

Form Letter 1 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Martin

Last Name: Tripp

Email Address: recn@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Martin Tripp
Santa Clarita, CA 91390

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:21:07

Form Letter 2 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Fidell

Email Address: linda.fidell@csun.edu

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Linda Fidell
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:25:19

Form Letter 3 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Albert

Last Name: Weckel

Email Address: acweckel@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Albert Weckel
Novato, CA 94949

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:31:24

Form Letter 4 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Maria

Last Name: Ruiz

Email Address: mdruiz277@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Maria Ruiz
Novato, CA 94949

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:32:27

Form Letter 5 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Courtney
Last Name: Christoffer
Email Address: christoffer66@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Courtney Christoffer
Upland, CA 91786

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:39:49

Form Letter 6 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joan

Last Name: Andersson

Email Address: joanandersson200@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joan Andersson
Berkeley, CA 94708

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:47:04

Form Letter 7 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: J. Michael
Last Name: Bossert
Email Address: bossert@csus.edu
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
J. Michael Bossert
Sacramento, CA 95825

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:53:42

Form Letter 8 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elaine

Last Name: Wander Leclaire

Email Address: wanderelaine@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elaine Wander Leclaire
Rodeo, CA 94572

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:57:12

Form Letter 9 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nan

Last Name: Ayers

Email Address: nxayers@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nan Ayers
Richmond, CA 94804

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:02:15

Form Letter 10 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Tom

Last Name: Ballard

Email Address: tdbaction@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Tom Ballard
Santee, CA 92071

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:11:08

Form Letter 11 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: p

Last Name: e

Email Address: axclusiv_97@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
p e
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:12:43

Form Letter 12 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Merrill

Last Name: Berge

Email Address: merrillberge@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Eliminate fossil fuel subsidies, not clean transportation funding! Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Merrill Berge
Camarillo, CA 93010

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:14:20

Form Letter 13 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kenneth
Last Name: Pennington
Email Address: kpnn.pol@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Pennington
Ventura, CA 93001

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:14:26

Form Letter 14 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: VICTOR

Last Name: PAGLIA

Email Address: vic_paglia@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
VICTOR PAGLIA
Newport Beach, CA 92663

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:28:01

Form Letter 15 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nancy

Last Name: Joachim

Email Address: nancy.joachimmd@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nancy Joachim
Berkeley, CA 94704

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:28:28

Form Letter 16 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Tamara

Last Name: Swanson

Email Address: childrenfirst66@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Tamara Swanson
Monrovia, CA 91016

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:29:55

Form Letter 17 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Daryl

Last Name: .Gale

Email Address: turtleperson@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Crappy California air!

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Daryl .Gale
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:30:35

Form Letter 18 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Meera

Last Name: P

Email Address: payment-broth@iclohdc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Meera P
Fremont, CA 94539

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:31:00

Form Letter 19 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Judith

Last Name: Borcz

Email Address: judy@norquay.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Judith Borcz
Redwood City, CA 94062

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:33:28

Form Letter 20 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marie

Last Name: VanderLinde

Email Address: marievl88@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marie VanderLinde
Grand Rapids, MI 49507

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:33:47

Form Letter 21 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ann

Last Name: Nitzan

Email Address: ann.nitzan@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ann Nitzan
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:34:20

Form Letter 22 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Gygi

Email Address: bgygi2@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brian Gygi
Richmond, CA 94804

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:39:14

Form Letter 23 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Katie

Last Name: Thibodeau

Email Address: knicole102@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Katie Thibodeau
Calabasas, CA 91302

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:43:45

Form Letter 24 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shirley
Last Name: Shelangoski
Email Address: sjs_159@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals. Thank you for updating your plan!

Sincerely,
Shirley Shelangoski
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 11:43:59

Form Letter 25 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jane

Last Name: Edwards

Email Address: jpedwards@ca.rr.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jane Edwards
La Palma, CA 90623

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:11:24

Form Letter 26 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Seren

Last Name: Bradshaw

Email Address: seren.bradshaw@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Seren Bradshaw
Westwood, CA 96137

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:31:36

Form Letter 27 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Christine

Last Name: Fisher

Email Address: chrisfisher42@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard for sustainability

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Christine Fisher
Newark, CA 94560

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:34:12

Form Letter 28 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: W.E.

Last Name: Miller

Email Address: 3841miller@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
W.E. Miller
Oakland, CA 94602

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:43:17

Form Letter 29 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carlton

Last Name: Sloan

Email Address: carlton@newworldparty.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please Reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carlton Sloan
Guerneville, CA 95446

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:45:23

Form Letter 30 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mary
Last Name: Bavage
Email Address: mary.bavage@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mary Bavage
San Dimas, CA 91773

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:47:38

Form Letter 31 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Valerie

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: valerieajohnson@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Valerie Johnson
Mission Hills, CA 91345

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:58:28

Form Letter 32 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Cline

Email Address: mrcline@clinefoto.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michael Cline
Indio, CA 92201

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 12:59:10

Form Letter 33 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alfredo

Last Name: Lopez

Email Address: jovany.lopez@pukuu.org

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard for the betterment of future generations
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alfredo Lopez
Pacoima, CA 91331

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 13:00:26

Form Letter 34 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cecelia

Last Name: Crane

Email Address: ceceliacrane52@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cecelia Crane
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 13:00:48

Form Letter 35 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ruby

Last Name: Miller

Email Address: rubygracemiller@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ruby Miller
Chula Vista, CA 91913

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 13:14:37

Form Letter 36 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: William
Last Name: Bossinger
Email Address: bbossinger1@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
William Bossinger
Antelope, CA 95843

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 13:39:05

Form Letter 37 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Boggiano

Email Address: susanboggiano@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Boggiano
Oakland, CA 94605

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 13:54:47

Form Letter 38 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Sharon

Last Name: Clark

Email Address: mt.rainier93@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sharon Clark
Auburn, CA 95603

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 13:56:38

Form Letter 39 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Victor

Last Name: Torres

Email Address: viczalar@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Victor Torres
Oxnard, CA 93033

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 14:03:56

Form Letter 40 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Laura

Last Name: Laughlin

Email Address: lauravlaughlin@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Laura Laughlin
Sacramento, CA 95831

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 14:10:14

Form Letter 41 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Pegalee

Last Name: Benda

Email Address: pegaleeczek@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Pegalee Benda
Sonoma, CA 95476

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 14:10:59

Form Letter 42 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joan

Last Name: Poss

Email Address: ilsasso2003@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joan Poss
Fresno, CA 93704

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 14:21:27

Form Letter 43 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alexander
Last Name: Vollmer
Email Address: abvollmer@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alexander Vollmer
San Rafael, CA 94901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 14:21:58

Form Letter 44 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gail
Last Name: Camhi
Email Address: gailcamhi24@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gail Camhi
Novato, CA 94949

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 06:45:44

Form Letter 45 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Sabrena

Last Name: Rodriguez

Email Address: sabrena.rodriguez@venturausd.org

Affiliation:

Subject: reform LCFS

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future.

Sincerely,
Sabrena Rodriguez
Ventura, CA 93001

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 07:10:41

Form Letter 46 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Karel

Last Name: Kretzschmar

Email Address: fx3taxi@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Karel Kretzschmar
San Francisco, CA 94118

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 09:50:53

Form Letter 47 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jasmine

Last Name: Tokuda

Email Address: Jasmine@JasmineBazaar.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Biofuels are not renewable. Let's invest in solar, wind, geothermal and wave energy production instead.

Sincerely,
Jasmine Tokuda
Alameda, CA 94501

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 09:59:56

Form Letter 48 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: gerry

Last Name: racik

Email Address: grgerrac@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
gerry racik
San Diego, CA 92128

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 10:05:56

Form Letter 49 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mishwa

Last Name: Lee

Email Address: mishwalee@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Please prove that your agency is really dedicated to protecting our & your air. As a SF resident with asthma and a grandmother I care.

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and

overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mishwa Lee
San Francisco, CA 94124

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 10:28:06

Form Letter 50 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Ferreira

Email Address: lindalferreira@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Linda Ferreira
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 10:30:47

Form Letter 51 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Persephone

Last Name: Maywald

Email Address: wherevertherapy@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Persephone Maywald
Mckinleyville, CA 95519

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 10:35:27

Form Letter 52 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kathryn
Last Name: Santana
Email Address: kathryn.qahira@gmil.comt
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Santana
Concord, CA 94518

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 10:46:18

Form Letter 53 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: O'Neill
Last Name: Louchard
Email Address: oneill@olympus.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
O'Neill Louchard
Visalia, CA 93292

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 10:49:39

Form Letter 54 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Thornhill

Email Address: robertthornhill14@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robert Thornhill
Clackamas, OR 97015

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 11:04:24

Form Letter 55 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: rosine

Last Name: simitian

Email Address: rosysim@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
rosine simititan
Los Angeles, CA 90065

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 11:23:29

Form Letter 56 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lorraine

Last Name: Gilbert

Email Address: lffngilbert@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Gilbert
Signal Hill, CA 90755

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 11:28:36

Form Letter 57 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ruth

Last Name: Hardin

Email Address: ruth.crossroads@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ruth Hardin
Urbandale, IA 50322

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 11:36:22

Form Letter 58 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Katherine

Last Name: Aker

Email Address: kathiaker@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Katherine Aker
Tujunga, CA 91042

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 11:48:56

Form Letter 59 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Madeline

Last Name: Covey

Email Address: madelinecovey@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Madeline Covey
Palo Alto, CA 94306

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 12:10:48

Form Letter 60 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: DM

Last Name: Myers

Email Address: dmmyers@pm.me

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
DM Myers
San Francisco, CA 94146

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 12:28:36

Form Letter 61 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Janice

Last Name: Wood

Email Address: janicehayeswood64@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Janice Wood
Lafayette, CA 94549

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 12:30:56

Form Letter 62 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori A

Last Name: Hammett

Email Address: laluvskats@outlook.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori A Hammett
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:01:27

Form Letter 63 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jay
Last Name: Weiner
Email Address: jaymw@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

I am literally sick of subversion of clean energy funding into more burning fuels. I am living surrounded by fossil fuel monstrosities, Chevron, Valero, etc., with the periodic releases and the flaring on a regular basis. Put the money into electrified transport from renewable sources. Build the solar infrastructure needed for all electric vehicles!

