 (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	M , $EM$	11 . C.C	. <u>M</u> ., MM	19 • FM	10.118
527	NON-CATALYST FXHAUST (ALDEHYDES IN EMISSIONS)	15 <b>.</b> 18 11	Ø.Ø8	អ.ជម	<u>9</u> 7 97	0.96
649	SPECIES UNKNOWN-ALL CATEGORY COMPOSITE	M . $MM$	21.31	2.78	5.25	1.24
7 / 1	LIQUID GASOLINE-UNLEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	5.53	6.12%	5.59	2.1.10	[0
702	LIQUID GASOLINE- LEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	5.43	N . II	91.09	1. 1	10 515
703	LIQUID GASOLINE-UNLEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	5.93	Ø. IV	1.13	.T . 193	9.59
701	LIQUID GASOLINE- LEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	8.99	I. II	S.VI	1.10	1.54
7.05	GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	2.53	S . TT	1.99	Ø. <i>93</i>	13.999
706	GASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	$\mathcal{Z}$ . $M\mathcal{B}$	S . AA	1.195	M , $J$ $M$	19 - 2013
7.07	GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	1.38	19 M C	11.153	19 <b>.</b> 1719	10 . 1810
768	GASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED PREMIUM-SUMMER BLEND	11 . MN	11 . AA ·	海,风灯		Ø.9Ø
7.09	LIQUID GASOLINE-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-SUMMER BLEND	ž. 19	4.5%	1.68	A . 1.11	$\varnothing$ . $\Im \varnothing$
710	GASOLINE VAPORS-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-SUMMER BLEND	J.92	67.36	Ø.41	2.94	10.1919
711	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE LACQUER	1.56	12.09	9.88	15 . 16 10	19.19.19
712	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE ENAMEL	5.09	9.26	7.92	9.62	Ø. Sz
713	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE PRIMER	3.179	3.68	3.Ø5	J . 3	<i>M</i> . 50
714	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING~COMPOSITE ADHESIVE	1. 10:0	7.95	Ø.3Ø	19 . 2. 2.	9.15.10 
715	SLOW CURE ASPHALT	1.49	4.23	Ø.92	19.201	Ø.92
715	MEDIUM CURE ASPHALT	${\mathbb N}$ . ${\mathbb N}{\mathbb N}$	16.42	6.58	<b>9.</b> NG	19 . 519
717	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATING-WATER BASED PAINT	ガ . 紅豆	12.49	9.99	10.03	M • 1919
713	ARCHITECTURAL SURFACE COATING-COMPOSITE SOLVENT	1.22	18.37	4.33	9.03	10 · 22
719	INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE-RECIPROCATING-NAT. GAS	3.33	2.01	<i>斜,照4</i>	9.17	H = 10
721	LIQUID GASOLINE-UNLEADED REGULAR-WINTER BLEND	$\pi$ . $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{N}$	9 <b>.</b> 92	26 • M 10	21 . 21 20	19 • 17 10 18 - 17 18
722	LIQUID GASOLINE- LEADED REGULAR-WINTER BLEND	I . 90	10.11.19	11.00	.0	19 + 1 - 19 M - 16 M
723	LIQUID GASOLINE-UNLEADED PREMIUN-WINTER BLEND	9.579	M . N.U	1. 1. 1. 10	2.23	19 • 19 10 14 - 21 13
724	LIQUID GASOLINE- LEADED PREMIUM-WINTER BLEND	$\mathscr{Q}$ . $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{J}$	0.90	9.92	2	10 • 21.0 15 - 11.7
725	GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEADED REGULAR-WINTER BLEND	A . 199	15 . 10 10	9.89	20, 11.9	il silar
726	GASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED REGULAR-WINTER BLEND	14 <b>•</b> 11 19	1. 10.00	10 . 13 10	10 . 1. 12	10 - 19 M
727	GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEADED PREMIUM-WINTER BLEND	21. 15.0	0 , <u>1010</u>	10 . 19 10	10 . 1. 19	10 • 2342 14 - 17 4
723	GASOLINE VAPORS- LEADED PREMIUM-WINTER BLEND	x , $M$	19 . N 12	M . M X	10 . 12 . 3	10 • 7 12 3 - 11 f
729	LIQUID GASOLINE-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-WINTER BLEND	$\mathcal{O}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ $\mathcal{O}$	<i>36</i> • 19 10	M . N N	$M \rightarrow Z M$	
739	GASOLINE VAPORS-COMPOSITE OF PRODUCT-WINTER BLEND	£ . 99	M . KU KI	XI320	10 •	10 • 13-13 12 - 51-14
731	HEATED GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEAD REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	3.142	R) • R: K	10 . 1010		61 116
732	AGIT. GASOLINE VAPORS-UNLEAD REGULAR-SUMMER BLEND	19	10 . 10 13	N • 1019	19 •	10 • 17.0 11 - 374
751	ACRYLONITRILE-BUTADIENE-STYRENE (ABS) RESIN NYG.	2 . / W	XI . XI XI	XI • 1011	23 • 27 23 AT 17 E	10 + 12 12
752 -	POLYSTYRENE RESIN MFG.	er - Mak	1.10	21.34	20 • . 170 61 - 616	K * L K
753	STYRELE	N . 1119	11.120	9.33 a aa	19 • 1. Q 61 - 21	6 23
75	CHLOROSOLVE	10 (1977) 20 (1977)	11.10	12 • 12 19 16 - 17 14	20	6.110
75	TRICHLOROTRIFLOUROETHANE	19 . 1910	N . E M 71 - 717	21 <b>.</b> 1910 21 - 1910	19 × 11 30 14 - 17 91	6 44
75	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION FUGITIVES-LIQUID SERVICE	18 <b>-</b> 19199 19	M.20 a bo	31 - 1020 11 - 1413	14 - 2674 14 - 2774	10 + 10 10 10 - 10 10
7	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION FUGITIVES-GAS SERVICE	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	10 VO	30 • 1930 (X = 0011	1 1	01 - 1372) 01 - 5493
73	OIL & GAS PRODUCTION FUGITIVES-VALVES-UNSPECTFIND	19 - 1470 11 - 1471	10.00	11 • 16 KO 64 - 64 GA	61	0.30
1.01	ー ロロー みーはみち やせいけ やけいエモエヤとちゃやエモモエ的もちゃしれるやとしまたまとり	S . 11 .	4.10	10 • 20 10		

## TABLE 6-10 (concluded)

1-2

۴٦

 $r \rightarrow$ 

1

CODE	PROFILE NAME	01.6	PAR	ARO	CARE	ETH
769	EVAPORATIVE EMISSIONS-DISTULATE FUEL	3.19	£.95	S. 30	<i>M</i> .265 •	H.E.S
761	EVAPORATIVE EMISSIONS-NAPHTHA	J . $MM$	9.53	13 . G 7	3.73	Ki . 1512
762	RTY (RENZENE/TOLUENE/XVIENE)	2.24	2.113	$\mathcal{J}$ , $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}$	5.23	H , $HH$
763	PHTHALLC ANHVORIDE MEG-XVIENE OXIDATION	9.91	10 . 10 10	1.03	Ø	Ø.25
764	FLUOROCARBON-12/11 MANUFACTURING	9.69	ស.សស	$\mathfrak{G}$ , $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{G}$	21 . 16.0	19 <b>.</b> K. K.
765	FLUOROCARBON-23/22 MANIFACTURING	15 . 1115	11.1011	19 . N.S	9. 63	$ar{B}$ , $\mathbb{Z}Z$
765	FLUOROCARBON-113/114 MANUFACTURING	1.84	S . M &	I.MI	1 <b>9 .</b> H. Y	<u>,</u>
765	FLUORGEARBON-11	J, 199	Ø.89	11,99	10	$\mathfrak{U}$ . Lie
763	FLUOROCARBON-113	6.89	. 10 10	13 <b>.</b> 10 13	13 <b>.</b> 17 5	ki . $ZiS$
769	FLUOROCARBON-114	2.814	0.99	I . 1116	11 . 11 21	$\wp$ , $\omega x$
779	CHLOROFLUOROCARBONS	5.23	9.56	I.ØI	5	<u>k</u> (
771	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	21.1619	S . 1510	I.NI	10 . 14.0	ki . 1916
772	ORTHO-XVLENE	1 . UN	S . II	11 . 10 10	${\mathcal G}$ . ${\mathbb Z} {\mathbb Z}$	B . $M$
773	FLUOROCARBON NEG-VALVES, PUMPS, ETC	5.50	历,创发	M . MH	21 . 1.1.1	B . $MB$
774	I SORITVI ACETATE	S. 10	Ø.MØ	M . D.I	2.13	Ø . 1313
775	I SOBUTYL ALCOHOL	26.1590	Ø . U."	I . AN	19 . 14.97	5.155
776	ISOBUTYL ISOBUTYRATE	5.60	<i>ia</i> . <i>is</i> 1	ជ.ជា	8.5	$ar{H}$ . The
777	METHYL AMYL KETONE	9.39	Ø. 90	A . 15	1. 10.0	ស.វាថ
778	METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE	9 . UN	Ø.UØ	1.00	1. A.L	16 . 1.19
779	N-BUTYL ACETATE	3.90	I. 111	ឮ . ឲន	$S_{\bullet,\gamma} S$	$\mathcal{G}$ . H $\mathcal{G}$
789	N-PRO2VI ACETATE	3.1016	Ø.99	9.99	(J 🔒 😳	e . 199
781	N-PROPYL ALCOHOL	.I . 11 H	I. AA	11.199	$\mathscr{G}$ . Not	6 . LUI
782	HEXYLENE GLYCOL	9.19	Ø.Ø7	$\mathfrak{I}$ . $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{G}$	1 <b>9 -</b> 20 - 3	M . 1.19
783	INDUSTRIAL SURFACE COATING-SOLVENT BASED PAINT	Ø.10	41.92	33,99	1.21	10 - 1516
784	SYNTHETIC RUBBER MEG-STYRENE-BUTADIENE RUBBER	3.71	$\sigma$ . $\mu$ 6	13.37	0.23	11 . 11 S
785	ETHVLENE OXIDE	<b>U</b> . NS	$\emptyset$ . $\emptyset 2$	11.19	Ø . 1 Ø	6.1.10
786	METHYL ALCOHOL	9.9H	Ø.18	11.11.3	$22 + c^2 B$	Ø.140
787	CARBON BLACK MANUFACTURING	$\mathcal{S}$ . $\mathcal{M}$	Ø.00	11 . US	9.89	6.20
989	MISCELLANEOUS	9.99	Ø.10	9.91	Ø.99	$\mathscr{G}$ . S $1$
τοται		16.79	<b>6</b> II.57	102.99	17.76	41.36

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

13

17

TOTAL

TABLE 6-11. New chemical species in the revised inventory.

