



Life-Cycle Assessment of Greenwood Farms Landfill Gas to Delivered CNG in California

October 22nd, 2014

Submitted to:

Shell Energy North America

Prepared by

ICF International
75 E. Santa Clara St., Suite 300
San Jose, CA 95113

POC: Jeffrey Rosenfeld; Jeffrey.rosenfeld@icfi.com

Table of Contents

General Information	3
Process Description	3
Data Collection and Process Results	4
LFG Production Plant	4
Transportation to California by Pipeline.....	7
Compression	8
ETR Fuel Pathway Results	9
Appendix A: Summary of CA-GREET Inputs	10
Appendix B: List of Supporting Annexes.....	11

General Information

East Texas Renewables (ETR) LLC operates a landfill gas (LFG) treatment facility to recover methane from the Greenwood Farms (City of Tyler) Landfill in Smith County, TX.

The anaerobic decomposition of organic wastes in landfills results in the generation of a biogas commonly referred to as landfill gas (LFG). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. A landfill Gas Collection and Control System (GCCS) consisting of 85 vertical extraction wells within the refuse and a series of high density polyethylene lateral and header pipes routes raw LFG to the treatment facility located on the landfill site. The GCCS will be expanded within the waste fill areas as the landfill continues to be developed over time.

The ETR treatment facility purifies raw LFG via a series of processes using specifically designed equipment. The treatment facility utilizes a previously existing blower/flare at the site. Subsequent to the blower, an electric driven reciprocating compressor ultimately raises the pressure of the gas process stream to overcome pipeline pressure for receipt of product sales. Hydrogen sulfide is removed via a solid bed and trace components are removed in a pre-treat solvent system. After the pre-treat system, the carbon dioxide and remaining water vapor are removed by a chilled physical solvent. The purified gas is then mixed with purchased gas before the combined gas mixture is forced into the receiving pipeline for downstream sales. The heat content of the mixed gas sold minus the heat content of the gas purchased reflects the amount of renewable fuel produced by the treatment facility in the gas sales invoices.

No permit limitation exists to the production of product gas at ETR. The maximum production capacity is the facility's equipment processing capacity and was determined through the EPA Registration process. [REDACTED]

Pipeline grade LFG is transported via pipeline from Texas to California for compression and sale. The following pathway was produced using two years (2012 – 2013) of landfill gas production data¹ and two (2) years (2011-2012) of CNG compression data.²

Process Description

(THIS SECTION CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

[REDACTED]

¹ Please see Annex 2 for ETR Facility Energy Data Analysis

² Please see Annex 4 for CNG Station Electrical Efficiency Data

³ This information was taken from Annex 3 RSF Control Union Engineering Report

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

The LFG is primarily utilized by an off-site end user and the flare is only operational at those times when it is not used for its primary purpose.

A landfill gas with a [REDACTED]

Data Collection and Process Results

To estimate GHG emissions, the energy and materials necessary for the following processes needs to be determined: LFG Production Plant, Transport of Gas to California (Pipeline), and Compression.

LFG Production Plant

(THIS SECTION CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Plant modeling data show an average landfill gas inlet of [REDACTED] ETR facility imports the necessary electricity to purify the landfill gas and uses an onsite thermal oxidizer and open flares to combust off-gases generated during the regeneration steps and when plant is not operating.

⁴ Annex 3 ETR RSF Control Union Engineering Report, page 4

⁵ Annex 2, Summary tab, cell K26. 86% is the sales gas (33.70 mmbtu/hour) divided by the landfill gas (39.34 mmbtu/hour)

⁶ Please see Annex 2, Attachment D-Generation Model tab, cell J33 for the calculation of this figure

⁷ Please see Annex 2, Attachment G-Modeling tab, cell P4/1000 for the calculation of this figure

⁸ Please see Annex 2, Attachment G-Modeling tab, cell T4 for the calculation of this figure

TABLE 1 below shows the available data provided by ETR for input biogas, product biogas, biogas consumed on-site and imported electricity from January 2012 to December 2013. The balance of the biogas consumed in the thermal oxidizer and flare is calculated based on modeling data provided in the ETR Engineering Report. The table also shows the provided data converted to GREET model inputs. The ETR pathway utilizes the CA-GREET default values for LFG recovery.

The value of [REDACTED] per hour in TABLE 1 below is the average amount of product pipeline quality biogas produced. To determine combustion emissions from the consumed natural gas and landfill gas at the landfill gas plant, the GREET default values for natural gas combustion process for natural gas liquefaction (100% natural gas turbine) were chosen since they represent the processes more closely than natural gas compression (100% natural gas engine).