Sincerely,
Jay Weiner
San Pablo, CA 94806

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:07:26

Form Letter 64 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: wayne

Last Name: marien

Email Address: pickled-soled.0z@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
wayne marien
Monterey, CA 93940

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:11:52

Form Letter 65 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Roberto
Last Name: EsquivelSr
Email Address: resquivel0831@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Roberto EsquivelSr
San Antonio, TX 78212

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:17:37

Form Letter 66 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: David

Last Name: Councilman

Email Address: dlcouncilman@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
David Councilman
Minneapolis, MN 55426

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:38:58

Form Letter 67 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joan

Last Name: Miller

Email Address: joanleslie6514@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joan Miller
Anaheim, CA 92804

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:39:25

Form Letter 68 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Catherine

Last Name: Ronan

Email Address: cmronan@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Stop subsidizing LCFS

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Catherine Ronan
Los Angeles, CA 90066

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:40:03

Form Letter 69 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gilles

Last Name: de Brouwer

Email Address: gdebrouwer@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gilles de Brouwer
Cypress, CA 90630

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 13:44:28

Form Letter 70 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carell
Last Name: Jantzen
Email Address: carell@egan.org
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carell Jantzen
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:08:50

Form Letter 71 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Darius

Last Name: Derakshan

Email Address: dderakshan@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Darius Derakshan
Los Angeles, CA 90065

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:31:55

Form Letter 72 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Tillery

Email Address: ltillery831@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Linda Tillery
Freedom, CA 95019

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:43:35

Form Letter 73 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Flannery
Email Address: msflannery@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Constance Flannery
San Francisco, CA 94131

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:43:37

Form Letter 74 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cyril

Last Name: Bouteille

Email Address: cyril4j@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cyril Bouteille
Mountain View, CA 94043

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:46:01

Form Letter 75 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Brisby

Email Address: sabrisby@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Brisby
Lancaster, CA 93536

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:55:37

Form Letter 76 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joseph

Last Name: Razo

Email Address: joe_razo@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joseph Razo
Camarillo, CA 93012

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 15:05:15

Form Letter 77 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rhys

Last Name: Atkinson

Email Address: atkinsongatsby@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rhys Atkinson
Corte Madera, CA 94925

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 15:16:54

Form Letter 78 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Toula

Last Name: Siacotos

Email Address: toulas300@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Toula Siacotos
Richmond, CA 94801

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 15:29:48

Form Letter 79 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mary

Last Name: Stanistreet

Email Address: mkstanistreet@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mary Stanistreet
Ventura, CA 93003

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:05:16

Form Letter 80 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Patricia
Last Name: Goodson
Email Address: spiritlady2@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Patricia Goodson
Clearlake Oaks, CA 95423

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:08:25

Form Letter 81 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Judy

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: jujojo4343@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Judy Johnson
Placerville, CA 95667

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:10:34

Form Letter 82 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Whisman

Email Address: whismansusan13@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Whisman
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:15:54

Form Letter 83 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jean

Last Name: Tepperman

Email Address: jeantepper@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jean Tepperman
Berkeley, CA 94703

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:24:17

Form Letter 84 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jorge

Last Name: Ortiz

Email Address: jorge.g.ortiz@mail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jorge Ortiz
Los Angeles, CA 90046

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:44:43

Form Letter 85 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Trudy

Last Name: Morgan

Email Address: morganmiller@pacific.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Trudy Morgan
Ukiah, CA 95482

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:46:34

Form Letter 86 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sharon

Last Name: Sprouse

Email Address: sharonsprouse999@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sharon Sprouse
Poway, CA 92064

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:48:46

Form Letter 87 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joan

Last Name: Poss

Email Address: ilsasso2003@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joan Poss
Fresno, CA 93704

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:52:19

Form Letter 88 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rebecca

Last Name: Shirley

Email Address: cloudanc@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Shirley
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 16:53:50

Form Letter 89 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Vetrie
Email Address: jvetrie@att.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Julie Vetrie
Canyon Country, CA 91387

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 17:50:42

Form Letter 90 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gilles

Last Name: Matin

Email Address: gmarin@chineitsang.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gilles Martin
San Rafael, CA 94903

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 18:01:17

Form Letter 91 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Captain Richard

Last Name: Gillette

Email Address: captain.rgillette@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Captain Richard Gillette
Oakhurst, CA 93644

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 18:20:00

Form Letter 92 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Vetrie
Email Address: jvetrie@att.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Julie Vetrie
Canyon Country, CA 91387

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 18:33:18

Form Letter 93 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Matthews
Email Address: rickmmmm@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Richard Matthews
San Pedro, CA 90731

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 18:52:22

Form Letter 94 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Vetrie
Email Address: jvetrie@att.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Julie Vetrie
Canyon Country, CA 91387

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 18:56:46

Form Letter 95 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shirley

Last Name: Craine

Email Address: scraine@myyahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shirley Craine
Sacramento, CA 95818

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 18:56:50

Form Letter 96 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mike

Last Name: Cabourne

Email Address: mcnjc@roadrunner.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mike Cabourne
Fullerton, CA 92831

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:08:47

Form Letter 97 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Wendy R
Last Name: Johnson
Email Address: wendyraej@icoud.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Wendy R Johnson
Port Angeles, WA 98363

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:31:45

Form Letter 98 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Eva

Last Name: Lopez

Email Address: evalopez24910@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Eva Lopez
Gualala, CA 95445

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:40:00

Form Letter 99 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Thomas

Last Name: Luce

Email Address: tomfluce@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Thomas Luce
Berkeley, CA 94703

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:42:34

Form Letter 100 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brianna

Last Name: Egan

Email Address: briannajuice@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility. We must put all our focus and funding on electrification projects, including electrifying bus fleets and rail transportation. Let's not be swayed by dirty energy or hydrogen boondoggles either.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions

future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brianna Egan
Redlands, CA 92373

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:46:35

Form Letter 101 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Terry
Last Name: Robinson
Email Address: trobinson@surewest.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Terry Robinson
Citrus Heights, CA 95610

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:54:42

Form Letter 102 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shannon

Last Name: Kemena

Email Address: skemena@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shannon Kemena
Elk Grove, CA 95758

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 19:58:59

Form Letter 103 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Janet

Last Name: Maker

Email Address: jamaker2001@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Janet Maker
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:00:53

Form Letter 104 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Glenn

Last Name: Tannous

Email Address: gctannous@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Glenn Tannous
Glendale, CA 91205

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:05:34

Form Letter 105 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jordis

Last Name: Moore

Email Address: jordimoore2@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jordis Moore
San Ramon, CA 94583

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:08:38

Form Letter 106 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Katy

Last Name: Schlecht

Email Address: k.m.i.schlecht@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Katy Schlecht
Salinas, CA 93901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:17:45

Form Letter 107 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Debbie

Last Name: Scannell

Email Address: debbie@scannell.us

Affiliation:

Subject: WHEN IS THIS HAPPENING? Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Debbie Scannell
San Gabriel, CA 91775

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:17:45

Form Letter 108 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: BethAnn

Last Name: Coombs

Email Address: bafcoombs@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
BethAnn Coombs
Sacramento, CA 95841

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:22:49

Form Letter 109 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Breslauer

Email Address: lbreslauer@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lisa Breslauer
Woodland, CA 95695

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:30:24

Form Letter 110 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marilyn

Last Name: Ditmanson

Email Address: mditmanson@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Ditmanson
Chico, CA 95973

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:34:50

Form Letter 111 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elvira

Last Name: Malabanan

Email Address: lvei_peregrino@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elvira Malabanan
Manteca, CA 95337

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:57:30

Form Letter 112 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bonita

Last Name: Werner

Email Address: bonniewerner@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bonita Werner
Riverside, CA 92507

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 20:59:48

Form Letter 113 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Georgia
Last Name: Carver
Email Address: carvergl@att.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Georgia Carver
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 21:09:51

Form Letter 114 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: jeffrey

Last Name: A sapin

Email Address: jsapin@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
jeffrey A sapin
Altadena, CA 91001

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 21:12:31

Form Letter 115 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jessica

Last Name: Powers

Email Address: auburna@msn.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jessica Powers
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91739

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 21:35:56

Form Letter 116 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Brown

Email Address: sbrown.politics@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Brown
Sacramento, CA 95814

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 22:16:29

Form Letter 117 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Glenn

Last Name: Smith

Email Address: glenntrumpet@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Glenn Smith
Nevada City, CA 95959

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 22:21:44

Form Letter 118 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marg

Last Name: Hoy

Email Address: mahoyle@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marg Hoy
Escondido, CA 92025

