

**Form Letter 1 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Allison

Last Name: Barnett

Email Address: allisonbarnett08@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:48:28

**Form Letter 2 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Allen

Email Address: ballen@cooperthorne.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emmissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:50:59

**Form Letter 3 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joe

Last Name: Bologna

Email Address: jbbologna@hollmanbologna.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.”

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California’s homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:51:58

**Form Letter 4 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Max  
Last Name: Greene  
Email Address: max@aes2.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:52:21

**Form Letter 5 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark  
Last Name: Brencick  
Email Address: mark@lmco.net  
Affiliation: Civil Engineer

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:52:37

**Form Letter 6 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stephen  
Last Name: Doyle  
Email Address: steved@san.rr.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:54:40

**Form Letter 7 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stefan

Last Name: Horstschaer

Email Address: stefan@reedersutherland.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:55:49

**Form Letter 8 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nancy  
Last Name: Diamond  
Email Address: nancy@biasandiego.org  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:55:58

**Form Letter 9 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Aulicino

Email Address: Michael.Aulicino@gfrhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:57:04



**Form Letter 10 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Glen

Last Name: Freyermuth

Email Address: glen@granitehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:11



**Form Letter 11 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James

Last Name: Stich

Email Address: jstich@beazer.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:11

**Form Letter 12 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Josh

Last Name: Santos

Email Address: josh.santos@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:44

**Form Letter 13 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Vance

Email Address: John.Vance@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:50

**Form Letter 14 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Matamoros

Email Address: michael.matamoros@willis.com

Affiliation:

Subject: SB 375 and AB 32

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:51

**Form Letter 15 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steven  
Last Name: Ford  
Email Address: steven.ford@pulte.com  
Affiliation: Pulet Homes

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:15

**Form Letter 16 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Erin  
Last Name: Capagli  
Email Address: erin.capagli@pulte.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:22

**Form Letter 17 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: DAN

Last Name: WORSLEY

Email Address: DWORSLEY@SIGNTECHNOLOGY.COM

Affiliation: HOME BUILDERS ASSOCIATION

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:31

**Form Letter 18 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jim  
Last Name: Immer  
Email Address: jim.immer@lewisop.com  
Affiliation: Lewis Planned Communities

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Sincerely,  
Jim Immer

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:38





**Form Letter 19 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steven  
Last Name: Ford  
Email Address: steven.ford@pulte.com  
Affiliation: Pulte Homes

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:50

**Form Letter 20 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Currrens

Email Address: gcurrrens@style-interiors.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:50

**Form Letter 21 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Martinez

Email Address: lmartinez@biasec.org

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:01

**Form Letter 22 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Slamkowski

Email Address: lisa.slam@desertchapter.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

NO on new emission burdens for housing. NO NO NO

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:15



**Form Letter 23 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: bjohnson@theRCHgroup.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:20

**Form Letter 24 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Patrick

Last Name: Revere

Email Address: prevere@rbf.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:40

**Form Letter 25 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joe  
Last Name: Ripple  
Email Address: joe@schellingerbrothers.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets.

Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise.

Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Joe Ripple

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:53

**Form Letter 26 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Beau

Last Name: Cooper

Email Address: bcooper@unitedeng.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:57

**Form Letter 27 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Rob  
Last Name: Aragon  
Email Address: roba@jtscommunities.com  
Affiliation: JTS Communities

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:



Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:02:25

**Form Letter 28 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Roy  
Last Name: Kroll  
Email Address: roy.k@youngdahl.net  
Affiliation: Youngdahl Consulting Group

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:02:34



**Form Letter 29 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Horton

Email Address: rhorton64@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:03:37

**Form Letter 30 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Terwilliger  
Email Address: john.terwilliger@imb.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:03:40

**Form Letter 31 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Keenan

Email Address: biabob@hbatk.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Scoping Plan Comments

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:05:01





**Form Letter 32 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Terando

Email Address: jterando@griffinindustries.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:06:06

**Form Letter 33 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Keenan

Email Address: build@hbatk.com

Affiliation: Home Builders Association of Tulare/King

Subject: CARB Scoping Plan Comments

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

The HBA of Tulare/Kings Counties strongly urges the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:07:19



**Form Letter 34 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Rapp

Last Name: Fitzsimmons

Email Address: rapp.fitzsimmons@cox.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:07:26

**Form Letter 35 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jonathan

Last Name: Weldy

Email Address: jweldy@meridianlanddevelopment.com

Affiliation: Building Industry Association

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:08:09



**Form Letter 36 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Christopher  
Last Name: Morrow  
Email Address: chrism@projectdesign.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Please don't further and negatively impact California's economy. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:08:14



**Form Letter 37 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bruce

Last Name: Cable

Email Address: bcrable@newhall.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:09:31

**Form Letter 38 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pete  
Last Name: Giampaoli  
Email Address: pete@epickhomes.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:09:47

**Form Letter 39 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Buxton

Email Address: dick@specialtymetalsfab.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While it is in question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, there isn't any known credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:09:53

**Form Letter 40 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary  
Last Name: Glazer  
Email Address: gary.glazer@lennar.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:11:07



**Form Letter 41 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: toby

Last Name: wells

Email Address: tobntam@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:11:08

**Form Letter 42 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Ouellette

Email Address: souellette@landcappartners.com

Affiliation: BIA

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:14:41

**Form Letter 43 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Szymanski

Email Address: gszymanski@parklandhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:16:33

**Form Letter 44 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: sarah

Last Name: Haynes

Email Address: shaynes@unitedeng.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdnes for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

<click here>

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:17:39

**Form Letter 45 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: Maher  
Email Address: mmaher@hsr gb.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:24:15

**Form Letter 46 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Trudie

Last Name: Wilson

Email Address: [trudie.wilson@lennar.com](mailto:trudie.wilson@lennar.com)

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:24:18



**Form Letter 47 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark

Last Name: Hanson

Email Address: mhanson@sbmcorp.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on New Emission Burdens for Housing

Comment:

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:24:19

**Form Letter 48 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Forest

Email Address: gforest@hsmlaw.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Sufficient scientific information does not exist to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level. Such reductions are not reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:27:05

**Form Letter 49 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Coles

Email Address: chrisc@cobblestonehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSIONS FOR HOUSING

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:28:34

**Form Letter 50 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Burton  
Email Address: rburton@hofmannhomes.com  
Affiliation: The Hofmann Company

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:28:55

**Form Letter 51 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lesli

Last Name: Fellman

Email Address: lfellman@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing!

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:



Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:31:50

**Form Letter 52 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark

Last Name: Knorringa

Email Address: mknorringa@riversidebia.org

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:32:18

**Form Letter 53 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: robert

Last Name: clark

Email Address: bclark@clarkgreen.com

Affiliation:

Subject: vote no on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:35:28

**Form Letter 54 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ken

Last Name: Corhan

Email Address: ken.corhan@lewisop.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on New Emission Restrictions on Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:35:50

**Form Letter 55 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: jack  
Last Name: hartung  
Email Address: jrhrealestate@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:38:12



**Form Letter 56 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alex  
Last Name: Herrell  
Email Address: aherrell@newhall.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:39:13

**Form Letter 57 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jim  
Last Name: Bayless  
Email Address: jbayless@treasurehomes.com  
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:39:24

**Form Letter 58 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Roger

Last Name: Grable

Email Address: rgrable@manatt.com

Affiliation: Manatt, Phelps and Phillips

Subject: Vote No on AB 32 Scoping Plan Burden on Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. In fact I have heard that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to a significantly higher number.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. There is really no scientific support to even justify greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level. Anything more than this is merely a negotiating posture but those with other agendas and ignore the potential economic consequences on the housing industry, the need for housing in the state and the related economic consequences that would occur.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:43:15

**Form Letter 59 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Watson

Email Address: [rwatson@rwaplanning.com](mailto:rwatson@rwaplanning.com)

Affiliation: Richard Watson & Associates

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders and their consultants have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. They also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:45:51

**Form Letter 60 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Brendan  
Last Name: McKenny  
Email Address: lumber@northcoast.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Best Regards  
Brendan McKenny

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:46:16



**Form Letter 61 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Deborah

Last Name: Cottle

Email Address: dcottle@ptwww.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:05:27

**Form Letter 62 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: pierre

Last Name: lependeven

Email Address: lependeven@iris-homes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing!

