




Detailed California-Modified GREET Pathway for Biodiesel Produced in the Midwest from Used Cooking Oil and Used in California



Stationary Source Division

Release Date: December 14, 2010

Version: 1.0

*Preliminary draft version developed by Alternative Fuels Section
of the California Air Resources Board for the Low Carbon Fuel
Standard Methods 2A-2B Process*

When reviewing this document, please submit coMMents directly to:

Chan Pham: cpham@arb.ca.gov

Alan Glabe: aglabe@arb.ca.gov

Wes Ingram: wingram@arb.ca.gov

These comments will be compiled, reviewed, and posted to the LCFS
website in a timely manner.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF FIGURES.....	i
LIST OF TABLES.....	i
SUMMARY	1
CA-GREET Model Pathway for Biodiesel from UCO	1
APPENDIX A	10
Section 1. Energy Consumption and GHG Emissions from Transport and Rendering of Used Cooking Oil	10
1.1 Transport of Used Cooking Oil to Rendering Plant	10
1.2 Energy for Rendering UCO in the Midwest	10
1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Rendering of UCO in the Midwest	14
1.4 Energy Use for Transport of Rendered UCO to a BD Production Plant	15
1.5 GHG Emissions Calculations for Transport of Rendered UCO to a Biodiesel Production Plant.....	16
Section 2. Biodiesel Production	18
2.1 Energy for Pre-Processing UCO (Free Fatty Acid Conversion).....	18
2.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Biodiesel Production.....	21
Section 3. Biodiesel Transport and Distribution	24
3.1 Energy Calculations for Biodiesel Transport to Retail Stations	24
Section 4. GHG Emissions from a Biodiesel-Fueled Vehicle	26
4.1 GHG Emissions from the Combustion of Fuel in a Motor Vehicle	26
APPENDIX B	28
Input Values for Biodiesel from Used Cooking Oil Pathway	28
REFERENCES	29

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure S-1. Discrete Components of Used Cooking Oil to Biodiesel Pathway</i>	2
<i>Figure S-2. Contributions to Energy Use and GHG Emissions for the Used Cooking Oil to Biodiesel Pathway</i>	5
<i>Figure 2.1. Schematic for UCO Conversion to Biodiesel</i>	18

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table S-1. Summary of Energy Use and GHG Emissions for the Used Cooking Oil (UCO) to Biodiesel Pathway</i>	4
<i>Table S-2. GHG Emissions for the Pathways for UCO to Biodiesel</i>	6
<i>Table S-3. Total Energy Use for Rendering of UCO</i>	6
<i>Table S-4. Total GHG Emissions from Rendering of UCO</i>	7
<i>Table S-5. Energy Used for Pre-processing UCO for Converting FFAs</i>	7
<i>Table S-6. GHG Emissions for Pre-processing of UCO for Converting FFAs</i>	7
<i>Table S-7. Energy Consumed for Biodiesel Production</i>	8
<i>Table S-8. Total GHG Emissions for Biodiesel Production</i>	8
<i>Table S-9. Energy Use for Transportation and Distribution of BD</i>	9

PRELIMINARY DRAFT DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Table S-10. GHG Emissions from Transporting and Distributing BD 9

Table 1.01 Energy for Processing Used Cooking Oil 12

Table 1.02 Direct and Upstream Energy Use for Rendering UCO in CA..... 13

Table 1.03 GHG Emissions from Direct and Upstream Energy Used in Rendering of UCO in the Midwest 14

Table 1.04 Transport Parameters for Rendered UCO..... 15

Table 1.05 Direct, Upstream and Total Energy for Transport of Rendered UCO to a BD Production Plant..... 16

Table 1.06 GHG Emission Results for Transport of Rendered UCO..... 17

Table 2.01. Calculation of Direct Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD) for Pre-processing of UCO to Convert FFAs 19

Table 2.02. Calculation of Direct and Upstream Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD) for Pre-processing of UCO to Convert FFAs..... 19

Table 2.03 Total and Allocated Energy Consumption for Pre-Processing of UCO to Convert FFAs 20

Table 2.04 Calculation of Direct and Upstream Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD) for BD Production..... 20

Table 2.05 Total Energy Consumption for BD Production..... 21

Table 2.06 Direct, Upstream and Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Pre-processing of UCO to Convert FFAs 22

Table 2.07 Direct, Upstream and Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions for BD Production..... 23

Table 3.01 Biodiesel Transport Parameters and Results 24

Table 3.02 Biodiesel Greenhouse Gas Emissions 25

Table 4.01 Fuel Fossil CO₂ Emissions (g/MMBTU)..... 27

Table 4.02 Vehicle CH₄ and N₂O Emissions 27

SUMMARY

CA-GREET Model Pathway for Biodiesel from UCO

The Well-To-Tank (WTT) Life Cycle Analysis of a Biodiesel (BD) fuel pathway from Used Cooking Oil (UCO) includes rendering of UCO, conversion of the rendered UCO to Biodiesel, and transporting the finished fuel for use in a vehicle. Tank-To-Wheel (TTW) analysis includes actual combustion of this fuel in a heavy-duty vehicle for motive power. WTT and TTW analyses are combined to provide a total Well-To-Wheel (WTW) analysis. Because UCO is a waste product, its production is not included within the system boundary. UCO generated in the Midwest is transported by heavy duty diesel truck to a rendering plant in the Midwest. The alternative fate of UCO is transport to a landfill, which is assumed to be the same transport distance on average as UCO transport to a rendering plant. Since the net difference in GHG emissions is zero, the transportation of UCO to a rendering plant is not included within the system boundary.

A Life Cycle Analysis Model called the Greenhouse gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy use in Transportation (GREET)¹ developed by Argonne National Laboratory was modified with assistance from Life Cycle Associates to create a modified GREET model called CA-GREET. The CA-GREET model was utilized to develop a WTW analysis of the conversion of Used Cooking Oil (UCO) into Biodiesel (BD). The CA-GREET model and pathway documents published by ARB staff are available from the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) website at www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/lcfs.htm.