Saroad	Molecular	Species Name
NO.	Weight	
42015	56.10	
43215	56.10	ISOBU'IY LENE
43243	68.13	ISOPRENE
43266	112,22	C-2-OCTENE
43267	127.05	1-NONENE
43268	140.27	1-DECENE
43269	154.30	1-UNDECENE
43371	118,18	HEXYLENE GLYCOL
43561	114.19	METHYL AMYL KETONE
43825	86.47	CHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE
43826	104.46	CHLOROTRIFLUOROMETHANE
43827	154.47	CHLOROPENTAFLUOROETHANE
43828	170.92	DICHLOROTETRAFLUOROETHANE
43830	118.00	CHLOROFLUOROHYDROCARBONS
45402	122.13	BENZOIC ACID
50006	40.06	PROPADIENE
50028	148.00	PHTHALIC ANHYDRIDE
50029	98.00	MALEIC ANHYDRIDE
50030	76.14	CARBON SULFIDE
50031	60.08	CARBONYL SULFIDE
50032	128.26	3.5.5-TRIMETHYLHEXANE
50033	128,26	2,2,5-TRIMETHYLHEXANE
50034	84.16	T-2-HEXENE
50035	84.16	C-2-HEXENE
50036	72.11	ISOBUTYRALDEHYDE
50037	96.17	
50038	127.05	C9 OLEFINS
50039	140,27	CIO ALKENES
50040	84.16	2-METHYL-1-PENTENE
50041	98,19	3-HEPTENE
50042	127.05	4-NONENE
50042	120.20	ISOPROPYLEENZENE (CUMENE)
50045	118 18	TNDAN
50045	134 22	M-DTFTYVIBENZENE
50045	128 10	NA DHTHA I FNF
50040	134 22	TSORIOVI BENZENE
50047	116 16	TNDENE
50040	120.20	
50049	120.20	
50050	104.22	
50051	120,59	
50052	134.22	T-BUTY LBENZENE

, ,

Ċ

4

Ć

Ċ

Saroad	Molecular	Species Name
 NO.	Weight	
50053	96.94	1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE
50054	112.22	2,4,4-TRIMETHYL-1-PENTENE
50055	112.22	2,4,4-TRIMETHYL-2-PENTENE
50056	86.14	ISOVALERALDEHYDE
50057	98.19	ETHYLCYCLOPENTANE
50058	112.16	TRIMETHYLCYCLOPENTANE
5 <b>0059</b>	112.12	DIMETHYLCYCLOHEXANE
50060	129,27	TRIMETHYLCYCLOHEXANE
50061	112.22	ETHYLCYCLOHEXANE
50062	141.24	DIETHYLCYCLOHEXANE
50063	154.30	N-PENTYLCYCLOHEXANE
50064	112.22	C2 CYCLOHEXANE
50065	126.24	C3 CYCLOHEXANE
50066	140.27	C4 CYCLOHEXANE
50067	154.30	C5 CYCLOHEXANE
50068	126.24	C3 ALKYL CYCLOHEXANE
50069	140.27	C4 ALKYL CYCLOHEXANE
50070	142.28	C4 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE
50071	154.30	C5 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE
50072	170.32	C6 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE
50073	154.26	C4 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANONE
50074	118.17	BUTYL CELLOSOLVE
50075	130.19	C5 ESTER
50076	114.19	2-METHYL-3-HEXANONE
50077	114.19	HEPTANONE
50078	72.10	ALKENE KETONE
50 <b>079</b>	136.24	TERPINENE
50080	90.12	BUTANDIOL
50081	60.11	ISOPROPANOL
50082	127.05	ETHYLHEPTENE
50083	182.35	TRIMETHYLDECENE
50084	146.23	C2 ALKYL INDAN
50085	132.21	ALKYL SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE
50086	166.27	C2 ALKYL DECALIN
50087	156.27	CARVOMENTHOL
50088	150.22	CARVONE
50089	154.26	ISOPULEGONE
50090	112.22	METHYLHEPTENE
500 <b>9</b> 1	128.26	DIMETHYLHEPTANE
50092	187.87	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE

## TABLE 6-11 (concluded)

ζ

Ę

C

Ċ

Ć

Ć

Saroad No.	Molecular Weight	Species Name
•		
50093	92.57	1-CHLOROBUTANE
50094	148.68	3-(CHLOROMETHYL)-HEPTANE
50095	88.15	ETHYL ISOPROPYL ETHER
50096	130.23	DIBUTYL ETHER
50097	128,19	2-BUTYLTETRAHYDROFURAN
50098	140.23	PROPYLCYCLOHEXANONE
50099	162.18	2-(2-BUTOXYETHOXY)-ETHANOL
50100	104.15	1-ETHOXY-2-PROPANOL
50101	130.23	2-ETHYL-1-HEXANOL
50102	116.21	1-HEPTANOL
50103	188.18	2-METHYL-2,4-PENTANEDIOL
50104	102.13	METHYL ISCBUTYRATE
50105	158.24	C8 ESTER
50106	211.19	SUBSTITUTED C7 ESTER
50107	218.24	SUBSTITUTED C9 ESTER

TABLE 6-12. Original organic gas species in weight percent.

 $\cap$ 

*4* ک

 $\cap$ 

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

٩

 $c \sim$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WE I GHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
13105	ISOMERS OF HEXANE	Ø. 441	Ø.988
43103	ISOMERS OF HEPTANE	<i>й.</i> 269	0.604
43100	ISOMERS OF OCTANE	<i>x</i> ,209	Ø.468
43107	ISOMERS OF NONANE	Ø.619	1.388
43100	ISOMERS OF DECANE	1,393	3,123
43105	ISOMERS OF UNDECANE	a a5a	Ø.113
43110	ISOMERS OF TRIDECANE	Ø. H.J.Ø	6.650
43112	ISOMERS OF DODECANE	ป . กธุส	U. U.S.
43113	ISOMERS OF TETRADECANE	15. 13 18	Ø.Ø#I
43114	ISOMERS OF PENTADECANE	0.000	Ø.Ø.99
43115	C-7 CYCLOPARAFFINS	Ø.13Ø	Ø.291
43116	C-8 CYCLOPARAFFINS	Ø.Ø11	Ø.Ø24
43117	C-9 CYCLOPARAFFINS	Ø.ØØ5	Ø.Ø11
43118	MINERAL SPIRITS	Ø.317	1.833
43119	LACTOL SPIRITS	Ø.Ø55	Ø.124
43120	ISOMERS OF BUTENE	Ø.116	Ø.261
43121	ISOMERS OF PENTENE	Ø.Ø63	Ø.142
43122	ISOMERS OF PENTANE	Ø.727	1.629
43123	TERPENES	Ø.Ø55	ø.øøø
432Ø1	METHANE	70.118	34.747
43202	ETHANE	2.271	4.968
432Ø3	ETHYLENE	1.237	2.774
432Ø4	PROPANE	1.288	2.763
432Ø5	PROPYLENE	Ø.48Ø	1.Ø77
432Ø6	ACETYLENE	Ø.482	1.Ø81
432Ø7.	CYCLOPROPANE	ø.øøø	Ø.Ø£Ø
432Ø8	PROPYNE	I. III	Ø.ØUØ
432Ø9	METHYLACETYLENE	. Ø. Ø13	Ø.Ø29
43211	3-METHYL-1-PENTENE	Ø.U.OØ	0.000
43212	N-BUTANE	1.535	3.193
43213	BUTENE	Ø.129	0.288
43214	I SO-BUTANE	Ø.776	1.615
43215	ISOBUTYLENE	0.900	0.000
43216	TRANS-2-BUTENE	0.000	0.000
43217	CIS-2-BUTENE	0.000	
43218	1, 3-BUTADIENE	0.024	10.1054 a aca
43219	ETHYLACETYLENE	0.000	
43220		0.959	2.027
43223	3-METHYL-1-BUTENE	0.007	0.015
43224		0.097	0.217
43225	Z-MEIHYL-I-BUIENE	10.000 ·	0.000
43226		0.019.0 6. 01010	6 000
43227		x .0000	0.000
43228	2-METHYL DENTANE	64 65300	ស្រុសភាស ស្រុកស្រុកស្រុ
43229	2 METHYL PENTANE	0 . 516 0 0 . 516 0	
43230		1 275	2 484
43231		1.3/3 0( 45.1	1 0110
43232		0.451 0 A05	0 Q (15)
43233		6 800	<i>a</i> . <i>aaii</i>
4.17.34	2,3=01061016=1=001606	<i>x</i> . <i>xxx</i>	N . N . N N

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS.

 $\sim$ 

r >

( )

 $< \gamma$ 

13

1-7

17

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
43235	NONANE	Ø.238	Ø.533
43238	N-DECANE	Ø. 114	Ø.256
43230	UNDECANE	Ø.Ø11	0.024
43242	CVCLOPENTANE	Ø. 144	Ø.Ø74
43245	1-HEXENE	Ø.Ø15	Ø.Ø33
43248	CYCLOHEXANE	Ø.635	1.423
43255	N-DODECANE	9.010	0.022
43258	N-TRIDECANE	0.011	Ø.Ø25
43259	N-TETRADECANE.	0.014	Ø.Ø31
43260	N-PENTADECANE	Ø. 3Ø8	Ø.691
43261	METHYLCYCLOHEXANE	0.000	0.000
43262	METHYLCYCLOPENTANE	0.000	0.050
43264	CYCLOHEXANONE	0.023	0.051
43265	OCTENE	0.000	0.000
43270	3-METHVI-T-2-PENTENE	Ø. ØØØ	Ø.Ø0U
43271	2.4-DIMETHYLPENTANE	0.000	Ø.ØU0
43272	METHYLCYCLOPENTENE	0.000	0.000
43273	CYCLOHEXENE	0.000	0.000
43274	2.3-DIMETHYLPENTANE	0.000	0.000
43275	2-METHVI HEXANE	0.000	0.000
43276	2.2.4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	Ø.Ø62	Ø.138
43277	2.4-DIMETHYLHEXANE	Ø.UØØ	0.000
43278	2.5-DIMETHYLHEXANE	Ø.ØØØ	0.000
43279	2.3.4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	0.000	0.000
43280	2.3.3-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	Ø. ØØØ	Ø.000
43281	HEXADECANE	Ø.ØØ8	Ø.Ø17
43282	HEPTADECANE	0.006	Ø.Ø14
43283	OCTADECANE	ø.øø6	Ø.Ø13
43284	NONADECANE	ø.øø4	ø.øv9
43285	EICOSANE	I.930	0.000
43286	HENEICOSANE	y . UI <i>I</i>	0.000
43287	DOCOSANE	ย.ยøø	Ø.ØIU
43288	ETHYLCYCLOHEXANE	Ø.00Ø	0.010
43289	C6 OLEFINS	Ø.CØØ	0.000
4329Ø	C8 OLEFINS	Ø.123	Ø.276
43291	2.2-DIMETHYLBUTANE	Ø. <i>UØØ</i>	<b>U.D</b> UU
43292	CYCLOPENTENE	<i>U.UID</i>	Ø.Ø៧
43293	4-METHYL-T-2-PENTENE	<i>U.OID</i>	Ø.Øxix
43294	C7-OLEFINS	0.000	Ø.Ø00
43295	3-METHYL HEXANE	0.500	0.000
43296	2.2.3-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	0.000	Ø.Ø110
43297	4-METHYLHEPTANE	Ø.ØØØ	Ø.ØUØ
43298	3-METHYL HEPTANE	0.000	0.000
43299	2,2,5-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	ฮ.ถยฮ	ø.ønu
433Ø1	METHYL ALCOHOL	Ø.289	Ø.647
43302	ETHYL ALCOHOL	0.753	1.687
433Ø3	N-PROPYL ALCOHOL	0.011	Ø.Ø25
433Ø4	ISO-PROPYL ALCOHOL	1.178	2.640
433Ø5	N-BUTYL ALCOHOL	Ø.121	Ø.271
433Ø6	ISO-BUTYL ALCOHOL	Ø. Ø14	ø.ø32