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 22:27:38

Form Letter 119 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Patricia

Last Name: Flowers

Email Address: protutor619@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Patricia Flowers
Imperial Beach, CA 91932

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 22:48:45

Form Letter 120 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Pamela

Last Name: Bailey

Email Address: pmikkels@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Pamela Bailey
Talent, OR 97540

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:00:17

Form Letter 121 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Valerie C

Last Name: Stidean

Email Address: vstidean@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Valerie C Stidean
San Bernardino, CA 92404

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:00:29

Form Letter 122 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: AJ

Last Name: Cho

Email Address: amenoartemis@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
AJ Cho
San Leandro, CA 94579

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:00:31

Form Letter 123 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rick
Last Name: Ehrhart
Email Address: rick_ehrhart@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rick Ehrhart
San Jose, CA 95125

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:00:49

Form Letter 124 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Geoff

Last Name: Regalado

Email Address: gregalado74@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Geoff Regalado
Burbank, CA 91503

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:01:01

Form Letter 125 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michelle

Last Name: Biondini

Email Address: chelle47m@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michelle Biondini
San Francisco, CA 94134

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:01:02

Form Letter 126 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robin

Last Name: Karp

Email Address: robinka22@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robin Karp
San Diego, CA 92139

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:01:18

Form Letter 127 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cynkay
Last Name: Morningsong
Email Address: sacredescapades@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cynkay Morningsong
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:16:35

Form Letter 128 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Howard

Email Address: RobertJefferyHoward@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robert Howard
Modesto, CA 95350

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 00:35:04

Form Letter 129 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sally

Last Name: Allen

Email Address: sallyjallen@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sally Allen
Citrus Heights, CA 95621

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 02:12:39

Form Letter 130 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carol

Last Name: Weissberg

Email Address: shoshannah@socal.rr.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carol Weissberg
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 03:15:23

Form Letter 131 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Janet

Last Name: Melton

Email Address: janetmelton@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Janet Melton
Kelseyville, CA 95451

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 05:55:16

Form Letter 132 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jennifer

Last Name: Nunn

Email Address: funtopiatoys@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Nunn
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 06:06:44

Form Letter 133 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Patricia K.

Last Name: Eagan

Email Address: eddies-suited-0r@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Patricia K. Eagan
Truckee, CA 96161

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 06:24:44

Form Letter 134 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alfonso

Last Name: Monsivais

Email Address: alfonso.monsivais@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alfonso Monsivais
Covina, CA 91722

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 06:27:46

Form Letter 135 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kelly

Last Name: Seiler Vocke

Email Address: kelly.seilervocke@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kelly Seiler Vocke
San Diego, CA 92129

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 06:31:41

Form Letter 136 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Emmanuel
Last Name: Avila-Cortes
Email Address: e.avilacortes2017@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Emmanuel Avila-Cortes
Moreno Valley, CA 92555

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:09:43

Form Letter 137 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Stan

Last Name: Souza

Email Address: srobertsouza@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Stan Souza
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:17:24

Form Letter 138 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carlos

Last Name: Flores

Email Address: indio510.cf@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carlos Flores
Los Banos, CA 93635

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:28:30

Form Letter 139 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Olson

Email Address: chrisjeffersolson@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Chris Olson
Beaverton, OR 97007

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:29:18

Form Letter 140 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: suzanne

Last Name: bastian

Email Address: ascendme@mcn.org

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
suzanne bastian
Gualala, CA 95445

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:36:35

Form Letter 141 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marla

Last Name: Armstrong

Email Address: armstrongmarla@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marla Armstrong
Riverside, CA 92501

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:38:38

Form Letter 142 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cate

Last Name: C

Email Address: catecalson@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cate C
Alameda, CA 94501

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 07:52:47

Form Letter 143 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brianna

Last Name: Knickerbocker

Email Address: briannaknickerbocker@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brianna Knickerbocker
Reseda, CA 91335

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 08:14:56

Form Letter 144 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Eileen

Last Name: Fagan

Email Address: eileenfagan@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Eileen Fagan
Valley Center, CA 92082

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 08:22:12

Form Letter 145 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Naghmeh

Last Name: Katsianos

Email Address: naghmeh@roadrunner.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Naghmeh Katsianos
Highland, CA 92346

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 08:51:41

Form Letter 146 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Briggs

Email Address: svb3290@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Briggs
Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:09:07

Form Letter 147 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Maribel
Last Name: Andonian
Email Address: mrandonian@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Maribel Andonian
Monterey, CA 93940

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:09:25

Form Letter 148 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Evelyn

Last Name: Isaak

Email Address: designut@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Isaak
Penngrove, CA 94951

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:10:37

Form Letter 149 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rosy

Last Name: simitani

Email Address: rosysim@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rosy simitian
Los Angeles, CA 90065

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:12:29

Form Letter 150 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Helen kate
Last Name: Mcallister
Email Address: hkmcallister3@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Helen kate Mcallister
Hidden Valley Lake, CA 95467

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:23:33

Form Letter 151 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Helen kate
Last Name: Mcallister
Email Address: hkmcallister3@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Helen kate Mcallister
Hidden Valley Lake, CA 95467

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:39:53

Form Letter 152 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gloria

Last Name: Albert

Email Address: gloria@wellnessworksusa.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gloria Albert
Santa Monica, CA 90403

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:53:41

Form Letter 153 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nan

Last Name: Ayers

Email Address: nxayers@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nan Ayers
Richmond, CA 94804

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:54:15

Form Letter 154 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michelle

Last Name: McCurdy

Email Address: momccurdy@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michelle McCurdy
San Diego, CA 92124

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 10:06:34

Form Letter 155 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lee

Last Name: Sparling

Email Address: lsparling2@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lee Sparling
San Ramon, CA 94583

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 10:12:38

Form Letter 156 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Paula

Last Name: Milan

Email Address: pmilan311@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Paula Milan
Sacramento, CA 95820

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 10:18:46

Form Letter 157 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Lewy

Email Address: mlewy94708@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michael Lewy
Berkeley, CA 94708

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 10:57:07

Form Letter 158 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Tina

Last Name: Baker

Email Address: GoTWeener@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Tina Baker
Apple Valley, CA 92307

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:04:59

Form Letter 159 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sandra

Last Name: Levas

Email Address: sandylevas@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sandra Levas
Los Banos, CA 93635

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:10:16

Form Letter 160 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Peggy

Last Name: Holmes

Email Address: pegjane6@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Peggy Holmes
Pinole, CA 94564

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:12:33

Form Letter 161 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Judy

Last Name: Kahle

Email Address: ourplanethome-care@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Judy Kahle
Fairfield, CA 94533

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:15:58

Form Letter 162 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elizabeth
Last Name: Floersch
Email Address: ktnaflac@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Floersch
Goodlettsville, TN 37072

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:34:16

Form Letter 163 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Fuller
Email Address: ljf@ptd.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Larry Fuller
Cathedral City, CA 92234

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:37:39

Form Letter 164 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori
Last Name: Kegler
Email Address: lori.kegler@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori Kegler
San Pedro, CA 90731

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:42:49

Form Letter 165 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Nat
Last Name: Childs
Email Address: nat@asis.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please update the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nat Childs
Quartzsite, AZ 85359

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:43:57

Form Letter 166 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Eva

Last Name: Lopez

Email Address: evalopez24910@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Eva Lopez
Gualala, CA 95445

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:43:58

Form Letter 167 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shirley

Last Name: Craine

Email Address: scraine@myyahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shirley Craine
Sacramento, CA 95818

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 11:56:34

Form Letter 168 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: David

Last Name: Kinkaid

Email Address: glibishe@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
David Kinkaid
San Diego, CA 92110

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 12:42:36

Form Letter 169 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: George
Last Name: Fosselius
Email Address: gfosselius@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
George Fosselius
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 12:48:24

Form Letter 170 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Whisman

Email Address: whismansusan13@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Whisman
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 13:32:43

Form Letter 171 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Brandes
Email Address: richardbrandes@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Richard Brandes
Newbury Park, CA 91320

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 13:35:55

Form Letter 172 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Anne

Last Name: van Oppen

Email Address: annevo5@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Anne van Oppen
Wrightwood, CA 92397

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 13:59:22

Form Letter 173 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jimmy

Last Name: Francis

Email Address: lenfra@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jimmy Francis
Temecula, CA 92592

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 14:01:51

Form Letter 174 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Carol
Last Name: Bistick
Email Address: linagata8@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carol Bistick
San Anselmo, CA 94979

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 14:39:03

Form Letter 175 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Denis

Last Name: Berardo

Email Address: denisb@write.me.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Denis Berardo
Long Beach, CA 90807

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 14:58:34

Form Letter 176 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alexander B.
Last Name: Vollmer
Email Address: abvollmer@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader.

The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s.

