

Form Letter 1 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Allison
Last Name: Barnett
Email Address: allisonbarnett08@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:48:28

Form Letter 2 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Brian
Last Name: Allen
Email Address: ballen@cooperthorne.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emmissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:50:59

Form Letter 3 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Joe

Last Name: Bologna

Email Address: jbologna@hollmanbologna.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.”

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California’s homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:51:58

Form Letter 4 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Max
Last Name: Greene
Email Address: max@aes2.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:52:21

Form Letter 5 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Brencick
Email Address: mark@lmco.net
Affiliation: Civil Engineer

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:52:37

Form Letter 6 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Stephen
Last Name: Doyle
Email Address: steved@san.rr.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:54:40

Form Letter 7 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Stefan
Last Name: Horstschaer
Email Address: stefan@reedersutherland.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:55:49

Form Letter 8 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Nancy
Last Name: Diamond
Email Address: nancy@biasandiego.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:55:58

Form Letter 9 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Aulicino
Email Address: Michael.Aulicino@gfrhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:57:04

Form Letter 10 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Glen
Last Name: Freyermuth
Email Address: glen@granitehomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:11

Form Letter 11 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: James
Last Name: Stich
Email Address: jstich@beazer.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:11

Form Letter 12 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Josh
Last Name: Santos
Email Address: josh.santos@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:44

Form Letter 13 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Vance
Email Address: John.Vance@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:50

Form Letter 14 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Matamoros
Email Address: michael.matamoros@willis.com
Affiliation:

Subject: SB 375 and AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:58:51

Form Letter 15 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Ford
Email Address: steven.ford@pulte.com
Affiliation: Pulet Homes

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:15

Form Letter 16 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Erin
Last Name: Capagli
Email Address: erin.capagli@pulte.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:22

Form Letter 17 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: DAN

Last Name: WORSLEY

Email Address: DWORSLEY@SIGNTECHNOLOGY.COM

Affiliation: HOME BUILDERS ASSOCIATION

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:31

Form Letter 18 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jim
Last Name: Immer
Email Address: jim.immer@lewisop.com
Affiliation: Lewis Planned Communities

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Sincerely,
Jim Immer

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:38

Form Letter 19 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Ford
Email Address: steven.ford@pulte.com
Affiliation: Pulte Homes

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:50

Form Letter 20 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Currens
Email Address: gcurrens@style-interiors.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 16:59:50

Form Letter 21 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lisa
Last Name: Martinez
Email Address: lmartinez@biasc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:01

Form Letter 22 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Slamkowski

Email Address: lisa.slam@desertchapter.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

NO on new emission burdens for housing. NO NO NO

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:15

Form Letter 23 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Johnson
Email Address: bjohnson@theRCHgroup.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:20

Form Letter 24 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Patrick
Last Name: Revere
Email Address: prevere@rbf.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:40

Form Letter 25 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Joe
Last Name: Ripple
Email Address: joe@schellingerbrothers.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets.

Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise.

Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Joe Ripple

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:53

Form Letter 26 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Beau
Last Name: Cooper
Email Address: bcooper@unitedeng.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:00:57

Form Letter 27 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Rob
Last Name: Aragon
Email Address: roba@jtscommunities.com
Affiliation: JTS Communities

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:02:25

Form Letter 28 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Roy
Last Name: Kroll
Email Address: roy.k@youngdahl.net
Affiliation: Youngdahl Consulting Group

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:02:34

Form Letter 29 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Horton
Email Address: rhorton64@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:03:37

Form Letter 30 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Terwilliger
Email Address: john.terwilliger@imb.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:03:40

Form Letter 31 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Keenan

Email Address: biabob@hbatk.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Scoping Plan Comments

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:05:01

Form Letter 32 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Terando
Email Address: jterando@griffinindustries.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:06:06

Form Letter 33 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Keenan

Email Address: build@hbatk.com

Affiliation: Home Builders Association of Tulare/King

Subject: CARB Scoping Plan Comments

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

The HBA of Tulare/Kings Counties strongly urges the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:07:19