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:16:58

**Form Letter 63 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bob

Last Name: Yoder

Email Address: yoderfam@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:16:58

**Form Letter 64 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary  
Last Name: Cinti  
Email Address: gary@cinti.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:17:35

**Form Letter 65 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lance

Last Name: Smith

Email Address: lsmith@greenbriarhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:40:14

**Form Letter 66 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jerry  
Last Name: De Young  
Email Address: jad@deyoungproperties.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:47:24

**Form Letter 67 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: trece

Last Name: herder

Email Address: treceherder@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: vote no on new emissions burden for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 19:31:00

## **Form Letter 68 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg  
Last Name: Waite  
Email Address: gregwaite@roadrunner.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping  
Comment:

Please do not add to the already outrageous cost of new housing any more. The term "affordable housing" is already a joke. Cal ARB knows the industry has been weakened by the recent economic debacle. Please do not allow this group to kick an entire industry while they are down. This is not the time to be taking action that would reduce jobs in our already tenuous economic condition.

I am writing to express my deep concern over the proposed recommendation regarding the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 19:51:58

**Form Letter 69 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kent

Last Name: Aden

Email Address: kaden@otayranch.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:03:20



**Form Letter 70 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Randy  
Last Name: Goodson  
Email Address: Randy@accretive-group.com  
Affiliation: Property Owner

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:12:48

**Form Letter 71 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Larry

Last Name: Persons

Email Address: larry.persons@cobaltcc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:43:31

**Form Letter 72 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ray  
Last Name: Pearl  
Email Address: raypearl@gmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:50:51



**Form Letter 73 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Del

Last Name: Barbray

Email Address: delbarbray@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:52:32



**Form Letter 74 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Damon

Last Name: Nygren

Email Address: nygrendn@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:54:12

**Form Letter 75 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Vincent

Last Name: Rover

Email Address: vrover@privateislandhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 21:29:16

**Form Letter 76 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Urrutia

Email Address: purrutia@privateislandhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 21:31:05

**Form Letter 77 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard  
Last Name: Willhoit  
Email Address: Dick@EstrellaAssociates.com  
Affiliation: Estrella Associates, Inc.

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 21:49:47



**Form Letter 78 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: Jagels  
Email Address: [mjagels@jagelsdevelopment.com](mailto:mjagels@jagelsdevelopment.com)  
Affiliation:

Subject: VoteNo on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 22:54:25

**Form Letter 79 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Eric  
Last Name: Nelson  
Email Address: enelson@rmrginc.com  
Affiliation: ICSC, BIA, ULI, APA, AIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

Dear CARB,

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Eric A. Nelson  
Vice President  
Red Mountain Retail Group, Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 05:33:09

**Form Letter 80 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jim  
Last Name: Gillen  
Email Address: jgillen@huitt-zollars.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 05:36:16

**Form Letter 81 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Larry

Last Name: Simonetti

Email Address: larrys@laneengineers.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Larry Simonetti

President, Lane Engineers, Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 06:49:27

**Form Letter 82 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Harry

Last Name: Moos

Email Address: harry.moos@yahoo.com

Affiliation: PHCC of Ca, GreenPlumbersUSA

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 06:51:14

**Form Letter 83 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mohamad  
Last Name: Younes  
Email Address: mohamad.y@inlandcorp.com  
Affiliation: Inland Communities Corp.

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 06:56:02

**Form Letter 84 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Patrick

Last Name: Teter

Email Address: n850@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:01:55

**Form Letter 85 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Aaron

Last Name: Oliver

Email Address: aaron@laneengineers.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:02:53

**Form Letter 86 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Anthony  
Last Name: Cinquini  
Email Address: tcinquini@cinquinipassarino.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:05:43

**Form Letter 87 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alan

Last Name: Boudreau

Email Address: alan@boudreaupipeline.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:05:52



**Form Letter 88 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Max  
Last Name: Phillips  
Email Address: mpimax@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:07:13

**Form Letter 89 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Roger

Last Name: Davila

Email Address: rdavila@davilaproperties.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdon for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:12:15

**Form Letter 90 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Scott

Email Address: steve@scottconst.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:15:01

**Form Letter 91 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Drew

Last Name: Forray

Email Address: drew@classichardwoodfloors.com

Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:19:12

**Form Letter 92 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: DAVE

Last Name: BROWNSON

Email Address: DBROWNSON@TAEINC.COM

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:21:15





**Form Letter 93 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Maury

Last Name: Froman

Email Address: maury@rottmanfroman.com

Affiliation: HBACC

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens on housing

Comment:

I am a home builder who lives and works on the central coast. I am writing to express my strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While I question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, I know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Thank you for your consideration.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:26:46

**Form Letter 94 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Eric

Last Name: Van Dusen

Email Address: evandusen@kbhome.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:29:18

**Form Letter 95 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Frith

Email Address: sctrojan79@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:29:23

**Form Letter 96 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kevin

Last Name: Heeney

Email Address: kheeney@cooperthorne.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:32:04

**Form Letter 97 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jay

Last Name: Bullock

Email Address: Jay.Bullock@mac.com

Affiliation: Orange County development community

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:33:31

**Form Letter 98 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David  
Last Name: Berry  
Email Address: dave@uborainc.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:39:51

**Form Letter 99 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Cheeseman

Email Address: scott.cheeseman@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:42:34

**Form Letter 100 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Costa

Email Address: johnc@northstatebia.org

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:44:21

**Form Letter 101 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jim  
Last Name: little  
Email Address: jlittle@kscdevelop.com  
Affiliation: Patterson

Subject: Green house gas restrictions  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:46:56

**Form Letter 102 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Brian  
Last Name: Canaris  
Email Address: bcanaris@cox.net  
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:47:40

**Form Letter 103 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kevin

Last Name: Canning

Email Address: kevin.canning@brehmco.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:49:15

**Form Letter 104 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Terry

Last Name: Knet

Email Address: tkent@crestwoodcommunities.com

Affiliation: Crestwood Communities

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:52:35

**Form Letter 105 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kay

Last Name: Cementina

Email Address: kay@kaycementina.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:55:32

**Form Letter 106 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jeff

Last Name: Schroeder

Email Address: annsjeffs@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:56:04

**Form Letter 107 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: jim  
Last Name: warmington  
Email Address: jim@warmingtongroup.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thanks for your consideration

JIm

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:03:12

**Form Letter 108 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Weinstein

Email Address: david@charterland.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:06:15

**Form Letter 109 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Aida

Last Name: Hercules-Dodaro

Email Address: aida.hercules@us.bureauveritas.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Vote NO

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:12:26

**Form Letter 110 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David  
Last Name: Agee  
Email Address: dagee@khov.com  
Affiliation: K Hovnanian Homes

Subject: Vote "NO" on new emissions burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:15:55