This fuel pathway is different from the previously distributed “Detailed California-Modified GREET Pathway for Biodiesel Produced in California from Used Cooking Oil” in that all of the steps for this pathway except for the final distribution and fuel use of the fuel are considered to occur in the Midwest. The carbon intensity difference between UCO-derived biodiesel produced in the Midwest and in California derives from the difference in the feedstocks used to make electricity in the Midwest versus those used to make electricity in California, as well as the distances the finished biodiesel must be transported for final use. Figure S-1 provides a step-by-step description of the various stages in the UCO to BD pathway. The steps include UCO rendering, transport of the rendered UCO to a biodiesel production plant, production of BD via esterification at a production plant, and transport of BD to a fuel dispensing facility for final use in a heavy-duty vehicle. Since UCO is considered to be a waste product, the energy used and GHG emissions from its production are not included in this analysis. For this document,

¹ GREET Model: Argonne National Laboratory: http://www.transportation.anl.gov/modeling_simulation/GREET/index.html

combustion of BD in a heavy-duty vehicle is assumed to generate the same CH₄ and N₂O emissions as Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel (ULSD) (see pathway document for ULSD published on the LCFS website at www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/lcfs.htm).

This document provides complete details of two pathways for UCO to BD and they include:

- Conversion of waste oil (Used Cooking Oil) to biodiesel (fatty acid-methyl esters –FAME) where ‘cooking’ is required
- Conversion of waste oil (Used Cooking Oil) to biodiesel (fatty acid-methyl esters –FAME) where ‘cooking’ is not required

Moisture in cooking oil must be removed prior to its use in an esterification plant. In older rendering plants, considerable amounts of input energy are used to ‘cook’ the moisture out of the oil. This pathway is modeled as one where cooking is used. In newer modern plants, only a small input energy is required to essentially ‘decant’ the water from the oil and this pathway is modeled here as one not requiring cooking. Complete details of all parameters and calculations are provided in Appendix A.




Figure S-1. Discrete Components of Used Cooking Oil to Biodiesel Pathway

This document provides detailed calculations, assumptions, input values, and other information required to calculate the energy use and GHG emissions for both UCO to BD pathways. Details of the inputs for both pathways are provided in Appendix A.

PRELIMINARY DRAFT DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Some of the terminology and conventions used in this document require clarification. That clarification is provided here:

- CA-GREET employs a recursive methodology to calculate energy consumption and emissions. To calculate WTT energy and emissions, calculated results are often used in subsequent calculations. For example, natural gas is used as a process fuel to recover natural gas. The total natural gas recovery energy consumption includes the direct natural gas consumption AND the energy associated with natural gas recovery (which is the value being calculated).
- “Direct” energy use and GHG emissions refer to the energy released and the GHG emissions resulting from the use of fuel.
- “Upstream” energy use and GHG emissions refer to the energy required for, and the GHG emissions produced from, the production of fuel feedstocks and the conversion of these feedstocks into finished fuel.
- BTU/MMBTU is the energy input necessary in BTU to produce one million BTU of a finished (or intermediate) product. This description is used consistently in CA-GREET for all energy calculations.
- gCO₂e/MJ provides the total greenhouse gas emissions on a CO₂ equivalent basis per unit of energy (MJ) of finished fuel. Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are converted to a CO₂ equivalent basis using IPCC Global Warming Potential (GWP) values and included in the total.
- CA-GREET assumes that VOC and CO are converted to CO₂ in the atmosphere and includes these pollutants in the total CO₂ value using ratios of the appropriate molecular weights. This method is also used by the IPCC.
- Process Efficiency for any step in CA-GREET is defined as:
Efficiency = energy output / (energy output + energy consumed)
- Note that rounding of values has not been performed in several tables in this document. This is to allow stakeholders executing runs with the GREET model to compare actual output values from the CA-modified model with values in this document.

Table S-1 provides a summary of the Well-To-Tank (WTT) and Tank-To-Wheel (TTW) energy use and GHG emissions for the pathway that utilizes ‘cooking’ of the oil to remove moisture. Energy use is presented as BTU/MMBTU and GHG emissions are reported as gCO₂e/MJ, where non-CO₂ gasses (i.e., CH₄ and N₂O) are converted into CO₂ equivalents. The energy inputs are presented in MMBTU because the calculations in the CA-GREET model use MMBTU.

PRELIMINARY DRAFT DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Table S-1. Summary of Energy Use and GHG Emissions for the Used Cooking Oil (UCO) to Biodiesel Pathway

	Energy Required (BTU/MMBTU BD)^a	% of Total Energy Requirement	Emissions (gCO₂e/MJ)	% of Total Emissions
UCO Transport to Rendering Plant	0 ^b	0 ^b	0 ^b	0 ^b
Rendering of UCO	87,839	6.80%	5.71	30.97%
UCO Transport (after rendering)	4,081	0.32%	0.31	1.68%
Biodiesel Production	174,959	13.55%	6.07	32.92%
Biodiesel Transport	24,695	1.91%	1.87	10.14%
Total (Well To Tank)	291,574	22.58%	13.96	75.70%
Total (Tank To Wheel)	1, 000,000	77.42%	4.48	24.30%
Total (Well To Wheel)	1, 291,574^c	100%^d	18.44	100%^d

^a Greet uses 1,000,000 BTU (denoted as MMBTU) as a standard unit of energy

^bUCO generated in the Midwest is transported by heavy duty diesel truck to a rendering plant in the Midwest. The alternative fate of UCO is transport to a landfill, which is assumed to be the same transport distance on average as UCO transport to a rendering plant. Therefore, the net difference in GHG emissions is zero.

^c This is the total energy required to produce 1,000,000 BTU of available fuel energy


^d percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

As shown in the WTW row of Table S-1, **1,291,574** BTU of energy is required to produce one MM BTU of available fuel energy delivered to the vehicle. The GHG emissions associated with the production and use of one MJ of BD total **18.44** gCO₂e.

The values in Table S-1 are illustrated in Figure S-2, which shows the specific energy and GHG contributions of each of the discrete components of the entire fuel pathway. Energy use and GHG emissions are depicted in

separate pie charts. Most of the WTW energy is contained in the fuel (77.42%). In the GHG category, biodiesel production (32.92%), rendering (30.97%), and combustion (24.30%) contribute the greatest proportion of total emissions.

Energy Allocation of Used Cooking Oil Biodiesel



GHG Emissions Allocation of Used Cooking Oil Biodiesel




Figure S-2. Contributions to Energy Use and GHG Emissions for the Used Cooking Oil to Biodiesel Pathway

For the pathway in which cooking is not utilized, rendering emissions total to **0.80 gCO₂e/MJ** (compared to **5.71 gCO₂e/MJ** for the cooking case). The result is a WTW pathway emission total of **13.53 gCO₂e/MJ**. Table S-2 provides a comparison of WTW GHG emissions for the two UCO pathways. Complete details are provided in Appendix A.

