

**Form Letter 1 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Moore

Email Address: richm1144@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CA Cap and Trade

Comment:

Enough already!!!! Look at what is and has happened to CA. High unemployment, 2nd highest taxes in the US already, stagnent growth, buiseneses leaving Ca for more favorables states like TX, run away government spending that this will add to as the beuracracy grow and it is reduculous to think that this added regulation will reduce any emmesions other than runnign more people out of the state. This proposed regulation needs to be tabled forever and the agency dismantled.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:27:04

**Form Letter 2 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Ross

Last Name: Peckinpah

Email Address: raewyatt@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade

Comment:

CARB:

I do not agree with this Cap and Tax scheme your organization is planning. Aren't you aware that California is facing record unemployment (12+%)? If you plan to kill our economy further on the basis of junk science and implement the equivient of a 150 billion dollar tax be sure to check the box next to your name that says you are all certified idiots. I mean heck its one thing to control emissions from wood stoves in metro areas but your organization, who are appointed not elected, are taking this authority way to far LEFT! If you want to fight global warming, don't kill jobs in our state. This is idiotic! I am against this draconion effort 100%. I want the government to get out of the way and let me live my life. I do not want to live in a Nanny state where the self declared smart think for the masses. Get out of my life. --Ross

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:24:14

**Form Letter 3 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Jeff

Last Name: McGill

Email Address: Stealthb2@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: NO to Cap and Trade

Comment:

Is everyone on the CARB board a lemming? Obviously, you all are. If the fact that no one and I mean no other state, is joining you, does that not tell you your plan is flawed or the science behind it is made up? This is not the time to attempt using "scare tactics", "hate mail" or unleash "mob mentality" to attempt to institute this. Please, listen to the public not the moneybags that will profit from this passage. If this idioccity is allowed to happen, this state will no longer be Golden, it will be GARBAGE!
Thank you, Jeff

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:34:22

**Form Letter 4 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Gwen

Last Name: Myers

Email Address: gg.myers@pobox.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade plans

Comment:

I am AGAINST any form of cap and trade in the state of California. Our state is in the process of imploding financially and this would certainly be one of the final triggers. With the loss of jobs, the exit of many companies from CA already and the exodus of Californians moving to other states where there are more plentiful jobs and fewer taxes, it seems that your goal to decrease environmental pollutants is already being achieved.

I implore you to take a VERY SERIOUS look at what your regulations have already done to our state and what your proposed regulations would do - to forever cripple this once wonderful state.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:35:01

**Form Letter 5 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Ken
Last Name: Foy
Email Address: kenlfoy@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Dear CARB,

I wish to state my objection to your proposed cap and trade plan.

California today faces high unemployment close to 12%, budget deficits and an exodus of jobs from our state. CARB itself estimates that cap and trade, if implemented, represents a \$150 billion energy tax. Our struggling economy most certainly cannot afford more lost jobs due to a crushing self-imposed energy tax of this magnitude.

Your continued disregard for the plight of our state is frustrating and hurting the people whom you are appointed to serve.

Respectfully,
Ken Foy
San Ramon, CA 94583

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:30:09

**Form Letter 6 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Kenneth
Last Name: Taylor
Email Address: ken@jonshome.com
Affiliation: Retired

Subject: Cap and Tax
Comment:

Back in the 90" when CARB was considering small engine requirements you told me that if I needed to cut a tree on my 5 acres to use an electric chain saw. Later you required an additive in gasoline that polluted municipal water supplies. Your response was "water was not your responsibility, only air" You continue in your unconcern for the people of this state in your zeal to "protect" us. Cap and tax will continue to decimate our state by adding millions of dollars of burden to cities, counties and the state. You didn't have anyone working for you in the 90's that had any sense and it looks like you still don't. Your policies will continue to drive business out of California as well as thousands of folks who can't find employment. The air will be cleaner but there will be fewer people breathing it. How much regulation is enough?

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:36:12

**Form Letter 7 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Eaton
Email Address: tom@konanexpress.com
Affiliation:

Subject: CAP AND TAX
Comment:

Madam Chairperson,

Why is it you insist on running more businesses out of California with your silly notion that California can make a difference in the world by being the only state in the nation to exert your global warming nonsense upon our economy.

You have already effectively put many, many truck owners out of business with your Truck and Bus Regulations nonsense. The study was flawed and the liar that put it together still has a job. In the REAL world he would have been fired without hesitation. This alone tells us you think you and your staff are above the law.

We will continue to pursue all avenues to get rid of your sorry agency, as it is nothing but a bunch of self serving souls that can't do math and/or see the consequences of their nonsensical actions in the name of environmentalism.

Thank you for your time,

Tom Eaton
530-681-1938

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:38:13

**Form Letter 8 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Karaleigh

Last Name: Roe

Email Address: Karaleigh@Karaleigh.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade

Comment:

I am a california citizen urging you to say NO to cap and trade. California is already broke and businesses/corporations are fleeing the state. Families are struggling, we already have the highest energy costs in the nation. If California's state government continues to create these types of reckless job killing bills and regulations, California will continue to urge the exodus of businesses, taxpayers and hard working individuals. You know what you will be left with then? It amazes me that our state government continues on this path of destroying it's financial future. No to Cap and Trade. California citizens cannot survive any more cost increases.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:41:55

**Form Letter 9 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: James

Last Name: Weed

Email Address: jamesweed@mac.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "No cap and trade!"

Comment:

"No cap and trade!", "No cap and trade!", "No cap and trade!" This
is not a question.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:55:24

**Form Letter 10 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Nelson

Email Address: ponelson@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB

Comment:

CARB will drive more essential businesses out of California and offshore from the US. Nothing personal, but the concept of CARB is myopic, counterproductive and, unfortunately, not based on any real science or constructive forethought.

This bureaucrats' dream is a nightmare to the private sector that pays the bureaucrats' salaries, benefits and pensions. Trying to destroy the private sector? Try sustaining your departments without us. Foolishness!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 07:55:32

**Form Letter 11 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Nelson
Last Name: Dobbins
Email Address: ndp51rick@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB
Comment:

I DRIVE A DIESEL RIG. I'M A SMALL BUSINESS OWNER WHO WILL MOVE OUT
OF CAL. TO DO BUSINESS, BECAUSE OF STUPID LEGISLATION LIKE CARD
IMPLEMENTS!!!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:04:52

**Form Letter 12 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Debra

Last Name: Tash

Email Address: debratash@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: can't afford cap and trade

Comment:

No! Do not enact this economic nightmare. You already were sued once. You will be sued again for this terrible job killing TAX!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:06:48

**Form Letter 13 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Ted

Last Name: Winter

Email Address: tedwinter@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Taxes

Comment:

We do not need more tax and spend laws. The steal our money.