**Form Letter 111 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Suzann

Last Name: Snitko

Email Address: snitkos@pulte.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:20:30

**Form Letter 112 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Rick  
Last Name: Bianchi  
Email Address: rick.bianchi@centex.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:24:18

**Form Letter 113 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Valerie

Last Name: Hoff

Email Address: valvalhoff@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:24:28

**Form Letter 114 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg  
Last Name: Smith  
Email Address: insure@smithkandal.com  
Affiliation: Smith-Kandal Ins & RE

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:26:59

**Form Letter 115 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: jon

Last Name: deyoung

Email Address: jrd@deyoungproperties.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:29:34



**Form Letter 116 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Earl  
Last Name: Jeffery  
Email Address: earlj@scsdevelopment.com  
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:31:19

**Form Letter 117 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alina

Last Name: Perez

Email Address: Alina.Perez@meritagehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on Scoping Plan

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:32:16

**Form Letter 118 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jill  
Last Name: Workman  
Email Address: angeljnw@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:34:19

**Form Letter 119 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jill  
Last Name: Cagle  
Email Address: jill.cagle@hotmail.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:35:04



**Form Letter 120 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Darren

Last Name: Smith

Email Address: darren@smithkandal.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:37:46

**Form Letter 121 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Everett

Last Name: Hughes

Email Address: hugheshomesinc@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:40:02

**Form Letter 122 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Charles

Last Name: Kluger

Email Address: charles@pcbinc.com

Affiliation: Construction Industry

Subject: Vote No on new emissionburdensfor housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:40:46

**Form Letter 123 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tom

Last Name: Strobel

Email Address: strobel@softcom.net

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Burden on Housing

Comment:

Please give 375 a chance to function prior to loading up the burden on housing by over reaching with the framework of 32.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:41:40

**Form Letter 124 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dana

Last Name: Bieber

Email Address: dbieber@stanpac.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:43:53

**Form Letter 125 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Matt

Last Name: Tingler

Email Address: mtingler@warmingtongroup.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:11

**Form Letter 126 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Winter

Email Address: chris.winter@pulte.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Chris Winter  
916-746-6134

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:29

**Form Letter 127 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James  
Last Name: Ghielmetti  
Email Address: jghielmetti@sigprop.com  
Affiliation: Signature Properties

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:34

**Form Letter 128 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Patrick

Last Name: O'Malley

Email Address: patrick@asi-inc.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

We can not afford these new regulations with the state of the economy. Limiting growth is wrong for these times.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:56

**Form Letter 129 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Roberta  
Last Name: Colmer  
Email Address: colmer32@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:51:23

**Form Letter 130 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dottie

Last Name: Paek

Email Address: dottie.paek@lennar.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:51:56

**Form Letter 131 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Wayne

Last Name: LeBaron

Email Address: wlebaron@arrival.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote against an additional increase targeting new housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:52:10

**Form Letter 132 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Christopher  
Last Name: De Young  
Email Address: cbd@deyoungproperties.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:53:14

**Form Letter 133 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Toni

Last Name: Raymus

Email Address: toni@raymushomes.com

Affiliation: Raymus Homes, Manteca

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdens for new housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked to increase that target to something higher than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:54:42

**Form Letter 134 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tracy  
Last Name: Williams  
Email Address: twilliams@fcbhomes.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:58:03

**Form Letter 135 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steven  
Last Name: Eggert  
Email Address: sle@antonllc.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:59:30

**Form Letter 136 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jerry

Last Name: Love

Email Address: jerry.love@mascocs.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:59:32

**Form Letter 137 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Thomas  
Last Name: Zimmerman  
Email Address: tom@pcbinc.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Respectfully,

Thomas Zimmerman  
tom@pcbinc.com

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:01:04

**Form Letter 138 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jerry

Last Name: Bunin

Email Address: [jbunin@hbacc.org](mailto:jbunin@hbacc.org)

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:01:52



**Form Letter 139 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Beckman

Email Address: johnb@biadelta.org

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:02:56

**Form Letter 140 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sean

Last Name: Doyle

Email Address: sdoyle@stanpac.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:06:06

**Form Letter 141 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Courtney

Email Address: ccourtney@khov.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:08:57

**Form Letter 142 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve  
Last Name: Fedewa  
Email Address: stevef@borm.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO  
Comment:

Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:12:53

**Form Letter 143 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lorena

Last Name: Fisher

Email Address: lorena@nceca.org

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

The Northern California Engineering Contractors Association strongly urges the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:16:30



**Form Letter 144 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James

Last Name: Ray

Email Address: jray@msce.com

Affiliation: MacKay & Soms Civil Engineers

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:16:31

**Form Letter 145 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sean

Last Name: Santa Cruz

Email Address: ssantacruz@hallmarkcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:18:45

**Form Letter 146 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Frank  
Last Name: Coyle  
Email Address: fcoyle@brandman.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:21:30

**Form Letter 147 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jim

Last Name: Hosner

Email Address: Jim.Hosner@BMCWest.com

Affiliation: BMC West Building Materials

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you,

Jim Hosner  
Administrative Manager  
BMC WEST BUILDING MATERIALS  
4083 RANCHO ROAD, MARYSVILLE, CA 95901  
(530) 743-7300  
CELL (707) 249-0116

FAX (707) 471-4097  
jim.hosner@bmcwest.com

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:22:46



**Form Letter 148 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Russ

Last Name: Haley

Email Address: russ@citymark.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:26:16

**Form Letter 149 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Rust

Email Address: mrust@newlandcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on CARB AB 32

Comment:

Please vote no on AB 32 CARB changes.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:28:48

**Form Letter 150 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bruce

Last Name: Bushore

Email Address: bruce@theenterprisecompanies.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on Gas Emissions

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:28:50

**Form Letter 151 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David  
Last Name: Sumpter  
Email Address: davesumpter@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:32:41

**Form Letter 152 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: ron

Last Name: blain

Email Address: ron@blainhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: scopingpln08

Comment:

a NO vote on emissions for housings ab 32scoping plan

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:39:57



**Form Letter 153 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bryan

Last Name: Starr

Email Address: bstarr@biaoc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emmssion burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:42:37

**Form Letter 154 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Thomas  
Last Name: Swanson  
Email Address: tswanson@stanpac.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on AB32  
Comment:

Please strongly consider voting NO on AB32. I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:44:03

**Form Letter 155 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kym

Last Name: Markley

Email Address: kym.markley@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burden on housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Regards,  
Kym Markley

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:45:11

**Form Letter 156 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary

Last Name: Casper

Email Address: casperces@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on Emissions Burdens for New Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:45:38



**Form Letter 157 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ralph

Last Name: Walker

Email Address: rwalker@ponderosahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:50:20

**Form Letter 158 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Monica

Last Name: Perata

Email Address: mperata@hbanc.org

Affiliation: Home Builders Association

Subject: VOTE NO

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:54:48

**Form Letter 159 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Hoisington

Email Address: Scotth@woodside-homes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing!

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:56:01

**Form Letter 160 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Masson

Email Address: johnmasson@masson-assoc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:58:54

**Form Letter 161 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Zandra

Last Name: Morris

Email Address: zjmorris@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:02:44

**Form Letter 162 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kenneth  
Last Name: Connors  
Email Address: crwdev@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:03:11

**Form Letter 163 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Larry

Last Name: Wilmot

Email Address: lwilmot@camarilloengineering.com

Affiliation: BIA / SCCA

Subject: Vote NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:04:31

**Form Letter 164 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gretchen  
Last Name: Gutierrez  
Email Address: avbia@earthlink.net  
Affiliation: Building Industry Association of So Cal

Subject: CARB Reduction Recommendation concerning Greenhouse Gas Emissions  
Comment:

Please receive and review the attached letter documentation as presented by the Antelope Valley Chapter of the Building Industry Association of Southern California.