Form Letter 34 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Rapp
Last Name: Fitzsimmons
Email Address: rapp.fitzsimmons@cox.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:07:26

Form Letter 35 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jonathan
Last Name: Weldy
Email Address: jweldy@meridianlanddevelopment.com
Affiliation: Building Industry Association

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:08:09

Form Letter 36 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Christopher
Last Name: Morrow
Email Address: chrism@projectdesign.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Please don't further and negatively impact California's economy. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:08:14

Form Letter 37 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bruce
Last Name: Cable
Email Address: bcrable@newhall.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:09:31

Form Letter 38 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Pete
Last Name: Giampaoli
Email Address: pete@epickhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:09:47

Form Letter 39 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Buxton
Email Address: dick@specialtymetalsfab.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While it is in question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, there isn't any known credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:09:53

Form Letter 40 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gary
Last Name: Glazer
Email Address: gary.glazer@lennar.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:11:07

Form Letter 41 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: toby
Last Name: wells
Email Address: tobntam@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:11:08

Form Letter 42 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott
Last Name: Ouellette
Email Address: souellette@landcappartners.com
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:14:41

Form Letter 43 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Szymanski
Email Address: gszymanski@parklandhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:16:33

Form Letter 44 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: sarah
Last Name: Haynes
Email Address: shaynes@unitedeng.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdnes for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

<click here>

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:17:39

Form Letter 45 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Maher
Email Address: mmaher@hsrgb.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:24:15

Form Letter 46 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Trudie
Last Name: Wilson
Email Address: trudie.wilson@lennar.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:24:18

Form Letter 47 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Hanson
Email Address: mhanson@sbmcorp.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on New Emission Burdens for Housing
Comment:

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:24:19

Form Letter 48 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Forest
Email Address: gforest@hsmlaw.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Sufficient scientific information does not exist to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level. Such reductions are not reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:27:05

Form Letter 49 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Coles
Email Address: chrisc@cobblestonehomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSIONS FOR HOUSING
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:28:34

Form Letter 50 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Burton
Email Address: rburton@hofmannhomes.com
Affiliation: The Hofmann Company

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:28:55

Form Letter 51 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lesli
Last Name: Fellman
Email Address: lfellman@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing!
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:31:50

Form Letter 52 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Knorringa
Email Address: mknorringa@riversidebia.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:32:18

Form Letter 53 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: robert
Last Name: clark
Email Address: bclark@clarkgreen.com
Affiliation:

Subject: vote no on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:35:28

Form Letter 54 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ken
Last Name: Corhan
Email Address: ken.corhan@lewisop.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on New Emission Restrictions on Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:35:50

Form Letter 55 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: jack
Last Name: hartung
Email Address: jrhrealestate@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:38:12

Form Letter 56 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Alex
Last Name: Herrell
Email Address: aherrell@newhall.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:39:13

Form Letter 57 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jim
Last Name: Bayless
Email Address: jbayless@treasurehomes.com
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:39:24

Form Letter 58 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Roger
Last Name: Grable
Email Address: rgrable@manatt.com
Affiliation: Manatt, Phelps and Phillips

Subject: Vote No on AB 32 Scoping Plan Burden on Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. In fact I have heard that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to a significantly higher number.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. There is really no scientific support to even justify greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level. Anything more than this is merely a negotiating posture but those with other agendas and ignore the potential economic consequences on the housing industry, the need for housing in the state and the related economic consequences that would occur.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:43:15

Form Letter 59 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Watson
Email Address: rwatson@rwaplanning.com
Affiliation: Richard Watson & Associates

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders and their consultants have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. They also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:45:51

Form Letter 60 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Brendan
Last Name: McKenny
Email Address: lumber@northcoast.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Best Regards
Brendan McKenny