r ٦

 $\sim$ 

1 1

1.2

 $< \gamma$ 

 $\langle \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ 

 $\cap$ 

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

13

12

 $( \cap$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
12200		a . 60a	ด. ดิตต
433.00		ส.ศัสด	<i>a</i> . <i>abbb</i>
43329		ด แตด	<i>a</i> . <i>aua</i>
43310		a. 899	Ø.222
43311		<i>a a a a a</i>	<i>a</i> . <i>a</i> 0 <i>a</i>
43312		ส เสสส	ด. ดษต
43320	ETHVI ETHER	8.888	8.891
43331		Ø. Ø8 <b>4</b>	Ø.188
43307		ดีตั้ดตั้ด	ดี.ดียม
43300		Ø. Ø44	Ø.Ø98
43303		Ø.Ø14	Ø.Ø32
43370	TETRAHVDROFURAN	ด. สินด	0.000
43390		ส. สัสส	0.000
43432	METHVI ACETATE	Ø. 000	0.000
43433	FTHVI ACETATE	Ø.153	Ø.343
43433	PROPVI ACETATE	ñ. Ø93	Ø.208
43434	N-BUTYL ACETATE	Ø.252	Ø.565
43438	ETHVI ACRVLATE	Ø.ØØØ	0.000
43443	CELLOSOLVE ACETATE	Ø. ØØØ	0.000
43443		Ø.213	Ø.478
43445	METHVI AMVI ACETATE	Ø.Ø11	0.025
43446		Ø.Ø35	Ø.Ø79
43450	DIMETHYL FORMAMIDE	Ø.Ø12	Ø.Ø26
43451	ISOBUTYL ISOBUTYRATE	Ø.144	Ø.323
43452	2-ETHOXYETHYL ACETATE	Ø.Ø31	Ø.Ø71
43502	FORMALDEHYDE	ø.186	Ø.417
435Ø3	ACETALDEHYDE	Ø.N89	Ø.199
435Ø4	PROPRIONALDEHYDE	ø.IUØ	Ø.Ø0Ø
4351Ø	BUTYRALDEHYDE	Ø.00Ø	Ø.Ø.IØ
43511	C3 ALDEHYDE	0.000	0.000
43512	C5 ALDEHYDE	Ø.0ØØ	0.000
43513	C8 ALDEHYDE	ø.08ø	Ø,179
43551	ACETONE	Ø.827	1.854
43552	METHYL ETHYL KETONE	1.041	2.333
43559	METHYL N-BUTYL KETONE	Ø.Ø17	0.037
4356Ø	METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE	Ø.174	Ø.391
436Ø1	ETHYLENE OXIDE	Ø.Ø9Ø	0.050
436Ø2	PROPYLENE OXIDE	0.000	0.000
437Ø2	ACETONITRILE	0.000	0.000
437Ø4	ACRYLONITRILE	0.000	0.000
43721	ETHYLAMINE	0.046	0.194
43740	IRIMETHYL AMINE	0.046	0.104
43801		Ø . 10 Ø	0,00,0
43802		0.008	
43803	CARRON TETRACHLORIDE	ט פע פע פע א גע פע פע	
4302/4	CARDON TETRACHLUKIDE	ט ט ט ט ג גע גע גע	
43007		ອ.ສ ຊ.ສ17	0,000 0 039
43011		0 000 0 000	a aaa
43012		<i>a</i> . <i>a</i> µ <i>a</i>	ดี.ดีตดี

 $\cap$ 

13

4- ۲

 $\sim$ 

15

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

( )

 $\sim$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
42014		Ø.224	Ø.5U2
43014	ETHVIENE DICHLORIDE	g.800	0.000
43817	PERCHLOROFTHYLENE	Ø.722	1.246
43017	METHYLENE BROMIDE	0.049	Ø.11Ø
43820	1.1.2-TRICHLOROETHANE	0.000	Ø. INI
43821	TRICHLOROTRIFLOUROETHANE	Ø.Ø67	Ø.15Ø
43822	TRIMETHYLELUOROSILANE	0.000	Ø.Ø90
43823	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	Ø.Ø17	Ø.Ø39
43824	TRICHLOROETHYLENE	Ø.Ø78	Ø.174
43860	VINYL CHLORIDE	Ø.Ø56	Ø.125
45181	NAPHTHA	Ø.219	Ø.492
45102	ISOMERS OF XYLENE	1.Ø61	2.255
451Ø3	DIMETHYLETHYLBENZENE	Ø.ØØØ	Ø.ØUØ
45104	ISOMERS OF ETHYLTOLUENE	Ø.285	Ø.638
45105	ISOMERS OF BUTYLBENZENE	Ø.131	Ø.293
45106	ISOMERS OF DIETHYLBENZENE	Ø.Ø14	Ø.Ø32
45107	ISOMERS OF TRIMETHYLBENZENE	Ø.2Ø1	Ø.451
45108	ISOMERS OF PROPYLBENZENE	0.000	Ø.ØØI
452Ø1	BENZENE	Ø.424	Ø.952
45202	TOLUENE	1.611	3,488
45203	ETHYLBENZENE	Ø.127	Ø.284
452Ø4	O-XYLENE	0.000	Ø.ØVØ
45205	M-XYLENE	Ø.30Ø	Ø,Ø1Ø
45206	P-XYLENE	Ø.000	0.090
45207	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	Ø.90Ø	Ø.ØØØ
45208	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	Ø.IJIØ	0.000
452Ø9	N-PROPYLBENZENE	Ø.JØØ	0.000
45211	O-ETHYLTOLUENE	Ø. 900	0.000
45212	M-ETHYLTOLUENE	Ø.IIØ	0.000
45215	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	Ø.028	0.063
45216	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	0.000	0.000
<b>45</b> 22Ø	STYRENE	0.000	9.000
45221	A-METHYL STYRENE	0.000	0.000
45225	1,2,3-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	0.000	0.000
45232	TETRAMETHYLBENZENE	0.1900	0.000
45233	TRI/TETRAALKYL BENZENE	0.031	6.010
45234	ISOMERS OF METHYLPROP. BENZENE	8.900	0.000
453ØØ	PHENOLS	0.007	0.016
454.01	XYLENE BASE ACIDS	0.000	0.000
458ø1	MONOCHLOROBENZENE	0.000	0.000
462Ø1	1,4-DIOXANE	0.000	0.000
5ØØØ1	2,3-DINETHYLBUTANE	0.000	0.000
5Ø902	2-ETHYL-1-BUTENE	0.000	0.000
5Ø <i>®</i> Ø3	C-3-HEXENE	0.990	0.000
5 <i>Ø</i> %Ø4	2-METHYL-2-PENTENE	0.000	
50005	HEPTENE	ນ.ນ2ນ ແຜ່ແຜ	0,045
50006	PROPADIENE	0.000	מואמו מ
5ØØ1Ø	METHYLNAPHTHALENE	0.032	0.072
50011	ETHYLNAPHTHALENE	0.006	0.014
50012	DIMETHYLNAPHTHALENE	0.043	N.681

 $\cap$ 

 $( \cdot )$ 

13

 $h \ge 1$ 

15

Ć i

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

166

 $7 \ge$ 

### TABLE 6-12 (concluded)

 $\sim$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WE IGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	·····
59913	PROPYLNAPHTHALENE	Ø.Ø11	Ø.Ø24
5ØS14	TRIMETHYLNAPHTHALENE	Ø.Ø17	Ø.Ø39
5ØØ15	ANTHRACENE	Ø.ØØ1	Ø.ØU3
5ØØ16	METHYLANTHRACENE	· Ø.NØ1	Ø.Ø93
5ØØ17	DIMETHYL-2,3,DIHYDRO-1H-INDENE	Ø.UØ2	Ø.Ø.05
50018	DIMETHYL ETHER	Ø.Ø73	Ø.164
50019	CRYOFLOURANE (FREON 114)	Ø.Ø17	Ø,Ø39
<b>5</b> ØØ2Ø	B-METHYLSTYRENE	Ø.00Ø	Ø.ØUØ
5ØØ21	O-CRESOL (2-METHYLBENZENOL)	Ø. <i>UV</i> Ø	<b>Ø.Ø</b> UØ
50022	M-CRESOL (3-METHYLBENZENOL)	I.VII	Ø.ØØI
50023	P-CRESOL (4-METHYLBENZENOL)	<b>I.</b> 5HI	Ø.Ø0Ø
5øø24	BENZYLCHLORIDE	ฮ.บรฮ	U.UUØ
5ØJ25	A-PINENE	ø.000	<b>U.U</b> IU
5øJ26	B-PINENE	Ø.UØØ	Ø.Ø.UØ
50027	D-LIMONENE	ø.uøø	0.000

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

ŕ

63

 $\sim$ 

 $r \propto$ 

### \* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

 $\sim \infty$ 

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

TABLE 6-13. Revised organic gas species in weight percent.

 $\leq 1$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

A )

· 63

1

SAROAD ÇODE	NAME	VEIGHT PIRCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
4.2.3.005		4.513	1.148
43105	ISOPERS OF HEATANE	8 38 <b>0</b>	$g$ , $3 \ge 1$
431.00	TROMERS OF HEFTAHE	4.337	Ø.754
431/07	ICOMERS OF BONANE	4.822	1,392
43100	ISOMERS OF BECANE	1.119	2.5/14
43103	ISOMERS OF UNDECANE	1. 48.4	8.122
43110	ISOMERS OF TRIDECANE	6.618	$\varnothing$ . $\varkappa \neq 1$
43112	ISOMERS OF DODECANE	17 . MAM	Ø.930
43112	ISOMERS OF TETRADECANE	H . MH6	0.013
43113	ISOMERS OF PENTABECANE	11 . SHO	6.15.513
40114	C=7 CVCLOPAPAFFINS	5.127	0.230
40110	C-8 CVCLOPARAFFINS	1.822	1. 18 Sal
43117	C-9 CVCLOPARAFEINS	1.505	0.011
43117	MINERAL SPIRITS	1.257	0.443
43110	LACTOL SPIRITS	1. 946	Ø.1.73
43129	ISOMERS OF BUTENE	<u>ы. 15</u> Ø	0.336
43120	ISOMERS OF PENTENE	9.963	$\emptyset.143$
43122	ISOMERS OF PENTANE	1.346	3.011
43122	TEPPENES	9.055	5. <u>1</u> .10
43123	METHANE	7页,1页2	34.342
43201	FTHANE	2.323	5.073
43202	FTRVLENE	1.189	2.651
43294	PROPANE	1.170	2.423
43205	PROPALENE	5.447	9.999
43206	ACETYLENE	9.477	1 , $arphi$ :
43297	CYCLOPROPANE	3.11116	C , 9.38
43208	PROPADIENE	<b>I.</b> ESS	$\mathscr{A}$ . $\mathscr{A}$ $U$
43269	METHYLACETYLENE	9.813	$\mathscr{Q}$ , $\mathcal{U} \supseteq \mathfrak{D}$
43211	3-METHYL-1-PENTENE	3.1140	10 - 10 - M
43212	NBUTANE	1.873	3.944
43213	BUTENE	<i>J</i> . <i>9</i> 55	$g_{11}$
43214	I SO-BUTANE	9.732	1.627
43215	ISOBUTYLENE	g.see	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{M}$
43216	TRANS-2-BUTENE	9.936	$\mathscr{Q}$ . $\mathscr{Q} \cup \mathscr{Q}$
43217	CIS-2-3UTENE	9.028	11. ISA
43218	1,3-BUTADIENE	<b>这</b> ,加入特	11 • 1 - 12 f
43219	ETHYLACETYLENE	$\mathcal{J}$ , $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{G}$	10.16.11
4322Ø	N-PENTANE	9.64 <i>1</i>	1.309
43223	3-METHYL-1-BUTENE	9.016	<i>11.10</i> 36
43224	1-PENTENE	<i>3.0</i> 48	$B \cdot 1.97$
43225	2-METHYL-1-BUTENE	9.031	1 <b>9 . 2</b> 00 8
43226	TRANS-2-PENTENE	9.939	<b>Ø</b> .2186
43227	CIS-2-PENTENE	<i>1</i> . <i>E</i> .9	<i>臣</i> ••••43
43228	2-METHYL-2-BUTENE	1.954	Ø.1229
43229	2-METHYL PENTANE	A . MIN	<b>9</b> .15510
4323Ø	3-METHYL PENTANE	1.551	19.114
43231	HEXANE	J.698	1.562
43232	HEPTANE	0.379	M. 837
43233	OC TANE	⊕.171	Ø.383
43234	2,3-DIMETHYL-1-BUTENE	Z 13 18 19	19 . H.2.5