Table S-2. GHG Emissions for the Pathways for UCO to Biodiesel

	'Cooking' required	No 'cooking' required
Well to Wheel GHG Emissions (gCO₂e/MJ)	18.44	13.53

The sections below further summarize the energy use and GHG emissions for the pathway that uses cooking. Complete details are provided in Appendix A.

WTT Details - Transport of UCO to Rendering Plant

UCO generated in the Midwest is transported by heavy duty diesel truck to a rendering plant in the Midwest. The alternative fate of UCO is transport to a landfill, which is assumed to be the same transport distance on average as UCO transport to a rendering plant. Therefore, the net emissions for UCO transport to a rendering plant are assumed to be zero.

WTT Details - Rendering of UCO in the Midwest

Tables S-3 and S-4 provide a summary of the energy use and associated GHG emissions from the rendering of UCO in a Midwest facility in which UCO rendering requires cooking. Details are provided in Appendix A.

Table S-3. Total Energy Use for Rendering of UCO

Energy Result	Energy
NG (heat) (BTU/lb UCO)	1,029
Electricity (Midwest) (BTU/lb UCO)	327
Total Energy Use (BTU/lb UCO)	1,356
Total Energy Use (BTU/MMBTU)	87,839

Table S-4. Total GHG Emissions from Rendering of UCO

	GHG Emissions
CO ₂ (g/lb UCO)	87.8
CH ₄ (g/lb UCO)	0.161
N ₂ O (g/lb UCO)	0.001
CO (g/lb UCO)	0.057
VOC (g/lb UCO)	0.010
Total emissions (g/lb UCO)	92.2
Total GHG emissions (gCO₂e/MJ)	5.71

WTT Details – Biodiesel Production

Pre-Processing of UCO for Converting Free Fatty Acids (FFA)

Tables S-5 and S-6 show the energy use and GHG emissions generated from pre-processing of UCO for converting FFAs respectively. Complete details are shown in Appendix A.

Table S-5. Energy Used for Pre-processing UCO for Converting FFAs

	Energy Use
Natural Gas (BTU/lb BD)	166
Electricity (BTU/lb BD)	47
Total Energy (BTU/lb BD)	213
Total Energy Use (BTU/MMBTU)	12,543

Table S-6. GHG Emissions for Pre-processing of UCO for Converting FFAs

Species	Direct Emissions (g/lb UCO)	Upstream Emissions (g/lb UCO)	Total Emissions (g/lb BD)
VOC	0.000	0.002	0.002
CO	0.004	0.005	0.009
CH ₄	0.000	0.025	0.025
N ₂ O	0.000	0.000	0.000
CO ₂	9	4.7	13.7
GHG (gCO₂e/lb UCO)			14.4
Total GHGs (gCO₂e/MJ)			0.80

Biodiesel Production

Table S-7 shows the total energy BTU necessary for producing one million BTU of BD from UCO using esterification. Total energy includes the direct and upstream energy used in biodiesel production as well as the direct and upstream energy required to manufacture methanol, sodium hydroxide, sodium methoxide, and hydrochloric acid.

Table S-7. Energy Consumed for Biodiesel Production

	Energy
Natural Gas (BTU/lb BD)	951
Electricity (BTU/lb BD)	140
Methanol (BTU/lb BD)	1,354
Sodium Hydroxide (BTU/lb BD)	42
Sodium Methoxide (BTU/lb BD)	209
Hydrochloric Acid	63
Total BD Production Energy (BTU/lb BD)	2,758
Total BD Production Energy (BTU/MMBTU)	162,416

Table S-8 provides GHG emissions resulting from BD production. The source of these emissions is the consumption of the energy summarized in Table S-7. The fuel consumption data and other factors behind this calculation are detailed in Appendix A.

Table S-8. Total GHG Emissions for Biodiesel Production

GHG	GHG Emissions
CO ₂ (g/lb of BD)	86.5
CH ₄ (g/lb of BD)	0.295
N ₂ O (g/lb of BD)	0.001
CO (g/lb of BD)	0.070
VOC (g/lb of BD)	0.031
Total GHG emissions (gCO₂e/MMBTU)	5,845
Total GHG emissions (gCO₂e/MJ)	5.27

WTT Details - Biodiesel Transport

Tables S-9 and S-10 provide the energy use and GHG emissions from transporting and distributing BD respectively. Details of all the calculations are presented in Appendix A.

Table S-9. Energy Use for Transportation and Distribution of BD

Transport mode	Energy Use
BD transportation by rail to bulk terminal (BTU/MMBTU)	17,734
BD distribution by HDD truck (BTU/MMBTU)	6,961
Total Energy use (BTU/MMBTU)	24,695

Table S-10. GHG Emissions from Transporting and Distributing BD

GHGs	Emissions
CO ₂	1.81
CH ₄ (converted to CO ₂ e)	0.05
N ₂ O (converted to CO ₂ e)	<0.01
CO (converted to CO ₂)	<0.01
VOC (converted to CO ₂)	<0.01
Total GHGs (gCO₂e/MJ)	1.87

TTW Details - Carbon in Biodiesel

The combustion of BD in a heavy-duty vehicle results in emissions of (details of which are provided in Appendix A) equal **4.48** gCO₂/MJ.

APPENDIX A

Section 1. Energy Consumption and GHG Emissions from Transport and Rendering of Used Cooking Oil

This document provides complete details of the pathway from UCO rendering and Biodiesel production in the Midwest to final use in a heavy-duty vehicle in California.

1.1 Transport of Used Cooking Oil to Rendering Plant

Used cooking oil (UCO) generated in the Midwest is transported by heavy duty diesel truck to a rendering plant in the Midwest. The alternative fate of UCO is transport to a landfill. The transport distance from UCO origination point to the landfill is assumed in this analysis to be equal to the distance between the point of origination and the rendering plant. Therefore, the net life cycle emissions for UCO transport to a rendering plant are considered to be zero.

1.2 Energy for Rendering UCO in the Midwest

Depending on its source, UCO may contain varying amounts of water, which must be removed prior to further processing of the oil. At animal by-product rendering plants that also process UCO, cookers are used to evaporate the water from the oil. Because the UCO typically contains much less moisture than animal by-products and requires no crushing, much less thermal and electrical energy is required to process used cooking oil than to render animal by-products.