TABLE 1. ETR LFG PLANT OPERATING ENERGY AND FLARE CREDIT⁹
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

	Jan 2012 – Dec 2013 Hourly Data	Btu/MMBtu of Product Gas	Input Value	Changed Cells – NG Tab
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	

⁹ Please see Annex 2, Summary tab for the calculations of the figures presented in this table

¹⁰ Please see Annex 6 and 7 for PDFs of gas sales invoices

¹¹ Please see Annex 5 for PDFs of facility electricity bills

¹² Please see Annex 1 for the Modified GREET model referred to in this report

Below is a simplified process diagram of the facility that includes the estimated energy flow associated with each step of the LFG recovery process.




Figure 1. ETR Process per MMTBtu and MMBtu/day Energy Flows

The GREET model LFG pathway was then modified to adjust efficiency gas and process energy shares as listed in TABLE 2. The Southeast Asia region on the Regional LT tab was changed to the EROCT All (ERCT) Region to represent the 2012 eGRID data for year 2009 where ETR facility is located and this was used for the ETR Pathway. The ARB methodology of converting eGRID electricity mix to marginal mix was employed. This changed the electric mix cells of J83-J88 on the Region LT tab to those shown in TABLE 2. The remaining values from the Southeast Asia Region (now the ERCT region) were changed to match the US Average.

TABLE 2. ERCT ELECTRICITY GRID MIX

	eGRID CY 2009 Grid Mix	Marginal Grid Mix	CA-GREET Cell Regional LT Tab
Residual oil	1.18%	1.18%	J83
Natural gas	47.92%	60.39%	J84
Coal	32.98%	32.98%	J85
Nuclear	12.31%	0.00%	J86
Biomass	0.12%	0.12%	J87
Other (renewables)	5.49% (with hydro)	5.33%	J88

This produced the results for LFG to CNG shown in Table 3 below. These values are taken from the NG Tab of the Modified GREET model which can be found in Annex 2 of the supporting documents submitted in conjunction with this report. Conversion from g/MMBtu to g/MJ was done using the conversion factor of 1055.055 MJ/MMBTU as is done in the CA-GREET model.

The recovery energy and emissions are based on ARB LFG pathway defaults of 4621.25 Btu of electricity/MMBtu of landfill gas.¹³

TABLE 3. ETR LFG PLANT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

	Recovery Emissions	ETR LFG Plant	CA-GREET Cell NG Tab
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Transportation to California by Pipeline

Clean Energy owns, operates or supplies natural gas and biomethane to [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] single representative transport distance (and carbon intensity) was chosen for all of Clean Energy's stations to allow for fungibility of ETR's biomethane between the CNG stations and require the approval of only one pathway instead of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The distances were determined by the using the driving route most similar to the pipeline map. Google Maps was used to determine the driving routes with the I-40W route most similar to the pipeline map to Los Angeles and I-40W to I-5N the most similar to the pipeline map to San Francisco. The emissions were determined by linked cell E148 on the NG tab to cell F479 on the T&D_Flowcharts tab for LFG to CNG, and this same distance will be used for LFG and LNG. The table below shows the pipeline transport emissions from cells F151-F157 on the NG Tab.

¹³ http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_lfg.pdf; page 9.

TABLE 4. ETR LFG TRANSPORT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Transport Emissions	ETR LFG Transport
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Compression

(THIS SECTION CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Based on the submitted Confidential Business Information from Clean Energy Fuels, Clean Energy will be submitting for one pathway for their CNG Stations based on two (2) years of data (2011-2012). The weighted average energy consumption is [REDACTED] and has been previously approved in Pathway CNG009_1. Table 5 and Table 6 below show the calculation from kWh/GGE to process efficiency and the cells that were changed and the results from cells G151- G157.

TABLE 5. CNG STATION PLANT OPERATING EFFICIENCY
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

All Units in Btus per GGE	Compression	Input Value	Changed Cells – NG Tab
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

TABLE 6. CNG COMPRESSION GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Recovery and Processing Emissions	Compression
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

¹⁴ Please see Annex 8 for the CNG station Electrical Efficiency Data
¹⁵ 109,772 Btu/GGE default CA-GREET value

ETR Fuel Pathway Results

When the CA-GREET model is run completely with the modifications listed above, the table below shows the complete pathway results. The WTT pathway gCO₂e/MJ results were taken from cell H158 which is the sum of cells E158 – G185 on the “NG” tab for CNG. The TTW gCO₂e/MJ was taken from the Detailed California-Modified GREET Pathway for Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) from Landfill Gas¹⁶.