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

168

 $\cap$ 

13

A' Y

< 2

1.5

 $< \gamma$ 

 $Z \propto 1$ 

 $< \infty$ 

 $\sim$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
49225			Ø.614
43230	N-DECANE	~ 9(.16)	9.361
40200	HNDECANE	667	Ø.151
43241	CVCLOPENTANE	9.157	61.1.14
43242	ISOPRENE	91. 61611	<b>U</b> . IEN
43245	1-HEXENE	8.825	y.g.15
43248	CYCLOHEXANE	5.438	Ø.93Ø
43255	N-DODECANE	1.848	Ø.1.38
43258	N-TRIDECANE	1.612	<i>9.3</i> 28
43259	N-TETRADECANE	1.663	0.018
43260	N-PENTADECANE	9.349	Ø.672
43261	METHYLCYCLOHEXANE	3.293	Ø.655
43262	METHYLCYCLOPENTANE	10 . 0 4 9	g.1x9
43264	CYCLOHEXANONE	A. SEN	<i>I.680</i>
43265	OCTENE	H.UM1 .	Ø.Ø/71
43266	C-2-OCTENE	13. <b>.</b> 19 15 16	EI . $EI$ $I$ $1$
43267	1-NONENE	$\mathfrak{I}.\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{K}1$	1.131
43268	1-DECENE	A. UUD	ม.ฮอม
43269	1-UNDECENE	I.I.46	$\mathscr{O}$ . $\mathscr{O}$ $\mathfrak{I}$
4327.Ø	3-METHVL-T-2-PENTENE	Ø.012	Ø.ØIS
43271	2,4-DIMETHYLPENTANE	Ø.Ø62	Ø.138
43272	METHYLCYCLOPENTENE	<b>I. G</b> UG	<b>U</b> . I.I.U
43273	CYCLOHEXENE	I.U.U.U	<b>U</b> • <i>U</i> . <i>M</i>
43274	2,3-DIMETHYLPENTANE	1. <i>1101</i>	<b>Ø.5</b> 59
43275	2-METHYLHEXANE	9.2011	10.1619.6
43276	2,2,4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	1.064	13.144
43277	2,4-DIMETHYLHEXANE	9.222	10.497
43278	2,5-DIMETHYLHEXANE	1.094	10.03
43279	2,3,4-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	9.953	19 - 19 59 7
43280	2,3,3-TRIMETHYLPENIANE	9.005	10 + 10 - 20 67 - 120 - 67
43281	HEXADECANE		10 + 10.790
43282	HEPTADECANE	2 <b>9 .</b> 10 10 10 17 - 17 1 10 10	19 • 19 - 14 AF
43283		73 • 10 19 19 17 - 11 17 19	10 • 10 : 410 63 - 11 : 16 f
43284		79 - 4 16 12 13 26 - 61 ( ) 64	67 - 67 - 22 67 - 65 - 714
43285	E I CUSANE HERE LOOGANE	22 • K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>2</sup> IS - K - CI ( / CI	$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}$
43230	DOCOGANE	22 • 32 62 60 22 - 52 1 ( 1 (	61 60 (31
43207	ETHALOVOLOUS VANE	a = 5 M O	66 6111
40200		1 CC 1	Ø . (1 . 3
43203		17 1 2 2	M 276
492901	2 2-DÍMETRVERUTAVE	6 61 6	61.11.33
43291	CVCLODENTENE	H = G + K	M . 14 1 A
10003	A-METHVL-T-2-PENTENE	9.1019	$M$ , $M \ge 1$
43294	C7-OFFEINS	M . MA I	10 . 10 . 3
13295	3-METHVI HEXANE	4.513	Ø.Ø29
43295	2.2.3-TRIMETHVLPSNTANE	1.1.53	Ø. Ø. W
43297	4-METHYLHEPTANE	9.1100	St. Mark
43298	3-METHYLHEPTANE	1. 194	8.1114
43299	2.2.5-TRIMETHYLPENTANE	11 . 11911	19.19
433.01	METHYL ALCOHUL	9.176	Ø.393

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

 $\sim$ 

 $< \gamma$ 

 $( \Lambda$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	MEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
43302		3.673	1.507
43303	N-PROPYL ALCOHOL	3.011	1.125
43304	ISO-PROPYL ALCOHOL	9.827	1.850
43305	N-BUTYL ALCOHOL	9.123	9.298
43306		3.511	$g \cdot g \ge 4$
43208	2-BUTYLETANOL	13.15151	<b>I.</b> III
43309	TERT-BUTYL ALCOHOL	9.961	$g$ , $g \leq g$
43310	2-METHOXYETHANOL	1.830	<b>Ø.8</b> 31
43311	2-ETHOXYETHANOL	9.951	Ø.092
43312	1-T-2-C-4-TM-CYCLOPENTANE	5.565	1.19.19.19
43320	DIACETONE ALCOHOL	9.0188	Ø.Ø.015
43351	ETHYL ETHER	5.549	Ø.Ø.J 1
43367	GLYCOL ETHER	9.057	149
43368	GI YCOL	6.308	6.000
43369	PROPYLENE GLYCOL	5.539	1.1138
43370	FTHYLENE GLYCOL	J. 13   A	H. 93H
43371	HEXYLENE GLYCOL	9.322	0.995
43390	TETRAHYDROFURAN	9.350	อี.สมส
43404	ACETIC ACID	1. 924	Ø.9.30
43432	METHYL ACETATE	. A. K. K. LI	10.19.99
43433	ETHYL ACETATE	0.152	Ø.34%
43434	PROPYL ACETATE	1.093	ø.2 <i>1</i> 17
43435	N-BUTYL ACETATE	7.587	1.314
43438	ETHYL ACRYLATE	A.MEN	ย.ศฐม
43443	CELLOSOLVE ACETATE	11.22011	Ø. ØPK
43444	ISOPROPYL ACETATE	J. $KE5$	<b>D</b> .E.1 <b>D</b>
43445	METHYL AMYL ACETATE	$\sigma$ . SHT	<b>11 .</b> RAIN
43446	ISOBUTYL ACETATE	Ø. <i>9</i> 27	Ø. Ø61
4345.0	DIMETHYL FORMAMIDE	6.009	$\mathcal{G}$ . $\mathcal{G} \supseteq \mathcal{G}$
43451	ISOBUTYL ISOBUTYRATE	1.111	8.249
43452	2-ETHOXYETHYL ACETATE	Ø.Ø25	$g_*g_{56}$
435Ø2	FORMALDEHYDE	<i>3.</i> 125	10.2011
435Ø3	ACETALEHYDE	Ø.Ø89	g.1
435.04	PROPRIONALDEHYDE	9.DIA	0.152
4351Ø	BUTYRALDEHYDE	5. <i>981</i>	1.633
43511	C3 ALDEHYDE	I.M.M.S	1. U.I.U
43512	C5 ALDEHYDE	x . As $x$	<i>幻,四河道</i>
43513	C8 ALDEHYDE	<b>I.</b> ISC	Ø.179
43551	ACETONE	.d . 55M	1.231
43552	METHYL ETHYL KETONE	<i>1</i> .469	1.049
43559	METHYL N-BUTYL KETONE	J.J13	Ø.023
4356Ø	METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE	g . $1SS$	10.225
43561	METHYL AMYE KETONE	$\mathscr{G}$ , $\mathscr{G}$ , $\mathscr{G}$	<b>10</b> . M 113
436Ø1	ETHYLENE OXIDE	2.192	Ø . Ø.34
4361/2	PROPYLENE OXIDE	I.UHI	$\mathcal{U}$ , $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}$
437Ø2	ACETONITRILE	9.999	Ø . 9.30
437Ø4	ACRYLOHITRILE	$\mathscr{D}$ . WIN	$\emptyset$ . $\theta \otimes g$
43721	ETHYLAMINE	Ø. <i>0</i> 46	$m{y}$ . 1 $_{ m M}$ 4
4374Ø	TRIMETHYL AMINE	3.546	g.1.34
438Ø1	METHYL CHLORIDE	J. JD2	Ø.Ø.J5

۴١

 $\ell \, \gamma$ 

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

1.5

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

17

 $\sim 3$ 

 $f \rightarrow$ 

7.2

 $\sim$ 

()

SAROAD CODE	NAME	VEIGHT PERCENT	VEIGHT PERCENT *
43802	DICHLOROMETHANE	Ø.850	Ø.111
43803	CHLOROFORM	9.1900	11 . A.I.U
43804	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	Ø. 9999	<b>U. Q</b> .IM
43807	CARBON TETRABROMIDE	1 . 3111	A . GHM
43811	TRICHLOROFLOUROMETHANE	<i>B</i> . <i>B</i> 17	#.#39
43812	ETHYL CHLORIDE	<i>1.18</i> 3	Ø.Ø.CG
43813	1.1-DICHLOROETHANE	I.HHH	Ø.Ø <i>NS</i>
43814	1.1.1-TRICHLOROETHANE	Ø.222	9.497
43815	ETHYLENE DICHLORIDE	8.942	Ø.Ø.Ø.
43817	PERCHLOROETHYLENE	0.572	Ø.9 <i>5</i> 7
43819	METHYLENE BROMIDE	夏,近49	9.199
43820	1.1.2-TRICHLOROETHANE	5.555	Ø.Ø1570
43321	TRICHLOROTRIFLOUROETHANE	9.075	Ø.168
43822	TRIMETHYLFLUOROSILANE	I.896	8.838
43823	DICHLORODIFLOUROMETHANE	5.018	13.1341
43824	TRICHLOROETHYLENE	A.175	Ø.108
43825	CHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	A. 1986	11 . U.S 3
43826	CHLOROTRIFLUOROMETHANE	$\mathcal{J}$ . $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{M}1$	6.642
43827	CHLOROPENTAFLUOROETHANE	I.AAU	6.159
43828	DICHLOROTETRAFLUOROETHANE	5.1104	13 . N I N
43830	CHLOROFLUOROHYDROCARBONS	II . $EXIN$	St . G15 kl
4386.0	VINYL CHLORIDE	ø. <i>4</i> 59	$\mathfrak{G}$ . 1 3 $\mathbb{Z}$
451Ø1	NAPHTHA	<b>9.</b> 228	Ø.538
451.02	ISOMERS OF XYLENE	1.649	1.328
451Ø3	DIMETHYLETHYLBENZENE	9 . XAB	$\mathcal{D}$ . $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$
451Ø4	ISOMERS OF ETHYLTOLUENE	Ø.127	Ø.284
45195	ISOMERS OF BUTYLBENZENE	が、ゆ74	Ø.166
451Ø6	ISOMERS OF DIETHYLBENZENE	19.1914	Ø.Ø32
451Ø7	ISOMERS OF TRIMETHYLBENZENE	4696	Ø.2/1
451Ø8	ISOMERS OF PROPYLBENZENE	5.915	Ø.Ø33
452Ø1	BENZENE	Ø.245	Ø.549
452112	TOLUENE	2.349	5.131
452Ø3	ETHYLBENZENE	3.164	Ø.386
45204	Ø-XYLENE	2.252	Ø,563
452Ø5	M-XYLENE	1.15-1	9.531
452.06	P – XYLENE	2.1113	$g_{.02k}$
45207	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	9.693	Ø.046
45208	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	H. 1918	9.918
452.09	N-PROPYLBENZENE	<b>J</b> . 10251	2.253
45211	O-ETHYL TOLUENE	3.643	9.057
45212	M-ETHYLTOLUENE	9.096	0.013
45215	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	.J . 11311	0.056
45216	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	3.131111	13.101.110
4522Ø	STYRENE	11.1745	$\emptyset.101$
45221	A-METHYLSTYRENE	J. UNØ	10.5913
45225	1,2,3-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	5.652	0.005
45232	TETRAMETHYLBENZENE	9.560	9.0.5%
45233	TRI/TETRAALKYL BENZENE	Ø.₩31	$y \cdot y \tau x$
45234	ISOMERS OF METHYLPROP. BENZENE	<i></i>	Ø. Ø1918
45300	PHENOLS	J.NJ6	<i>N</i> . <i>N</i> 13

