

Form Letter 1 for Comment 20 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Howard
Last Name: Cohen
Email Address: howard@cohensw.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please correct the major flaws in your agency's forestry protocol
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols:

While the ARB is considering alternatives to the cap-and-trade program, please also correct the major flaws in your agency's forestry protocol.

As it stands now with the current cap-and-trade forestry protocol, California will be rewarding timber companies for despoiling the land and emitting large volumes of CO₂.

The protocol allows forest clearcuts (a.k.a. even-aged management) that can dramatically impair water quality and quantity in affected watersheds. The clearcuts you permit to qualify as "offsets" potentially impact the health and well-being of millions of Californians, as well as future generations.

Please correct the forestry protocol to allow "offset" projects to include only uneven-age forests – which not only sequester CO₂ but preserve wildlife habitat and other values.

NO clearcuts should ever be allowed in our forests, as these are the most environmentally destructive actions possible.

Sincerely,

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-25 19:26:14

Form Letter 2 for Comment 20 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: julie
Last Name: winters
Email Address: good_dog@mindspring.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the cap-and-trade forestry protocol to ban clearcuts
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols:

While the ARB is considering alternatives to the cap-and-trade program, please also correct the major flaws in your agency's forestry protocol.

As it stands now with the current cap-and-trade forestry protocol, California will be rewarding timber companies for despoiling the land and emitting large volumes of CO₂.

The protocol allows forest clearcuts (a.k.a even-aged management) that can dramatically impair water quality and quantity in affected watersheds. The clearcuts you permit to qualify as "offsets" potentially impact the health and well-being of millions of Californians, as well as future generations.

Please correct the forestry protocol to allow "offset" projects to include only uneven-age forests – which not only sequester CO₂ but preserve wildlife habitat and other values.

Please provide a response in writing, informing me how the California Air Resources Board will address my concerns at the address below. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julie Winters
92 Fairview Plaza #3
Los Gatos, CA 95030

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-25 21:49:41

Form Letter 3 for Comment 20 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Janet
Last Name: Gracyk
Email Address: gracyk707@gmail.com
Affiliation: Landscape Architect

Subject: A.B. 32
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols:

While the ARB is considering alternatives to the cap-and-trade program (A.B.32), I am sure you will want to correct a serious flaw in the agency's forestry management tools.

Timber owners doing the forest management can readily to be financially rewarded for clearcutting forests, releasing enormous quantities of CO₂, all in the name of controlling CO₂.

Please correct the forestry protocol to allow "offset" projects to include only uneven-age forests which not only sequester CO₂ but preserve wildlife habitat and other values.

Sincerely,

Janet Gracyk

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 09:25:39

Form Letter 4 for Comment 20 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Gardner
Email Address: larry_gardner@xanda.com
Affiliation: Forests Forever/Families For Fair Govern

Subject: Fix the flawed Forest Protocol in AB32
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols:

While the ARB is considering alternatives to the cap-and-trade program, please also correct the major flaws in your agency's forestry protocol.

As it stands now with the current cap-and-trade forestry protocol, California will be rewarding timber companies for despoiling the land and emitting large volumes of CO₂.

The protocol allows forest clearcuts (a.k.a even-aged management) that can dramatically impair water quality and quantity in affected watersheds. The clearcuts you permit to qualify as "offsets" potentially impact the health and well-being of millions of Californians, as well as future generations.

Please correct the forestry protocol to allow "offset" projects to include only uneven-age forests – which not only sequester CO₂ but preserve wildlife habitat and other values.

Sincerely,
Larry J. Gardner

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 09:37:16

Form Letter 5 for Comment 20 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Thomas
Last Name: Patterson
Email Address: t.c.patterson@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reform the cap-and-trade forestry protocol to ban clearcuts
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols:

While the ARB is considering alternatives to the cap-and-trade program, please also correct the major flaws in your agency's forestry protocol.

As it stands now with the current cap-and-trade forestry protocol, California will be rewarding timber companies for despoiling the land and emitting large volumes of CO₂.

The protocol allows forest clearcuts (a.k.a even-aged management) that can dramatically impair water quality and quantity in affected watersheds. The clearcuts you permit to qualify as "offsets" potentially impact the health and well-being of millions of Californians, as well as future generations.

Please correct the forestry protocol to allow "offset" projects to include only uneven-age forests – which not only sequester CO₂ but preserve wildlife habitat and other values.

Sincerely,

Thomas C. Patterson

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 15:21:01