TABLE 7. PATHWAY RESULTS

GHG Emissions (gCO ₂ e/MJ)	ETR LFG Plant to CNG
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
gCO ₂ e/MJ WTW	21.42

¹⁶ http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_lfg.pdf

Appendix B: List of Supporting Annexes

ETR Pathways Annex 2 - Facility Energy Data & Analysis

ETR Pathways Annex 3 - RSF Control Union Engineering Report

ETR Pathways Annex 4 - Clean Energy – CNG Station Electrical Efficiency Data

ETR Pathways Annex 5 - Electric Bills 2012-2013

ETR Pathways Annex 6 - Gas Sales 2012

ETR Pathways Annex 7 - Gas Sales 2013

ETR Pathways Annex 9 - Modified GREET model_LFG to CNG



Life-Cycle Assessment of Greenwood Farms Landfill Gas to Delivered LNG and LCNG in California

October 22nd, 2014; Revised August 24th, 2015

Submitted to:

Shell Energy North America

Prepared by

ICF International
75 E. Santa Clara St., Suite 300
San Jose, CA 95113

POC: Jeffrey Rosenfeld; Jeffrey.rosenfeld@icfi.com

Table of Contents

General Information	3
Process Description	3
Data Collection and Process Results	4
LFG Production Plant	4
Transportation to California by Pipeline.....	8
Liquefaction.....	8
LNG Transport to Refueling Station	9
LNG Storage	9
L/CNG Conversion.....	10
ETR Fuel Pathway Results	11
Appendix A: Summary of CA-GREET Inputs	12
Appendix B: List of Supporting Annexes.....	14

General Information

East Texas Renewables (ETR) LLC operates a landfill gas (LFG) treatment facility to recover methane from the Greenwood Farms (City of Tyler) Landfill in Smith County, TX.

The anaerobic decomposition of organic wastes in landfills results in the generation of a biogas commonly referred to as landfill gas (LFG). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] A landfill Gas Collection and Control System (GCCS) consisting of 85 vertical extraction wells within the refuse and a series of high density polyethylene lateral and header pipes routes raw LFG to the treatment facility located on the landfill site. The GCCS will be expanded within the waste fill areas as the landfill continues to be developed over time.

The ETR treatment facility purifies raw LFG via a series of processes using specifically designed equipment. The treatment facility utilizes a previously existing blower/flare at the site. Subsequent to the blower, an electric driven reciprocating compressor ultimately raises the pressure of the gas process stream to overcome pipeline pressure for receipt of product sales. Hydrogen sulfide is removed via a solid bed and trace components are removed in a pre-treat solvent system. After the pre-treat system, the carbon dioxide and remaining water vapor are removed by a chilled physical solvent. The purified gas is then mixed with purchased gas before the combined gas mixture is forced into the receiving pipeline for downstream sales. The heat content of the mixed gas sold minus the heat content of the gas purchased reflects the amount of renewable fuel produced by the treatment facility in the gas sales invoices.

No permit limitation exists to the production of product gas at ETR. The maximum production capacity is the facility's equipment processing capacity and was determined through the EPA Registration process. The annual US EPA Actual Peak Capacity (calculated using the lower heating value of methane) is [REDACTED] of purified biogas production per year.

Pipeline grade LFG is transported via pipeline from Texas to California for liquefaction and sale. The following pathway was produced using two years (2012 – 2013) of landfill gas production data¹ and two years (2011-2012) of liquefaction data.²

Process Description

(THIS SECTION CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

[REDACTED]

¹ Please see Annex 2 for ETR Facility Energy Data Analysis

² Please see Annex 8 for LNG station electrical efficiency data

³ This information was taken from Annex 3 RSF Control Union Engineering Report

[REDACTED]

The LFG is primarily utilized by an off-site end user and the flare is only operational at those times when it is not used for its primary purpose.

[REDACTED]

Data Collection and Process Results

To estimate GHG emissions, the energy and materials necessary for the following processes needs to be determined: LFG Production Plant, Transport of Gas to California (Pipeline), Liquefaction, and Transportation & Distribution.