As shown in Table 1.01 the amount of energy used to process UCO varies across an order of magnitude: 9,259 – 938 BTU/gal. The width of this range is primarily due to the different methods used to remove the moisture from the feedstock. To a lesser extent, it may also be due to differing assumptions about the moisture content of the raw UCO. The energy use average (8,026 BTU/gallon) of the data collected and reported for four Western United States plants for the pathway analyzed here are based on older rendering plants in the U.S., which process UCO in their cookers. The four-plant average shown in Table 1.01 was used to calculate rendering emissions in this analysis.

The lowest figure listed in Table 1.01 for rendering energy use (last row in Table 1.01) represents the average of several modern plants in the U.S. that are dedicated to processing UCO. In these plants, the UCO is not processed in a cooker as it is in rendering plants². Instead, the UCO is heated to liquefy

² Wellons, Fred, Tellurian Biodiesel, Personal Communication, June 25, 2009.

PRELIMINARY DRAFT DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

it and break the oil-water emulsion. The liquefied grease is filtered and the water and sediment is allowed to settle from the oil. Because the water is physically separated from the UCO, no energy is required for evaporation, thus resulting in far less energy consumption than for the processing of UCO in a rendering plant cooker. These lower rendering energy values were used to develop the no-cooking UCO pathway in the lookup table

The lookup table pathway value for the cooking process uses a thermal processing input of 8,026 BTU/gallon (all Natural gas on a HHV basis) and an electrical input of 0.241 kWh/gal (Midwest electricity) which is the average of plants 1-4 in Table 1.01. For use in the CA-GREET model the energy input (NG) is converted to a BTU/lb UCO basis using a density of 7.5 lb/gal for UCO.

When calculating the pathway value for the no-cooking process only the rendering thermal and electrical energy inputs were changed. For the pathway modeled here, a thermal energy input of 938 BTU/gal (Natural gas derived, HHV basis) and an electrical energy input of 0.060 kWh/gal (Midwest electricity) were used to calculate the GHG emissions. All other pathway inputs and assumptions were unchanged from those used in the cooking process analysis.

Table 1.01 Energy for Processing Used Cooking Oil

Processing Energy Data Source	Thermal Energy	Electrical Energy	Notes
	BTU/gal	kWh/gal	
Survey of U.S. Rendering Plants ^a			
Plant 1	7,250	0.259	cooking required
Plant 2	8,213	0.112	cooking required
Plant 3	7,383	0.309	cooking required
Plant 4	9,259	0.284	cooking required
Average Plants 1-4	8,026	0.241	cooking required
Natural Resources Canada, 2005 ^b	2,440	0.337	Based on 40% water in UCO, reduced mechanically to 14.3% before rendering
Fats Proteins Research Foundation, 2005 ^c	3,768	0.278	Electricity based on soybean processing; thermal energy based on evaporation of 20% moisture in of raw material (0.53 MJ/lb oil produced)
CSIRO, 2007 ^d	1103	0.012	Basis for figures not specified in source document
Modern plants dedicated to UCO processing (Industry Sourced Data, 2009) ^e	938	0.060	Water removed by heating used oil and allowing water to settle; no evaporation

^a Wellons, Fred, Tellurian Biodiesel, Personal Communication, June 2, 2009.

^b Natural Resources Canada, 2005.

^c Fats Proteins Research Foundation, 2005.

^d CSIRO, The Greenhouse and Air Quality Emissions of Biodiesel Blends in Australia. Victoria, Australia. August, 2007.

^e Personal Communication with Industry Representative, June 23, 2009.

The energy consumed in the direct UCO processing step is calculated as shown in Table 1.02. This value applies to the cooking process. Upstream energy calculation details are presented below:

Upstream NG Energy:

$(963 \text{ BTU/lb UCO}) * (68,865 \text{ BTU/MMBTU NG}) / 10^6 = \mathbf{66 \text{ BTU/lb UCO}}$
 (68,865 BTU/MMBTU is the energy in natural gas required to produce 1,000,000 BTU of natural gas)

Upstream Electricity Energy:

$(110 \text{ BTU/lb UCO}) * ((99,790 \text{ BTU/MMBTU Feedstock}) + (2,877,173 \text{ BTU/MMBTU Electricity})) / 10^6 - (110 \text{ BTU/MMBTU direct energy}) = \mathbf{217 \text{ BTU/lb UCO}}$
 (99,790 BTU/MMBTU is the energy in feedstocks required to produce 1,000,000 BTU of electricity)

Table 1.02 Direct and Upstream Energy Use for Rendering UCO in CA

Inputs	Direct	Upstream Energy	Total Energy
NG (heat) (BTU/lb UCO)	$((7,224^a \text{ BTU/gal LHV}) / (7.5 \text{ lbs/gal})) = 963$	66 ^b	1,029
Electricity (Midwest) (BTU/lb UCO)	$(0.241 \text{ kWh/gal}) * (3,412 \text{ BTU/kWh}) / (7.5 \text{ gal/lb}) = 110$	217 ^b	327
Total Energy Input (BTU/lb UCO)	1,073	283	1,356
Convert to BTU/MMBTU BD			92,365^c
Allocated Energy Use for Rendering (BTU/MMBTU BD*)			87,839

^a 7,224 is the LHV calculated from the HHV value of 8026 from Table 1.01.

^b Upstream energy is from WTT energy of NG and electricity documents published by ARB and found at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/workgroups/workgroups.htm#pathways>.

^c Converting from BTU/lb UCO to BTU/MMBTU requires the ratio of the UCO to BD (1.11 lb UCO/lb BD) and the LHV for BD (16,149 BTU/lb BD). Allocated energy is calculated using 95.1% energy allocation factor (see section 2).

1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Rendering of UCO in the Midwest

The GHG emissions from the rendering process are shown in Table 1.03.

Table 1.03 GHG Emissions from Direct and Upstream Energy Used in Rendering of UCO in the Midwest

Emission Species	Direct Emissions (g/lb UCO)	Upstream Emissions (g/lb UCO)	Total Emissions (g/lb UCO)
VOC	0.002	0.008	0.010
CO	0.022	0.035	0.057
CH ₄	0.011	0.160	0.161
N ₂ O	0.000	0.001	0.001
CO ₂	56	31.7	87.7
Total Emissions	56.5	35.7	92.2
Total Emissions (BTU/MMBTU BD)			6,337^b
Total Emissions (gCO₂e/MJ)			6.00
Total Allocated Emissions* (gCO₂e/MJ)			5.71

^a Upstream energy is from WTT energy of NG and electricity documents published by ARB and found at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/workgroups/workgroups.htm#pathways>.