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

12

 $f^{(N)}$ 

Æ٦

 $\sim$ 

Α,

7 N

~

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$ 

0

SAROAD CODE	NAME	VEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
45481	XVIENE BASE ACIDS	Ø.IØS	Ø.1946
45402	BENZOIC ACID	9.19535	<i>த</i> .தி.1
458Ø1	MONOCHLOROBENZENE	9.836	<b>11 . 18</b> M 16
46201	1.4-DIOXANE	ส.เรฟส	ฮ.ฮมม
52001	2.3-DIMETHYLBUTANE	3 . WATE	1.13.14
50092	2-ETHYL-1-BUTENE	I . 18131	1 <b>5</b> .15.5.5
50003	C-3-HEXENE	I	这,回归妇
50094	2-METHYL-2-PENTENE	5.531	1 <b>0 . 10</b> 10 10
50005	1-HEPTENE	E.121	$\emptyset , \emptyset 45$
58006	PROPADIENE	ា សារ	ด.สอง
5 <i>0110</i>	METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<i>M</i> . <i>M</i> 19	Ø. Ø4 M
50011	ETHYLNAPHTHALENE	I. INN	ย.อยม
50012	DIMETHYLNAPHTHALENE	S.EDK	<b>g</b> .Ø11มี
50013	PROPYENAPHTHALENE	N	$m{eta}$ . $m{eta}$ , $m{eta}$
50014	TRIMETHYLNAPHTHALENE	1 I	<b>B</b> . <b>B</b> .5 <b>S</b>
50015	ANTHRACENE	ฮฮ	<b>Ø</b> .D.T.U
50016	METHYLANTHRACENE	9.861	ซ.ฐฐฐ
50017	DIMETHYL-2,3, DIHYDRO-1H-INDENE	9.JUG	Ø.1839
50018	DIMETHYL ETHER	Ø.Ø73	Ø.164
50019	CRYOFLOURANE (FREON 114)	.Ø.Ø17	Ø.938
50020	<b>B-METHYLSTYRENE</b>	Ø.UUU	ช.มมม
50021	O-CRESOL (2-METHYLBENZENOL)	9.1611	<b>G</b> . อ.ซ.ซ.ซ
5ØØ22	M-CRESOL (3-METHYLBENZENOL)	I.VVS	1 <b>1 .</b> II II II
59023	P-CRESOL (4-METHYLBENZENOL)	13.131.13	ฮ.ฮวซ
59024	BENZYLCHLORIDE	SI . 21 91 10	N. 5116
5 <i>MØ</i> 25	A-PINENE	D.991	ฮ.ฮ.ฮ.ฮ
5 <i>MØ</i> 26	B-PINENE	${\mathcal I}$ . NNS	<i>1</i> 7. <i>1</i> 7.71
5.0027	D-LIMONENE	9.QMB	ย.ยะส
50028	PHTHALIC ANHYDRIDE	1.1.62	<b>U.U</b> \$6
5.0029	MALEIC ANHYDRIDE	3.ØØ1	Ø.1992
5 <i>99</i> 3Ø	CARBON SULFIDE	<u> 1</u>	ย. ธรม
5 <i>AO</i> 31	CARBONYL SULFIDE	I.EKU	$\rho$ . $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}$
5 <i>68</i> 32	3,5,5-TRIMETHYLHEXANE	<i>M. NR</i> 4	$\emptyset \cdot \emptyset ! B$
50033	2,2,5-TRIMETHYLHEXANE	M. NN2	1.5.14
5 <i>09</i> 34	T-2-HEXENE	Ø.817	0.915
50 <i>0</i> 35	C-2-HEXENE	II . II 1 II	0.022
5 <i>9E</i> 36	ISOBUTYRALDEHYDE	S.C.17	Ø • <i>MUNI</i>
5.9.037	1-METHYLCYCLOHEXENE	<i>9.002</i>	Ø. Ø. 14
5 <i>00</i> 38	C9 OLEFINS	9.502	1.19.25
5 <i>11.</i> 039	C1Ø ALKENES	8.261	6.135
5 <i>NE</i> 4Ø	2-METHYL-1-PENTENE	A.080	Ø. 55%
5.0041	3-HEPTENE	5.990	10.19/340
50042	4-NONENE	.4 . U.U.U	$\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}$
50043	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	9.1961	0.11.11
5/3/0/4/4	INDAN	9.5x1	N. 19. 2
5.0045	M-DIETHYLBENZENE	3.561	Ø.053
5 <i>1</i> 01346	NAPHTHALENE	Ø.013	$\mathcal{D}$ . $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{C}$
50047	ISOBUTYLBENZENE	D . DIII	$\mathcal{U}$ . $\mathcal{W}^{ij}$
50048	INDENE	<i>C</i> .900	10 . 10 SA
5 <i>51</i> 949	C9 AROMATICS	J.GGJ	カ・コッコ

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

( )

 $\cap$ 

 $\sim$ 

4 Y -

14

13

١

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

. 0

 $\cap$ 

SAROAD CODE	NAME	VEIGHT PERCENT	WEIGHT PERCENT *
5 G G 5 M		<u></u>	0.073
5/2/5/2		5.699	13 . <b>1</b> 136
50051		9.903	Ø.050
58852	1 1-DICHLOROFTHYLENE	1.993	ฮ.ฮเวย
50050	2  A  A = TRIMETHVL = 1 - PENTENE	A. 321	Ø.Ø.11
50055	2  4  4 - TRIMETHYL - 2 - PENTENE	ม.ศสต	Ø.Ø114
50056	ISOVALERALDEHYDE	I. III	Ø.III
59057	FTHYLCYCLOPENTANE	<i>g.</i> Ø18	$y. y_{41}$
50058	TRIMETHYLCYCLOPENTANE	$\mathcal{I}$ , $\mathcal{G}$ 1 4	Ø,Ø32
59059	DIMETHYLCYCLOHEXANE	<b>J</b> . 121	Ø.27 <i>6</i>
58060	TRIMETHYLCYCLOHEXANE	Ø.Ø56	Ø.125
50061	FTHYLCYCLOHEXANE	I.547	U. <u>.</u> 996
50062	DIFTHYLCYCLOHEXANE	9.566	Ø.143
50063	N-PENTYLCYCLOHEXANE	A.1894	0.069
50064	C2 CYCLOHEXANE	<i>9.0</i> 51	Ø.1J4
59965	C3 CYCLOHEXANE	Ø.E17	10.137
59966	C4 CYCLOHEXANE	I.AAU	Ø. <i>B</i> 17
50067	C5 CYCLOHEXANE	I.ØI8	Ø.Ø17
50068	C3 ALKYL CYCLOHEXANE	Ø.M76	Ø.17 <i>%</i>
50069	C4 ALKYL CYCLOHEXANE	1 <b>7</b> . 17 4 17	. <i>9</i> .989
59070	C4 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE	ø. <u>9</u> 24	Ø.Ø54
50071	C5 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE	I. AN 8	Ø.Ø18
50072	C5 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE	Ø.ØØ5	0.013
50073	C4 SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANONE	<i>ม</i> . <i>ตม</i> 5	$\emptyset$ . $\emptyset 1 \emptyset$
5.0074	BUTYL CELLOSOLVE	Ø.195	Ø.436
50075	C5 ESTER	Ø.968	Ø.147
5ØØ76	2-METHYL-3-HEXANONE	Ø.196	0.44%
59077	HEPTANONE	N.143	Ø.Ø97
50078	ALKENE KETONE	D.#17	Ø.Ø37
5 <i>01</i> /79	TERPINENE	9.037	Ø.Ø83
5 <i>30</i> 8Ø	BUTANDIOL	<i>S.M</i> 32	y.y71
5ØØ81	ISOPROPANOL	S : S = 1	Ø.032
50082	ETHYLHEPTENE	H.M19	0.042
5 <i>%1</i> /83	TRIMETHYLDECENE	$\beta . \beta 11$	10.1724
5.5134	C2 ALKYL INDAN	<i>5.0</i> 21	1.1148
50085	ALKYL SUBSTITUTED CYCLOHEXANE	A. TUA	Ø.930
5øø86	C2 ALKYL DECALIN	9.005	\$.517
50087	CARVOMENTHOL	$S_{*}913$	0.025
5ØØ88	CARVONE	M , $SDM$	13.191314
5 <i>80</i> 89	ISOPULEGONE	4.569	$\mathcal{A}$ , $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$
5¤ø9ø	METHYLHEPTENE	$\mathscr{U}$ , $\mathscr{U}$ 1 3	0.023
53091	DIMETHYLHEPTANE	9.121	10.1045
50092	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	A . 1993	Ø • B = B
5 <i>60</i> 93	1-CHLOROBUTANE	9.910	10 + 19 2 2
5 <i>91</i> 94	3-(CHLOROMETHYL)-HEPTANE	Ø.ØØ3	10 . 10 / 10 11 - 10 / 10
5 <i>00</i> 95	ETHYL ISOPROPYL ETHER	A.924	<b>Ø</b> . <b>Ø</b> 53
5 <i>II</i> 96	DIBUTYL ETHER	3.001	10 . 10 . 1 . 2
50097	2-BUTYL TETRAHYDROFURAN	3.291	M. 082
5 <i>66</i> 98	PROPYLCYCLOHEXANONE	M. MM5	0.011
5.099	2-(2-BJTOXYETHOXY)-ETHANOL	3.554	ស្រុសស្រ

\* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

173

### TABLE 6-13 (concluded)

14

SAROAD CODE	NAME	WEIGHT Percent	VEIGHT PERCENT *
59100	1-ETHOXY-2-PROPÁNOL	8.317	0.015
5Ø1Ø1	2-ETHYL-1-HEXANOL	£.095	Ø.Ø18
5ø1ø2	1-HEPTANOL	5.544	Ø.Ø/8
50103	2-METHYL-2,4-PENTANEDIOL	11.1116	Ø.Ø14
5Ø1Ø4	METHYL ISOBUTYRATE	$S \cup OM1$	Ø.Ø.C1
5 <i>9</i> 1 <i>0</i> 5	C8 ESTER	M , $EM2$	18 . 18 M A
5 <i>110</i> 6	SUBSTITUTED C7 ESTER	6.122	\$.273
5Ø1Ø7	SUBSTITUTED C9 ESTER	<i>J</i> .129	Ø.239

 $\cap$ 

*۴* ک

( iz

### \* WEIGHT PERCENTAGES EXCLUDING LANDFILL EMISSIONS

13

 $\cap$ 

()

TABLE 6-14. Approximate relationship between new and existing speciation profiles.