LFG Production Plant

(THIS SECTION CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Plant modeling data show an average landfill gas inlet of [REDACTED]. The ETR facility imports the necessary electricity to purify the landfill gas and uses an onsite thermal oxidizer and

⁴ Annex 3 ETR RSF Control Union Engineering Report, page 4
⁵ Annex 2, Summary tab, cell K26. 86% is the sales gas (33.70 mmbtu/hour) divided by the landfill gas (39.34 mmbtu/hour)
⁶ Please see Annex 2, Attachment D-Generation Model tab, cell J33 for the calculation of this figure
⁷ Please see Annex 2, Attachment G-Modeling tab, cell P4/1000 for the calculation of this figure
⁸ Please see Annex 2, Attachment G-Modeling tab, cell T4 for the calculation of this figure

open flares to combust off-gases generated during the regeneration steps and when plant is not operating.

Table 1 below shows the available data provided by ETR for input biogas, product biogas, biogas consumed on-site and imported electricity from January 2012 to December 2013. The balance of the biogas consumed in the thermal oxidizer and flare is calculated based on modeling data provided in the ETR Engineering Report. The table also shows the provided data converted to GREET model inputs. The ETR pathway utilizes the CA-GREET default values for LFG recovery.

The value of [REDACTED] in Table 1 below is the average amount of product pipeline quality biogas produced. To determine combustion emissions from the consumed natural gas and landfill gas at the landfill gas plant, the GREET default values for natural gas combustion process for natural gas liquefaction (100% natural gas turbine) were chosen since they represent the processes more closely than natural gas compression (100% natural gas engine).

TABLE 1. ETR LFG PLANT OPERATING ENERGY AND FLARE CREDIT⁹
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

	Jan 2012 – Dec 2013 Hourly Data	Btu/MMBtu of Product Gas	Input Value	Changed Cells – NG Tab
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

⁹ Please see Annex 2, Summary tab for the calculations of the figures presented in this table

¹⁰ Please see Annex 6 and 7 for PDFs of gas sales invoices

¹¹ Please see Annex 5 for PDFs of facility electricity bills

¹² Please see Annex 1 for the Modified GREET model referred to in this report

Below is a simplified process diagram of the facility that includes the estimated energy flow associated with each step of the LFG recovery process.




Figure 1. ETR Process per MMTBtu and MMBtu/day Energy Flows

The GREET model LFG pathway was then modified to adjust efficiency gas and process energy shares as listed in Table 2. The Southeast Asia region on the Regional LT tab was changed to the EROCT All (ERCT) Region to represent the 2012 eGRID data for year 2009 where ETR facility is located and this was used for the ETR Pathway. The ARB methodology of converting eGRID electricity mix to marginal mix was employed. This changed the electric mix cells of J83-J88 on the Region LT tab to those shown in Table 2. The remaining values from the Southeast Asia Region (now the ERCT region) were changed to match the US Average.

TABLE 2. ERCT ELECTRICITY GRID MIX

	eGRID CY2009 Grid Mix	Marginal Grid Mix	CA-GREET Cell Regional LT Tab
Residual oil	1.18%	1.18%	J83
Natural gas	47.92%	60.39%	J84
Coal	32.98%	32.98%	J85
Nuclear	12.31%	0.00%	J86
Biomass	0.12%	0.12%	J87
Other (renewables)	5.49% (with hydro)	5.33%	J88

This produced the results for LFG to CNG shown in Table 3 below. These values are taken from the NG Tab of the Modified GREET model which can be found in Annex 2 of the supporting documents submitted in conjunction with this report. Conversion from g/MMBtu to g/MJ was done using the conversion factor of 1055.055 MJ/MMBTU as is done in the CA-GREET model.

The recovery energy and emissions are based on ARB LFG pathway defaults of 4621.25 Btu of electricity/MMBtu of landfill gas.¹³The default LFG transport distance of one mile was used since the distance between ETR and the Greenwood Farms Landfill is less than 1 mile, as can be seen in Figure 2 below.



Figure 2. Proximity between ETR (identified location) and the Greenwood Farms Landfill

TABLE 3. ETR LFG PLANT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
(THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

	Recovery Emissions	ETR LFG Plant	CA-GREET Cell NG Tab
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

¹³ http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_lfg.pdf; page 9.

Transportation to California by Pipeline

The pipeline transport distance was modified to [REDACTED] where the gas will be liquefied. The distance was determined by the using the driving route most similar to the pipeline map. Google Maps was used to determine the driving routes with the I-40 route most similar to the pipeline map. The emissions were determined by linked cell E148 on the NG tab to cell F479 on the T&D_Flowcharts tab for LFG to CNG, and this same distance will be used for LFG and LNG. The table below shows the pipeline transport emissions from cells F151-F157 on the NG Tab.