There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and

overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alexander B. Vollmer
San Rafael, CA 94901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 15:12:46

Form Letter 177 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: George
Last Name: Fosselius
Email Address: gfosselius@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
George Fosselius
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 15:18:52

Form Letter 178 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bea

Last Name: Szk

Email Address: beata359@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bea Szk
Mountain View, CA 94043

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 15:20:38

Form Letter 179 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Thomas

Last Name: Carlton

Email Address: tomcarlton2001@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Thomas Carlton
Culver City, CA 90232

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 15:25:41

Form Letter 180 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: George
Last Name: Fosselius
Email Address: gfosselius@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
George Fosselius
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 15:42:51

Form Letter 181 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: George
Last Name: Fosselius
Email Address: gfosselius@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
George Fosselius
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:04:58

Form Letter 182 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lynn

Last Name: Howard

Email Address: lynnulaff@me.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lynn Howard
San Diego, CA 92109

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:09:17

Form Letter 183 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kelly

Last Name: Rose

Email Address: ladykellaroo@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kelly Rose
Eastsound, WA 98245

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:12:23

Form Letter 184 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Pamela

Last Name: Spevack

Email Address: pspevy@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Pamela Spevack
Oakland, CA 94602

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:14:29

Form Letter 185 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Luis

Last Name: Garcia

Email Address: lcgarcia6817@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Luis Garcia
Sacramento, CA 95821

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:29:35

Form Letter 186 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Moon

Last Name: Guerrero

Email Address: lmoongypsy@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Moon Guerrero
San Francisco, CA 94115

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:42:39

Form Letter 187 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jenifer

Last Name: Elliott

Email Address: rednowlight@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jenifer Elliott
Salinas, CA 93908

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 16:43:55

Form Letter 188 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nancy

Last Name: Ballot

Email Address: vote4ballot@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ballot
Stockton, CA 95207

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 17:26:54

Form Letter 189 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alicia

Last Name: Hager

Email Address: aliciahager53@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alicia Hager
Kenosha, WI 53144

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 17:56:24

Form Letter 190 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Chuck

Last Name: Fisher

Email Address: cfisher57@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Chuck Fisher
San Pablo, CA 94806

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 18:01:40

Form Letter 191 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Atta joy

Last Name: Hess

Email Address: attajoyh@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Atta joy Hess
Coulterville, CA 95311

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 18:30:38

Form Letter 192 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cecelia

Last Name: Crane

Email Address: ceceliacrane52@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cecelia Crane
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 18:47:55

Form Letter 193 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori
Last Name: Kegler
Email Address: lori.kegler@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori Kegler
San Pedro, CA 90731

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 18:56:34

Form Letter 194 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Flannery
Email Address: msflannery@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Constance Flannery
San Francisco, CA 94131

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 19:08:40

Form Letter 195 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rebecca

Last Name: Leon

Email Address: rlleon@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Leon
Browns Valley, CA 95918

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 19:28:35

Form Letter 196 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jackie

Last Name: Meissenhalter

Email Address: jmeissenhalter@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jackie Meissenhalter
Napa, CA 94558

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 19:36:54

Form Letter 197 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Derek

Last Name: Derek

Email Address: mcdowdy@ymail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Derek Derek
Nevada City, CA 95959

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 20:02:26

Form Letter 198 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Deborah

Last Name: Raley

Email Address: sewunique111@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Deborah Raley
Watsonville, CA 95076

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 20:29:50

Form Letter 199 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: SUSAN

Last Name: O'Connell

Email Address: oconnellsusan7@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
SUSAN O'Connell
Camarillo, CA 93010

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 20:38:06

Form Letter 200 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jack

Last Name: Anderson

Email Address: jakanderson@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jack Anderson
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 20:46:02

Form Letter 201 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jane

Last Name: August

Email Address: janeaugust100@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jane August
Topanga, CA 90290

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 21:09:03

Form Letter 202 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: crystal
Last Name: lacey-hall
Email Address: clacey2011@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
crystal lacey-hall
Lakewood, CA 90713

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 21:13:17

Form Letter 203 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Laura

Last Name: Collins

Email Address: lkielman@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Laura Collins
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 21:22:29

Form Letter 204 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Suzanne

Last Name: Batobato

Email Address: chinamay@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Batobato
Salinas, CA 93907

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 21:32:36

Form Letter 205 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carol

Last Name: Tiffin

Email Address: caroltiffin@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carol Tiffin
Rancho Mirage, CA 92270

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 21:54:20

Form Letter 206 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Stella

Last Name: Casillas

Email Address: stellastar105@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Stella Casillas
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 22:23:46

Form Letter 207 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Pamela

Last Name: Check

Email Address: sunshinewmn7@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Pamela Check
Chico, CA 95973

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 00:00:14

Form Letter 208 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mary

Last Name: Phillips

Email Address: mphillips6677@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mary Phillips
Fresno, CA 93727

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 00:00:29

Form Letter 209 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori
Last Name: Kegler
Email Address: lori.kegler@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori Kegler
San Pedro, CA 90731

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 00:00:44

Form Letter 210 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: D B

Last Name: Purinton

Email Address: l.hapints@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
D B Purinton
Bellflower, CA 90706

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 00:00:51

Form Letter 211 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: P T

Last Name: Stanton

Email Address: l.hapints@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
P T Stanton
Bellflower, CA 90706

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 00:00:55

Form Letter 212 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Lewitt

Email Address: nativebutterflies213@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Lewitt
San Diego, CA 92117

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 00:31:03

Form Letter 213 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bonnie

Last Name: Long

Email Address: bonnie8888@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Long
Long Beach, CA 90803

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 01:08:23

Form Letter 214 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Geoff

Last Name: Regalado

Email Address: gregalado74@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Geoff Regalado
Burbank, CA 91503

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 01:41:02

Form Letter 215 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Lee

Email Address: peterboothlee@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Peter Lee
San Francisco, CA 94118

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 04:23:48

Form Letter 216 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jinx

Last Name: Hydeman

Email Address: earthwoman69@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jinx Hydeman
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 05:52:42

Form Letter 217 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gary

Last Name: Kuehn

Email Address: gary.kuehn66@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gary Kuehn
Newhall, CA 91321

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 06:01:58

Form Letter 218 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Vargas
Email Address: mjvmsw@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michael Vargas
San Francisco, CA 94124

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 06:05:49

Form Letter 219 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Frances

Last Name: Luevano

Email Address: wickedkittyz@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Frances Luevano
Woodland, CA 95695

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 06:06:39

Form Letter 220 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Freidenberg
Email Address: kalb3@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robert Freidenberg
Petaluma, CA 94954

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 06:30:54

Form Letter 221 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: John

Last Name: Sanders

Email Address: natoma764@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
John Sanders
San Francisco, CA 94103

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 06:33:06

Form Letter 222 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Larsen

Email Address: lisalarsen323@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lisa Larsen
Lancaster, CA 93536

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 06:56:52

Form Letter 223 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marie

Last Name: Perry

Email Address: marie_perry_65@yahoo.comoo

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marie Perry
Ceres, CA 95307

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 07:29:36

Form Letter 224 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gloria

Last Name: Sefton

Email Address: gloriasefton@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gloria Sefton
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 07:39:57

Form Letter 225 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shirley

Last Name: Craine

Email Address: scraine@myyahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shirley Craine
Sacramento, CA 95818

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 07:51:26

Form Letter 226 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Javier

Last Name: Hernandez

Email Address: jicaras2000@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Javier Hernandez
Santa Clara, CA 95050

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:02:33

Form Letter 227 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shari

Last Name: Miller

Email Address: shari2435@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shari Miller
Vallejo, CA 94590

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:05:45

Form Letter 228 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Melissa

Last Name: Davis

Email Address: mld.round2020@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Melissa Davis
Mendocino, CA 95460

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:10:45

Form Letter 229 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nancy J
Last Name: Burdge
Email Address: njbz@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nancy J Burdge
San Diego, CA 92124

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:20:24

Form Letter 230 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carla

Last Name: Minock

Email Address: carla.minock@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carla Minock
Palm Desert, CA 92260

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:24:27

Form Letter 231 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Catherine

Last Name: Stewart

Email Address: catherinenitya@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Catherine Stewart
Alpine, CA 91901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:24:39

Form Letter 232 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lynda

Last Name: Marin

Email Address: lmarin@cruzio.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lynda Marin
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:29:24

Form Letter 233 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Pegalee

Last Name: Benda

Email Address: pegaleeczek@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Pegalee Benda
Sonoma, CA 95476

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:32:56

Form Letter 234 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ingrid

Last Name: Ward

Email Address: coqui.1964@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ingrid Ward
Riverside, CA 92503

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:35:47

Form Letter 235 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elizabeth

Last Name: Mata

Email Address: lzziemata88@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Mata
Fresno, CA 93726

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:40:18

Form Letter 236 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Paula

Last Name: Fielding

Email Address: paulafayfielding@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Paula Fielding
Carmel Valley, CA 93924

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:53:21

Form Letter 237 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: David

Last Name: Feuer

Email Address: david8feuer@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be crazy to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, it would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without redirecting the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
David Feuer
Los Angeles, CA 90034

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 08:53:46

Form Letter 238 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lowell

Last Name: Boardman

Email Address: lowell.boardman@me.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lowell Boardman
Ojai, CA 93023

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 09:18:16

Form Letter 239 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: susan

Last Name: smith

Email Address: susansmith@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
susan smith
Grass Valley, CA 95949

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 09:25:06

Form Letter 240 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Harlene Hanna
Last Name: Cohen
Email Address: haco@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Harlene Hanna Cohen
Oakland, CA 94610

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 09:28:21

Form Letter 241 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Helen kate
Last Name: Mcallister
Email Address: hkmcallister3@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Helen kate Mcallister
Hidden Valley Lake, CA 95467

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 09:37:40

Form Letter 242 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ruth

Last Name: Nichols

Email Address: ruthknichols@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ruth Nichols
Sacramento, CA 95820

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 09:57:14

Form Letter 243 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Belinda
Last Name: Woodruff
Email Address: amttlytrs@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Belinda Woodruff
Tujunga, CA 91042