	Existing Profile	New Profile	
No.	Description	No .	Description
7	IC engineturbine, natural gas	719	IC enginereciprocating, natural gas
10	Industrial IC engine reciprocating, natural gas	719	IC enginereciprocating, natural gas
26	Asphalt concrete in-place road asphalt	715 716	Slow cure asphalt Medium cure asphalt
96	Surface coating solvent general	783	Industrial surface coating solvent-based paint
98	Gasoline evaporation	709	Liquid gasolinecomposite of
	tank losses	710	Gasoline vaporscomposite of product, summer blend
125	Polymeric surface coating hot air dried	783	Industrial surface coating solvent-based paint
134	Surface coating evaporation general primer	713	Industrial surface coating composite primer
136	Surface coating evaporation	712	Industrial surface coating
		713	Industrial surface coating
		714	Industrial surface coating
		718	Architectural surface coating
		783	Industrial surface coating solvent-based paint
141	Surface coating evaporation label adhesives	714	Industrial surface coating composite adhesive
			(continued)

 $\langle$ 

Ś

Ç

Ę

C

0

C

C

(

TABLE 6-14 (concluded)

	Existing Profile		New Profile
No.	Description	No.	Description
142	Surface coating evaporation metal furniture adhesive	714	Industrial surface coating composite adnesive
148	Surface coating lacquer metal furniture	711	Industrial surface coating composite lacquer
149	Surface coating lacquer paperboard products and containers	711	Industrial surface coating composite lacquer
156	Surface coating evaporation	712	Industrial surface coating
	general composite enamer	717	Architectural surface coating
		783	Nater-based paint Industrial surface coating solvent-based paint
196	Architectural surface coatingcomposite solvent	717	Architectural surface coating water-based paint
271.	Trichloroethylene cleaning solvent	755	Trichlorotrifluoroethane
296	Composite crude oil evaporationproduction, fixed roof	758	Oil and gas production fugitivesvalves, unspecified service
514	EvaporationChevron weed oil	760	Evaporative emissions distillate fuel
520	Composite natural gas	758	Oil and gas production fugitivesvalves, unspecified service

đ

í

because profiles are assigned to SCCs, and there is no direct correspondence between an original profile and a new profile assigned in this study. For example, in some cases several new profiles are used in place of one profile in the original inventory. Also, because several SCCs that were assigned profile No. 600 in the original inventory were reassigned several new profiles in the revised inventory, such profiles are not shown in the table. Furthermore, there are other new profiles used in the revised inventory that are not shown in Table 6-14. This table contains only those profiles that were updated to the new 700 series profiles. New SCCs were assigned new profiles not listed in the table because new SCCs would never have been assigned an old profile.

#### INVENTORY UNCERTAINTIES

High-resolution inventories are estimates of emission rates for sources located in a defined region. The ability of such inventories to represent actual emission rates is limited by the data and procedures that are employed in the emission estimation process. Analysis of uncertainties in the emission estimates resulting from available data and procedural limitations is an important aspect of the inventory development process. Furthermore, a knowledge of inventory uncertainties is valuable in interpreting emission estimates and in the analysis of results based on these estimates.

This section discusses the findings of our investigation of uncertainties in the 1979 emission inventory, which were found to be an important aspect of the study. The primary reasons for the occurrence of inventory uncertainties were

Systematic uncertainties in emission data and speciation

Random errors

4

Double counting of facilities

Missing source categories

Operating deviations including equipment upsets, control technology deterioration, operational perturbations, and variances from permit requirements.

84052(a)r 10

### Uncertainties for Surveyed Facilities

Uncertainty codes were developed for each modification to the emission estimates developed during the facility survey performed in Task 2. The codes represent an estimation of the uncertainty associated with several components of the emission estimate. The codes and their descriptions are provided in Table 6-15 and were noted in the documentation developed for each surveyed facility. These codes were developed for six different areas of the inventory:

Activity data (e.g., throughput, fuel use, etc.) Emission factors Control efficiency Speciation Temporal distribution General uncertainties

#### Systematic Uncertainties

The survey indicated trends in emission estimates and other information that suggest the presence of systematic uncertainties in the inventory.

#### Emission Data

Emission totals in the SCAQMD EIS file were frequently derived from emission fee data. However, we found total emissions for refineries in the 1979 EIS file to be inaccurate because the results of a 1975 emission survey were commonly used to develop the 1979 EIS file for refineries. The following list shows that our revised refinery estimates using survey results and other information for emission factors and 1979 activity data resulted in a 16 percent decrease in TOG emissions from surveyed refineries compared with these emissions in the original inventory.

84052(a)r 10

TABLE 6-15. Description of uncertainty codes created for this project.

#### Activity Data

Ę

C

- 1-- Usage of surface coatings or solvents are estimates and are not based on actual purchase records.
- 2-- Emissions were based on the usage of surface coatings and solvents. However, it is estimated that over 20 percent of these materials are recycled or disposed of as liquids and therefore not emitted by this facility. This approach of estimating emissions on the basis of usage data without consideration of disposal or recycling has been widely used in the past. This approach will result in an overestimation of emissions for some facilities.
- 3-- Same as code 2 except it is estimated that between 0 and 20 percent of the surface coatings and solvents are recycled or disposed of as liquids.
- 4-- A potential for double counting emissions from solvents exists because surface coatings are thinned using solvents. Therefore, emissions from these solvents may be counted both as solvents and as the organic content of the coatings.
- 5-- Emission estimates from tanks and/or loading were based on 1981 emission fee data. It is not known how representative 1981 throughput data are for 1979.
- 6-- Emission estimates from tanks and/or loading were based on 1981 emission fee data. The facility questionnaire response indicated that 1981 throughput data are representative for 1979.
- 7-- Emission estimates were based on 1979 fuel use data that should be accurate.
- 8-- Emissions were based on 1979 throughput data that should be accurate.
- 9-- Emissions were based on 1981 data. However, these data should be within 20 percent of the actual 1979 data.

continued

#### Emission Factors

- 11-- Emission estimates are from 1979 emission fee data. No documentation exists on how these emission estimates were derived.
- 12-- Emission estimates are from 1979 emission fee data. Emission estimates are assumed to have been made using AP-42 storage tank equations.
- 13-- Emission factors are general to an entire class of surface coatings and solvents. The applicability of these factors in this case is somewhat in question.
- 14-- Emission factors are somewhat in question because the surface coating or solvent was classified on the basis of engineering judgment.
- 15-- Emission estimates are from the SCAQMD EDP file. No documentation exists on how these emission estimates were derived.
- 16-- Emissions were estimated by adjusting 1981 emission estimates on the basis of total refinery feed rates for 1979 and 1981.
- 17-- Emission factors for chemical processes were developed by SCAQMD prior to 1979. Documentation for these emission factors was not available.
- 18-- Emission factors for chemical processes were developed on the basis of limited source test data.

Control Efficiency

ĩ

- 21-- Emission estimates are from the SCAQMD EDP file. Emission controls exist, but it is not known whether they were accounted for in the emission estimate.
- 22-- Emission estimates for tanks and/or loading from the 1981 emission fee data were used. It is not known if any additional air pollution controls were installed between 1979 and 1981.

23-- Control efficiency of the incinerator is in question.

continued

24-- The amount of flashoff and overspray that might not be controlled by the incinerator is unknown.

Speciation

- 31-- Speciation is an estimate based on engineering judgment. The weight percent of each species should be plus or minus 10 percentage points.
- 32-- Speciation is an estimate based on engineering judgment. The weight percent of each species should be plus or minus 25 percentage points.
- 33-- Speciation is uncertain and based on limited information. However, this speciation is believed to be the most accurate estimate currently available.
- 34-- Speciation is somewhat in question because the surface coating or solvent was classified on the basis of engineering judgment.
- 35-- Speciation should be altered by incineration.

#### Temporal Distribution

5

C

C

- 41-- Temporal distribution was assumed on the basis of the industry type and is considered to be certain.
- 42-- Temporal distribution was assumed on the basis of the industry type and is moderately certain.
- 43-- Temporal distribution was assumed on the basis of the industry type and is somewhat uncertain.
- 44-- Temporal distribution was based on information provided by the facility and is considered to be certain.

#### General Uncertainties

- 51-- Emissions from the use of vacuum trucks to transfer organic materials exist at this facility but could not be quantified.
- 52-- Emissions from storage tank cleaning exist at this facility but could not be quantified.

continued

TABLE 6-15 (concluded)

- 53-- Emissions from operations under variance at this facility are significant and are quantified in the 1981 emission fee data.
- 54-- Emissions from component fugitives (e.g., valves and flanges) were assumed to be negligible because of the low volatility of the material being handled.

	TOG Emissions (kg/day)	
Facility ID Code		
in Los Angeles County	Original	Revised
6	381.6	387.5
10	3711.0	2344.2
23	4540.8	3381.3
2240	549.2	446.0
4208	1445.6	747.8
4210	412.4	414.4
4215	7626.8	7335.2
4217	3063.7	3707.0
5085	1133.3	676.8
5091	610.4	264,9
	······	
Total	23,474.8	19,705.1
(tons/day)	(25.9)	(21.7)

In addition, emission estimates in the SCAQMD EDP file were generally made at the time a permit was issued. Because permits have been issued over a number of years, emissions in the EDP file do not reflect 1979 conditions. For example, the following list shows the difference between the original emissions from the EDP file and the revised emissions calculated from activity data reported in the survey for surface coating and solvent usage facilities. The results show the revised TOG emissions for these facilities to be almost four times higher than the corresponding values in the original inventory.

	TOG Emissions	
	(Kg/	aay)
Facility ID Code	Original	Revised
8241009.19	6.4	44.3
28249008.19	0.8	2.2
28251021.19	40.8	808.6
32227083.19	8.0	23.2
32228044.19	6.4	74.7
32233034.19	1.2	10.0
35216012.19	1.6	21.6
35225017.19	36.0	27.2
. 37230035.19	31.5	16.3
37242060.19	4.2	21.6
40227027.19	4.0	12.8
40228032-19	21.6	25.6

84052(a)r 10

1

 $\langle$ 

C

40230032.19	24.8	53.8
40231113.19	1.8	0.6
40234043.19	3.1	23.2
41221022.19	35.2	78.4
41230107.19	0.0	0.2
42224021.19	69.6	88.0
44223072.19	42.4	16.0
46231057.19	12.6	263.3
49241035.19	76.0	61.1
51234079.19	1.6	0.3
52222048.19	0.6	1.6
54232026.19	61.6	335.2
59230005.19	17.8	192.8
65235022.19	3.2	3.8
56218011.30	4.0	154.4
58208027.30	6.4	26.4
59225014.30	38.4	39.2
61219014.30	1.6	8.8
62209049.30	31.2	49.6
63221021.30	50.4	47.2
64210006.30	0.0	, 7.2
77223008.33	35.0	45.6
112213028.33	2.4	1.6
72235024.36	3.2	20.0
85237021.36	4.0	0.4
Total	689_4	2606.8
(tons/day)	(0.8)	(2.9)

These results show an average 300 percent increase in TOG emissions and indicate that significant systematic trends that either over- or under-predict emissions are present in the inventory.