Lets develop more electric cars without an Electrical Grid to
support them. Lets have more unsafe light bulbs. Lets have no more

of the ARB.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:04:28

**Form Letter 14 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Susan

Last Name: Anderson

Email Address: shepherdsue555@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade a bad idea

Comment:

The timing for such regulations could not be worse. Do you seriously want to bankrupt our state? And, the scientific case for greenhouse gas emissions as a real threat to anything has been fabricated by scientists in too many cases. We cannot and should not add such costs to our energy use in this state. It is a huge burden on the poor and middle class. California can't afford such silliness anymore.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:06:22

**Form Letter 15 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Vince

Last Name: Cardinale

Email Address: vincec@cardinalemoving.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade

Comment:

We do not need Cap & Trade. This is bad for California economy, bad for business, and bad for California. This is just a bad idea.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:09:50

**Form Letter 16 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Garrison

Last Name: Hardisty

Email Address: garrison.hardisty@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO CAP AND TAX! (trade)

Comment:

California has a mass exodus of jobs, high unemployment -around 12%+, the devaluation of the dollar by the Federal Reserve is rampantly pressing extraordinary inflation upon the People, and our struggling economy most certainly cannot afford more lost jobs due to a crushing self-imposed energy tax of this magnitude.

NO CAP AND TRADE! These hidden taxes MUST STOP! Our economy CANNOT TAKE IT!

Sincerely,
G. Hardisty.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:11:31

**Form Letter 17 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Victoria B.
Last Name: Dickey
Email Address: ladylaw@charter.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

There is no global warming that can be affected by man.

You are destroying our current lives because you want to rule the world.

You are causing more air pollution by all your regulations. It adds more pollution to drive burnables to the dump than to burn them in the back yard.

Autos have less pollution per gallon, but because of all the gadgets added to the vehicles to meet these lower requirements, there are more gallons needed to get from one place to another.

The private market will provide better solutions, cheaper and more long lasting, just as soon as the technology is available. But, because you have added so many rules and regulations, no one is going to work on inventing anything. You are killing your "patient".

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:14:02

**Form Letter 18 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Douglas

Last Name: Roscoe

Email Address: greatbluellc@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Energy tax

Comment:

Cap and trade is the most ridiculous rip off ever imagined.
If I pollute too much and can buy pollution credits to continue to
pollute at the same level, how is the environment protected?
This is simply a taxation scam!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:18:58

**Form Letter 19 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Jessica
Last Name: Bowen
Email Address: ladycarolinelambypie@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: No on Cap and Trade
Comment:

Dear CARB,

No to the ponzi scheme of cap and trade which will further destroy
our free market economy which is already on life support here in
California.

The earth isn't flat, the sky isn't falling and Polar bears are
doing just fine.

Sincerely,

Jessica Bowen
Alameda, (the once great) State of California

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:24:15

**Form Letter 20 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Eberly

Email Address: westsacpac@wavecable.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO on Cap and Trade TAX

Comment:

I strongly urge you (CARB) to vote no any and all Cap and Trade Taxes. As a concerned Californian I do not think it is a good idea now and in the future to have this kind of tax imposed on us.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:43:31

Form Letter 21 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 15-1.

First Name: Barbara

Last Name: Decker

Email Address: bv6decker@aol.com

Affiliation: Represent coalition of private citizens

Subject: Cap and Trade Tax is not proven science

Comment:

California today faces high unemployment hovering around 12%, budget deficits and an exodus of jobs from our state.

CARB itself estimates that cap and trade, if implemented, represents a \$150 billion energy tax. Our struggling economy most certainly cannot afford more lost jobs due to a crushing self-imposed energy tax of this magnitude.

The stated objective of the cap and trade program is to "show leadership in the fight against global warming." However, other states recognize that a costly cap and trade scheme is a bad idea, especially right now. That's why the last US state still set to join with California in implementing cap and trade, New Mexico, backed out of the plan last November.

The possibility that you could force more taxes on already struggling businesses is beyond common sense. You force companies to go to other business friendly states leaving the tax base in California dwindling while those who pay taxes are expected to make up the difference. You should try working in the private sector or better yet try getting a job in the private sector.

When I hear that your group makes over \$80,000 a year with no restrictions on expanding your employment positions, it makes me absolutely furious when cuts are proposed for teachers, firemen, police and libraries. In addition to cap and trade being a hoax, your very board is a smoke screen robbing the ignorant Californians of tax dollars that could be better spend.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:37:17

**Form Letter 22 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Garry
Last Name: Boswell
Email Address: 805boz@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade portion of AB32
Comment:

To whom it may concern,

I enjoy a clean environment and believe the climate change issues need to be addressed but I am against California going it alone on the Cap and Trade portion of AB32. Even if all of the provisions of the bill were implemented it would only reduce green house gasses by .001% worldwide. There are estimates that it would double or even quadruple out electrical costs. California is struggling right now and higher energy costs will only drive businesses away.

Please reconsider this, I know you are not an elected body and are only following portions of the law. BUT you have the ability to make recommendations back to elected officials, you should make the recommendation that this portion of the law is too costly and will have negative impacts on our economy.

-Garry

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:48:23

**Form Letter 23 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Donna

Last Name: Hancock

Email Address: donnahancock@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: cap & trade

Comment:

California cannot afford this arbitrary poorly-thought-out scheme.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:52:21

**Form Letter 24 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Betenhouse
Email Address: zypyxx@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: NO to this!!!
Comment:

NO to cap and trade!!! It is not suprising that every other state has pulled out of this draconion bill. It's a job killer!!!! It will send energy prices sky high and make us have even more trouble living in this state!!! Besides, all of the info that this is based off of is fraudulent!! Done by a guy who LIED about his college education!!! On top of that, Global Warming is nothing but a MYTH!!!! Just like the Y2K scare, and if it were up to the enviromental freaks of the 1970's....we'd all be living in an ice age right now with "Global Cooling".

I say NO to this disasterous bill!!!! It needs to be set on fire and NEVER seen again!!!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 08:59:37

**Form Letter 25 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Sills

Email Address: linjete@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade

Comment:

I submit to you that this miserable Cap and Trade scheme is nothing more than an inroad to Agenda 21. Which is one of the most evil proposals in my lifetime. Stop your Fabian Socialist evil, that you are trying to impose upon free people.

I choose liberty over tyranny any day of the week. Those of us who read, and educate ourselves, know all about these schemes, and we will fight you to the death against them if need be.

NO Cap & Trade!! EVER.