^b Converting from BTU/lb UCO to BTU/MMBTU requires the ratio of the UCO to BD (1.11 lb UCO/lb BD) and the LHV for BD (16,149 BTU/lb BD).

^c Allocated energy is calculated using 95.1% energy allocation factor (see section 2).

Example CO₂ emissions³ Calculations

Direct CO₂:

$$((963 \text{ BTU NG/lb UCO})[50\%*(58,198 \text{ g/MMBTU utility boiler CO}_2 \text{ EF}) + 50\%*(58,176 \text{ g/MMBTU utility boiler CO}_2 \text{ EF})]/10^6) = \mathbf{56 \text{ g/lb UCO}}$$

The upstream CO₂ emissions from the NG and electricity used:

Upstream CO₂:

$$((963 \text{ BTU NG/lb UCO})*(5,239 \text{ NG WTT CO}_2 \text{ Emissions})+(110 \text{ BTU/lb UCO})*((7,755 \text{ g/MMBTU feedstock}) + (233,154 \text{ g/MMBTU}))/10^6) = \mathbf{31.7 \text{ g/lb UCO}}$$

When analyzing the no-cooking pathway only the rendering thermal and electrical energy inputs were changed to calculate the GHG emissions. For the pathway modeled here, a thermal energy input of 938 BTU/gal (Natural gas derived, HHV basis) and an electrical energy input of 0.060 kWh/gal (Midwest electricity) were used to calculate the GHG emissions. These values are shown in Table 1.01.

³ Emission Factors for boilers of electricity feedstocks used are from CA-GREET and are available in the BD from soybean pathway document published in 12/2009 (www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/121409lcfs_soyrd.pdf).

The GHG emission value for rendering where no cooking is required is calculated to be **0.80 gCO₂e/MJ**, which is **4.91 gCO₂e/MJ** lower than the rendering emissions for the cooking process (**5.71 gCO₂e/MJ** as shown in Table 1.03). This is the only difference between the total WTW pathway emissions for the two pathways analyzed here.

1.4 Energy Use for Transport of Rendered UCO to a BD Production Plant

Processed UCO is transported by heavy duty truck to a fuel plant in the Midwest. The average Midwest parameters are used in CA-GREET for UCO transport; these include Midwest petroleum and electricity parameters. UCO transport is modeled in CA-GREET using the BD heavy duty truck transport calculations in BTU/ton of product transported. The key transport energy and distance parameters are shown in Table 1.04.

Table 1.04 Transport Parameters for Rendered UCO

Mode	Heavy Duty Truck
Mode Share	100%
Fuel	Diesel
Fuel Economy (mpg)	5
Distance (mi)	50

The direct, upstream, and total energy requirements based on the inputs above are shown in Table 1.05. Transportation of UCO for BD production requires **4,081 BTU/MMBTU** BD produced. An energy-based allocation factor (95.1%) is used to calculate the total energy for UCO transport allocated to biodiesel production. See section 2 for the explanation and derivation of the allocation factor.

Table 1.05 Direct, Upstream and Total Energy for Transport of Rendered UCO to a BD Production Plant

Energy Result	Heavy Duty Truck (BTU/ton processed UCO)
Direct Energy for UCO Transport	102,760
Upstream Energy from Transportation Fuel Used	22,148
Total Energy (BTU/ton processed UCO)	124,908
Total Energy (BTU/MMBTU BD)	4,293^a
Total Allocated* Energy (BTU/MMBTU BD)	4,081^b

^a To convert from BTU/lb wet UCO to BTU/MMBTU BD:
 $(124,908 \text{ BTU/ton processed UCO}) / (2,000 \text{ lbs/ton}) * (1.11 \text{ lb UCO/lb BD}) / (16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD})$
 = 4,293 BTU/MMBTU BD.

^b Allocated energy is calculated using 95.1% energy allocation factor (see section 2).

1.5 GHG Emissions Calculations for Transport of Rendered UCO to a Biodiesel Production Plant

The analysis assumes 50 miles of heavy duty truck transport from a UCO processing plant to the fuel plant. Transport emissions were calculated in GREET in g/ton of processed UCO, and then converted to g/lb BD and g/MMBTU BD. Table 1.06 shows the direct emissions, upstream emissions and total emissions.

PRELIMINARY DRAFT DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Table 1.06 GHG Emission Results for Transport of Rendered UCO

	Processing to Fuel Plant
Mode	Heavy Duty Truck
Mode Share	100%
Distance, miles	50
Fuel	Diesel
Energy Intensity, BTU/ton-mile	1,028
Direct Emissions (g/ton Processed UCO)	
VOC	3.676
CO	11.879
CH ₄	0.178
N ₂ O	0.298
CO ₂	8,005
Upstream Emissions (g/ton Processed UCO)	
VOC	0.972
CO	2.624
CH ₄	11.606
N ₂ O	0.020
CO ₂	1,560
Total Emissions, (g/ton Processed UCO)	
VOC	4.648
CO	14.503
CH ₄	11.784
N ₂ O	0.318
CO ₂	9,566
GHG Emissions (g/ton processed UCO)	9,992
GHGs (g/MMBTU BD)	343^a
GHGs (g/MJ BD)	0.33
Allocated GHGs* (g/MJ BD)	0.31

^a To convert from g/ton processed UCO to g/MMBTU BD:
 $(9,992 \text{ BTU/ton processed UCO}) / (2,000 \text{ lbs/ton}) * (1.11 \text{ lb UCO/lb BD}) / (16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD}) = 343 \text{ g/MMBTU BD.}$

Section 2. Biodiesel Production

2.1 Energy for Pre-Processing UCO (Free Fatty Acid Conversion)

When processing biodiesel feedstocks that have a high free fatty acid (FFA) content, such as UCO, a pre-processing step is necessary to reduce the FFA content before it is esterified to biodiesel. ARB assumes that roughly half the UCO in the US is processed via acid esterification using sodium hydroxide to neutralize sulfuric acid, and the other half processed with continuous, non-acid esterification⁴. The acid esterification process consumes on average 1,862 BTUs of thermal energy and 0.04375 kWh of electricity per gallon of biodiesel produced, while the non-acid esterification process consumes 722 BTUs of thermal energy and 0.02575 kWh of electricity per gallon of biodiesel produced⁵. Since roughly equal quantities of UCO are processed using each method, this analysis uses an energy consumption value equal to the average of the two methods. The average values for thermal energy and electricity per gallon of biodiesel produced are 1,292 BTU (HHV) and 0.03475 kWh. These values are based on a 90 percent yield of biodiesel upon distillation. Figure 2.1 shows the fuel production configuration.