#### Speciation

€

C

Revisions to speciation profiles assigned to several categories of sources also indicated significant systematic uncertainties in the inventory. For example, there was a large increase in aromatic emissions from surface coating facilities and a large decrease in such emissions from petroleum marketing (gasoline) operations.

Furthermore, SCCs were sometimes incorrect and nonspecific for certain facilities. For instance, the allocation of emissions in the EIS file to specific SCCs was frequently inconsistent with the emission fee data.

 $84052(a)r^{10}$ 

Such inconsistency usually leads to the assignment of inappropriate speciation profiles for such SCCs.

#### Random Error

Errors of a random nature were also found in the inventory. The error of greatest significance affected the TOG estimate for the Yorba Linda oil field. As discussed in Section 4, the original TOG estimate of 27.1 tons/day for this oil field was revised to approximately one-tenth of its original value. It appeared that an error in an emission factor might have resulted from a transcription error.

#### Double Counting of Facilities

Eight cases of double counting of emissions at six facilities were identified during the study. In each case, an entry from the EIS file representing the total emissions from the facility, and one or more entries from the EDP file also representing a portion of the emissions from that facility, were included in the original inventory. As a result, the entries in the EDP file were considered to represent double counting of inventory emissions.

The fact that eight cases of double counting were discovered during a survey of approximately 160 facilities is significant. We expect there are additional cases of double counting because there are several thousand facilities in the 1979 inventory. We attempted to conclusively verify that these eight entries actually represented cases of double counting, primarily through a review of permit files and emission fee data. In some cases, individuals at these facilities were also contacted.

The general approach used to identify cases of double counting was straightforward and could be used for further investigations. The first step was to compare company names and addresses for facilities in the EDP file with a complete list of all facilities in the EIS file. When a potential case of double counting was discovered, emission fee data were obtained for the facility in the EIS file and permit file information was obtained for the facility in the EDP file. By comparing these sets of information, we identified and later verified those facility emissions that were entered into the inventory more than once.

The following double-counted facilities were deleted from the revised inventory. In each instance, entries under another facility identification code, representing the total emissions for the facility, were retained in the inventory.

84052(a)r 10

Facility Name	Facility ID_Code	Original TOG Emissions (kg/day)
Union Carbide	33220011.19	256.8
Chevron Chemical	38214031.19	540.0
Continental Oil/Douglas GATX/Pacific Oasis	38214054 <b>.</b> 19	45.6
Good Tables	39218017.19	8.8
Continental Oil/Douglas GATX/Pacific Oasis	s/ 44221037 <b>.</b> 19	494.4
Continental Oil/Douglas GATX/Pacific Oasis	s/ 44221085.19	42.4
Bentley Laboratories	62209006.30	364.6
Union Oil Company Colton Terminal	89235800.36	204.0
Total (tons/day)		1956.6 (2.2)

### Missing Source Categories

÷

÷ 57

C

ć

Several source categories are not included in the inventory. Some of the more traditional of these categories are

Storage tank cleaning Exempt pumps and compressors Petroleum vacuum trucks Industrial maintenance coatings Certain stationary internal combustion engines Junkyards Marine fuel transfer Aircraft refueling

In addition, it was suspected that fugitive losses and industrial solvent usage at some facilities were unreported.

84052(a)r 10

It was suspected that five source categories were missing from the inventory for several of the facilities surveyed in Task 2. Therefore, five questionnaires were developed to specifically address emissions from fugitive losses (valves, flanges, etc.), solvent usage, stationary internal combustion engines, vacuum trucks, and storage tank cleaning. We frequently found that component fugitive losses were not specifically identified for certain types of sources such as chemical manufacturing facilities and bulk plants. However, because of uncertainties in the composition of total TOG emissions for these sources, it was usually necessary to make a judgment regarding whether isolated changes, such as adding component fugitive emissions, were appropriate for these facilities. In the case of solvent usage, during the survey we found that facilities not normally considered as surface coating operations used solvents that were not included in the inventory. However, the addition of emissions from minor solvent usage at specific facilities might result in double counting if emissions from solvent usage were already accounted for by area source categories. We also found that a substantial number of stationary internal combustion engines were used for standby purposes, but emissions for these engines were not added to the inventory.

If identified during the survey, and where appropriate, emissions from these five source categories were added to the inventory, except in the case of vacuum trucks and storage tank cleaning. Although approximate TOG emission estimates can be made for these two source categories, such estimates were considered too uncertain to be added to the inventory. Nevertheless, the information obtained for these source categories is instructive and useful for future evaluations.

Questionnaires concerning vacuum trucks were sent to most refineries, chemical plants, and bulk terminals participating in the survey. The majority of the surveyed refineries used vacuum trucks for organic materials. On the other hand, a much smaller percentage (less than 25 percent) of the chemical plants and bulk terminals indicated that they used vacuum trucks. Moreover, there were no emission estimates given for vacuum truck usage in the survey responses. Estimates of the amount of organic materials transported annually by vacuum trucks varied widely among facilities. These estimates tended to range between 1000 and 10,000 barrels of organic materials per year. These organic materials were primarily tank bottoms and heavy oils with low vapor pressures.

Questionnaires on storage tank cleaning were also sent to the same types of facilities. The results were similar, with the majority of tank cleaning occurring at refineries. The surveyed refineries each cleaned 2 to 20 tanks in 1979 and emission estimates for some of these operations were developed. Two of these cases are presented as examples:

84052(a)r 10

- One refinery cleaned 7 tanks, resulting in estimated TOG emissions of 4.5 tons/yr.
- (2) Another refinery cleaned 4 tanks, resulting in estimated TOG emissions of 1.0 ton/yr.

These types of emission estimates were considered uncertain and were therefore not added to the revised inventory.

#### Missing Facilities

We did not discover individual facilities to be missing from the inventory. However, considering the difficulties associated with identifying such facilities, this does not necessarily imply that all facilities operating in the Basin in 1979 are in the inventory.

#### Operating Deviations

1

Excess emissions from equipment operating under variances are not currently included in the emission inventory, but emissions from such source operations can be significant. Starting in 1981, the SCAQMD emission fee forms requested estimates of emissions resulting from these off-design conditions. Examples of some of the more significant operating variances that we reviewed from these forms are

Flaring of excess process gas Fluid catalytic cracking unit upset Organic liquid spills Equipment start-up Repairs to seals on storage tanks

Most of the individual incidents of equipment perturbation that we reviewed resulted in less than one ton of emissions, but some of the incidents resulted in emissions in the range of three to five tons. These incidents covered operational deviations for which variances were obtained. However, we expect that there are other incidents, such as equipment malfunctions, control system failures, and so forth, for which variances are not obtained because of their temporary duration.

#### Inventory Uncertainty Review by Others

Other investigators have also examined emission inventory uncertainties. In discussing the final 1979 inventory for the SOCAB, SCAQMD enumerated several specific sources of uncertainty in the data base (SCAQMD, 1982a). The following uncertainties were among those cited as leading to both imprecision and bias in the inventory:

Omitted source categories

Speciation profiles based on limited data

Bias toward the correction of erroneously high emission rates

The large number of variable components that affect motor vehicle emissions

The assumption that sources are operating in compliance with regulations

SCAQMD also made estimates in this report of the level of uncertainty in the 1979 inventory. Uncertainties in the daily emissions of ROG and  $NO_{\chi}$ for most individual source categories were estimated to be in the range of 20 to 40 percent. The overall uncertainty in the total inventory of ROG and  $NO_{\chi}$  emissions was then calculated as 10 to 11 percent. Also it was noted in the SCAQMD report (SCAQMD, 1982a) that the following activities to improve emission data for sources in the Basin were currently underway:

Continued efforts to improve emission factors and TOG speciation

SCAQMD development of the Automated Equipment Information System (AEIS) to upgrade data for permitted sources

Several specific research projects sponsored by the ARB and EPA

#### Conclusion

The collective experience of the project team with TOG, ROG, and  $NO_x$  inventories for the state, and in particular the SOCAB, suggests that the uncertainty in the overall 1979 SOCAB inventory is in the range of 20 to 30 percent. This estimate is based on judgment rather than on mathematical calculations and is generally consistent with similar findings presented by several other inventory specialists. Because judgment is necessary to develop both estimated and calculated uncertainty estimates, various estimates of inventory uncertainty reflect alternative perspectives of the inventory process.

84052(a)r<sup>10</sup>

We conclude that progress has been made in the review and reduction of inventory uncertainties and recommend that further work focus on reducing such uncertainties.

84052(a)r <sup>10</sup>

Ĵ

E

C

 $\langle$ 

Ċ

C
### 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study involved a major effort to improve the ROG and  $NO_{\chi}$  inventory for the SOCAB. Study conclusions and recommendations for activities to further improve the inventory are discussed in this section.

#### CONCLUSIONS

## Emission Data and Classes of Organic Gases

The major effects of study changes in emissions and classes of organic gases in the revised inventory are as follows:

- (1) Overall TOG and ROG emissions increased by 6.2 and 7.5 tons/day (0.2 and 1.0 percent), respectively, and  $NO_x$  emissions decreased by 15.6 tons/day (-3.0 percent). These percentage changes are within the overall estimated variation for typical inventory emission totals. Thus, on the basis of this study, the 1979 total inventory of TOG and  $NO_x$  emissions was found to be a reasonably accurate representation of the actual basin-wide inventory. In addition, significant changes to both individual source and source category emissions and to ROG speciation were made during the study.
- (2) Emissions of TOG and ROG for petroleum refining and marketing activities decreased. Although petroleum production TOG emissions increased, ROG emissions decreased as a result of a change in speciation. Emissions of TOG and ROG from certain categories of surface coating and solvent use increased.
- (3) Petroleum refining  $NO_x$  emissions increased, whereas  $NO_x$  emissions from unspecified sources of fuel combustion decreased.
- (4) Classes of organic gases: emissions of olefins and aromatics increased, and emissions of paraffins, carbonyls, and ethylene decreased. It is significant that the aromatic component of the inventory increased by 11.8 tons/day primarily as a result of the improved speciation profiles for surface coating categories;

however, substantial decreases in the aromatic portion of petroleum refining and marketing emissions were primarily the result of revised speciation profiles for gasoline. Thus, important inventory changes in organic gas reactivity have occurred as a result of this study.

# Speciation

The profiles used to speciate TOG emissions are the most uncertain component of a high-resolution inventory. Because of the need for accurate speciation data and the expectation that this need will increase in the future, the speciation profiles that currently exist fall considerably short of meeting this need. The primary source of speciation data is the EPA VOC species data manual. This document contains many species profiles for a variety of emission source categories, but is lacking in several respects.

- Many important source categories are not included in the manual.
- (2) Some of the profiles are too limited to be useful. For example, profiles were developed for individual surface coating samples rather than for representative composite samples of a coating type (e.g., enamel, primer, lacquer, etc.).
- (3) Some of the profiles are outdated. For instance, the composition of solvents used in surface coatings has changed significantly as a result of regulations regarding the organic content of coatings.
- (4) The majority of the profiles are based on engineering evaluations and literature reviews employing limited data, rather than on direct sampling and analysis. As a result, many of the profiles are considered to be uncertain.

This study emphasized improvement in the state of knowledge for reactive species comprising TOG emissions from gasoline evaporative losses, coatings, and solvents. As a result, the speciation of both the overall inventory and several individual categories has been modified, particularly for aromatic organic gases. Furthermore, our new speciation profiles represent the first major update of initial efforts in profile development for non-motor-vehicle sources performed by KVB in 1978. These new profiles are also expected to be used in the development of highresolution inventories throughout the country. Thus, the work reported here has taken on nationwide significance.