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:08:25

Form Letter 244 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Josie

Last Name: Lazo

Email Address: josieleven11@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Josie Lazo
San Francisco, CA 94110

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:10:10

Form Letter 245 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Heftsibah

Last Name: Cohen

Email Address: chaplainjen@me.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Heftsibah Cohen
Redlands, CA 92373

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:11:54

Form Letter 246 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Flannery
Email Address: msflannery@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Constance Flannery
San Francisco, CA 94131

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:16:23

Form Letter 247 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Janet

Last Name: Merrill

Email Address: janetgoldmanmerrill@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Janet Merrill
San Pedro, CA 90732

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:20:26

Form Letter 248 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Giovanna

Last Name: Sensi

Email Address: fiber-frolics@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Giovanna Sensi
Benicia, CA 94510

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:24:32

Form Letter 249 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Aura

Last Name: Lopez

Email Address: lopezaura1920@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Aura Lopez
Hesperia, CA 92345

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:33:33

Form Letter 250 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jessie

Last Name: Vermont

Email Address: JV3624@GMAIL.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jessie Vermont
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:34:33

Form Letter 251 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nadine

Last Name: La Fleur

Email Address: drlafleur@drnlafleur.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nadine La Fleur
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:42:36

Form Letter 252 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alisa

Last Name: Etchells

Email Address: alisadetchells@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alisa Etchells
Bakersfield, CA 93311

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 10:53:33

Form Letter 253 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: RoseMarie
Last Name: Di Giovanni-Norton
Email Address: caspers1111@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
RoseMarie Di Giovanni-Norton
Kuna, ID 83634

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:01:43

Form Letter 254 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Julie

Last Name: Oak

Email Address: leftwardho@julieoak.com

Affiliation:

Subject: We must reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

For the peoples health and our children's future, let's do everything possible to eliminate air pollution.
Thank you.

Sincerely,
Julie Oak
Soquel, CA 95073

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:11:31

Form Letter 255 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gloria

Last Name: Albert

Email Address: gloria@wellnessworksusa.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gloria Albert
Santa Monica, CA 90403

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:13:50

Form Letter 256 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jackie

Last Name: Meissenhalter

Email Address: jmeissenhalter@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jackie Meissenhalter
Napa, CA 94558

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:22:52

Form Letter 257 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rick
Last Name: StJohn
Email Address: rinsfcausa@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rick StJohn
San Francisco, CA 94109

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:24:24

Form Letter 258 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Patricia

Last Name: Tolley

Email Address: triciatolley@msn.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Patricia Tolley
Easton, MD 21601

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:24:50

Form Letter 259 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Glenn

Last Name: Reid

Email Address: ger2344@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Glenn Reid
Belmont, CA 94002

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:46:47

Form Letter 260 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Karil
Last Name: Daniels
Email Address: karil@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Karil Daniels
San Francisco, CA 94110

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 11:56:12

Form Letter 261 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lily
Last Name: Leung
Email Address: lilyffl90@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lily Leung
Alameda, CA 94502

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 12:01:00

Form Letter 262 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: chance

Last Name: goss

Email Address: cmg215be@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
chance goss
San Francisco, CA 94109

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 12:08:11

Form Letter 263 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ann

Last Name: Ceglia

Email Address: dayasdoodles@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ann Ceglia
Petaluma, CA 94952

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 12:28:02

Form Letter 264 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Debbie

Last Name: Woods

Email Address: dwoods1961@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Debbie Woods
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 12:43:41

Form Letter 265 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ermelinda
Last Name: Rayos
Email Address: ermelindarayos45@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ermelinda Rayos
La Puente, CA 91744

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 12:55:14

Form Letter 266 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cheryl

Last Name: Kelly

Email Address: kelly_cheryl25@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Kelly
Riverside, CA 92508

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 13:08:50

Form Letter 267 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: George
Last Name: Fosselius
Email Address: gfosselius@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
George Fosselius
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 13:08:54

Form Letter 268 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Noah

Last Name: Tenney

Email Address: noahten@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Noah Tenney
Oakland, CA 94606

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 13:33:33

Form Letter 269 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Maile

Last Name: Anthopoulos

Email Address: manthopoulos7@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Maile Anthopoulos
Beaverton, OR 97008

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 13:58:49

Form Letter 270 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Catherine

Last Name: Sana

Email Address: catsana@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Catherine Sana
La Jolla, CA 92037

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 14:24:32

Form Letter 271 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gail

Last Name: Blank

Email Address: imzadiki@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gail Blank
Coarsegold, CA 93614

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 14:28:01

Form Letter 272 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kathlyn
Last Name: Hendricks
Email Address: katieh@hendricks.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kathlyn Hendricks
Ojai, CA 93023

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:02:36

Form Letter 273 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Andrea

Last Name: Lawson Gray

Email Address: andrealawsongray@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Andrea Lawson Gray
San Francisco, CA 94110

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:09:31

Form Letter 274 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Laura

Last Name: Fantone

Email Address: elefantone@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Laura Fantone
Berkeley, CA 94720

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:12:48

Form Letter 275 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori

Last Name: edward

Email Address: queenbeemom123@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori edward
Carson City, NV 89701

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:15:46

Form Letter 276 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carl

Last Name: Fagerskog

Email Address: redwinecarl@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carl Fagerskog
Crescent City, CA 95531

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:19:47

Form Letter 277 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Karen
Last Name: Froiland
Email Address: kfroiland@sonic.net
Affiliation:

Subject: stop funneling billions of dollars every year to big polluters!
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

DO your job as though you cared about the fate of life on Earth. This proposal is appalling and based in greed, nothing else.

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve

our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Karen Froiland
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:42:25

Form Letter 278 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gary

Last Name: Farber

Email Address: garyf8642@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gary Farber
Walnut Creek, CA 94598

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:43:23

Form Letter 279 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shellie

Last Name: Vermeer

Email Address: svermeer1@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shellie Vermeer
Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 15:58:38

Form Letter 280 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carolina

Last Name: Ramirez

Email Address: sweetapple8@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carolina Ramirez
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 16:12:35

Form Letter 281 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Eunice

Last Name: Mathis

Email Address: esmathis33@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Eunice Mathis
Seaside, CA 93955

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 16:26:43

Form Letter 282 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Horn

Email Address: lisalisainla1212@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lisa Horn
Tarzana, CA 91356

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 16:27:58

Form Letter 283 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Rothstein

Email Address: litk989@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brian Rothstein
Vallejo, CA 94590

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 16:38:42

Form Letter 284 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Karen

Last Name: Dragge

Email Address: karen dragge@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Karen Dragge
Torrance, CA 90505

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 16:51:46

Form Letter 285 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Catherine

Last Name: Hirsch

Email Address: chkh@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Catherine Hirsch
Redway, CA 95560

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 17:12:11

Form Letter 286 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Hanrahan

Email Address: hanraport@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brian Hanrahan
La Quinta, CA 92253

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 17:35:49

Form Letter 287 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rose Marie

Last Name: Pietras

Email Address: pietras.rose@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rose Marie Pietras
Berkeley, CA 94709

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 18:04:50

Form Letter 288 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sharon

Last Name: Procter

Email Address: opuntial@live.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sharon Procter
Marysville, CA 95901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 18:16:59

Form Letter 289 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mark

Last Name: Takeuchi

Email Address: marktakeuchi@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mark Takeuchi
Claremont, CA 91711

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 18:24:33

Form Letter 290 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cecelia

Last Name: Crane

Email Address: ceceliacrane52@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cecelia Crane
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 18:31:23

Form Letter 291 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rita

Last Name: Clement

Email Address: ritalclement@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rita Clement
Chula Vista, CA 91914

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 18:42:42

Form Letter 292 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: gerriann m
Last Name: costa
Email Address: gmc52pkup@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
gerriann m costa
Tracy, CA 95376

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 19:24:57

Form Letter 293 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Suzette

Last Name: Burrous

Email Address: suzettesagefemme@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Suzette Burrous
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 20:01:26

Form Letter 294 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Pamela

Last Name: Watkins

Email Address: pamelwatkins06@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals. As a disabled person why should I give you my vote if I can't get around!!!

