Form Letter 1 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Candace Last Name: Reed

Email Address: comobutterfly@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO to the low carbon amendment

Comment:

This would:

Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.

Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.

Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.

Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.

Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 09:30:15

Form Letter 2 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Paulette Last Name: Zimmerman

Email Address: pzimmerman@ssndcp.org

Affiliation:

Subject: Do not include CAFO gas in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard Comment:

Including factory farm gas in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard

- Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.
- Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.
- Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.
- Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.
- Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution.
- Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

Again, this is a bad idea for humans, other-than-human animals, and the planet!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 09:30:57

Form Letter 3 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - .

First Name: Linda Last Name: Barta

Email Address: Lindaasb3@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: California Methane Law

Comment:

Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.

Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.

Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.

Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.

Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 09:36:44

Form Letter 4 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Susan Last Name: Wrasmann

Email Address: swras@fidmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Including factory farm gas in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard would:

Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.

Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.

Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.

Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.

Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

Pollute our water resources with waste from factory farms (CAFOs)

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 09:41:41

Form Letter 5 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Judith Last Name: Campbell

Email Address: jacampbell314@gmail.com

Affiliation: - None -

Subject: Against CARB's from incentivizing more Factory Farms which hurt MO Family

Farmers
Comment:

I am against this because it:

Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.

Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.

Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.

Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.

Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

This is a BAD idea as it hurts MO independent family farmers. Do NOT pass this amendment!

Thank you. St. Louis, MO

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 10:25:52

Form Letter 6 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Connie Last Name: Key

Email Address: keyviewfarms@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Stop Fueling More Factory Farms in the MIdwest

Comment:

Please stop factory farm gas in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard that would:

- 1. Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.
- 2. Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.
- 3. Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.
- 4. Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.
- 5. Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution.
- 6. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

As a farm family living in the Midwest, we don't need more factory farms or their biproducts. Family farms are what has helped make this country great—individuals who love and care for the land and animals and our environment. We don't need more corporate farms that pollute our water and air and land.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 17:36:48

Form Letter 7 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve Last Name: McLuckie

Email Address: steve.mcluckie44@gmail.com Affiliation: Missouri Rural Crisis Center

Subject: Do NOT include factory farm gas in the Cal Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Comment:

Including factory farm gas in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard
would:

- *Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.
- *Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.
- *Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.
- *Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.
- *Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution.
 *Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

I ask that factory farm gas not be eligible for inclusion in the Low Carbon Fuel Standard.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-14 18:02:27

Form Letter 8 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Erika Last Name: Tompkins

Email Address: edt423@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: No more factory farms in the Midwest, Please!

Comment:

Including factory farm gas in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard
would:

Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.

Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.

Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.

Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.

Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-15 14:35:50

Form Letter 9 for Comment 41 for Proposed Low Carbon Fuel Standard Amendments (lcfs2024) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gabe Last Name: Meyer

Email Address: longgonegabe@gmail.com

Affiliation: Friend

Subject: CARB drawbacks

Comment:

PLEASE reevaluate CARB's approach to lower carbon output. Things we don't like about CARB:

Incentivize more corporate factory farms, harming family farmers, rural communities, and our environment.

Create more corporate consolidation in the U.S. livestock industry.

Commoditize methane production, which would fuel more methane producing practices.

Create additional overproduction of commodities, pork and milk, increasing supply and further pushing down market prices paid to independent family farms.

Pay foreign multinational meatpackers, like Chinese-owned Smithfield and Brazilian-owned JBS, for their pollution. Create incentives for the public (taxpayer dollars through government subsidies) to fund anaerobic digesters to capture factory farm gas.

We could go on and on about how this is a BAD idea, but we will leave it at that.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2024-02-16 09:39:08