Thank you,  
Gretchen Gutierrez  
Executive Officer  
AV Chapter/BIA Southern California

Attachment: [www.arb.ca.gov/lists/scopingpln08/1090-carb\\_ltr\\_re\\_5\\_million\\_ton\\_reduction\\_dec\\_2008.doc](http://www.arb.ca.gov/lists/scopingpln08/1090-carb_ltr_re_5_million_ton_reduction_dec_2008.doc)

Original File Name: CARB ltr re 5 million ton reduction Dec 2008.doc

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:07:38

**Form Letter 165 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: lauren

Last Name: freyermuth

Email Address: lauren@granitehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:09:16

**Form Letter 166 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lee

Last Name: Terry

Email Address: leeterry@lterryrecruiter.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:25:32



**Form Letter 167 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Vacccarezza

Email Address: davevac@cal-waste.com

Affiliation: California Waste Recovery Systems LLC

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:28:53

**Form Letter 168 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Al

Last Name: cohen

Email Address: acohen1068@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:29:59

**Form Letter 169 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Smokey

Last Name: Imes

Email Address: smokey@axiommoney.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:35:39

**Form Letter 170 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dave

Last Name: Warren

Email Address: dwarren@mcmillin.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:36:34

**Form Letter 171 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Janie  
Last Name: Glidden  
Email Address: jbgidden@earthlink.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSIONS BURDENS FOR HOUSING!  
Comment:

PLEASE BE COGNIZANT OF THE ECONOMY AND 'LOGICAL' SOLUTIONS.

MAYBE CARB SHOULD TAKE A HUGE LOOK AT THE DEVICES THAT HELP CARS & LIGHT TRUCKS MORE EFFICIENTLY....ON THE MARKET NOW, INEXPENSIVELY & AVAILABLE! WHY IS IT ALLOWED IN ALL OTHER STATES, CANADA, UK, ETC....AND NOT ABLE TO BE CONSIDERED BY SMOG TESTERS IN CALIFORNIA??????

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHO TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS ISSUE....PLEASE REPLY.

Janie Glidden

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:42:18



**Form Letter 172 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dave

Last Name: Dmohowski

Email Address: daved@projectdesign.com

Affiliation: Premier Planning Group LLC

Subject: AB32 Scoping Meeting--Residential Impacts

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:44:51

**Form Letter 173 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pete  
Last Name: Gibson  
Email Address: pgg4646@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:58:25

**Form Letter 174 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Mullin

Email Address: john@adcoatings.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on using emissions to stop housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:01:06

**Form Letter 175 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard  
Last Name: Balestreri  
Email Address: rbalestreri@khov.com  
Affiliation: K. Hovnanian Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:21:46

**Form Letter 176 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Debra  
Last Name: Gaylord  
Email Address: dgaylord@mhmb.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on AB 32  
Comment:

I represent the third generation of my family in the housing industry. Two of my sons represent the fourth. People in the housing industry are experienceing the most terrible loss of jobs since the Great Depression.

AB 32 would make it difficult and expensive to build new homes or commercial buildings. We already have a new requirement for "green" building to deal with that is slowing the housing sector even more.

Even if AB 32 would help the enviroment, which has not been proven, now is not the time to add another burden on the already struggeling housing sector.

Please vote no on AB 32.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:30:03



**Form Letter 177 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Zales

Email Address: szales@obrienhomes.net

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:31:21

**Form Letter 178 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: William  
Last Name: Clevenger  
Email Address: clevenger@geoconinc.com  
Affiliation: GEOCON, Inc.

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing as an engineering geologist to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

As a geologist, I have studied the geologic history of the earth including global plate tectonic events, ice ages, climate history and astronomical history. It is my strong opinion that global climate change cannot be altered by man to any significant degree. Man is arrogant to believe that such power is within his grasp. Therefore, it is absurd to mandate such policies with the global climate change excuse.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Not only do I question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, there is no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. In fact, I believe that you should look toward relaxing those targets altogether.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:32:45



**Form Letter 179 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dennis

Last Name: Bradley

Email Address: d.m.bradley@att.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Thank you

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:11:14

**Form Letter 180 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Madison

Email Address: smadison@biacc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote "No" on new emission standards for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:27:36



**Form Letter 181 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: Kemp  
Email Address: makarc@aol.com  
Affiliation: American Institute of Architects

Subject: Proposed AB 32  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:28:15

**Form Letter 182 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Randy  
Last Name: Bling  
Email Address: rbling@florsheimhomes.com  
Affiliation: Builder / Resident

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:55:41

**Form Letter 183 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim

Last Name: Murphy

Email Address: timothy.murphy@gencorp.com

Affiliation: Rancho Cordova Chamber Govt. Affairs

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

On behalf of the membership of the Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce, I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

The Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce strongly urges you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Tim Murphy  
Chair, Government Relations Committee  
Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:59:37

**Form Letter 184 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: susan  
Last Name: champion  
Email Address: ojo316@yahoo.com  
Affiliation: Lee & Associates

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:14:08

**Form Letter 185 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Adam

Last Name: Smith

Email Address: smithac1974@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:16:34



**Form Letter 186 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dave

Last Name: Duggins

Email Address: dave@ccinsul.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:17:13

**Form Letter 187 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jay  
Last Name: Bedecarre  
Email Address: jay@lbbadvertising.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:17:25

**Form Letter 188 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jill  
Last Name: Workman  
Email Address: jworkman@biaoc.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:17:28

**Form Letter 189 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Gaouette  
Email Address: rgaouette@uhcllc.net  
Affiliation: Urban Housing Communities

Subject: NO on AB32  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:18:30

**Form Letter 190 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Norris

Email Address: gnorris@horizon-communities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:19:32

**Form Letter 191 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David  
Last Name: Harding  
Email Address: daveharding1225@yahoo.com  
Affiliation: none

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

David Harding  
6 Mozzoni Aisle  
Irvine CA 92606

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:20:09



**Form Letter 192 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Melinda

Last Name: Andrade

Email Address: melindaandrade@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:20:41

**Form Letter 193 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Aida

Last Name: Hercules-Dodaro

Email Address: aida.hercules@us.bureauveritas.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burden on housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:21:26

**Form Letter 194 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Reggie

Last Name: Morgan

Email Address: rmorgan@amesgrenz.com

Affiliation: BIA Association Member BIA of the Delta

Subject: Vote NO

Comment:

Dear Board Members:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Respectfully,

Reggie Morgan

Ames Grenz Insurance Services, Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:22:46

**Form Letter 195 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lucy

Last Name: Dunn

Email Address: ldunn@ocbc.org

Affiliation: Orange County Business Council

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:24:08

**Form Letter 196 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Timothy

Last Name: Casey

Email Address: tim.casey@geolabswv.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:24:42

**Form Letter 197 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Barbara  
Last Name: Rossoll  
Email Address: rossoll\_1002@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:25:32

**Form Letter 198 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Palazzo

Email Address: greg.palazzo@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:25:50



**Form Letter 199 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Deborah

Last Name: Frias

Email Address: sfrias@biasc.org

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:27:26

**Form Letter 200 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard  
Last Name: Douglass  
Email Address: rdouglass@pacbell.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

TAKE ACTION

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:27:59

**Form Letter 201 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kevin  
Last Name: Sheley  
Email Address: kevin.sheley@pulte.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:28:52

**Form Letter 202 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stephanie

Last Name: Drysdale

Email Address: sdrysdale@nossaman.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:29:13

**Form Letter 203 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Moote

Email Address: p2moote@moote.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:35:30

**Form Letter 204 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Franklin

Email Address: john@franklinred.com

Affiliation:

Subject: vote NO on new emmissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emmissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:38:02

**Form Letter 205 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Young  
Email Address: jyoung@younghomes.com  
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation -Related Tarkets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board Not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation related GHG tarkets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of AB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB32 goals.

I srongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-related tarkets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:39:28



**Form Letter 206 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Todd

Last Name: Priest

Email Address: todd@curtpringle.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Don't Burden Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:42:08

**Form Letter 207 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Craig

Last Name: Lawson

Email Address: craig@pinnacle-homes.com

Affiliation: Pinnacle Homes

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:42:56

**Form Letter 208 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mervyn  
Last Name: Boyd  
Email Address: mboyd@calpacifichomes.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely yours,

Mervyn J Boyd  
California Pacific Homes

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:44:28

**Form Letter 209 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Martinez

Email Address: lmartinez@biasec.org

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:45:38

**Form Letter 210 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Juleigh

Last Name: Burke

Email Address: juleighburke@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:48:00

**Form Letter 211 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michele  
Last Name: Skupic  
Email Address: mskupic@ctt.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32  
Comment:

VOTE NO ON AB32!

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:55:35





**Form Letter 212 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Todd

Last Name: leibl

Email Address: todd@victoryhomesinc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Todd Leibl

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:58:36



**Form Letter 213 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Woodard

Email Address: gwoodard@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,  
Greg Woodard  
Woodard Homes Inc

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:02:52

**Form Letter 214 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: April

Last Name: Fisler

Email Address: afisler@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,  
April Fisler  
Woodard Homes Inc

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:05:11



**Form Letter 215 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Saied  
Last Name: Naaseh  
Email Address: saiedn@cox.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:05:26



**Form Letter 216 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Saied  
Last Name: Naaseh  
Email Address: saiedn@cox.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:05:40

**Form Letter 217 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Franz

Email Address: jfranz@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,  
John Franz  
Woodard Homes Inc

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:06:13

**Form Letter 218 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bill

Last Name: Watt

Email Address: wwatt@baywooddevelopment.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens on housing

Comment:

I urge you to let the SB375 and AB32 process work to determine the GHG targets, rather than imposing arbitrary and questionable targets that have the potential for extreme economic dislocations and hardships. Please do not increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:06:57

**Form Letter 219 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mike

Last Name: Balsamo

Email Address: Balsamo3@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:07:33

**Form Letter 220 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joan

Last Name: Gillham

Email Address: jgillham@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,  
Joan Gillham  
Woodard Homes Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:09:08





**Form Letter 221 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lynn  
Last Name: Conley  
Email Address: lynn\_conley@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:10:34

**Form Letter 222 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Leah

Last Name: Williams

Email Address: leah@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,  
Leah Williams  
Woodard Homes Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:10:50



**Form Letter 223 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Cinda

Last Name: Woodard

Email Address: cwoodard@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,  
Cinda Woodard  
Woodard Homes Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:18:22



**Form Letter 224 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Westcott

Email Address: davewestcott@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on AB 32

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:23:23

**Form Letter 225 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tracie

Last Name: Madison

Email Address: tlmadison10@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on additional emmissions burdens to housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emmissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:28:10

**Form Letter 226 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stan

Last Name: Stringfellow

Email Address: Stan@noramres.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burden on housing

Comment:

The legislation burden Sacramento has/is placing on housing has buried the idea of affordable housing in the State.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:29:17



**Form Letter 227 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Edward  
Last Name: Fitzpatrick  
Email Address: efitzpatrick@shopoff.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:30:02

**Form Letter 228 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Sands

Email Address: JohnSands@MBK.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:35:27

**Form Letter 229 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Sands

Email Address: johnsands@mbk.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:49:08

**Form Letter 230 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dave

Last Name: Humlicek

Email Address: davehumlicek@mbk.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:52:00

**Form Letter 231 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michelle

Last Name: Prouty

Email Address: michelleprouty@mbk.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:53:19

**Form Letter 232 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Odle  
Email Address: odleassociates@aol.com  
Affiliation: Vote No

Subject: Vote No  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:55:43

**Form Letter 233 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Andrew

Last Name: Weisser

Email Address: aweisser@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Comment:

As someone living with asthma, I urge the CARB Board to adopt a strong global warming scoping plan for California to both slow global warming and achieve immediate reductions in smog and other dangerous air pollutants that cause illness and death. A strong plan will set the standard for national and international action. I also urge the state air board to include a stronger focus on measures to reduce emissions from driving which contribute both the largest percentage of greenhouse gases and dangerous air pollutants in California. Therefore, the plan should include a much more aggressive statewide goal for reducing vehicle trips and measures to promote progressive action by local governments. To reach the state's targets for greenhouse gas reduction targets, the plan should additionally include a strong goal and additional regulatory measures for reducing pollution from industrial sources such as petroleum refineries and cement manufacturing facilities. It is also critical that any measures taken to reduce greenhouse gases contain do not increase hot spots of pollution in communities already impacted by multiple sources of pollution.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:01:49

**Form Letter 234 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Musella

Email Address: musella@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:08:46



**Form Letter 235 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim

Last Name: Henry

Email Address: timhenry@conquestconcrete.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:14:05

**Form Letter 236 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark  
Last Name: Guithues  
Email Address: mguithues@jdtplaw.com  
Affiliation: Jackson DeMarco

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

Pick the low hanging fruit, the houses like mine which were built in the 70's where minor changes make a big difference.

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:20:26

**Form Letter 237 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Jacobson

Email Address: bmichaelj@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burden for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:36:14

**Form Letter 238 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marie

Last Name: Basdakis

Email Address: Mbasdakis@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you,

Marie

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:44:25

**Form Letter 239 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David  
Last Name: McMillan  
Email Address: dpmcmillan@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:45:45

**Form Letter 240 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: lester

Last Name: tucker

Email Address: lester.tucker@lennar.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:46:59



**Form Letter 241 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: J.P.

Last Name: Kapp

Email Address: jkapp7676@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emmissions standards for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emmissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:56:40

**Form Letter 242 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: norman

Last Name: Skinner

Email Address: jay.skinner@pardeehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:56:43

**Form Letter 243 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lars  
Last Name: Dennert  
Email Address: lars@larsdennert.com  
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you  
Lars Dennert

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:06:09

**Form Letter 244 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Ragan

Email Address: cragan@msce.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:22:18

**Form Letter 245 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ethen

Last Name: Thacher

Email Address: ethent@makarproperties.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:40:45

**Form Letter 246 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim

Last Name: McGinnis

Email Address: tmcginnis@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on emissions burdens for new housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:44:18

**Form Letter 247 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Campanella  
Email Address: john@bdcdevelopment.com  
Affiliation: Bermant Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:49:41



**Form Letter 248 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: eddie

Last Name: wang

Email Address: ew913@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 17:04:53

**Form Letter 249 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: Hixson  
Email Address: m.hixson@ryness.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: NO Vote Requested on Increased Transportation Target Reductions  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 18:04:14

**Form Letter 250 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Donald  
Last Name: Babbitt  
Email Address: don@heartwoodcommunities.com  
Affiliation: Heartwood Communities

Subject: VOTE "NO"  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Happy Holidays!  
Don Babbitt

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 18:22:27

**Form Letter 251 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marisa

Last Name: Cornejo

Email Address: build\_dream\_homes@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burden for housing

Comment:

I am expressing my extreme concern for AB32.  
Please vote NO!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 18:55:47

**Form Letter 252 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: stephen

Last Name: lyons

Email Address: slyons@crestwoodcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: vote "no" on emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 07:17:41

**Form Letter 253 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nicole  
Last Name: Burdette  
Email Address: nburdette@brookfieldsouthland.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 07:32:20



**Form Letter 254 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dan

Last Name: Boatwright

Email Address: dboatwright@castlecompanies.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Please vote NO on higher emission targets

Comment:

I am concerned about the proposed recommendation to increase the target amount of the AB 32 Scoping Plan to more than 5 million metric tons.

