

**Comment 1 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James  
Last Name: Simonelli  
Email Address: [jfs@metalscoalition.com](mailto:jfs@metalscoalition.com)  
Affiliation: California Metals Coalition

Subject: California Metals Coalition SF6 Comments  
Comment:

Thank you for allowing us to comments. We look forward to an open dialogue.

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/1-cmc\\_sf6\\_comments\\_feb5\\_2009.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/1-cmc_sf6_comments_feb5_2009.pdf)

Original File Name: CMC\_SF6\_Comments\_Feb5\_2009.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-02-05 14:47:24

No Duplicates.

**Comment 2 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 45 Day.**

First Name: peter

Last Name: lagus

Email Address: plagus@tracergas.com

Affiliation: lagus applied technology, inc

Subject: Reduction of SF6 from Non-semiconductor and Non-utility

Comment:

I have attached a letter to the board regarding the January 9, 2009 statement as well as the proposed regulation.

Thank you for you attention.

peter lagus

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/2-carbsf6letter2009a.doc](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/2-carbsf6letter2009a.doc)

Original File Name: CARBSF6Letter2009a.doc

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-02-23 16:28:56

No Duplicates.

**Comment 3 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Thomas  
Last Name: Rappolt  
Email Address: tjrappp@tracer-est.com  
Affiliation: Tracer ES&T, Inc.

Subject: SF6 Emissions Rule for Non-utility and non-semiconductor usage  
Comment:

Attached are two document. The frist document is my comment letter to the Board regarding the proposed SF6 regulation. I support the regulation with conditions as explained in my letter.

The second document is a sampling of Toxicological studies in support of using PFCs as tracers as green alternative.

Please call me if you have any questions

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/3-pfc\\_safety\\_studies.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/3-pfc_safety_studies.pdf)

Original File Name: PFC Safety Studies.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-02-25 11:40:00

No Duplicates.

**Comment 4 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Thomas  
Last Name: Rappolt  
Email Address: tjrapp@tracer-est.com  
Affiliation: Tracer ES&T, Inc.

Subject: Proposed Regulation for SF6 Emission  
Comment:

I forgot to upload this file in my previous transmittal.

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/4-tracer\\_es\\_t\\_comment\\_ltr\\_2-15-2009.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/4-tracer_es_t_comment_ltr_2-15-2009.pdf)

Original File Name: Tracer ES&T comment Ltr 2-15-2009.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-02-25 11:42:40

No Duplicates.

**Comment 5 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: McGhee  
Email Address: Non-web submitted comment  
Affiliation:

Subject: Department of the Air Force  
Comment:

Please see attached.

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/5-airforce0001.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/5-airforce0001.pdf)

Original File Name: airforce0001.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-03-19 15:22:59

No Duplicates.

**There are no comments posted to Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications (nonsemi09) that were presented during the Board Hearing at this time.**

**Comment 1 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 15-1.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: Bailey  
Email Address: [michaelebailey@cox.net](mailto:michaelebailey@cox.net)  
Affiliation: People First California

Subject: Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions  
Comment:

The modifications for reducing sulfur hexafluoride emissions seem to have a good balance and will be a great help in protecting public health and the environment. There are some very limited legitimate uses of this that are outlined in the proposal. But those exceptions should be phased out as suitable but less damaging alternatives come on line. The one week point was deleting the "Right of Entry" provision because that would have made sure the regulation was being followed by manufacturers, distributors, sellers and users. But this is a good proposal; a good step forward. It should be implemented. Thank you and best wishes,  
Michael E. Bailey.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-08-01 21:54:28

No Duplicates.

**Comment 2 for Non-Semiconductor and Non-Utility Applications  
(nonsemi09) - 15-1.**

First Name: peter

Last Name: lagus

Email Address: plagus@tracergas.com

Affiliation: Lagus Applied Technology, Inc

Subject: Comments on Modifications to Text

Comment:

Attached is a detailed discussion of the proposed regulation and its potential impacts on the testing community.

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/8-carb081109.pdf](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/nonsemi09/8-carb081109.pdf)

Original File Name: CARB081109.docx

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-08-11 16:55:46

No Duplicates.