You want to redistribute wealth and that is COMMUNISM. If you think the American public is stupid, think again! So either you stop this madness, or be ready for the fight of your lives. Bring it.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 09:18:45

**Form Letter 26 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Frank

Last Name: Chambers

Email Address: fdcandmmc@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CAP AND TRADE

Comment:

I am totally against CAP AND TRADE, It is not a benifit to the public and it is devastating to the economy. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 09:21:12

**Form Letter 27 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: WAYNE
Last Name: JOHNSON
Email Address: kewjohnson@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: CAP AND TRADE
Comment:

STOP THIS CAP AND TRADE BILL NOW BEFORE YOU DESTROY OUR ECONOMY !

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 09:26:48

**Form Letter 28 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Griffith
Email Address: tgriffith10@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: time to drop the cap and trade in California
Comment:

Vote No on Proposed Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Bill

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 09:50:31

**Form Letter 29 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Skinner

Email Address: linda.skinner@hp.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade - Just say 'NO'!!!

Comment:

I do not support the implementation of cap and trade. We do not need further increases to our taxes. We are taxed enough!!!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 09:55:41

**Form Letter 30 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Gary

Last Name: Clemente

Email Address: garyclemente@juno.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO to Cap and Trade!

Comment:

Recently, the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) in the Northeast was defeated because of pressure from citizens who were wise enough to smell a scam when they saw one. As to California, ABSOLUTELY NO TO THE SCAM OF CAP AND TRADE that is being implemented here. Manmade "global warminng" is a fraud (witness recent NASA reports of CO2 levels that are harmless) and we the people of California will not stand for higher energy prices that will be foisted upon us through this childish political ploy called Cap and Trade. We will not be Capped and Taxed! as you want us to be. All of you children that are running the asylum can shove it where the sun doesn't shine because we're sick and tired of your "far out, man" moonbeam and marijuana approach to wrecking our state, our civil liberties and the economy!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 09:42:49

**Form Letter 31 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Troon

Email Address: ptroon@gmail.com

Affiliation: Self

Subject: Delay implementation of Cap/Trade

Comment:

Please do not implement carbon cap and trade at this time. We need more time for the unclear science of greenhouse gas emissions to be weighed against the very real costs to consumers. Consumers will be impacted the most - directly when they buy power, and also indirectly when goods and services increase in price. There will also for many be the additional hardship of losing their job when industries flee to states with out this additional energy tax. Given California's current unemployment and budget crisis, this is a very imprudent time to implement this energy tax. Please delay, or at a minimum roll out in a more limited and cautious way the adoption of this proposal.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 10:25:12

**Form Letter 32 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Paul & Trudy
Last Name: Schmitt
Email Address: akapete2@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade
Comment:

If we are to save the State of California's economy, we need to stop all Cap & Trade activity, regulations, etc.

It has been proven that Global Warming is the biggest hoax of this Century ... only slightly behind the millions of lives lost due to banning DDT.

Millions of tax dollars from business owners leaving the State is only the tip of the iceberg ... if these Regulations are allowed to go into effect!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 10:38:14

**Form Letter 33 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: ALAN

Last Name: CHRISTOFFELS

Email Address: christoffels.alan@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB

Comment:

I don't know why it is so difficult to understand that this state is broke, and in debt, and overtaxed! We don't need any more programs (green or otherwise) and we certainly don't need any more taxes!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 10:45:08

**Form Letter 34 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Jack
Last Name: Taylor
Email Address: jbradley31@gmail.com
Affiliation: Union Member

Subject: Cap and Trade will help and it won't help any one.
Comment:

I'm sure your aware of this: I'm sure I'm going to remember this
and who voted for this bad business program, I' sure I changing
from Dem to Rep just about as soon as they send me the forms.
I vote every time and I won't support this, and I would urge
everyone to deny cap and trade.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 10:05:52

**Form Letter 35 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: James
Last Name: Ricketts
Email Address: jwricketts@gmail.com
Affiliation: Tea Party United

Subject: Cap and Trade Insanity
Comment:

Even though the theory of human-caused global warming has been totally discredited, dishonest and/or delusional environmental activists and corrupt public officials continue their efforts to impose draconian rules based upon the foolish and unfounded belief that carbon dioxide is a pollutant.

As a citizen of California whose economic well-being will be negatively affected by the absurd, fatuous and irrational policies proposed by the California Air Resources Board, I strenuously object to such policies and urge the Board to begin acting in a responsible and rational manner and refrain from passing and implementing the proposed "cap and trade" program now being considered.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 10:51:54

Form Letter 36 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 15-1.

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Myers

Email Address: gkmyers77@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade

Comment:

Cap and Trade restrictions and regulations are the LAST thing our state needs. Here are the reasons why:

1. With each passing day the scientific evidence refuting the spurious claims of man-caused global warming increases exponentially.
2. The earth's temperature readings for the last decade dlearly and irrefutably indicate that the earth's temperature has been cooling, not warming.
3. Due to the paucity of scientific evident supporting global warming theories, the devotees thereof have attempted to revise their monicker to "climate change" so that warm or cold, wet or dry, it's all man-caused climate change. But the truth is it's just a pile of B.S.
4. California is functionally bankrupt and cannot afford a massive new bureaucracy to solve a non-existent problem.
5. The state of California is already the least business friendly state of all Barack Obama's 57 states, with more businesses moving out of California each year than are moving in. California needs to be attacting tax-paying businesses, not driving them away. Obviously the contingent of California officials whom Governor Brown sent to visit Texas to discover why they are the most business friendly state in the country haven't given you their report, or you wouldn't even be considering Cap and Trade.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 10:49:24

**Form Letter 37 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Charles

Last Name: Van Valkenburg

Email Address: chuckvanv@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade

Comment:

Stop this folish Cap & Trade, this all about EPA Power, not about the so called "global warming". All of the data is slanted to meet EPA'S Power grab, JOBS & THE ECONOMY IS AT STAKE HERE, let wake up and get real about this for a change, STOP IT !! VOTE AGAINT CAP & TRADE !

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 11:34:40

**Form Letter 38 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Welstead
Email Address: rich.welstead@anheuser-busch.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Why don't we just shut down everything? You and your "unaccountable lot" may think you are accountable to future generations but your draconian measures are tossing the baby out with the bath water!

Why don't you resign and spent your efforts on curbing the reckless handling of spent nuclear fuel (waste) that will be plaguing this planet until it kills it. I'd rather have more reasonable (yet effective) CO2 goals than no business, or future for businesses in this state. Our real fears should be focused on "glowing" great great grandchildren.

Read about Japan you knuckleheads!!