Figure 2.1. Schematic for UCO Conversion to Biodiesel.

The process inputs for FFA pre-processing are presented in Table 2.01. The Midwest electricity mix was used for this analysis.

⁴ Based on a personal communication with Wellons, Fred, Tellurian Biodiesel, June 23, 2009.

⁵ Ibid.

Table 2.01. Calculation of Direct Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD) for Pre-processing of UCO to Convert FFAs

Process Fuel Type	Direct Energy Calculation (BTU/lb BD)	Direct Energy Consumption, (BTU/lb BD)
Natural Gas	$((1,163 \text{ BTU/gal LHV}) / (7.5 \text{ lbs/gal})) = 155$	155
Electricity	$(0.03475 \text{ kWh/gal}) * (3,412 \text{ BTU/kWh}) / (7.5 \text{ gal/lb}) = 16$	16
Direct Energy Consumption		171

Table 2.01 reports the direct energy consumption per lb of BD produced. The natural gas input is based on 1,292 BTU/gal BD HHV (1,163 BTU/gal LHV). The total energy includes the direct and upstream energy components. The total energy requirement is then allocated among the BD fuel and co-product glycerin based on energy content of each and the glycerin yield (see the allocation factor calculation below). Table 2.02 shows the total energy consumption (in BTU/lb) and allocated energy consumption (in BTU/lb BD and BTU/MMBTU BD).

BD Allocation Factor:

$$= \frac{16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD}}{16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD} + (7,979 \text{ BTU/lb Glycerine}) * (0.105 \text{ lb Glycerine/lb BD})}$$

Table 2.02 describes the direct and upstream energy inputs for each fuel. Table 2.03 presents the total energy required for the pre-processing steps that convert FFAs prior to esterification.

Table 2.02. Calculation of Direct and Upstream Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD) for Pre-processing of UCO to Convert FFAs

Process Fuel Type	Direct Energy (BTU/lb)	Upstream Energy (BTU/lb)	Total Energy Consumption, BTU/lb BD
Natural Gas	155	$155 * (1 + 70,079^a / 10^6)$	166
Electricity	16	$16 * (99,790^b + 2,877,173^c) / 10^6$	47
Direct and Upstream Energy Input (BTU/lb BD)			213

^a Energy of NG as fuel: 70,079 BTU/MMBTU

^b Energy of Electricity as feedstock: 99,790 BTU/MMBTU

^c Energy of Electricity as fuel: 2,877,173 BTU/MMBTU

Table 2.03 Total and Allocated Energy Consumption for Pre-Processing of UCO to Convert FFAs

Energy Consumption	Value
Total Energy (BTU/lb BD)	213
Total Energy (BTU/MMBTU BD)	13,190 ^a
Total Adjusted Energy* (BTU/MMBTU BD)	12,543^{b,c}

^a To convert BTU/lb BD to BTU/MMBTU BD:
 $(213 \text{ BTU/lb UCO}) / (16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD}) * 10^6 = 13,190 \text{ BTU/MMBTU BD}$

^b To convert BTU/MMBTU BD to energy-allocated BTU/MMBTU:
 $(13,190 \text{ BTU/MMBTU BD}) * (95.1\%) = 12,543 \text{ BTU/MMBTU}$

^c Total adjusted energy includes allocation (95.1%) and downstream loss factor (1.000)

After FFA conversion, the intermediate UCO product is esterified like soybean oil. This analysis assumes the same esterification input parameters used for soybean oil esterification to biodiesel. Table 2.04 presents the direct and upstream energy for BD production (UCO esterification) including the manufacture of methanol, sodium hydroxide, sodium methoxide, and hydrochloric acid. Table 2.05 provides the total energy use for BD production.

Table 2.04 Calculation of Direct and Upstream Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD) for BD Production

Process Component	Fuel Shares	Direct Energy (BTU/lb)	Upstream Energy (BTU/lb)	Total Energy Consumption (BTU/lb BD)
Natural Gas	42.0%	$(42.0\%)*(2,116) = 889$	$889*(1+70,079/10^6)$	951
Electricity	2.2%	$(2.2\%)*(2,116) = 47$	$47*(99,790+2,877,173)/10^6$	140
Methanol	40.9%	$(40.9\%)*(2,116) = 865$	$865*(31,909*1.000+53,2483)/10^6$	1,353
Sodium Hydroxide	2.0%	$(2.0\%)*(2,116) = 42$	-	42
Sodium Methoxide	9.9%	$(9.9\%)*(2,116) = 209$	-	209
Hydrochloric Acid	3.0%	$(3.0\%)*(2,116) = 63$	-	63
Total Energy (BTU/lb BD)				2,758

Table 2.05 Total Energy Consumption for BD Production

Energy Consumption	Value
Total Energy (BTU/lb BD)	2,758
Total Energy (BTU/MMBTU BD)	170,785 ^a
Total Adjusted Energy* (BTU/MMBTU BD)	162,416^{b,c}

^a To convert BTU/lb BD to BTU/MMBTU BD:
 $(2,758 \text{ BTU/lb BD}) / (16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD}) * 10^6 = 170,785 \text{ BTU/MMBTU BD}$
 BTU/MMBTU BD (where 16,194 BTU/lb is assumed LHV of BD)

^b To convert BTU/MMBTU BD to energy-allocated BTU/MMBTU:
 $(170,785 \text{ BTU/MMBTU}) * (95.1\%) = 162,416 \text{ BTU/MMBTU}$

^c Total adjusted energy includes allocation (95.1%) and downstream loss factor (1.000)

2.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Biodiesel Production

Direct, upstream and total greenhouse gas emissions for the FFA conversion step are shown below in Table 2.06. The Midwest electricity mix is used to calculate emissions from electricity generation. The calculations for direct and upstream CO₂ emissions are:

Direct CO₂ Emissions:

$$(155 \text{ BTU NG/lb BD}) * [50\% * (58,198 \text{ g/MMBTU NG}) + 50\% * (58,176 \text{ g/MMBTU NG})] / 10^6 = \mathbf{9 \text{ g/lb BD}}$$

where 58,198 g/MMBTU and 58,176 g/MMBTU are the emission factors for NG utility and small boilers, respectively. There are no direct electricity emissions

Upstream CO₂ Emissions:

$$[(155 \text{ BTU NG/lb BD}) * (5,239 \text{ g/MMBTU}) + (16 \text{ BTU electricity/lb BD}) * ((7,755 \text{ g/MMBTU Feedstock}) + (233,154 \text{ g/MMBTU Electricity}))] / 10^6 = \mathbf{4.7 \text{ g/lb BD}}$$

where 5,239 g/MMBTU is the upstream emissions for NG production. 7,755 g/MMBTU and 233,154 g/MMBTU are the upstream emissions associated with electricity feedstock and generation, respectively.