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 17:46:16

Form Letter 61 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Deborah
Last Name: Cottle
Email Address: dcottle@ptwww.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:05:27

Form Letter 62 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: pierre
Last Name: lependeven
Email Address: lependeven@iris-homes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing!
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:16:58

Form Letter 63 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bob
Last Name: Yoder
Email Address: yoderfam@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:16:58

Form Letter 64 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gary
Last Name: Cinti
Email Address: gary@cinti.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:17:35

Form Letter 65 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lance
Last Name: Smith
Email Address: lsmith@greenbriarhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:40:14

Form Letter 66 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jerry
Last Name: De Young
Email Address: jad@deyoungproperties.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 18:47:24

Form Letter 67 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: trece
Last Name: herder
Email Address: treceherder@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: vote no on new emissions burden for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 19:31:00

Form Letter 68 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Waite
Email Address: gregwaite@roadrunner.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping
Comment:

Please do not add to the already outrageous cost of new housing any more. The term "affordable housing" is already a joke. Cal ARB knows the industry has been weakened by the recent economic debacle. Please do not allow this group to kick an entire industry while they are down. This is not the time to be taking action that would reduce jobs in our already tenuous economic condition.

I am writing to express my deep concern over the proposed recommendation regarding the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 19:51:58

Form Letter 69 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kent
Last Name: Aden
Email Address: kaden@otayranch.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:03:20

Form Letter 70 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Randy
Last Name: Goodson
Email Address: Randy@accretive-group.com
Affiliation: Property Owner

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:12:48

Form Letter 71 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Persons
Email Address: larry.persons@cobaltcc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:43:31

Form Letter 72 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ray
Last Name: Pearl
Email Address: raypearl@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:50:51

Form Letter 73 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Del
Last Name: Barbray
Email Address: delbarbray@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:52:32

Form Letter 74 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Damon
Last Name: Nygren
Email Address: nygrendn@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 20:54:12

Form Letter 75 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Vincent
Last Name: Rover
Email Address: vrover@privateislandhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 21:29:16

Form Letter 76 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Urrutia
Email Address: purrutia@privateislandhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 21:31:05

Form Letter 77 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Willhoit
Email Address: Dick@EstrellaAssociates.com
Affiliation: Estrella Associates, Inc.

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 21:49:47

Form Letter 78 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Jagels
Email Address: mjagels@jagelsdevelopment.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VoteNo on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-03 22:54:25

Form Letter 79 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Eric
Last Name: Nelson
Email Address: enelson@rmrginc.com
Affiliation: ICSC, BIA, ULI, APA, AIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

Dear CARB,

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Eric A. Nelson
Vice President
Red Mountain Retail Group, Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 05:33:09

Form Letter 80 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jim
Last Name: Gillen
Email Address: jgillen@huitt-zollars.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 05:36:16

Form Letter 81 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Simonetti
Email Address: larrys@laneengineers.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
Larry Simonetti
President, Lane Engineers, Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 06:49:27

Form Letter 82 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Harry

Last Name: Moos

Email Address: harry.moos@yahoo.com

Affiliation: PHCC of Ca, GreenPlumbersUSA

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 06:51:14

Form Letter 83 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mohamad
Last Name: Younes
Email Address: mohamad.y@inlandcorp.com
Affiliation: Inland Communities Corp.

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 06:56:02

Form Letter 84 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Patrick
Last Name: Teter
Email Address: n850@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:01:55

Form Letter 85 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Aaron
Last Name: Oliver
Email Address: aaron@laneengineers.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:02:53

Form Letter 86 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Anthony
Last Name: Cinquini
Email Address: tcinquini@cinquinipassarino.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:05:43

Form Letter 87 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Alan
Last Name: Boudreau
Email Address: alan@boudreaupipeline.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:05:52

Form Letter 88 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Max
Last Name: Phillips
Email Address: mpimax@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:07:13

Form Letter 89 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Roger
Last Name: Davila
Email Address: rdavila@davilaproperties.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdon for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:12:15