Sincerely,
Pamela Watkins
Menifee, CA 92584

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 20:57:51

Form Letter 295 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: James

Last Name: Haig

Email Address: james@meta-balance.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
James Haig
San Rafael, CA 94901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 21:27:31

Form Letter 296 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Suzanne

Last Name: Batobato

Email Address: chinamay@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Batobato
Salinas, CA 93907

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 21:29:24

Form Letter 297 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: June

Last Name: Levier

Email Address: jalevier1@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
June Levier
Topeka, KS 66608

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 21:54:28

Form Letter 298 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Deanna

Last Name: Williams

Email Address: dwilliam3185@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Deanna Williams
San Jose, CA 95111

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 22:14:35

Form Letter 299 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Karen

Last Name: Larson

Email Address: macbibee@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Karen Larson
Chino, CA 91710

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 22:32:23

Form Letter 300 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kent

Last Name: Nat

Email Address: nabakent@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kent Nat
Carlsbad, CA 92011

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 22:41:12

Form Letter 301 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Adriane

Last Name: Jones

Email Address: choose2letgo@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Adriane Jones
San Jose, CA 95127

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-17 22:53:19

Form Letter 302 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tadashi

Last Name: Nakadegawa

Email Address: tadashin@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please stop funding for carbon fuels and prioritize ecologically healthy systems

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Tadashi Nakadegawa
Oakland, CA 94618

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:00:01

Form Letter 303 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jillana

Last Name: Laufer

Email Address: jillana@lauferco.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jillana Laufer
Studio City, CA 91604

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:00:14

Form Letter 304 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Garry

Last Name: Star

Email Address: gstar42@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Garry Star
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:00:16

Form Letter 305 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mavis

Last Name: Brown

Email Address: mavisb4@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mavis Brown
Fremont, CA 94536

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:00:26

Form Letter 306 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Evelyn

Last Name: Thatcher

Email Address: evelynthatcher@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Thatcher
New York, NY 10024

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:00:28

Form Letter 307 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Karen

Last Name: Neubert

Email Address: kneubert.3@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Karen Neubert
Los Angeles, CA 90041

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:10:40

Form Letter 308 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lesley Price

Last Name: Price

Email Address: lp.craven@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lesley Price Price
Sonora, CA 95370

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 00:11:42

Form Letter 309 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: chance

Last Name: goss

Email Address: cmg215be@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
chance goss
San Francisco, CA 94109

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 01:47:29

Form Letter 310 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joan

Last Name: Wager

Email Address: joanwager2004@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joan Wager
Berkeley, CA 94708

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 01:56:14

Form Letter 311 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Julie

Last Name: Kitamura

Email Address: julie.kitamura@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Julie Kitamura
Salinas, CA 93901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 02:04:23

Form Letter 312 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori

Last Name: Worcester

Email Address: craiglori13@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori Worcester
San Marcos, CA 92069

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 03:16:12

Form Letter 313 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Beth
Last Name: Tessler
Email Address: batessler@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Beth Tessler
Vallejo, CA 94590

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 03:21:13

Form Letter 314 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kelly

Last Name: Newman

Email Address: newmankel@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kelly Newman
San Mateo, CA 94401

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 04:09:36

Form Letter 315 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shari

Last Name: Welsh

Email Address: welshshari@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shari Welsh
Atascadero, CA 93422

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 04:46:50

Form Letter 316 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: JS

Last Name: Morgan

Email Address: thatsgood88@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
JS Morgan
Indio, CA 92203

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 05:29:15

Form Letter 317 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Claudia

Last Name: McDonagh

Email Address: claudiakmcdonagh@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Claudia McDonagh
Castro Valley, CA 94546

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 06:08:01

Form Letter 318 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Spruce
Email Address: rdspruce@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robert Spruce
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 06:20:50

Form Letter 319 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Claire

Last Name: Williams

Email Address: claire_williams@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Claire Williams
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 06:29:03

Form Letter 320 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Metzger

Email Address: onemetzgersteve@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Steve Metzger
Tehachapi, CA 93581

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 06:44:10

Form Letter 321 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Colene

Last Name: Rauh

Email Address: clrauh1@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Colene Rauh
Orangevale, CA 95662

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 06:48:05

Form Letter 322 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Roxanne

Last Name: Sztapka

Email Address: roxyslife@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Roxanne Sztapka
Lancaster, CA 93535

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:04:03

Form Letter 323 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lora

Last Name: Olney

Email Address: loraolney@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lora Olney
Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:06:31

Form Letter 324 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: dee

Last Name: Soto

Email Address: dstanfmi@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
dee Soto
Westlake Village, CA 91361

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:07:22

Form Letter 325 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jason

Last Name: Gritti

Email Address: btrue2self@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jason Gritti
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:13:45

Form Letter 326 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Todd

Last Name: Becker

Email Address: tcbmac96@outlook.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Todd Becker
Westminster, CA 92683

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:25:10

Form Letter 327 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kindred
Last Name: Gottlieb
Email Address: kindredg@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kindred Gottlieb
Los Angeles, CA 90026

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:31:25

Form Letter 328 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tracey
Last Name: Sittig
Email Address: tsittig75@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Tracey Sittig
Stockton, CA 95207

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:34:12

Form Letter 329 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cynthia

Last Name: Chaney

Email Address: cynthia.e.chaney@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: FIGHT CLIMATE CHANGE, Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Chaney
Oceanside, CA 92057

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:39:06

Form Letter 330 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Victoria

Last Name: Bouhalkoum

Email Address: vic_passion@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Victoria Bouhalkoum
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:40:33

Form Letter 331 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bonnie
Last Name: Kohlerite
Email Address: bkohlerite@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Kohleriter
Alamo, CA 94507

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:43:45

Form Letter 332 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Preston

Email Address: oldguyredux@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Peter Preston
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 07:57:18

Form Letter 333 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sharon

Last Name: Wyche-Frei

Email Address: wychefrei@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sharon Wyche-Frei
Eureka, CA 95503

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 08:00:39

Form Letter 334 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kathleen

Last Name: Hakker

Email Address: khakker10@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Hakker
Ramona, CA 92065

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 08:13:50

Form Letter 335 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Donna

Last Name: Karbach

Email Address: dkarch2000@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Donna Karbach
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 08:16:30

Form Letter 336 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lynn

Last Name: Webber

Email Address: webber.lynn46@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lynn Webber
Spring Valley, CA 91977

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 08:30:05

Form Letter 337 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mary

Last Name: Arum

Email Address: mlaaccess@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mary Arum
Oakland, CA 94611

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 08:32:21

Form Letter 338 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Angelica

Last Name: Fernandez Connally

Email Address: angelicaconnally@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Angelica Fernandez Connally
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 08:45:20

Form Letter 339 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Dorothy
Last Name: Herrera Settlage
Email Address: dorothysettlage@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Herrera Settlage
Los Angeles, CA 90043

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:00:31

Form Letter 340 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elizabeth
Last Name: Floersch
Email Address: ktnaflac@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Floersch
Goodlettsville, TN 37072

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:11:14

Form Letter 341 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Janette

Last Name: Wolf

Email Address: janette.wolf@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Janette Wolf
Benicia, CA 94510

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:15:16

Form Letter 342 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jinx

Last Name: Hydeman

Email Address: earthwoman69@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jinx Hydeman
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:17:38

Form Letter 343 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Giovanna

Last Name: Sensi

Email Address: fiber-frolics@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Giovanna Sensi
Benicia, CA 94510

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:28:33

Form Letter 344 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Inga

Last Name: Puccio

Email Address: ladyfalcon67@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Inga Puccio
San Francisco, CA 94103

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:28:33

Form Letter 345 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: CINDY

Last Name: OSTIC

Email Address: ostic164@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
CINDY OSTIC
Sacramento, CA 95838

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:36:25

Form Letter 346 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nancy

Last Name: Amodeo

Email Address: nanwestchester@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nancy Amodeo
Los Angeles, CA 90045

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:43:13

Form Letter 347 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Botto

Email Address: mastergeekus@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Peter Botto
Stockton, CA 95204

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 09:44:49

Form Letter 348 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Helen kate
Last Name: Mcallister
Email Address: hkmcallister3@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Helen kate Mcallister
Hidden Valley Lake, CA 95467

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:01:44

Form Letter 349 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Beltz

Email Address: pcbeltz@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Paul Beltz
Van Nuys, CA 91401

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:07:22

Form Letter 350 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Rosemary
Last Name: Graham-Gardner
Email Address: liaisonsus@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Graham-Gardner
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:10:39

Form Letter 351 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cynthia

Last Name: Schultz

Email Address: cynthia.schultz@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Schultz
East Troy, WI 53120

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:15:13

Form Letter 352 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robyn

Last Name: Barnes

Email Address: one.morning.star@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robyn Barnes
Brownsville, CA 95919

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:33:13

Form Letter 353 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Eileen

Last Name: Fagan

Email Address: eileenfagan@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Eileen Fagan
Valley Center, CA 92082

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:40:52

Form Letter 354 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robin

Last Name: Sloan

Email Address: robbio720@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robin Sloan
Novato, CA 94949

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:53:56

Form Letter 355 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Vicki

Last Name: Tomola

Email Address: vltomola@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Vicki Tomola
Point Arena, CA 95468

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:54:50

Form Letter 356 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cinda

Last Name: Johansen

Email Address: ccjohansen@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cinda Johansen
Folsom, CA 95630

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 10:56:20

Form Letter 357 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marie

Last Name: McGinley

Email Address: mariesmith0009879@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marie McGinley
Ventura, CA 93003

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 11:02:35

Form Letter 358 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: cindy

Last Name: currie

Email Address: ccurrie778@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
cindy currie
Sacramento, CA 95817

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 11:03:20

Form Letter 359 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cheryl

Last Name: Bly

Email Address: blycheri@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Bly
Moorpark, CA 93021

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 11:15:55

Form Letter 360 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Maureen

Last Name: Riggs

Email Address: mreenriggs@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Maureen Riggs
Concord, CA 94519

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 11:19:53

Form Letter 361 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Margaret
Last Name: Cimafranca
Email Address: mexapina_39@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Margaret Cimafranca
San Jose, CA 95112

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 11:37:56

Form Letter 362 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Noah

Last Name: Tenney

Email Address: noahnten@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Noah Tenney
Oakland, CA 94606

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 11:58:04

Form Letter 363 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Maura

Last Name: Allen

Email Address: mauramallen@mac.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Dear Governor Newsom,

Please reform the program so that we can stay on target and commit to clean air.