TABLE 4. ETR LFG TRANSPORT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Transport Emissions	ETR LFG Transport
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Liquefaction

(THIS SECTION CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Based on the submitted Confidential Business Information from Clean Energy Fuels for two (2) years (2011-2012), [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Only electricity is required for the process making the fuel shares 100% electricity. For the Boron LNG facility, the feed gas is the same as the product LNG gas during that time period. 80,968 Btu is the energy content of one gallon of LNG. All excess gas that is not converted to LNG is sent to the neighboring natural gas power plant.

Table 6 and Table 7 below show the calculation from kWh/GGE to process efficiency and the cells that were changed and the results from cells G163- G169.

TABLE 5. BORON LNG PLANT OPERATING EFFICIENCY¹⁴
 (THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

All Units in Btus per Gal of LNG	Boron LNG Plant	Input Value	Changed Cells – NG Tab
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

¹⁴ Please see Annex 8 – Boron LNG Electricity Consumption Data for the calculations of the figures presented in this table

TABLE 6. BORON LNG PLANT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

(THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Recovery & Processing Emissions	Boron LNG Plant - Liquefaction
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

LNG Transport to Refueling Station

In addition the CA-GREET default LNG transport distance of 50 miles was used but the fuel shares were modified to utilize the Westport HPDI trucks consuming 90% LNG and 10% diesel with an EER of 1.0. The numbers were inputted in cells CD95 (% diesel consumption) and CD97 (% LNG consumption) on the “T&D” tab and the results were taken from cells H163-H169 on the “NG” tab. The LNG trucks also have 100% boil-off capture, adjusting “Inputs” Tab cell D179 to 100%.

TABLE 7. LNG TRANSPORT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

(THIS TABLE CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION)

Recovery & Processing Emissions	Boron LNG Plant – Transport
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

LNG Storage

In addition the CA-GREET default for LNG storage was used. The default values are listed in Table 8 below (the results were taken from cells I163-I169 on the “NG” tab).

TABLE 8. LNG STORAGE CA-GREET DEFAULT VALUES

	Bulk Terminal Storage	CA-GREET Cells Inputs Tab	Distribution	CA-GREET Cells Inputs Tab
Boil-Off Rate: % per Day	0.05	E171	0.1	F171
Duration of Storage or Transit: Days	5	E174	0.1	F174
Recovery Rate for Boil-Off Gas	80%	E179	80%	F179

TABLE 9. LNG STORAGE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

	LNG Storage
gVOC/MMBTU	
gCO/MMBTU	
gCH4/MMBTU	11.10
gN2O/MMBTU	
gCO2/MMBTU	
gCO2e/MMBTU	277.47
gCO2e/MJ	0.26

L/CNG Conversion

To convert from LNG to CNG, LNG is re-vaporized and then compressed to cylinder pressure (at about 3000psi). According to ARB default LNG and CNG pathways (as sent to Clean Energy and ICF by ARB Staff):

- Re-gasified to LNG: + 0.75 gCO2e/MJ¹⁵
- Compressed to CNG: +2.14 gCO2e/MJ¹⁶
- Total: 2.89 gCO2e/MJ

¹⁵ http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/092309lcfs_lng.pdf

¹⁶ http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_cng.pdf

ETR Fuel Pathway Results

When the CA-GREET model is run completely with the modifications listed above, the table below shows the complete pathway results. The WTT pathway gCO₂e/MJ results were taken from cell J170 which is the sum of cells E170 – I170 on the “NG” tab for CNG. The TTW gCO₂e/MJ was taken from the Detailed California-Modified GREET Pathway for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) from Landfill Gas¹⁷.

TABLE 10. ETR PATHWAY RESULTS

GHG Emissions (gCO ₂ e/MJ)	ETR LFG Plant to LNG	ETR LFG Plant to L/CNG
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
gCO ₂ e/MJ WTW	25.91	28.03

¹⁷ http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_lfg.pdf

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Appendix B: List of Supporting Annexes

ETR Pathways Annex 1 - Modified GREET model LFG to LNG and LCNG

ETR Pathways Annex 2 - Facility Energy Data & Analysis

ETR Pathways Annex 3 - RSF Control Union Eng Report

ETR Pathways Annex 4 - Clean Energy – CNG Station Electrical Efficiency Data

ETR Pathways Annex 5 - Electric Bills 2012-2013

ETR Pathways Annex 6 - Gas Sales 2012

ETR Pathways Annex 7 - Gas Sales 2013

ETR Pathways Annex 8 - Boron LNG Electricity Consumption Data