Form Letter 90 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve
Last Name: Scott
Email Address: steve@scottconst.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:15:01

Form Letter 91 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Drew
Last Name: Forray
Email Address: drew@classichardwoodfloors.com
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:19:12

Form Letter 92 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: DAVE
Last Name: BROWNSON
Email Address: DBROWNSON@TAEINC.COM
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:21:15

Form Letter 93 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Maury
Last Name: Froman
Email Address: maury@rottmanfroman.com
Affiliation: HBACC

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens on housing
Comment:

I am a home builder who lives and works on the central coast. I am writing to express my strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While I question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, I know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Thank you for your consideration.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:26:46

Form Letter 94 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Eric
Last Name: Van Dusen
Email Address: evandusen@kbhome.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emmissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:29:18

Form Letter 95 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Frith
Email Address: sctrojan79@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:29:23

Form Letter 96 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Heeney
Email Address: kheeney@cooperthorne.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:32:04

Form Letter 97 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jay
Last Name: Bullock
Email Address: Jay.Bullock@mac.com
Affiliation: Orange County development community

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:33:31

Form Letter 98 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Berry
Email Address: dave@uborainc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:39:51

Form Letter 99 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott
Last Name: Cheeseman
Email Address: scott.cheeseman@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:42:34

Form Letter 100 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Costa
Email Address: johnc@northstatebia.org
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:44:21

Form Letter 101 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jim
Last Name: little
Email Address: jlittle@kscdevelop.com
Affiliation: Patterson

Subject: Green house gas restrictions
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:46:56

Form Letter 102 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Brian
Last Name: Canaris
Email Address: bcanaris@cox.net
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:47:40

Form Letter 103 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Canning
Email Address: kevin.canning@brehmco.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:49:15

Form Letter 104 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Terry

Last Name: Knet

Email Address: tkent@crestwoodcommunities.com

Affiliation: Crestwood Communities

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:52:35

Form Letter 105 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kay
Last Name: Cementina
Email Address: kay@kaycementina.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:55:32

Form Letter 106 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jeff
Last Name: Schroeder
Email Address: annsjeffs@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 07:56:04

Form Letter 107 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: jim
Last Name: warmington
Email Address: jim@warmingtongroup.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thanks for your consideration

JIm

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:03:12

Form Letter 108 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Weinstein
Email Address: david@charterland.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:06:15

Form Letter 109 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Aida

Last Name: Hercules-Dodaro

Email Address: aida.hercules@us.bureauveritas.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Vote NO

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:12:26

Form Letter 110 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Agee
Email Address: dagee@khov.com
Affiliation: K Hovnanian Homes

Subject: Vote "NO" on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:15:55

Form Letter 111 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Suzann
Last Name: Snitko
Email Address: snitkos@pulte.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:20:30

Form Letter 112 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Rick
Last Name: Bianchi
Email Address: rick.bianchi@centex.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:24:18

Form Letter 113 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Valerie
Last Name: Hoff
Email Address: valvalhoff@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:24:28

Form Letter 114 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Smith
Email Address: insure@smithkandal.com
Affiliation: Smith-Kandal Ins & RE

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:26:59

Form Letter 115 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: jon
Last Name: deyoung
Email Address: jrd@deyoungproperties.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:29:34

Form Letter 116 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Earl
Last Name: Jeffery
Email Address: earlj@scsdevelopment.com
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:31:19

Form Letter 117 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Alina

Last Name: Perez

Email Address: Alina.Perez@meritagehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on Scoping Plan

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:32:16

Form Letter 118 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jill
Last Name: Workman
Email Address: angeljnw@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:34:19

Form Letter 119 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jill
Last Name: Cagle
Email Address: jill.cagle@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:35:04

Form Letter 120 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Darren
Last Name: Smith
Email Address: darren@smithkandal.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:37:46

Form Letter 121 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Everett
Last Name: Hughes
Email Address: hugheshomesinc@verizon.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:40:02