Table 2.06 Direct, Upstream and Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Pre-processing of UCO to Convert FFAs

Species	Direct Emissions (g/lb BD)	Upstream Emissions (g/lb BD)	Total Emissions (g/lb BD)
VOC	0.000	0.002	0.002
CO	0.004	0.005	0.009
CH ₄	0.000	0.025	0.025
N ₂ O	0.000	0.000	0.000
CO ₂	9	4.7	13.7
GHG (gCO₂e/lb BD)			14.4^a
GHG (gCO₂e/MMBTU)			891^b
Total GHG (g/MJ)			0.85
Total Adjusted GHG (g/MJ)			0.80^c

^a Adjusted to Global Warming Potential: CH₄: 25, N₂O: 298, CO₂: 1

^b To convert from g/lb BD to g/MMBTU BD:

(11 g/lb BD)/(16,149 BTU/lb BD)*10⁶ = 681 BTU/MMBTU BD

^c Total adjusted energy includes allocation (95.1%) and downstream loss factor (1.000)

Direct, upstream and total greenhouse gas emissions for UCO esterification (BD production) are shown in Table 2.07. The average Midwest electricity mix is used to calculate emissions from electricity generation.

Table 2.07 Direct, Upstream and Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions for BD Production

Species	Direct Emissions (g/lb BD)	Upstream Emissions (g/lb BD)	Total Emissions (g/lb BD)
VOC	0.002	0.029	0.031
CO	0.020	0.050	0.070
CH ₄	0.001	0.294	0.295
N ₂ O	0.000	0.000	0.001
CO ₂	51.7	34.8	86.5
GHG (gCO₂e/lb BD)			94.4^a
GHG (gCO₂e/MMBTU)			5,845^b
Total GHG (g/MJ)			5.54
Total Adjusted GHG (g/MJ)			5.27^c

^a Adjusted to Global Warming Potential: CH₄: 25, N₂O: 298, CO₂: 1

^b To convert from g/lb BD to g/MMBTU BD:
 $(94.4 \text{ g/lb BD}) / (16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD}) * 10^6 = 5,845 \text{ BTU/MMBTU BD}$ (where 16,194 BTU/lb is the assumed LHV of BD)

^c Total adjusted energy includes allocation (95.1%) and downstream loss factor (1.000)

Section 3. Biodiesel Transport and Distribution

3.1 Energy Calculations for Biodiesel Transport to Retail Stations

The next step in the Biodiesel pathway is transport from the production plant in the Midwest to a retail station in California. Table 3.01 provides the transport assumptions and calculations for this final step.

This analysis assumes that 100% of the Biodiesel is transported by rail 1,400 miles from a plant in the Midwest to a bulk terminal in California. The BD is then transported 90 miles by heavy duty truck from the bulk terminal to refueling stations. The energy and emissions associated with the transport of BD from UCO and from soy oil are calculated similarly. BTU/ton-mile factors are converted to BTU/ton, and then to BTU/MMBTU fuel for both legs of the trip. The energy for each mode is multiplied by the mode share shown in Table 3.01 to yield the total energy. No allocation factor adjustment is made for BD transport.

Table 3.01 Biodiesel Transport Parameters and Results

Parameter	Units	Plant to Bulk Terminal	Distribution	Total
Mode	-	Rail	Heavy Duty Truck	
Shares	%	100%	100%	
Distance	Miles	1,400	90	
Payload	Tons	25	25	
Fuel Economy	mi/gal		5	
Fuel	-	Diesel	Diesel	
Fuel LHV	BTU/gal	128,450	128,450	
Energy Intensity	BTU/ton-mile	336 ^a	1,028 ^a	
Direct Energy (BTU/MMBTU)		16,038 ^b	5,727 ^b	
Upstream Energy (BTU/MMBTU)		2,615	1,234	
Total Energy (BTU/MMBTU)		18,653	6,961	
Total BD T&D Energy (BTU/MMBTU)		17,734	6,961	24,695

^a Energy Intensity = LHV / fuel economy / payload = 1,028 BTU/mile-ton

^b Direct truck energy doubles the miles to take into account round trip energy

Table 3.02 Biodiesel Greenhouse Gas Emissions

	Plant to Bulk Terminal	Fuel Distribution	Total Transport
Mode	Rail	HDD Truck	
Mode Share	100%	100%	
Distance, miles	1,400	90	
Fuel	Diesel	Diesel	
Energy Intensity, BTU/ton-mile	370	1,028	
Direct Emissions (g/MMBTU)			
VOC	0.957	0.172	
CO	3.448	0.877	
CH ₄	0.063	0.009	
N ₂ O	0.032	0.012	
CO ₂	1,246	446	
Upstream Emissions (g/MMBTU)			
VOC	0.132	0.054	
CO	0.282	0.146	
CH ₄	1.573	0.647	
N ₂ O	0.002	0.001	
CO ₂	213.804	87	
Total Emissions, including allocation and loss factors g/MMBTU Fuel Transported			
VOC	1.089	0.226	1.315
CO	3.733	1.023	4.756
CH ₄	1.636	0.656	2.292
N ₂ O	0.034	0.013	0.047
CO ₂	1459.804	533	1992.804
GHGs (gCO ₂ e/MMBTU)	1520.087	556	2075.676
GHGs (gCO₂e/MJ)	1.370	0.501	1.872

Sample calculations of CO₂ emissions from a locomotive:

Direct CO₂ emission from diesel locomotive:

$$= \frac{(3,361 \text{ gBD} / \text{gal}) \times (77,664 \text{ gCO}_2 / \text{MMBTU}) \times (370 \text{ BTU} / \text{ton-mile}) \times (1400 \text{ miles})}{(119,550 \text{ BTU} / \text{galBD} \times 454 \text{ g} / \text{lb} \times 2000 \text{ lbs} / \text{ton})}$$

= 1,246 g / MMBTU

Upstream CO₂ emission from diesel locomotive:

$$= \frac{(3,361 \text{ gBD} / \text{gal}) \times (13,257 \text{ gCO}_2 / \text{MMBTU}) \times (370 \text{ BTU} / \text{ton-mile}) \times (1400 \text{ miles})}{(119,550 \text{ BTU} / \text{galBD} \times 454 \text{ g} / \text{lb} \times 2000 \text{ lbs} / \text{ton})}$$