NO CAP AND TRADE!!!!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 13:10:49

**Form Letter 39 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Brad
Last Name: Bowen
Email Address: thrak44@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: C.A.R.B
Comment:

Please give yourselves the gift of accountability and make yourselves electable so that we can really tell you what you want to know, you have positions of permanent pay and benefits that rival most foreign nations.

B.V.B

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 13:46:45

**Form Letter 40 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Jacque

Last Name: Holub

Email Address: holub1@msn.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade

Comment:

As a business owner and long time resident of California I know I have an obligation to express my outrage and opinion on Cap and Trade. It is common sense that it will further devastate our state. I know one of the excuses to go further with this is that it will be an example to the rest of the United States of what a state can do about "global warming". I no longer believe in the nonsense about global warming and very few people with a head on their shoulders do either. We travel a lot and yes, it is an example, the rest of the states look upon California as a joke, an example of stupidity in Government. I love this state and am saddened to see the extremists continue to ruin it for the rest of us. If we could afford to sell our home and business and relocate to another state we would, along with the thousands of others that have already done so.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 13:55:58

**Form Letter 41 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Thomas

Last Name: Jones

Email Address: martinfrp@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade

Comment:

Is your greenhouse emissions policy based on reality or is it your religion. Cap & Trade is going to kill the California economy and will not make a dent in Carbon emission in the world. There are countries in this world that are accelerating their pollution. China is one of the biggest culprits. I do business with China and can tell you that their priority is building their economy at all costs.

Stop Cap & Trade.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 13:57:24

**Form Letter 42 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Jamerson

Email Address: davidj51@juno.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade

Comment:

Well, I am glad that I am selling my home here in Calif, I was born in this state and have some of my family still here, however most have have moved to other states because of the high cost of living in CA and are moving our bussiness with us, I am moving to Mareitta Ga. where their it is more resonable to live and safe. YOU GUYS HAVE MADE US MOVE, THE STATE IS LOST, THANKS TO YOU PEOPLE.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 14:28:14

**Form Letter 43 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: john

Last Name: ballesteros

Email Address: john.ballesteros@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: No on CAP-N-TRADE

Comment:

Commissioners:

Please consider this submission to RESCIND California's so-called CAP and TRADE regulations. There is no "isolation bubble" in the atmosphere and air-space on the borders of our state that contains the air. Paying more for utility service and fuel will further suppress our state's ability to grow economically. Further regulation by the state have already moved businesses out of California. Placing the burden of raising revenue solely on the backs of state residents and businesses will destroy the ability for the state to return to its former greatness as the seventh largest economy in the world.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 17:20:07

**Form Letter 44 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Allen

Last Name: Leeman

Email Address: allen_leeman@msn.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO CAP AND TRADE

Comment:

AS a California contractor I have to loudly voice my opposition to cap and trade, we can take another hit, the construction business has already been devastated by the last 4 years of recession. I will surely have to leave this state to save my business or just quit contracting altogether.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 17:47:01

**Form Letter 45 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Bugiada
Email Address: rjbugiada@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: No Cap and Trade!
Comment:

Please do not weigh down the California economy any further with the adoption of this cap-and-trade scheme. The theory of global warming is NOT settled science. Temperature data is inconclusive. Even if the earth is warming at the present time, its cause is not directly attributable to human activity. Sunspots, volcanic activity, and other possible causes must also be considered. Even if we could prove man's activity is the cause, it might be welcome news to those who live in cold climates. Water vapor, due to its thermal and optical properties, is even more of a greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide, which would increase in concentration if we switched to hydrogen-burning engines. In any case, throwing people out of work, which happens any time taxes or regulations are increased, is not the answer.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 18:54:02

**Form Letter 46 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Douglas
Last Name: Mac Ewing
Email Address: djmacewing@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: CAP and TRADE
Comment:

NO CAP AND TRADE! Your bunch has run off enough business that kept
people employed.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 20:17:35

**Form Letter 47 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Jon

Last Name: Olson

Email Address: jonolsonengineer@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: No Cap and Trade Programs for California

Comment:

No Cap and Trade period. I do not want it and the people of California can not afford it. California today faces high unemployment hovering around 12%, budget deficits and an exodus of jobs from our state.

CARB itself estimates that cap and trade, if implemented, represents a \$150 billion energy tax. Our struggling economy most certainly cannot afford more lost jobs due to a crushing self-imposed energy tax of this magnitude.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 20:30:54

**Form Letter 48 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Evans

Email Address: brisam_evans@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade

Comment:

I am asking that you forego these back breaking policies. I service the trucking industries with repair parts and these people service everything you buy. They also are a major part of the construction industry and even you folks must know how bad this market is doing.

Respectfully:

Brian Evans

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 20:52:22

**Form Letter 49 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Trina

Last Name: Burton

Email Address: spanishdiggins@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and tax

Comment:

No, and No, and NO. GOT IT? This scheme for another imposing tax is pure crap. So is this BS about global warming, what a bunch of GLOBAL IDIOTS!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 21:53:08

**Form Letter 50 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Alan

Last Name: Barrus

Email Address: acbarrus@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade

Comment:

I am adamantly opposed to the implementation of cap and trade legislation. It is based on unproven assumptions regarding global warming. And it will have a negative effect on an already struggling economy. The notion that buying carbon credits will help reduce pollution is fantasy.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 22:43:04

Form Letter 51 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 15-1.

First Name: James
Last Name: Redford
Email Address: poweredbylincoln@yahoo.com
Affiliation: Ceu

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Dear Air Resources Board,

I would like to copy the following article from forbes!

New NASA Data Blow Gaping Hole In Global Warming Alarmism

NASA satellite data from the years 2000 through 2011 show the Earth's atmosphere is allowing far more heat to be released into space than alarmist computer models have predicted, reports a new study in the peer-reviewed science journal Remote Sensing. The study indicates far less future global warming will occur than United Nations computer models have predicted, and supports prior studies indicating increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide trap far less heat than alarmists have claimed.

Study co-author Dr. Roy Spencer, a principal research scientist at the University of Alabama in Huntsville and U.S. Science Team Leader for the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer flying on NASA's Aqua satellite, reports that real-world data from NASA's Terra satellite contradict multiple assumptions fed into alarmist computer models.

"The satellite observations suggest there is much more energy lost to space during and after warming than the climate models show," Spencer said in a July 26 University of Alabama press release.

"There is a huge discrepancy between the data and the forecasts that is especially big over the oceans."

In addition to finding that far less heat is being trapped than alarmist computer models have predicted, the NASA satellite data show the atmosphere begins shedding heat into space long before United Nations computer models predicted.

The new findings are extremely important and should dramatically alter the global warming debate.