Please do not fail us on this! Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Maura Allen
Berkeley, CA 94705

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 12:03:29

Form Letter 364 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: chance

Last Name: goss

Email Address: cmg215be@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
chance goss
San Francisco, CA 94109

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 12:03:50

Form Letter 365 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Barbara

Last Name: Rey

Email Address: nfbbarbara@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Barbara Rey
Los Angeles, CA 90022

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 12:11:54

Form Letter 366 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: delmer

Last Name: allison

Email Address: dlallison1947@outlook.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
delmer allison
Los Angeles, CA 90040

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 12:47:03

Form Letter 367 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elizabeth

Last Name: Bondy

Email Address: ebond@arizona.edu

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Bondy
Monterey Park, CA 91755

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 13:14:41

Form Letter 368 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Whisman

Email Address: whismansusan13@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Whisman
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 13:21:15

Form Letter 369 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kathleen

Last Name: Davis

Email Address: kacysweb@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Davis
Winters, CA 95694

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 13:44:47

Form Letter 370 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Meredith

Last Name: Priestley

Email Address: merpriestley@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Meredith Priestley
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 13:45:18

Form Letter 371 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Metin

Last Name: Mangir

Email Address: metinmsm@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Metin Mangir
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 14:19:53

Form Letter 372 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alexander
Last Name: Vollmer
Email Address: abvollmer@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alexander Vollmer
San Rafael, CA 94901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 14:23:25

Form Letter 373 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sharon

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: tigersaregods@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sharon Johnson
North Highlands, CA 95660

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 14:49:45

Form Letter 374 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Leverich

Email Address: chris_leverich@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Chris Leverich
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 15:04:11

Form Letter 375 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Esther
Last Name: Sullins
Email Address: esthrs1@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Esther Sullins
Downey, CA 90242

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 15:08:32

Form Letter 376 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Leslie

Last Name: Ferriel

Email Address: leslieferriel@johnlscott.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Leslie Ferriel
Vashon, WA 98070

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 15:35:32

Form Letter 377 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Whisman

Email Address: whismansusan13@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Whisman
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 15:46:07

Form Letter 378 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elizabeth

Last Name: Abbey

Email Address: Salimaabbey@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Abbey
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 15:54:53

Form Letter 379 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bo

Last Name: Smitham

Email Address: brosmitham@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bo Smitham
San Diego, CA 92130

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 16:01:45

Form Letter 380 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michelle

Last Name: Profant

Email Address: michellescotts@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michelle Profant
Goleta, CA 93117

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 16:11:29

Form Letter 381 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Clare
Last Name: Averill
Email Address: clare.averill@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes carbon combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to carbon combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels, instead of electric vehicles and passenger rail, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating carbon combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,

Clare Averill
Joshua Tree, CA 92252

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 16:47:10

Form Letter 382 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cecelia

Last Name: Crane

Email Address: ceceliacrane52@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cecelia Crane
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 16:49:28

Form Letter 383 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gabriel

Last Name: Vega

Email Address: daliyvea@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Vega
Watsonville, CA 95077

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 17:11:50

Form Letter 384 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carolyn

Last Name: Dickens

Email Address: angelwingsong@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Dickens
Richmond, CA 94805

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 17:28:19

Form Letter 385 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Donna Eckert

Last Name: Eckert

Email Address: dj_at_home@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Donna Eckert Eckert
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 17:51:27

Form Letter 386 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Meredith

Last Name: Priestley

Email Address: merpriestley@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Meredith Priestley
Solana Beach, CA 92075

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 19:07:29

Form Letter 387 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bonnie

Last Name: Long

Email Address: bonnie8888@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Long
Long Beach, CA 90803

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 19:09:13

Form Letter 388 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Annie

Last Name: Duffy

Email Address: annied88@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Annie Duffy
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 19:27:08

Form Letter 389 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: James

Last Name: Haig

Email Address: james@meta-balance.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
James Haig
San Rafael, CA 94901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 19:28:32

Form Letter 390 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gloria

Last Name: Fama

Email Address: gloriafama28@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gloria Fama
Paso Robles, CA 93446

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 19:42:33

Form Letter 391 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jeanine

Last Name: Shoemaker

Email Address: gmashoemaker61@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jeanine Shoemaker
Troy, MO 63379

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 19:44:07

Form Letter 392 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Roesch

Email Address: llro2u@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Linda Roesch
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 20:01:43

Form Letter 393 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: David

Last Name: Almada

Email Address: dralmada2002@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
David Almada
Monterey Park, CA 91754

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 20:06:51

Form Letter 394 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Denis

Last Name: Berardo

Email Address: denisb@write.me.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Denis Berardo
Long Beach, CA 90807

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 20:27:04

Form Letter 395 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sam

Last Name: Ford

Email Address: samuel.floethe.ford@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sam Ford
San Jose, CA 95117

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 20:30:11

Form Letter 396 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ralph and Lynn

Last Name: Locher

Email Address: lelocher@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ralph and Lynn Locher
Fremont, CA 94539

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 20:40:49

Form Letter 397 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Teigh
Last Name: Taurino
Email Address: c264c2a9@opayq.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Teigh Taurino
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 20:44:18

Form Letter 398 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ann

Last Name: Rudin

Email Address: arudin84@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ann Rudin
Buffalo, NY 14221

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 21:11:09

Form Letter 399 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Flannery
Email Address: msflannery@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Constance Flannery
San Francisco, CA 94131

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 21:30:38

Form Letter 400 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Ted
Last Name: Shapas
Email Address: tshapas@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Ted Shapas
Alamo, CA 94507

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 21:38:19

Form Letter 401 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan J

Last Name: Davis

Email Address: sjdavis60@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please it is past time that we reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan J Davis
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 21:44:13

Form Letter 402 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Antoinette
Last Name: Chandler
Email Address: nanaslittlejuan_2018@outlook.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Antoinette Chandler
Modesto, CA 95354

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 22:37:08

Form Letter 403 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Garry

Last Name: Star

Email Address: gstar42@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Garry Star
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 22:39:15

Form Letter 404 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Maxine

Last Name: Williams-Gboizo

Email Address: mysteriousmiam@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Maxine Williams-Gboizo
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 22:50:59

Form Letter 405 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michelle
Last Name: Angelini
Email Address: monique.baby@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michelle Angelini
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-18 23:59:50

Form Letter 406 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Miriam
Last Name: Rainville
Email Address: matillija@me.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Miriam Rainville
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 00:00:00

Form Letter 407 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Clarissa
Last Name: McLaughlin
Email Address: rissajrossman@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Clarissa McLaughlin
Santee, CA 92071

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 00:00:35

Form Letter 408 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lori
Last Name: Kegler
Email Address: lori.kegler@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lori Kegler
San Pedro, CA 90731

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 01:48:40

Form Letter 409 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Donna

Last Name: Babao

Email Address: dbabao@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Donna Babao
Marysville, CA 95901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 02:47:28

Form Letter 410 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gail

Last Name: Hernandez

Email Address: gailgail@outlook.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gail Hernandez
San Francisco, CA 94164

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 03:15:24

Form Letter 411 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Janet

Last Name: Bergamo

Email Address: bergamojanet789@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Pl reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

We must preserve our planet NOW! Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course IMMEDIATELY and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

We must have sensible policy. California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Janet Bergamo
Piru, CA 93040

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 04:15:31

Form Letter 412 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Geraldine

Last Name: Card

Email Address: geraldinecard87@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Card
Exeter, CA 93221

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 04:35:15

Form Letter 413 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Evelyn

Last Name: Isaak

Email Address: designut@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Isaak
Penngrove, CA 94951

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 06:24:13

Form Letter 414 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Mary

Last Name: Harris

Email Address: maryh4704@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Mary Harris
Rolla, MO 65401

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 06:43:50

Form Letter 415 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elizabeth K.

Last Name: Williams

Email Address: ekw777@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth K. Williams
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 06:45:39

Form Letter 416 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Deborah

Last Name: Singletary

Email Address: deborahsingletary@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Deborah Singletary
Joshua Tree, CA 92252

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 06:57:26

Form Letter 417 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jinx

Last Name: Hydeman

Email Address: earthwoman69@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jinx Hydeman
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 07:00:59

Form Letter 418 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: James

Last Name: Wagner

Email Address: jameswagner_sb@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
James Wagner
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 07:11:35

Form Letter 419 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Shari

Last Name: Welsh

Email Address: welshshari@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Shari Welsh
Atascadero, CA 93422

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 07:34:36

Form Letter 420 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Caroline

Last Name: Robinson

Email Address: caroline.robinson2000@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Caroline Robinson
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 07:56:48

Form Letter 421 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lynda

Last Name: Marin

Email Address: lmarin@cruzio.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lynda Marin
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 07:57:00

Form Letter 422 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marianne and Richard
Last Name: Davis
Email Address: m54wdavis@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marianne and Richard Davis
Encino, CA 91436

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 07:57:17

Form Letter 423 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Lappo
Email Address: rlappo@ca.rr.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robert Lappo
Tujunga, CA 91042

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 08:22:07

Form Letter 424 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Catheryn

Last Name: Sproull

Email Address: catheryn_sproull@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Catheryn Sproull
San Leandro, CA 94578

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 08:28:14

Form Letter 425 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Patricia
Last Name: Matejcek
Email Address: patachek3@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. Continuing to subsidize combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be deplorable to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Patricia Matejcek
Freedom, CA 95019

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 08:30:39

Form Letter 426 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Elaine

Last Name: Williams

Email Address: momwelaine50@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Elaine Williams
Marion, NY 14505