= 214 g / MMBTU

Section 4. GHG Emissions from a Biodiesel-Fueled Vehicle

4.1 GHG Emissions from the Combustion of Fuel in a Motor Vehicle

The CA-GREET model considers only the fossil carbon in fuel (expressed as fully oxidized, gCO₂/MMBTU fuel), since biologically derived fuel carbon originates from the atmosphere, the net greenhouse gas impact is assumed to be neutral. The only fossil carbon in biodiesel originates from the methanol (produced from natural gas) used in UCO transesterification. The calculations in Table 4.01 show the fossil CO₂ emissions per MMBTU and MJ of fuel. The table summarizes the values used in the calculations and also shows the results from the fossil carbon in fuel calculations. The biodiesel production energy and methanol energy share for production shown in Table 4.01 are CA-GREET default values and the remaining values in the table are fuel properties. The calculations shown in this document apply to a heavy-duty vehicle.

The total BD processing energy of 2,116 BTU/MMBTU is a GREET default. Esterification requires a methanol input that corresponds to 10% of the biodiesel mass. This methanol energy is input to CA-GREET as fuel shares of 40.9% of the 2,116 BTU of energy input which is equal to 865 BTU/MMBTU. The GHG emissions are calculated based on the fraction of methanol energy in BD and the carbon content of methanol. The calculations are below:

Fossil Carbon in Biodiesel Expressed as CO₂:

PRELIMINARY DRAFT DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

$$(2,116 \text{ BTU methanol/MMBTU BD}) \cdot (40.9\%) / (16,149 \text{ BTU/lb BD}) \cdot 10^6 = 53,592 \text{ BTU methanol/MMBTU BD}$$

$$(53,592 \text{ BTU methanol/MMBTU BD}) / (57,250 \text{ BTU/gal}) \cdot (3,006 \text{ g/gal}) \cdot (37.5\% \text{ C}) \cdot (44.0095 \text{ g CO}_2/12.011 \text{ g C}) = 3,869 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{MMBTU}$$

$$(3,869 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{MMBTU}) / (1055 \text{ MJ/MMBTU}) = \mathbf{3.7 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{MJ}}$$

Table 4.01 Fuel Fossil CO₂ Emissions (g/MMBTU)

Description	Methyl Ester Biodiesel (B100)
BD Production Energy Input (BTU/lb BD)	2,116
BD Lower Heating Value (BTU/gal)	119,550
BD Density (g/gal)	3,361
BD Carbon Ratio (wt%)	77.6 %
MeOH Fuel Production Share	40.9%
MeOH Lower Heating Value (BTU/gal)	57,250
MeOH Density (g/gal)	3,006
MeOH Carbon Ratio (wt%)	37.5%
CO ₂ /C Mass Ratio (wt%)	44.0095/ 12.011
Fossil Carbon in Fuel (gCO₂e/MJ)	3.7

Vehicle CH₄ and N₂O emissions

The tailpipe CH₄ and N₂O emissions associated with UCO-based BD are assumed to be the same as the corresponding ULSD emissions. The vehicle energy use, N₂O and CH₄ emission rates and final emissions are shown in Table 4.02.

Table 4.02 Vehicle CH₄ and N₂O Emissions

Parameter	2010 Emissions factor (g/mi)	GWP	GHG (gCO ₂ e/MJ)
N ₂ O	0.048	298	0.735
CH ₄	0.035	25	0.045
Vehicle Energy Efficiency	6.1 mi/gal		0.78

Total Combustion Emissions

Using Tables 4.01 and 4.02 above, the total GHG emissions from combusting BD in a heavy-duty vehicle is 3.7 + 0.78 = **4.48 gCO₂e/MJ**.

APPENDIX B

Input Values for Biodiesel from Used Cooking Oil Pathway

Parameters	Units	Values	Note
GHG Equivalent			
CO ₂		1	CA-GREET
CH ₄		25	CA-GREET
N ₂ O		298	CA-GREET
VOC		3.1	CA-GREET
CO		1.6	CA-GREET
UCO or BD Transportation			
<i>Heavy Duty Diesel Truck</i>		100%	CA-GREET Default
<i>Travel Distance</i>	miles	50	One way
<i>Truck Energy Intensity</i>	BTU/mile-ton	1,028	CA-GREET Default
Biodiesel Production			
BD Allocation Factor		94.5%	CA-GREET Default
BD Yield	lb UCO/lb BD	1.11	CA-GREET Default
Process Shares for the pre-processing of FFAs			
<i>Natural Gas</i>		90.6%	CA-GREET Default
<i>Electricity</i>		9.4%	CA-GREET Default
Equipment shares			
Large Industrial Boiler - Natural Gas		50%	CA-GREET Default
<i>CO₂ Emission Factor</i>	gCO ₂ /MMBTU	58,198	CA- GREET Default
Small Industrial Boiler – Natural Gas		50%	CA-GREET Default
<i>CO₂ Emission Factor</i>	gCO ₂ /MMBTU	58,176	CA-GREET Default
Fuels Properties			
	LHV (BTU/gal)	Density (g/gal)	
<i>Natural Gas</i>	83,686	2,651	NG Liquids - GREET Default
<i>Biodiesel</i>	18,925	2,948	CA- GREET Default
Transportation Mode			
<i>Heavy Diesel Duty Truck</i>	tons	25	UCO (assumed same as BD)
	tons	25	Biodiesel (CA-GREET Default)

REFERENCES

CSIRO, The Greenhouse and Air Quality Emissions of Biodiesel Blends in Australia. Victoria, Australia. August, 2007.

Fats Proteins Research Foundation, 2005.

GREET Model: Argonne National Laboratory:
http://www.transportation.anl.gov/modeling_simulation/GREET/index.html

Industry Representative, Personal Communication, June 23, 2009.

Natural Resources Canada, 2005.

Natural Gas and Electricity pathway documents published by ARB - February 2009 (www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_cng.pdf,
www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/022709lcfs_elec.pdf)

Soybean Biodiesel Pathway Document published by ARB February 2009,
(www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/121409lcfs_soyrd.pdf)

Wellons, Fred, Tellurian Biodiesel, Personal Communication, June 2, 2009.

Wellons, Fred, Tellurian Biodiesel, Personal Communication, June 23, 2009.

Wellons, Fred, Tellurian Biodiesel, Personal Communication, June 25, 2009.