Scientists on all sides of the global warming debate are in general agreement about how much heat is being directly trapped by human emissions of carbon dioxide (the answer is "not much"). However, the single most important issue in the global warming debate is whether carbon dioxide emissions will indirectly trap far more heat by causing large increases in atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds. Alarmist computer models assume human carbon dioxide emissions indirectly cause substantial increases in atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds (each of which are very effective at trapping heat), but real-world data have long shown that carbon dioxide emissions are not causing as much atmospheric humidity and cirrus clouds as the alarmist computer models have predicted.

The new NASA Terra satellite data are consistent with long-term NOAA and NASA data indicating atmospheric humidity and cirrus

clouds are not increasing in the manner predicted by alarmist computer models. The Terra satellite data also support data collected by NASA's ERBS satellite showing far more longwave radiation (and thus, heat) escaped into space between 1985 and 1999 than alarmist computer models had predicted. Together, the NASA ERBS and Terra satellite data show that for 25 years and counting, carbon dioxide emissions have directly and indirectly trapped far less heat than alarmist computer models have predicted.

In short, the central premise of alarmist global warming theory is that carbon dioxide emissions should be directly and indirectly trapping a certain amount of heat in the earth's atmosphere and preventing it from escaping into space. Real-world measurements, however, show far less heat is being trapped in the earth's atmosphere than the alarmist computer models predict, and far more heat is escaping into space than the alarmist computer models predict.

When objective NASA satellite data, reported in a peer-reviewed scientific journal, show a "huge discrepancy" between alarmist climate models and real-world facts, climate scientists, the media and our elected officials would be wise to take notice. Whether or not they do so will tell us a great deal about how honest the purveyors of global warming alarmism truly are.

James M. Taylor is senior fellow for environment policy at The Heartland Institute and managing editor of Environment & Climate News.

<http://news.yahoo.com/nasa-data-blow-gaping-hold-global-warming-alarmism-192334971.html>

Here is PROOF from Nasa that global warming is made up, as in your case in ab32 as a legal robbery!!

Can you argue with NASA no! I am asking you to stop the cap and trade program in California. It is unaffordable, will bankrupt the state.

Make countless Californians lose their homes, businesses jobs, and vehicles.

And lastly it has nothing to do with the environment and all to do with making YOU rich!

Sincerely James Redford!

give me a call carb!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 22:55:38

**Form Letter 52 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: RIchard

Last Name: ImprotA

Email Address: Richard.improtA@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CAP & TRADE

Comment:

No to cap & trade & NO TO CARB specifically....this unelected
agency agency must be dissloved NOW! Mary Nichols is a lunatic
whose ideas are clearly notr in the best interest of Calif. Richard

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-02 23:03:17

**Form Letter 53 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Victoria

Last Name: Coots

Email Address: angandmon@comcast.net

Affiliation: Oroville Tea Party Patriots

Subject: Cap andTrade

Comment:

My husband and I own two businesses and have seen up close and personal what all these devastating regulations in the name of the environment have done. A good percentage of these errant regulations are not supported with any solid evidence of their need, but are the result of misguided factions of people going off half cocked on some dilusional "good" mission.

We have seen way too many facts and research to dispute many of these errant ideologies, yet our government at every level continues to follow this path of destruction for our economy.

STOP THE INSANITY.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 06:15:48

**Form Letter 54 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Harvey

Last Name: Veon

Email Address: harveyveon@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation: none

Subject: cap and trade

Comment:

This bill is a job killer and must be VOTED DOWN! California is already the most least business friendly State in the Union and MUST become more business friendly. The State, cities and counties cannot afford basic services and are even going bankrupt or are on the verge of doing so. Cap & trade is a horrible idea. NO on cap & trade.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 08:04:44

**Form Letter 55 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Loretta
Last Name: Phillips
Email Address: loretta@ckc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Save our state
Comment:

Please stop CAP and TRADE or what ever you would like to call it
next CAP AND TAX.

Get a clue we donot want this we are 100 times better than other
countries even states but you keep putting more on us.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 09:37:06

**Form Letter 56 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: chris

Last Name: toman

Email Address: chris@hbcpowersports.com

Affiliation:

Subject: stop all cap and trade policies

Comment:

To those at CARB,

Please stop all cap and trade legislation in California as it is
damaging to the recovery of our fragile economy and places
unnecessary tax burdens on everybody. The strategies used by CARB
are not recognized by millions of Americans as being valid.

Thank you,
Chris Toman

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 10:03:04

**Form Letter 57 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: BOB

Last Name: REEDER

Email Address: rhrbuy@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO to Cap & Tax

Comment:

Isn't California already overburdened enough with taxes and regulations which are driving businesses out of state?

YES!

Please oppose this scheme. It is wrong to have the unelected bureaucracy implement far-reaching schemes like this, rather than the elected officials who answer directly to voters.

This is government at its worst!

Thank you,
Bob Reeder

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 12:58:55

**Form Letter 58 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Joshua

Last Name: Jacobs

Email Address: joshdj57@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: No More Cap and Trade Taxes

Comment:

Right now, the state of California has too many burdensome regulations that are driving business and residents away from the Golden State. Our unemployment is 12 percent and rather than relieve residents of more costs, the California assembly wants to implement AB-32. This bill that will make us pay more for energy with no guarantee of solutions to coming up with alternative cleaner energy. Our state ranks 51st (even a worse business environment than Puerto Rico) to do business.

What we need to do is kill AB-32 and come up with a bill that encourages businesses to come up with new energy without taxing us.

Otherwise, more businesses will leave and go to places like Texas, where starting a business takes 6 weeks to get all the permits and paperwork done in order to start construction and building of the business. In California, it takes anywhere from 8 months to 2 years with many more regulations and taxes once the business has begun.

The solution is what Rep. Devin Nunes of California has proposed to Congress. It is called A Roadmap for America's Energy Future. It is three fold; allow drilling to open America's oil, gas, coal and shale reserves to end dependency on foreign energy. This will increase revenues and finally all revenue collected from the leases would be dedicated to a market based reverse auction that would allow us to transition to renewable energy in a cost neutral way. Check out the plan here.

http://nunes.house.gov/UploadedFiles/Energy_Roadmap_Trifold_2011.pdf
Please don't tax us, but come up with real solutions rather than a bill that has no plan except to tax us even more.