Please do NOT increase the Regional Transportation Targets any further. The burdens will already be tremendous and our economy simply cannot bear the weight of additional requirements.

I have been following the implementation possibilities of Senate Bill 375. It is readily apparent that there is still no clear process and outcome for SB 375. That process needs time and effort to work. Any action by your Board to increase limit numbers will make SB 375 implementation more cumbersome, more uncertain, and ultimately make SB 375 implementation take longer.

Home builders want to see SB 375 and AB 32 work, but we want to do it in a manner that is predictable, and works for our State's economy as well. If you create a moving target, then I'm afraid there will be a backlash against SB 375. You need to keep the AB 32 limits realistic in order to maintain the support of the construction industry throughout California and eventually throughout the United States.

Again, please do not increase the Regional Transportation-Related Greenhouse Gas Targets. Thank you for your consideration.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 08:12:16

**Form Letter 255 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Winter

Email Address: mwinter@sares-regis.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

TAKE ACTION

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 08:14:53

**Form Letter 256 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Russ

Last Name: Davis

Email Address: r.davis@elliottthomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Russ Davis

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 08:23:55

**Form Letter 257 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tom

Last Name: Grable

Email Address: tom.grable@lyonhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Tom Grable

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:46:07

**Form Letter 258 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jason

Last Name: Wilson

Email Address: jason@phwsinc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Regards,

Jason Wilson

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:48:22

**Form Letter 259 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steven

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: stevej@stjamesproperties.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:55:04

**Form Letter 260 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Trudy

Last Name: Zarnowiec

Email Address: trudy\_z@roadrunner.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:58:39

**Form Letter 261 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kendall

Last Name: Carre

Email Address: kendallc@ambrosiainteriors.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 10:36:51

**Form Letter 262 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Melissa

Last Name: Auten

Email Address: mauten@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

NO !

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 10:57:04

**Form Letter 263 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jeanette

Last Name: Roberts

Email Address: jroberts@calpacifichomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:05:02

**Form Letter 264 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Hostin

Email Address: rhostin@roadrunner.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:31:13

**Form Letter 265 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ryan

Last Name: Ortman

Email Address: rortman@trumark-co.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:46:23



**Form Letter 266 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Crisand

Last Name: Giles

Email Address: crisand.giles@pardeehomes.com

Affiliation: Pardee Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am concerned about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing extreme economic hardships adding to our current economic crisis.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Crisand Giles

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:46:25

**Form Letter 267 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Dix

Email Address: pdix@biase.org

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO! on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:54:00

**Form Letter 268 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Medeiros

Email Address: pmedeiros@shhomes.com

Affiliation: Land development consultant

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 12:08:07

**Form Letter 269 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dave

Last Name: Bottarini

Email Address: daveb@obriengroup.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 SCOPING PLAN

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 13:22:34

**Form Letter 270 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stan  
Last Name: Weiler  
Email Address: stanweiler@hwplanning.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Stan Weiler

Attachment:



Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:17:09

**Form Letter 271 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dyan

Last Name: Lorenzen

Email Address: dyanl@benchmarklandscape.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:17:41

**Form Letter 272 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Teri

Last Name: McHugh

Email Address: tmchugh@brookfieldhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:17:55

**Form Letter 273 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nicole  
Last Name: Zilliox  
Email Address: nzilliox@hgfenton.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:19:47

**Form Letter 274 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Wesley

Last Name: Anderson

Email Address: wanderson@desadesign.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:22:15

**Form Letter 275 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Anderson  
Email Address: jdasd@san.rr.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:22:46



**Form Letter 276 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Toni

Last Name: Ricord

Email Address: sthompson54@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions In Calif.

Comment:

Please Vote No - this is not a fair bill.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:23:00

**Form Letter 277 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Katie

Last Name: Hansen

Email Address: khansen16@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO!!!!

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:23:32

**Form Letter 278 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Danielle

Last Name: Gould

Email Address: dani.gould@mdch.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:25:51

**Form Letter 279 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Barbara

Last Name: Metz

Email Address: metzpr@san.rr.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emmisions

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:26:24

**Form Letter 280 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul

Last Name: Manning

Email Address: pmanning80@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:28:06

**Form Letter 281 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: DAVID

Last Name: OCHOA

Email Address: daveochoa@mac.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSION BURDENS FOR HOUSING"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:28:48

**Form Letter 282 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: M.

Last Name: Kilfoil

Email Address: mkilfoil@firstam.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed AB 32 scoping plan

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Regards,

M. Kilfoil

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:29:10

**Form Letter 283 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim

Last Name: McCain

Email Address: tmccain@propertyenterprises.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:29:23

**Form Letter 284 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kristin

Last Name: Chambers

Email Address: kristiechambers@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:29:48

**Form Letter 285 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pete  
Last Name: Reeb  
Email Address: reeb@san.rr.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:30:35

**Form Letter 286 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dawn

Last Name: Norton

Email Address: dnorton@pacoastcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:31:15

**Form Letter 287 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Diane

Last Name: Ingram

Email Address: dingram@hunsakersd.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:33:24

**Form Letter 288 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Andrew

Last Name: Cabrera

Email Address: acabrera@motivational.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:33:28

**Form Letter 289 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kevin

Last Name: Kelly

Email Address: kevinkelly.hotrod@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:33:41

**Form Letter 290 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Gustafson

Email Address: rich@citymark.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:34:09

**Form Letter 291 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Karen

Last Name: Van Ert

Email Address: kvanert@rickengineering.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTEN NO for new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:34:46



**Form Letter 292 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Fred  
Last Name: Martin  
Email Address: fred@martinroofing.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON AB32  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:35:13

**Form Letter 293 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Poole

Email Address: dpoole@brookfieldhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: ABN 32

Comment:

Please vote against imposing new requirements on the Homebuilding industry

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:35:33

**Form Letter 294 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael  
Last Name: Pursglove  
Email Address: pmpursglove@drhorton.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: SB 375  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:38:04

**Form Letter 295 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tory  
Last Name: Walker  
Email Address: tory@trwengineering.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:38:22



**Form Letter 296 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Fredrick

Last Name: Anderson

Email Address: fanderson@isidc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:38:45

**Form Letter 297 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gregor  
Last Name: Connors  
Email Address: gconnors@newlandcommunities.com  
Affiliation: Nelwand Communities

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:39:18



**Form Letter 298 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dann

Last Name: Mallec

Email Address: dmallec@rickengineering.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on the neww emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:39:28

**Form Letter 299 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Rhonda

Last Name: Wilkerson

Email Address: rhonda\_wilkerson@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:45:42



**Form Letter 300 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alex  
Last Name: Merrill  
Email Address: alex\_merrill@yahoo.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While I question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, I know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:47:32

**Form Letter 301 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bryan

Last Name: Binney

Email Address: bryan.binney@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions standards on housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:50:34

**Form Letter 302 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Hall

Email Address: chris.hall@brehmco.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:50:49