Form Letter 122 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Charles
Last Name: Kluger
Email Address: charles@pcbinc.com
Affiliation: Construction Industry

Subject: Vote No on new emissionburdensfor housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:40:46

**Form Letter 123 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Strobel
Email Address: strobel@softcom.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Burden on Housing
Comment:

Please give 375 a chance to function prior to loading up the burden
on housing by over reaching with the framework of 32.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:41:40

Form Letter 124 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dana
Last Name: Bieber
Email Address: dbieber@stanpac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:43:53

Form Letter 125 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Matt
Last Name: Tingler
Email Address: mtingler@warmingtongroup.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:11

Form Letter 126 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Winter
Email Address: chris.winter@pulte.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Chris Winter
916-746-6134

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:29

Form Letter 127 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: James
Last Name: Ghielmetti
Email Address: jghielmetti@sigprop.com
Affiliation: Signature Properties

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:34

**Form Letter 128 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Patrick
Last Name: O'Malley
Email Address: patrick@asi-inc.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

We can not afford these new regulations with the state of the
economy. Limiting growth is wrong for these times.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:44:56

Form Letter 129 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Roberta
Last Name: Colmer
Email Address: colmer32@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:51:23

Form Letter 130 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dottie

Last Name: Paek

Email Address: dottie.paek@lennar.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:51:56

Form Letter 131 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Wayne
Last Name: LeBaron
Email Address: wlebaron@arrival.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote against an additional increase targeting new housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:52:10

Form Letter 132 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Christopher
Last Name: De Young
Email Address: cbd@deyoungproperties.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:53:14

Form Letter 133 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Toni
Last Name: Raymus
Email Address: toni@raymushomes.com
Affiliation: Raymus Homes, Manteca

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdens for new housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked to increase that target to something higher than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:54:42

Form Letter 134 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tracy
Last Name: Williams
Email Address: twilliams@fcbhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:58:03

Form Letter 135 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Eggert
Email Address: sle@antonllc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:59:30

Form Letter 136 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jerry
Last Name: Love
Email Address: jerry.love@mascocs.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 08:59:32

Form Letter 137 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Thomas
Last Name: Zimmerman
Email Address: tom@pcbinc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Respectfully,

Thomas Zimmerman
tom@pcbinc.com

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:01:04

Form Letter 138 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jerry
Last Name: Bunin
Email Address: jbunin@hbacc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:01:52

Form Letter 139 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Beckman
Email Address: johnb@biadelta.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:02:56

Form Letter 140 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Sean
Last Name: Doyle
Email Address: sdoyle@stanpac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:06:06

Form Letter 141 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Courtney
Email Address: ccourtney@khov.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:08:57

**Form Letter 142 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Steve
Last Name: Fedewa
Email Address: stevef@borm.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO
Comment:

Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:12:53

Form Letter 143 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lorena
Last Name: Fisher
Email Address: lorena@nceca.org
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

The Northern California Engineering Contractors Association strongly urges the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:16:30

Form Letter 144 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: James

Last Name: Ray

Email Address: jray@msce.com

Affiliation: MacKay & Soms Civil Engineers

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:16:31

Form Letter 145 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Sean
Last Name: Santa Cruz
Email Address: ssantacruz@hallmarkcommunities.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:18:45

Form Letter 146 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Frank
Last Name: Coyle
Email Address: fcoyle@brandman.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:21:30

Form Letter 147 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jim
Last Name: Hosner
Email Address: Jim.Hosner@BMCWest.com
Affiliation: BMC West Building Materials

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you,

Jim Hosner
Administrative Manager
BMC WEST BUILDING MATERIALS
4083 RANCHO ROAD, MARYSVILLE, CA 95901
(530) 743-7300
CELL (707) 249-0116

FAX (707) 471-4097
jim.hosner@bmcwest.com

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:22:46

Form Letter 148 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Russ

Last Name: Haley

Email Address: russ@citymark.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:26:16