Most Respectfully
Josh Jacobs

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 13:05:12

**Form Letter 59 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Joseph
Last Name: Addonizio
Email Address: jos8989@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: cap & trade
Comment:

I am not an AGW skeptic. I refute it outright. CARB TAXING CO2 is worse than useless; it is pigheaded and insulting to taxpayers. I want the draconian CARB de-funded and disbanded. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your ignoring science to further your power grabbing agenda.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 13:32:26

**Form Letter 60 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Alessandra

Last Name: Brown

Email Address: abrownhoya@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: I support cap and trade

Comment:

I support cap and trade and am excited to see it go into place in one year's time. It's time we begin to control and decrease our carbon footprint and preserve the one environment we have. This will save lives, create good jobs that benefit society and the Earth, and help us tackle climate change.

We CAN afford this because we MUST afford to address climate change. If we wait much longer, it will be too late.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-03 13:48:53

**Form Letter 61 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: paul

Last Name: barnes

Email Address: 13342 dana vista st

Affiliation:

Subject: CRAP & TAX---Calif style

Comment:

Unelected Board members,

Quit--GO HOME--Dont screw things up more than you already
have!!!

Paul Barnes Tea Party and Minutemen Activist

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-04 07:13:53

**Form Letter 62 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Sandy

Last Name: Jekel

Email Address: sandjekel@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CRAP and Trade

Comment:

Ill conceived and will not fix anything. Only adds to the tax burden and will cost us jobs, raide energy costs and hurts California worse than you have already done. STOP trying to fix whatever you think you are doing.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-04 08:08:47

**Form Letter 63 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Debbie
Last Name: Abernathy
Email Address: t5deb@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: NO cap and trade
Comment:

Do any of you government people live in the real world? Have any of you ever had a real job in which you got paid for providing or producing anything? Or do you all just take from those who do?

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-04 18:20:27

**Form Letter 64 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Mary Ann
Last Name: Frank
Email Address: efmaf@wavecable.com
Affiliation:

Subject: CAP & TRADE
Comment:

We have had enough action in this area by those who have not
been chosen by We the People.

The cost of energy is high - don't make it any worse by
implemting another tax which is what this would be.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 01:48:35

**Form Letter 65 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: John
Last Name: Batten
Email Address: jsbatten@charter.net
Affiliation:

Subject: End Cap and Tax!!
Comment:

Dear Board,

I am on the side of all the Californians that do not want your tax scheme to continue. We suffer enough with all the hidden taxes and regulations that are draining the lifeblood from us. Man caused climate change has been thoroughly debunked by many scientists as well as some in the media. Please end the attempt to push this unwantedtax.

Thank you

John Batten
Cucamonga

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 08:06:24

**Form Letter 66 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Patty
Last Name: Smith
Email Address: smipatty@gmail.com
Affiliation: American Conservative

Subject: CARB
Comment:

CARB is just another governmental way to kill the way of life of the American people. This plan needs to be shut down completely never to rear it's ugly head again. We are being so regulated that we have been losing businesses and FARMS at the hands of the EPA and the Air Resources Board, this has to stop now. This is not about the good of the people, this is a power grab by the government entities that think they need to control the people for the best outcome for an environmental way of life.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 08:07:27

Form Letter 67 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 15-1.

First Name: Bill

Last Name: Buchan

Email Address: buchana@mktpotential.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Administrative Burden to Cogen/Electricity Generators

Comment:

ARB has an admirable goal of protecting ratepayers from costs associated with the proposed cap and trade regulation. However, ARB has proposed a complicated scheme of providing free allowances to utilities to offset increased costs they will bear from electricity generation facilities like cogeneration plants. Unfortunately, this puts a significant and unnecessary administrative burden on electricity generation facilities like cogeneration, who now must determine the allowances they will need, track and participate in auctions, determine what costs can be passed on to the utility, and assess if this mechanism provided adequate reimbursement for them to keep their operation going. These represent real administrative actions and costs these facilities must bear. Today, nobody performs these actions at these facilities, adding a new layer costs to an industry with low margins. It would be much better to develop an approach that does not create additional administrative activities so that new costs are minimized in achieving the goal of protecting the ratepayer. Toward this end, we propose that ARB provide allowances directly to the electricity generating facilities like cogeneration. By doing so, these facilities will know what allowances they will receive; costs will be minimized by removing the entity in the middle (utility) without changing the number of allowances distributed; and facilities will have greater clarity of their needs and costs under the proposed cap and trade regulation. Helping us minimize the costs of this regulation will allow us to continue providing efficient electrical generation to the state of California. This is something that we hope the ARB can seriously consider and engage in further discussion with groups like the California Cogeneration Council and the utilities.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 13:27:37

**Form Letter 68 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Bill

Last Name: Buchan

Email Address: buchana@mktpotential.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Market Prices at \$25/ton and Above

Comment:

As facility in a highly trade exposed industry (paperboard), we are concerned that market prices for allowances at \$25/ton and above are going to have a severe impact on our business. As we are trade exposed, we cannot pass these costs onto our customers as we compete with firms outside the jurisdiction of ARB. To alleviate this potentially severe impact on our manufacturing business and the jobs we provide Californians, we suggest that ARB look specifically at the pricing impacts on highly trade exposed industries, potentially reducing or removing the cap adjustment factor for highly trade exposed industries or waiving it when a certain price trigger (e.g. \$25/ton) is hit. The cap adjustment factor exceeds the growth expected in our industry, preventing us from keeping pace from an earnings perspective. It becomes a severe penalty as the price of allowances reaches \$25/ton. Controlling the costs of the cap adjustment factor for our highly trade exposed industry is vitally important to allowing our industry to sustain its manufacturing operations and keep Californians employed.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 13:27:37

**Form Letter 69 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Bill

Last Name: Buchan

Email Address: buchana@mktpotential.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Recycled Boxboard Benchmark

Comment:

The benchmark for the Recycled Boxboard industry is based on data recent data which includes early action projects. ARB has said repeatedly that it would not penalize facilities for conducting early action projects and inspired facilities to begin reducing GHGs. Unfortunately, the recycled boxboard group is being penalized for conducting early action projects, as the results of these early action projects are being included in the benchmark. Furthermore, the data used for this benchmark are very limited and not statistically significant. As most of the recycled boxboard mills in the country reside outside California, the ARB's approach makes it impossible to tell whether the California Recycled Boxboard group is operating better or worse than its national peers. This again creates a competitive disadvantage when California mills compete against its national peers. We ask that ARB reconsider the data it is using for this group and look into a national data set for the recycled boxboard group. We request that more recent data be omitted to provide reward for early action projects. We request that data prior to 2008 be included in order to develop a fair benchmark and improve the statistical significance of this benchmark.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 13:27:37

**Form Letter 70 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: McClernon

Email Address: mcclernonrm@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Job Killing

Comment:

Reducing Air pollution at the cost we payed by killing our jobs! Us citizens not being able to afford health care and our mortgages by all of CARBS regulation has done nothing for the health of Californians. CARB good intentions have killed California business.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-08 06:57:47

**Form Letter 71 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Jessica

Last Name: Davis-Stein

Email Address: jdavisstein@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trad

Comment:

Yes on this bill, it is an excellent beginning. There is simply no question that human activity is causing drastic changes in climate, to believe otherwise means one is not reading serious science and is rather like not "believing" in evolution, i.e. what we "believe" doesn't change the truth. We must act quickly and effectively to reduce carbon emissions; as usual California should lead the way. This bill is a positive step in the right direction.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-09 16:00:20

**Form Letter 72 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Alfred
Last Name: Hernandez
Email Address: alfred_hernandez@oxy.com
Affiliation:

Subject: ab32
Comment:

Board Members,

I respectfully oppose ab23 simply because it is based on very questionable science, please require proper review of the science and do not penalize CA constituents for this very suspect theory.