84052(a)r 12

C

## Other Inventory Revisions

Important changes not apparent through a review of emission totals were also made to the inventory:

- (1) In particular, revisions were incorporated into the MED file for the diurnal distribution of emissions from power plants, which are the largest stationary source category of  $NO_{\chi}$  emissions in the inventory.
- (2) In addition, there were changes to the spatial resolution of emissions, particularly as a result of the redistribution of TOG emissions among sources of oil and gas production.

#### Uncertainties

This research effort has improved the understanding of the primary types of uncertainties in high-resolution inventories and has reduced their extent in the 1979 SOCAB inventory. For example, we found that

- (1) Eight of the approximately 160 facilities we surveyed had been counted twice.
- (2) Eight categories of sources, such as storage tank cleaning, were not accounted for by the 1979 inventory.
- (3) A random error of about 27 tons/day of TOG emissions was found in the inventory.
- (4) Systematic trends that either over- or underpredict emissions-such as a 2 tons/day increase in TOG emissions from surface coating and solvent usage facilities in the survey--are present in the inventory.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Several recommendations are provided here to guide future activities in the area of emission inventory development.

### Organic Gas Speciation

- (1) Additional development of TOG speciation profiles for other source categories not examined in this study will lead to further significant improvement in ROG inventories for California. Therefore, the study team recommends that future research programs continue to emphasize the improvement of organic gas speciation profiles. This recommendation is consistent with the major objective of this study--to develop improved modelingquality inventories of ROG emissions by focusing on categories that have the greatest potential for causing uncertainty in predicting downwind ozone concentrations.
- (2) Much work needs to be done in examining and improving TOG species profiles primarily because there has been relatively little effort in this area during the last decade. It is a demanding task to set priorities regarding the source types most needing investigation because so many categories need in-depth evaluation. Furthermore, the level of effort that should be expended is considerable. Nevertheless, the study team, in conjunction with ARB staff, is in a position to assist in establishing these future priorities because of its collective experience in reactive modeling and in generating speciation profiles under various studies, and also because the first task in this project yielded extensive information for ranking source categories for this and future studies.
- (3) We recommend that improved profiles be developed from original testing programs and innovative methods such as those used in this study. Many categories need to be examined in depth. For the source types tested in this study, we can make the following statements:
  - (a) Surface coatings--The changes made to profiles for different coatings have been significant. Further work should be performed to insure that these results are representative and to include additional kinds of coatings.
  - (b) Petroleum products--Although the speciation of gasoline has been greatly improved, the speciation of other petroleum products remains questionable.
  - (c) Asphalt--Because of difficulties encountered in analyzing asphalt samples beyond the control of the study team (see Section 5), additional work is needed to examine different types of asphalt.

Quality assurance procedures need to be carefully evaluated and applied throughout the planning and analytical phases of speciation test programs.

- (4) We further recommend that the improved speciation profiles developed in this study be used to develop ROG estimates for source categories in other portions of the state. In addition, the improved profiles should be employed for inventories to be used in future photochemical modeling studies. New modeling sensitivity studies should also be performed to focus on the trends established in this project for classes of organic gases.
- (5) Consideration should also be given to the reconstruction of profile No. 600, the all-category composite used in cases for which a suitable profile is unavailable. The revised profile should be developed on the basis of the full set of existing and new profiles used in the revised inventory. In addition, the need for improved speciation of  $NO_x$  emissions into nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) species should be conclusively determined.

#### Inventory Data Bases

- (1) We recommend that the revisions made to the MED inventory in this study also be incorporated into various state and local emission data bases for sources in California.
- (2) Particular attention should be given to improvements in the emission estimates for chemical manufacturing facilities. In general, the existing TOG emission estimates were based on generalized emission factors in conjunction with total plant throughput. More specific estimates should be developed to improve the accuracy and level of detail of these estimates.
- (3) Since the development of the 1979 inventory, more investigation into questionable data obtained from the data base has occurred at ARB and SCAQMD. Continued emphasis on matching emission fee data to emissions in the EIS file is recommended. We also recommend consideration of a single numbering system for all point sources in the SOCAB, which is under analysis at ARB and SCAQMD, in order to reduce the occurrence of double-counted sources.

### Identification of Emissions

- (1) It is important to emphasize the need for an accurate categorization of emissions into SCCs. An accurate categorization results in the assignment of appropriate speciation profiles, which, in turn, leads to improved modeling studies. Since the development of the 1979 inventory, more attention is now being given to this activity by SCAQMD. We recommend continued emphasis on the use of the emission fee data to properly allocate emissions into SCCs because of the importance of SCCs in identifying emissions (including toxic air contaminants) by source type, in evaluating control measures, and in assigning appropriate speciation profiles. In addition, the level of detail provided by various documentation sets used to develop the inventory, such as the emission fee data, needs to be retained to the greatest extent possible in the data bases.
- (2) The creation of accurate speciation profile and SCC descriptors is also important because they form the basis for the assignment of profiles and SCCs. Furthermore, the level of detail that is selected for the data base should be consistent. It is inappropriate, for example, to assign one device per storage tank for one facility and one device for all tanks for another facility. Generally, we recommend a level of detail that will allow for accurate speciation, but will not be overly burdensome. Examples of this level of detail would be assigning one device for each type of product and storage tank (e.g., crude oil-fixed roof), and for each type of surface coating.

# Temporal Distributions

1

The temporal resolution of small point and area sources should be reviewed because issues relating to several diurnal distributions in the inventory have been noted in the literature (Oliver, Hogo, and Saxena, 1983). Substitute diurnal distributions should be considered to account for the compound nature of aggregated source operations throughout a daily period. Such compound distributions can be based on survey results and other information concerning source operating schedules. For instance, it should be determined if evaporative organic emissions from surface coating and solvent cleaning operations should be distributed over a diurnal period longer than the actual operating hours of the source. Evaporative losses might be

distributed equally over 24 hours, for example, to simulate the process and the rate of evaporation for a surface coating facility that operates 8 to 16 hours per day.

# Future Studies

 $\langle$ 

The results of the ranking of source categories in Task 1 should be used to establish priorities during the conception and performance of other studies aimed at improving the quality of ROG and  $NO_{\chi}$  emission inventories.

# IMPLICATIONS OF THIS WORK

This study is a part of efforts by the state of California to improve and update its emission inventories. The implications of our work for ARB regulatory programs include the following study findings.

- Improvements to emission inventories for the state remain to be made; significant improvement is possible for high-resolution inventories.
- (2) Poor speciation data are currently relied upon; these data should be improved because of their importance to ozone modeling studies and to the identification and quantification of toxic air contaminants.
- (3) Revisions made in this study to speciation data may be of significance in interpreting the results of previous studies used to identify levels of source control for organic gases.
- (4) Uncertainties existing in current inventories can be reduced through detailed evaluations.

84052(a)r<sup>12</sup>

## REFERENCES

- Allen, P. D. 1982. Private communication with W. R. Oliver. Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- ARB. 1982a. "Air Pollution Emission Inventory Program." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- ARB. 1982b. "Methods for Assessing Area Source Emissions in California." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- ARB. 1982c. "Source Classification Codes by Description." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- ARB. 1981. "Instructions for Emission Data System Review and Update Reporting." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- ARB. 1976. "Source Reconciliation of Atmospheric Hydrocarbons in the South Coast Air Basin, 1975." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- ARB. 1975. "Hydrocarbon Composition of Los Angeles Gasolines--1974." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- Bradley, R., M. Johns, R. Tate, and E. Yotter. 1984. "Improving Emission Inventories Using an Integrated Emission Data System." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- CEC. 1981. "Annual Energy Production and Consumption--1980." California Energy Commission, Sacramento, California.
- EPA. 1983. "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (including Supplements 1-14)." U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (AP-42).
- EPA. 1980. "Volatile Organic Compound Species Data Manual." U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (EPA-450/4-80-015).

84052 4

C

- EPA. 1977. <u>Control of Volatile Organic Emissions from Existing</u> <u>Stationary Sources--Volume II: Surface Coating of Cans, Coils,</u> <u>Paper, Fabrics, Automobiles, and Light Duty Trucks</u>. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (EPA-450/2-77-008).
- Johns, M. 1983. Private communication with W. R. Oliver. Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- Killus, J. P., and G. Z. Whitten. 1982. "A New Carbon-Bond Mechanism for Air Quality Simulation Modeling." Systems Applications, Inc., San Rafael, California (SYSAPP-81/245).
- KVB. 1983. "Emission Characteristics of Crude Oil Production Operations in California." KVB, Inc., Irvine, California.
- Mayrsohn, H., and J. Crabtree. 1975. "A Study of Vehicular Evaporative Emissions." Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.
- Oliver, W. R., H. Hogo, and P. Saxena. 1983. "Assessment of NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Control Requirements in the California South Coast Air Basin: Volume IV, Appendix C--Emission Inventory Review and Development." Systems Applications, Inc., San Rafael, California (SYSAPP-83/053).
- Oliver, W. R., and D. R. Mark. 1980. "Evaluation of the Impacts of NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from the Proposed Montezuma 1 and 2 Generating Stations on Oxidant Concentrations in the Sacramento and Stockton Metropolitan Areas: Appendix B--A Gridded Emission Inventory for the Sacramento and Stockton Metropolitan Area." Systems Applications, Inc., San Rafael, California (80a-EF-80-88R).
- Radian. 1980. "Assessment of Atmospheric Emissions from Petroleum Refining: Volume 3, Appendix B." Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas.
- Radian. 1979. "Quantitative Analysis of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Liquid Fuels." Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas.
- Radian. 1975. "Study of Vapor Control Methods for Gasoline Marketing Operations." Radian Corporation, Austin, Texas.
- SCAQMD. 1982a. "Air Quality Management Plan 1982 Revision: Appendix No. IV-A--1979 Emission Inventory for the South Coast Air Basin Revised October 1982." South Coast Air Quality Management District, El Monte, California.
- SCAQMD. 1982b. "Air Quality Management Plan 1982 Revision: Final 1979 Emission Inventory for the South Coast Air Basin." South Coast Air Quality Management District, El Monte, California.

- SCAQMD. 1981a. "Overview of Methods Used to Develop 1979 Emission Data for the 1982 AQMP Revision--Appendix B." South Coast Air Quality Management District, El Monte, California.
- SCAQMD. 1981b. "Method Used to Generate 1979 AQMP Emission Data from the SCAQMD EDP File." South Coast Air Quality Management District, El Monte, California.
- SCAQMD. 1980. "Overview of Methods Used To Develop 1979 Emission Data for the 1982 AQMP Revision." South Coast Air Quality Management District, El Monte, California.
- SCAQMD, SCAG, and ARB. 1981. "Draft 1979 Emission Inventory: South Coast Air Basin." SCAQMD, SCAG, and ARB, El Monte, California.
- Stredler, J. L., T. W. Sonnichsen, and H. J. Taback. 1979. "Documentation of the SCAQMD EIS Modeling Data Base." Abacus Programming Corporation and KVB, Inc., Tustin, California.
- Tesche, T. W., C. Seigneur, L. E. Reid, P. M. Roth, W. R. Oliver, and J. C. Cassmassi. 1981. "The Sensitivity of Complex Photochemical Model Estimates to Detail in Input Information." Systems Applications, Inc., San Rafael, California (330R-EF81-5).

5

200