**Form Letter 303 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Les  
Last Name: Leininger  
Email Address: lleininger@mcmillin.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:51:29



**Form Letter 304 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim  
Last Name: Taylor  
Email Address: ttaylor@taylortrim.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:52:01

**Form Letter 305 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Wissbaum

Email Address: swissbau@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:55:49

**Form Letter 306 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Brusseau

Email Address: sbrusseau@newportnational.biz

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:56:58

**Form Letter 307 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pete

Last Name: Relph

Email Address: prelph@motivational.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:57:38

**Form Letter 308 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: jeremy

Last Name: louden

Email Address: louden72@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:02:47



**Form Letter 309 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott  
Last Name: DeGoler  
Email Address: sdegoler@imfunds.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:04:40

**Form Letter 310 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ralph  
Last Name: Snyder  
Email Address: rsnyder@firstam.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: ScolpingPln 08  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:08:01

**Form Letter 311 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark  
Last Name: Rossoll  
Email Address: markrossoll@cox.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:09:19

**Form Letter 312 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jeffry

Last Name: Brusseau

Email Address: jbrusseau@newportnational.biz

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on New Emmissions Burdens

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you for your consideration

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:11:06

**Form Letter 313 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim  
Last Name: Birch  
Email Address: tbirch@hunsakersd.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:15:33



**Form Letter 314 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Brenda

Last Name: Bender

Email Address: [brenda.bender@lyonhomes.com](mailto:brenda.bender@lyonhomes.com)

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for new housing

Comment:

Homebuilders do not make or sell automobiles; therefore homebuilders should not be burdened with GHG bills. These bills should be targeted toward the automobile manufacturers, or a special tax to the purchaser should the automobile NOT meet specific criteria.

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:17:10

**Form Letter 315 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jerry

Last Name: Green

Email Address: jgreen@prestigeconcrete.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:20:19

**Form Letter 316 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tom

Last Name: Tomlinson

Email Address: ttomlinson@mcmillin.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:27:20

**Form Letter 317 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tom

Last Name: Bwarie

Email Address: tom@bwariegiftbaskets.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Tom Bwarie

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:35:35

**Form Letter 318 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joe  
Last Name: Shielly  
Email Address: jshielly@gmail.com  
Affiliation: San Diego BIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:43:00



**Form Letter 319 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ray

Last Name: Emnace

Email Address: Ray@phwsinc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:45:56

**Form Letter 320 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alex

Last Name: Plishner

Email Address: alex.plishner@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:02:39



**Form Letter 321 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Pisano

Email Address: capisano@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:08:26

**Form Letter 322 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Anthony

Last Name: Badeaux

Email Address: tony.badeaux@paladinreg.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for business and housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:37:24

**Form Letter 323 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Neal  
Last Name: Reynolds  
Email Address: neal@reynoldscommunities.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:54:11

**Form Letter 324 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John

Last Name: Tallman

Email Address: jtallman@kmscommunities.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 18:00:57

**Form Letter 325 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Merkin

Email Address: rob.merkin@cbre.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Rob

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 18:17:47

**Form Letter 326 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stephen

Last Name: Nieto

Email Address: swcstephennieto@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 07:18:58

**Form Letter 327 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Mertz

Email Address: mertz16514@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 11:30:55



**Form Letter 328 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: PATRICIA  
Last Name: TOLPINGRUD  
Email Address: pattie.tolpingrud@pardeehomes.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO"  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Patricia Tolpingrud

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 13:51:35

**Form Letter 329 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: marie

Last Name: keefe

Email Address: trctldy@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan- vote no!!!

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 15:22:25

**Form Letter 330 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Winter

Email Address: mwinter@sares-regis.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 17:23:02

**Form Letter 331 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marc

Last Name: Kaplan

Email Address: mkaplan@aspeninsbrokers.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burden for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 10:45:00

**Form Letter 332 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Julie

Last Name: Harwood

Email Address: jharwood@brookfieldhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 11:03:22



**Form Letter 333 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Connie

Last Name: Sundstrom

Email Address: bmwfav@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 12:01:57

**Form Letter 334 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Geoff  
Last Name: Scott  
Email Address: geoffscott@hotmail.com  
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 14:10:35

**Form Letter 335 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Kelting  
Email Address: jkelting@aol.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 15:26:00

**Form Letter 336 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Hammar

Email Address: dhammar@hunsakersd.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 18:48:49

**Form Letter 337 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Vahid

Email Address: petev@msland-llc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

In this economic climate, we need to be encouraging the construction, building and home building industries by allowing home buyers to be able to purchase and sell homes. While I am an advocate for the clean business practices as well as consumer practices, the jump to levels above 5 MMT would cause unreasonable economic hardship through excessive regulation on homebuilding and community building. There is a housing problem in California with overcrowding. Legislating mandates on homebuilding will decrease construction activity and cause more loss of jobs to an already hard hit construction industry which provides good paying jobs to American and Californian families. Lets be reasonable and realize the effects for driving businesses into severe financial difficulties and driving up home prices and adding to the affordability problem with regulations discussed in the Sacramento.

Thank You

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 19:57:37

**Form Letter 338 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Vahid

Email Address: petev@msland-llc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 20:35:41



**Form Letter 339 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Allen

Last Name: Donnelly

Email Address: adonnelly@khov.com

Affiliation: K. Hovnanian Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thanks, Allen Donnelly

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:17:12

**Form Letter 340 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chad

Last Name: Brown

Email Address: Chad@empirehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:54:11

**Form Letter 341 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stuart

Last Name: Skoglund

Email Address: sskoglund@mcmillin.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:56:32

**Form Letter 342 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Ponce

Email Address: greg.ponce@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:59:05

**Form Letter 343 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Max  
Last Name: Greene  
Email Address: max@aes2.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:18:30



**Form Letter 344 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kevin

Last Name: Vogelsang

Email Address: vogelsang.kevin@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping plan

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. There is no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions than those already legislated are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board. These bills were supported by the homebuilders association - administratively bypassing them makes a mockery of the legislative process that is necessary for the type of broad based consensus necessary for this legislation to work.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:33:45

**Form Letter 345 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bob

Last Name: Cummings

Email Address: bcummings@barratt.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you,

Bob

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:42:29

**Form Letter 346 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Daniel

Last Name: Koser

Email Address: koser@utilityspecialitst.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely, Daniel L. Koser

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:44:30

**Form Letter 347 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lynn  
Last Name: Assman  
Email Address: lassman@younghomes.com  
Affiliation: Exec. Assistant

Subject: Vote No On New Emissions Burdens for Housing  
Comment:

To Whome it May Concern,

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Sincerely,

Lynn Assman

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:59:10



**Form Letter 348 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Texter

Email Address: ctexter@ktgy.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:04:14

**Form Letter 349 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jason

Last Name: Shepard

Email Address: jshepard@mcmillin.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:06:12



**Form Letter 350 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Don  
Last Name: Mitchell  
Email Address: dmitchell@mcmillin.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No!  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Don Mtchell

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:11:08

**Form Letter 351 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Hedenkamp

Email Address: scott@hedenkamp-architecture.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:12:31

**Form Letter 352 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Harrison

Email Address: chris @lightfootpg.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:18:01

**Form Letter 353 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marcus

Last Name: Cook

Email Address: mcook@integralcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:24:31

## **Form Letter 354 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jerry

Last Name: Gaeir

Email Address: jgaeir@cassconstruction.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

[Click here for CARB Scoping Plan Comments](#)

Unsubscribe Instructions

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:35:29

**Form Letter 355 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bill  
Last Name: Record  
Email Address: bill@trwengineering.com  
Affiliation: Concerned Citizen and Engineer

Subject: Vote NO on AB32  
Comment:

Dear Public Servant,

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Bill Record, a member of the public

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:40:38

**Form Letter 356 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Walter  
Last Name: Heiberg  
Email Address: wheiberg@mcmillin.com  
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: AB 32 Vote No  
Comment:

I strongly oppose AB-32 and any increased requirements of green house gases and particulate reduction over 5 million metric tons.