Thank you,

Alfred Hernandez

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-09 17:42:36

**Form Letter 73 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: les
Last Name: darbison
Email Address: shortlegsdarby@yahoo.com
Affiliation: DEM

Subject: AB32
Comment:

Yes, we need some pollution controls. But where was the environmental impact report on the fiscal and social impact of this bill. The loss of jobs do to over regulating all aspects of industry and even are daily lives. Hurts the environment and these is simple to explain ,Without moderate regulations we are sending are industry,s ether of shore or out of state to places with minimal or no environmental regulations. This is a bad ideal and needs to be rolled back.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-10 03:46:06

**Form Letter 74 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Bruce

Last Name: Steele

Email Address: winfieldfarmerbruce@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Support for California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Comment:

Dear air board members,
I would like to voice my support for the California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-Based Regulations. It is a responsible first step in controlling the increase of CO2 for our state and sets goalposts for other western states and the federal government.

I am a fisherman and controlling CO2 emissions are an important step in insuring healthy growing conditions for shellfish as we move into the future. California can be proud of it's proactive stance on protecting air and water resources, and action to control CO2 emissions will have positive effects decades into the future. Acidification of our oceans is a serious threat to aquaculture and wild fisheries in our state.

Thank you for looking out for future generations of people and wildlife.

Bruce Steele , commercial fisherman

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-10 16:20:00

**Form Letter 75 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Brock

Last Name: Bernstein

Email Address: brockbernstein@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation: Independent Consultant

Subject: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Cap and Trade Program

Comment:

I strongly support the California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-Based Regulations. It is a reasonable, market-based approach to controlling the increase in carbon dioxide and provides an example of leadership and problem solving for other states and the federal government.

I am an environmental scientist with a great deal of experience in water quality and natural resource management in California and other west coast states, including Alaska. I have seen first hand the evidence for increasing effects of climate change on water supply and natural resources, and am increasingly concerned about the potentially drastic effects of ocean acidification on fisheries and other marine resources.

Your decision in support of this program would be an important step toward developing pragmatic solutions to the CO2 problem that provide incentives for creative problem solving and that begin to bring this major externality into the market system.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-11 10:54:38

**Form Letter 76 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Fioretta

Email Address: jfioretta@comcast.net

Affiliation: Concerned Citizen

Subject: Comment on Cap and Trade

Comment:

While I appreciate the effort and thoroughness that went into the proposed regulations, I suggest that there is a simpler, more efficient, and fairer method for reducing GHG emissions in California--carbon fee and dividend. A fee and dividend system would set gradually increasing fees on fossil fuels and other sources of GHG at the point of production or import. Then ALL the revenues from these fees would be returned to each household in the state. This would be the most efficient way of reducing GHG's in California because it would be simple to implement, not subject to manipulation, and provide predictable fuel prices. As these many pages of regulations (and the 2000+ page federal cap and trade legislation that failed in Congress last year) attest, cap and trade is complicated. Fee and dividend would require far less regulation to implement and explain--set a price on carbon fuels and designate an authority to collect it. Nor would fee and dividend permit interpretive battles over offsets. Finally and most importantly, it would be predictable. A fixed and steadily rising price on fossil fuels and GHG would help businesses plan future expenses and encourage entrepreneurs to innovate alternate energy sources and consumers to purchase them, while protecting the poor from fuel and heating price increases. Because dividends would be returned to the people this approach should be acceptable to politicians of all parties. Thank you for this opportunity to share my views. Respectfully submitted, John Fioretta

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-11 15:52:06

Form Letter 77 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 15-1.

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Rodriguez
Email Address: npkpraps@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

To the Air Resources Board,

I would like to show my upmost disgust for your plan of Cap and Trade in California.
First it was your MTBE mistake now this is the next MISTAKE you are planning to make.

Do you not understand that you are going to cripple our economy?
Cause job Losses, people to lose their homes, cars/trucks.

Do you think it is fair and just for people to pay skyrocketed prices for electricity, natural gas, gas & diesel??

You are using energy as the media for which to TAX us to death.
Because you know that we need electricity in our everyday lives and that we all need gas and diesel fuel for this world to spin!!!

I am completely against Cap & Trade in California! and I want you to stop this NOW!

As you taxing us with gas and diesel, will only make the citizens and businesses of California poorer!

Here are a few links I would to add to prove my point:
<http://www.thenewamerican.com/index.php/world-mainmenu-26/europe-mainmenu-35/5253-un-official-admits-cap-and-trade-is-wealth-red>

This proves that Cap & Trade is nothing but a Redistribution of Wealth and that
Global warming is MADE UP!

Here are a few quotes from the interview I would like to show you!

o further prove my point I would like you to conduct your own research on an interview conducted by Germany's NZZ Online Sunday.

It in Mr. OTTMAR EDENHOFER a UN IPCC OFFICIAL, which means (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). Admits that climate change is a scam and says "We redistribute de facto the world's wealth by climate policy"

Edenhofer further says "stating that cap and trade would cost the average American household an extra \$1,600 per year. It would increase the price for a gallon of gasoline between \$0.61 to \$2.53, and would increase electricity costs anywhere from 44 percent to 129 percent.

Here is an article by StreetRodder Magazine:

<http://blogs.streetrodderweb.com/6690698/car-initiative/california-global-warming-solutions-act-info-on-assembly-bill-32/index.html>

That exposes the faults and truth behind ab32!

Here are a few quotes from the article "A few days ago, the San Francisco Chronicle exposed CARB's estimate of pollution from diesel trucks as a 340 percent overstatement, while independent truckers leave the business rather than bear the costs CARB is imposing on the basis of this calculation. Today, a new paper by Robert Michaels of California State University, Fullerton explains the many ways in which CARB's economic modeling of AB 32 is fatally flawed and how CARB threatens California's economic welfare.

