

Form Letter 1 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Christina

Last Name: Medina

Email Address: christina@ceh.org

Affiliation:

Subject: No more cap and trade!

Comment:

- Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!
- Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 11:39:59

Form Letter 2 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Heather
Last Name: Clapp
Email Address: clappheather@gmail.com
Affiliation: Piedmont Connect

Subject: Alternatives to Cap and Trade in AB 32
Comment:

Dear Board Members,

I urge you to eliminate the Cap and Trade approach when taking a closer look at the way the AB 32 Bill is structured. A stronger plan would include the following approaches:

1) Implement a Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We, the People, need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!

2) Regulate Specific Pollution Sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. It is critical to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Thank you for taking the time to consider these two thoughtful, economical viable approaches for the State of California. Please help us take the lead and model this so others can quickly catch on. Time is of the essence and we can not led by Big Oil Companies and the American Chemical Council any longer. The future of our children is what counts.

Best,
Heather Clapp
Piedmont, CA.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 11:43:10

Form Letter 3 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Raleigh

Last Name: Latham

Email Address: raleighlatham@comcast.net

Affiliation: Local Clean Energy Alliance

Subject: Embrace Carbon Tax instead of Cap and Trade

Comment:

A Carbon Tax is a much better way to reduce Carbon than Cap and Trade, because it is not as easily manipulated by the interests of utility and gas companies.

A stronger plan would combine two of the approaches identified by CARB:

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 12:05:19

Form Letter 4 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Eric

Last Name: Drake

Email Address: mericdrake@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: No Cap and Trade

Comment:

This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 13:20:58

Form Letter 5 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Craig
Last Name: Collins
Email Address: ccollins@igc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: cutting carbon
Comment:

I would like the California Air Resources Board to adopt the following two carbon reduction policies:

- Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!
- Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 18:17:22

Form Letter 6 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Currie

Email Address: lindamurphydog@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Implementation Plan

Comment:

I am writing to voice my support for implementing AB 32 via a carbon tax and regulating specific pollution sources. Let's make California a leader in successfully curbing greenhouse gases in an efficient and effective way.

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Sincerely,
Linda Currie
1359 Tomlee Drive
Berkeley, CA 94702

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 22:16:57

Form Letter 7 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: michael

Last Name: katz

Email Address: 1michaelkatz1@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Implement these alternatives to cap and trade

Comment:

A stronger plan than cap and trade would combine:

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 23:03:17

Form Letter 8 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: R.

Last Name: Grimm

Email Address: repubsoutn09@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Recommended Alternatives to Cap and Trade

Comment:

A stronger plan would combine two of the approaches identified by CARB:

- Carbon Tax. This is a much more clearer approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, social services and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does. I think it's only fair that humongous corporations like Chevron (among others) pay their fair share !

- Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's an excellent idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure that the environment gets cleaned up for the communities that live around them.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-27 20:22:29

Form Letter 9 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Sasson

Email Address: simcha3@msn.com

Affiliation:

Subject: A Carbon Tax and Enforcement of Regulations re Pollution Sources

Comment:

Many thanks for asking for input.

A stronger plan than Cap and Trade (which has been a colossal failure in Europe where it has been tried unless you count massive new financial markets without lessening of carbon emissions a success) would combine two of the approaches identified by CARB:

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 09:13:22

Form Letter 10 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Rose Penelope

Last Name: Yee

Email Address: rose@greenretirementplans.com

Affiliation: Green Retirement Plans, Inc.

Subject: No to a Cap-and-Trade Program for California

Comment:

Please do not consider a carbon cap-and-trade program for California.

Please consider these two options instead:

Carbon Tax - This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources - A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Thank you for your efforts in helping California reduce its carbon emissions in the most effective and sustainable manner possible.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 11:53:29

Form Letter 11 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Aaron
Last Name: Lehmer
Email Address: aaron@baylocalize.org
Affiliation: Bay Localize

Subject: Implement Better Alternatives to Cap and Trade
Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board:

As a long-time resident of California, I am deeply grateful for your efforts to forward climate solutions, especially given the potentially grave consequences of inaction on climate change.

However, I am deeply concerned about efforts to move forward on a cap-and-trade program. The European Union's first cap-and-trade system actually produced windfall profits for polluters, and failed to seriously reduce emissions!

We can, and must do better to tackle the challenge at hand. A stronger plan would combine two key approaches that you and your colleagues have already identified:

- * Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does – make polluters pay!

- * Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Again, I appreciate your efforts to forward solutions to the climate crisis. Let's just be sure to do so in ways that truly require polluters to pay their share and to encourage the transition to a clean energy economy.

Sincerely,

Aaron Lehmer
Oakland

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 16:03:36