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 08:32:00

Form Letter 427 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Frederick
Last Name: Hamilton
Email Address: fhami38130@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Frederick Hamilton
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91739

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 08:33:54

Form Letter 428 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Gullam
Email Address: pgullam@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Paul Gullam
Santa Maria, CA 93458

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 08:45:07

Form Letter 429 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sandra

Last Name: Mullins

Email Address: sandibeach53@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sandra Mullins
Joshua Tree, CA 92252

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 09:05:48

Form Letter 430 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gerald

Last Name: Mantonya

Email Address: arthur500bc@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gerald Mantonya
Sylmar, CA 91342

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 09:21:15

Form Letter 431 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Flannery
Email Address: msflannery@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Constance Flannery
San Francisco, CA 94131

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 09:27:53

Form Letter 432 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Deb

Last Name: St Julien

Email Address: dstjulien@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Deb St Julien
San Jose, CA 95136

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 09:36:50

Form Letter 433 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Gerald
Last Name: Orcholski
Email Address: gerryjim@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Gerald Orcholski
Pasadena, CA 91104

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 09:44:26

Form Letter 434 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Soraya

Last Name: Dosaj

Email Address: soraya_d@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Soraya Dosaj
Van Nuys, CA 91401

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 09:52:33

Form Letter 435 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jacqueline
Last Name: Barden
Email Address: jacquelinebarden@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Barden
Alameda, CA 94501

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 10:31:22

Form Letter 436 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Russell

Last Name: NAYLOR

Email Address: warriorpoet789@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Russell NAYLOR
Windsor, CA 95492

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 10:35:35

Form Letter 437 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Bill

Last Name: Black

Email Address: bb92116@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Bill Black
San Diego, CA 92116

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 10:43:18

Form Letter 438 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Worden

Email Address: cocoa.charlot@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

This is not only important, but urgent.

Sincerely,
Susan Worden
Rio Vista, CA 94571

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 10:56:10

Form Letter 439 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Larry

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: gaius37@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Larry Johnson
Pomona, CA 91767

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:00:15

Form Letter 440 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Marie Helene

Last Name: Luebbers

Email Address: mhluebbers@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Marie Helene Luebbbers
Tustin, CA 92780

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:01:56

Form Letter 441 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jessie

Last Name: Vermont

Email Address: JV3624@GMAIL.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jessie Vermont
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:06:26

Form Letter 442 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sherry

Last Name: Denton-Noonan

Email Address: sherry_2468@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sherry Denton-Noonan
Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:08:32

Form Letter 443 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jessie

Last Name: Vermont

Email Address: JV3624@GMAIL.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jessie Vermont
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:36:15

Form Letter 444 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: JOHN

Last Name: Harris

Email Address: johnharri9@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
JOHN Harris
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:37:07

Form Letter 445 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: CINDY

Last Name: OSTIC

Email Address: ostic164@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
CINDY OSTIC
Sacramento, CA 95838

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:49:43

Form Letter 446 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Judith

Last Name: Marlin

Email Address: g.ames@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Judith Marlin
Los Gatos, CA 95032

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:49:44

Form Letter 447 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: O'Connell

Email Address: oconnellsusan7@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan O'Connell
Camarillo, CA 93010

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 11:49:52

Form Letter 448 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jessie

Last Name: Vermont

Email Address: JV3624@GMAIL.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jessie Vermont
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 12:00:18

Form Letter 449 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Nancy

Last Name: Nilssen

Email Address: mark_nancy_nilssen@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Nancy Nilssen
Dublin, CA 94568

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 12:36:32

Form Letter 450 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Herminia

Last Name: Florido

Email Address: herminiaflorido@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Herminia Florido
Redwood City, CA 94063

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 12:46:24

Form Letter 451 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Silvia

Last Name: Raum

Email Address: silviaraum@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Silvia Raum
Irvine, CA 92620

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 13:00:13

Form Letter 452 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Joyce

Last Name: Chavez

Email Address: jchavez1791@icloud.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Joyce Chavez
El Cajon, CA 92020

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 13:02:26

Form Letter 453 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Michelle

Last Name: Deering

Email Address: micdeer@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Michelle Deering
Watsonville, CA 95076

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 13:14:40

Form Letter 454 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Alice

Last Name: Romao

Email Address: alicelromao@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Alice Romao
Vallejo, CA 94591

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 13:32:07

Form Letter 455 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Kyu

Last Name: Moon

Email Address: kyujaMoon42@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Please make the Summer Gasoline formula mandatory all year round. This is save our state from decline and make sure we have cleaner air so we can all be healthier. This is the easiest first step in saving our state and country.

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without

harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Kyu Moon
San Diego, CA 92111

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 14:06:05

Form Letter 456 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Cinzia

Last Name: Paganuzzi

Email Address: cinzia_paganuzzi@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Cinzia Paganuzzi
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 14:19:24

Form Letter 457 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Fikes

Email Address: brianfikes@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brian Fikes
South San Francisco, CA 94080

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 15:46:19

Form Letter 458 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Deborah

Last Name: Tinsley

Email Address: dlt111156@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Deborah Tinsley
Lynnwood, WA 98037

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 15:59:58

Form Letter 459 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sue

Last Name: Porter

Email Address: ssporter43@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sue Porter
Paradise, CA 95969

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:17:19

Form Letter 460 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Candra

Last Name: Neff

Email Address: candranefl@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Candra Neff
Sonora, CA 95370

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:19:30

Form Letter 461 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Spruce
Email Address: rdspruce@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Robert Spruce
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:20:32

Form Letter 462 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Satya

Email Address: ceosusan2010@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Susan Satya
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:28:26

Form Letter 463 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brenda

Last Name: Lee

Email Address: blee020@ca.rr.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brenda Lee
Lakewood, CA 90712

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:34:30

Form Letter 464 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Charlotte

Last Name: Belton

Email Address: msqweeneb@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Belton
Sacramento, CA 95833

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:44:22

Form Letter 465 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Jason

Last Name: Gritti

Email Address: btrue2self@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Jason Gritti
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 16:59:35

Form Letter 466 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: John

Last Name: Kaufman

Email Address: jfkaufman1956@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
John Kaufman
Mission Viejo, CA 92692

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 17:34:13

Form Letter 467 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Barry

Last Name: Hodges

Email Address: barryhodges10@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Barry Hodges
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 17:47:01

Form Letter 468 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Brenda

Last Name: Lee

Email Address: blee020@ca.rr.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong. Fossil fuel subsidies should have ended long ago so those funds could support clean energy.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve

our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Brenda Lee
Lakewood, CA 90712

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 18:34:23

Form Letter 469 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Sharyn

Last Name: White

Email Address: eireannach369@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Sharyn White
Martinez, CA 94553

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 19:26:23

Form Letter 470 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Flannery
Email Address: msflannery@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Constance Flannery
San Francisco, CA 94131

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 19:30:15

Form Letter 471 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Tamara

Last Name: Swanson

Email Address: childrenfirst66@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Tamara Swanson
Monrovia, CA 91016

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 19:59:15

Form Letter 472 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: John

Last Name: Houston

Email Address: jhouston@pacific.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
John Houston
Ukiah, CA 95482

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 21:11:27

Form Letter 473 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Carlos

Last Name: Hernandez

Email Address: djcarlossf@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Carlos Hernandez
Daly City, CA 94014

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 21:15:52

Form Letter 474 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Schaefer
Email Address: mancuts111@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Richard Schaefer
Salton City, CA 92275

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 21:38:10

Form Letter 475 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Suzanne

Last Name: Batobato

Email Address: chinamay@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Batobato
Salinas, CA 93907

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-19 21:50:46

Form Letter 476 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lauren

Last Name: Yates

Email Address: ley529@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lauren Yates
Studio City, CA 91604

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 00:00:01

Form Letter 477 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Andrew
Last Name: Duport
Email Address: andod68@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

The World is in a crisis, a fight for humanity and its salvation. With that in mind your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve

our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Andrew Duport
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 00:00:01

Form Letter 478 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Worden

Email Address: cocoa.charlot@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

California can do a whole lot better than this. Take a look at Michigan's programs.

Sincerely,
Susan Worden
Rio Vista, CA 94571

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 01:47:22

Form Letter 479 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Theresa

Last Name: Jones

Email Address: theresaann.jones@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Theresa Jones
Los Angeles, CA 90043

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 03:11:33

Form Letter 480 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Lynn

Last Name: Howard

Email Address: lynnulaff@me.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Lynn Howard
San Diego, CA 92109

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 07:54:49

Form Letter 481 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: renee

Last Name: saifer

Email Address: reneesaifer@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
renee saifer
Studio City, CA 91604

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 13:02:13

Form Letter 482 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Teigh

Last Name: Taurino

Email Address: c264c2a9@opayq.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Teigh Taurino
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 15:00:26

Form Letter 483 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Renee

Last Name: LeCroy

Email Address: reneecroy362@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Renee LeCroy
Big Bear City, CA 92314

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 16:46:51

Form Letter 484 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Christopher

Last Name: Lish

Email Address: lishchris@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lish
San Rafael, CA 94903

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 17:28:40

Form Letter 485 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Angela

Last Name: Robinson

Email Address: zanggiegirl22@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Angela Robinson
Federal Way, WA 98003

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 20:26:38

Form Letter 486 for Comment 5 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Daryl

Last Name: .Gale

Email Address: turtleperson@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board,

Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Governor Newsom's budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals.

Sincerely,
Daryl .Gale
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-20 21:07:41