CARB assumes that its fuel economy regulations will cost "only" \$1050 per vehicle in 2016 and \$2,000 in 2020. Estimates by others are much higher.

* According to CARB, the benefits of a low carbon fuel standard that reduces GHG emissions equal its costs. CARB, however, conveniently excludes over \$6 billion per year in federal ethanol and biofuel subsidies from the costs.

* Hidden in the "fine print" of CARB's scoping plan is an admission that to meet the 2020 goals it will need to cut the number of passenger vehicles by 20 percent (while population grows by 12 percent), but the Board does not specify exactly how this will be done.

Here is a long list of Businesses that have left the state of California due to ab32!

<http://blogs.streetrodderweb.com/6694093/car-initiative/why-we-need-proposition-23-to-pass/index.html>

Also by taxing oil refineries, you are only having the refineries pass that tax on to us the citizens (consumers) of fuel and oil products. You are not changing our consumption as it is a necessity but. Forcing us to pay a lot more for a necessity.

This is unfair, unjust and I want it to stop NOW!

You are going to make a monopoly out of making money off of taxing electricity and gas & diesel in our state! It is sickening and honestly pathetic on your part!

I would also like to point out if you are for the environment, then why would you have trees cut down in exchange for companies getting carbon credits?? That makes no sense to me what so ever.

Could you explain how that works??

Also I would like to ask where does the money go that would be made off of cap and trade? Which of you is getting rich off of this money?

You should be ashamed of yourselves at even the thought of this let alone going forward with this.

Here is the sf gate article that exposes you 340% FLAW in calculations CARB!!!!

<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2010/10/08/MNOF1FDMRV.DTL>

Here is the quote you need to read :

10-08) 04:00 PDT Sacramento --

California grossly miscalculated pollution levels in a scientific analysis used to toughen the state's clean-air standards, and scientists have spent the past several months revising data and planning a significant weakening of the landmark regulation, The Chronicle has found.

The pollution estimate in question was too high - by 340 percent, according to the California Air Resources Board, the state agency charged with researching and adopting air quality standards.

I want this STOPPED NOW! GOT IT CARB!

Sincerely Paul Rodriguez!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-11 15:51:22

**Form Letter 78 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Tom

Last Name: Shaw

Email Address: dt_shaw@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Fee and dividend system is more reliable

Comment:

Some participants in a market for carbon allowances and offsets will find ways to game the system - using opportunities provided by conflicts of interest, price-fixing or fraud, for example. As a result, dishonest or well-situated players will profit and become entrenched interests while they thwart the purpose of the program. They can hide their manipulation in ways that enable them to continue for years.

Please examine the possibilities of a fee-and-dividend program, a method that is much more transparent and therefore reliable for reducing GHG emissions.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-11 16:44:18

**Form Letter 79 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 15-1.**

First Name: Martin
Last Name: Cheek
Email Address: martych@gte.net
Affiliation: citizen

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

I feel cap and trade is so complicated and messy that it won't work. I've recently learned about the concept of fee and dividend and I'd like to see potential legislation in Sacramento focus on that. Fee and dividend seems like a much more workable -- and proactive -- way to solve our climate problems than cap and trade.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-11 16:57:54

Form Letter 80 for Comment 19 for California Cap-and-Trade Program (capandtrade10) - 15-1.

First Name: Bob
Last Name: La Follette
Email Address: hairdesginsby@yahoo.com
Affiliation: MAFCA, NCRG, President of Henry's A's

Subject: Cap & Trade
Comment:

Dear Air Resources Board,

This letter is concerning Cap & Trade in California.

I am part of the Model a Ford Club of America and the Northern California Regional Group. And President of Henry's A's of Livermore.

I am the proud owner of several 1930 and 1931 model a fords! I am very upset over your plan of Cap & Trade in my beloved State of California. The thought of what you have planned is very upsetting to me.

As part of MAFCA i am a preservationist of America history and I seek to not smog or alter my vehicles in anyway other then how they were originally made. I also do not see it just in any way shape of form to pay your mindless carbon tax fees that would result under cap and trade!

They are only a way for your to generate vast amounts of money of our fuel in this state and off of electricity. Both of which every person needs to survive and thrive.

This is robbing innocent people and businesses under your flawed scheme!

What you have planned will cause many companies to leave this state for good and for many people to leave this state as well! The remaining will result in people becoming poor and not being able to afford fuel or electricity in california!

And for the costs of goods and business to skyrocket as well!

The following articles show my point that you have a flawed plan here carb!

The article is by forbes and is from nasa, It debunks global warming!
<http://news.yahoo.com/nasa-data-blow-gaping-hold-global-warming-alarmism-192334971.html>

The next one is from the San Fransisco Chronicle and shows your 340 % Over calculation of Diesel Emmisions OOPS carb!

<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2010/10/08/MNOF1FDMRV.DTL>

Here are two from Street Rodder Magazine, these are articles with facts on ab32 & which show a list of Companies who have already fled the state!

<http://blogs.streetrodderweb.com/6694093/car-initiative/why-we-need-proposition-23-to-pass/index.html>

<http://blogs.streetrodderweb.com/6690698/car-initiative/california-global-warming-solutions-act-info-on-assembly-bill-32/index.html>

Here is an LA times article showing the predicted effects of ab32 and the future cost of oil due to ab32!

<http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/greenspace/2010/09/prop-23-oil-gas-prices-ab-32.html>

I think that is enough proof, if you need anymore dont hesitate to call me 925 634 1316

Please stop this flawed money making scheme before you make our citizens and business broke in this state! You are making a big mistake here carb!

I want you to explain where the money that would be generated off of this is going.
How it is legal for you to steal money from us under taxing our electricity and fuel!

Also who is Dr. Hien Tran? And why did he order his phd?
Therefore he is not a real doctor. The fake university he ordered it from's address is a ups store!

Carb also has \$57 million legislative appropriation to adopt regulations rationing greenhouse gas emmisions that are unaccounted for! Where did the money go?

and you have 1176 employees with an average salary of \$85,000.00
What exactly do they do.

With NASA debunking global warming, why are you persuing this.

I also noticed that carb wants 20% of California's passenger cars off the road? Is this along with your carbon taxing an effort to make money and control our people I think so.

I ask you Carb not to implement your CAP & Trade in my beloved state!

Not to tax our electricity, Not to tax refineries and Not to tax our gasoline and diesel in our state!

And leave smog out of my classic cars

Yours Truly

Bob La Follette

Macfa, Ncrg, President of Hnery's A's of Livermore

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-11 16:59:40