We have thousands of people out of work in many industries and to force further reductions without a cost benefit analysis of jobs is irresponsible.

Walter Heiberg

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:56:09

**Form Letter 357 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tonya

Last Name: Short

Email Address: tonya@kernhba.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE No on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

The Home Builders Association of Kern County has a very strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping Plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently enacted SB 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board. Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders, consumers and families will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Tonya Short

Home Builders Association of Kern County

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 10:09:30



**Form Letter 358 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Niki

Last Name: Moore

Email Address: nmoore@isidc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: No on AB32 Scoping Plan 08

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 10:28:40

**Form Letter 359 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gladys

Last Name: Eddy-Lee

Email Address: geddy-lee@brookfieldhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 11:08:38

**Form Letter 360 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James

Last Name: Swanek

Email Address: Cwanek@netzero.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Comments on Land Use Sector Target

Comment:

I wish to offer comments on the Land Use Sector Target, and to urge it NOT be increased to a higher level. The Planning and Conservation League suggests the "land use" target should be raised from 5 million metric tons of CO2 equivalent (MMT) to 11-14 MMT. They state "as shown by the analysis of Dr. Reid Ewing and Dr. Arthur C. Nelson, the 5 MMT target is based upon models that are widely acknowledged to underestimate the benefits of dense, mixed-use development, while the higher target is achievable with policies California is already considering".

It is incredibly elitist to suggest no one but the rich in the future need dream of a new home on its own newly platted lot. The rich will always be able to buy enough offset credits to build their mansions in outlying areas on huge estates, but to posit policies that deny everyone else the right to have a home on its own lot in a new suburban area is elitist and racist. I used to work for the Watts Labor Community Action Committee, and its great founder Ted Watkins. He knew as well as anyone that African-American families wanted and needed the reduced stress of lower density neighborhoods than the inner city provided (not HIGHER densities!), and it would racist now to deny that dream to people who have passed their entire lives in poverty just trying to save up enough for their kids to actually live that dream...Does anyone really believe the folks in South Central would prefer a future (mandated for them!) of a stacked flat in a 20 story building near Skid Row over a nice home with its own yard in Corona?

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 12:24:12

**Form Letter 361 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Powers

Email Address: dpowers@breproperties.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 12:55:53

**Form Letter 362 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Beucler

Email Address: cbeucler@beuclerhomes.com

Affiliation: Builder

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 13:16:37

**Form Letter 363 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: sjohnson@cassconstruction.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 13:26:43

**Form Letter 364 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jennifer  
Last Name: Phillip  
Email Address: jphillip@hbacc.org  
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 14:19:02



**Form Letter 365 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: therese

Last Name: fairbanks

Email Address: tdfairbanks@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 15:06:21

**Form Letter 366 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Donna

Last Name: Carpenter

Email Address: dcarpenter@sikand.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 15:47:34

**Form Letter 367 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Amy

Last Name: Glad

Email Address: amy.glad@pardeehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on further increases to Transportation Targets

Comment:

Dear Chairperson Nichols and Members of the Board:

On behalf of Pardee Homes, I am writing to urge the you NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets beyond the proposed 5 MMT level in the AB 32 Scoping Plan.

This year the Legislature passed and Governor Schwarzenegger signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work.

As a builder of master planned communities and a company that has been recognized by the Governor and the US EPA for green building and land development practices, Pardee Homes has a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. We also have a vested interest in helping the state and the home building sector out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

We strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets beyond the current proposal of 5 MMT.

Thank you for your consideration of our position.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 16:05:36

**Form Letter 368 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lucy  
Last Name: Kajrys-Dietz  
Email Address: RealtorLucy@sbcglobal.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed A.B. 32 Scoping Plan – Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Target for Regional Transportation  
Comment:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Concerning the pending Scoping Plan for A.B. 32, I write to express concern about the proposed recommendation to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons annually of greenhouse gases from regional transportation and land use. Specifically, I understand that the Board and its staff are being asked by some to increase the recommended target for reductions from regional transportation even higher still, above the 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board not to increase the reduction target for regional transportation any further. First, we are unaware of any evidence that would indicate that even larger reductions are reasonably achievable and would not cause harsh dislocations and extreme economic hardship. Moreover, local governments, county transportation commissions and transportation planning agencies, and countless stakeholders are already faced with implementing the recently-enacted Senate Bill 375, which sets forth a difficult process to spur changes to transportation and land use planning in order to achieve A.B. 32 compliance. Bigger reduction targets for regional transportation in a scoping plan – above the 5 million metric tons annually last proposed – would lead to economic ruin for many sub-regions if they were to be translated, in any way, into S.B. 375 regional reductions.

Accordingly, I respectfully urge the Board not to increase any more the recommended, targeted reduction of greenhouse gases from regional transportation.

Sincerely,

Lucy Kajrys-Dietz

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 16:17:15



**Form Letter 369 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Rice

Email Address: steve.rice@coldwellbanker.com

Affiliation: Realtor

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 17:27:43

**Form Letter 370 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: matthew

Last Name: tippell

Email Address: mjtippell@studiotippell.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 22:15:50

**Form Letter 371 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James

Last Name: Jackson

Email Address: jjackson@jacksonpendo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 08:42:10

**Form Letter 372 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bess

Last Name: Wakeman

Email Address: bess@wakeman.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on AB 32 no burdens for housing.

Comment:

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. This is not a good solution for California. Please vote NO.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 09:01:30

**Form Letter 373 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve  
Last Name: LaMar  
Email Address: lamar@legisight.com  
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 10:35:46

**Form Letter 374 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gail  
Last Name: Fitzsimmons  
Email Address: gfitzsimmons@engeo.com  
Affiliation: ENGEO Incorporated

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 10:40:58

**Form Letter 375 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Aispuro

Email Address: david@phwsinc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 10:45:39

**Form Letter 376 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mary Ellen  
Last Name: Siewerth  
Email Address: msiewerth@propertyenterprises.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSION BURDENS FOR HOUSING  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 13:12:18

**Form Letter 377 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lawrence

Last Name: Conley

Email Address: larryconley@anastasi.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping plan - residential construction

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 13:56:40

**Form Letter 378 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marwan

Last Name: Younis

Email Address: marwan@maygroupinc.net

Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 14:00:43



**Form Letter 379 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jasen

Last Name: Torbett

Email Address: jasen.torbett@sheahomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:



Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 15:09:52

**Form Letter 380 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Todd

Last Name: Smith

Email Address: todds@cannoncorp.us

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emissions Burdens for Housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 07:48:27

**Form Letter 381 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Perkins  
Email Address: bperkins@curtishomes.net  
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.  
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 09:11:10

**Form Letter 382 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Darryl

Last Name: Stephenson

Email Address: dstephenson@rancongroup.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 09:48:07

**Form Letter 383 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Laura

Last Name: Laflamme

Email Address: llaflamme@brandman.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 16:04:06

**Form Letter 384 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Brandman

Email Address: mbrandman@brandman.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 16:05:39



**Form Letter 385 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Matt

Last Name: Hamilton

Email Address: matthamilton@mbkhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-11 15:04:21