**Form Letter 149 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Rust

Email Address: mrust@newlandcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on CARB AB 32

Comment:

Please vote no on AB 32 CARB changes.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:28:48

Form Letter 150 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bruce
Last Name: Bushore
Email Address: bruce@theenterprisecompanies.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on Gas Emissions
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:28:50

Form Letter 151 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Sumpter
Email Address: davesumpter@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:32:41

**Form Letter 152 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: ron
Last Name: blain
Email Address: ron@blainhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: scopingpln08
Comment:

a NO vote on emissions for housings ab 32scoping plan

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:39:57

Form Letter 153 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bryan
Last Name: Starr
Email Address: bstarr@biaoc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emmssion burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:42:37

Form Letter 154 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Thomas
Last Name: Swanson
Email Address: tswanson@stanpac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on AB32
Comment:

Please strongly consider voting NO on AB32. I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:44:03

Form Letter 155 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kym
Last Name: Markley
Email Address: kym.markley@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burden on housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Regards,
Kym Markley

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:45:11

Form Letter 156 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gary
Last Name: Casper
Email Address: casperces@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on Emissions Burdens for New Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:45:38

Form Letter 157 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ralph
Last Name: Walker
Email Address: rwalker@ponderosahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:50:20

Form Letter 158 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Monica
Last Name: Perata
Email Address: mperata@hbanc.org
Affiliation: Home Builders Association

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:54:48

Form Letter 159 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott
Last Name: Hoisington
Email Address: Scotth@woodside-homes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing!

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:56:01

Form Letter 160 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Masson
Email Address: johnmasson@masson-assoc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 09:58:54

Form Letter 161 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Zandra
Last Name: Morris
Email Address: zjmorris@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:02:44

Form Letter 162 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kenneth
Last Name: Connors
Email Address: crwdev@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:03:11

Form Letter 163 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Wilmot
Email Address: lwilmot@camarilloengineering.com
Affiliation: BIA / SCCA

Subject: Vote NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:04:31

Form Letter 164 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gretchen
Last Name: Gutierrez
Email Address: avbia@earthlink.net
Affiliation: Building Industry Association of So Cal

Subject: CARB Reduction Recommendation concerning Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Comment:

Please receive and review the attached letter documentation as presented by the Antelope Valley Chapter of the Building Industry Association of Southern California.

Thank you,
Gretchen Gutierrez
Executive Officer
AV Chapter/BIA Southern California

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/scopingpln08/1090-carb_ltr_re_5_million_ton_reduction_dec_2008.doc

Original File Name: CARB ltr re 5 million ton reduction Dec 2008.doc

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:07:38

Form Letter 165 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: lauren
Last Name: freyermuth
Email Address: lauren@granitehomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:09:16

Form Letter 166 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lee
Last Name: Terry
Email Address: leeterry@lterryrecruiter.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:25:32

Form Letter 167 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David

Last Name: Vacccarezza

Email Address: davevac@cal-waste.com

Affiliation: California Waste Recivery Systems LLC

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:28:53

Form Letter 168 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Al
Last Name: cohen
Email Address: acohen1068@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:29:59

Form Letter 169 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Smokey
Last Name: Imes
Email Address: smokey@axiommoney.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:35:39

Form Letter 170 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Warren
Email Address: dwarren@mcmillin.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:36:34

Form Letter 171 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Janie
Last Name: Glidden
Email Address: jbgldden@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSIONS BURDENS FOR HOUSING!
Comment:

PLEASE BE COGNIZANT OF THE ECONOMY AND 'LOGICAL' SOLUTIONS.

MAYBE CARB SHOULD TAKE A HUGE LOOK AT THE DEVICES THAT HELP CARS & LIGHT TRUCKS MORE EFFICIENTLY....ON THE MARKET NOW, INEXPENSIVELY & AVAILABLE! WHY IS IT ALLOWED IN ALL OTHER STATES, CANADA, UK, ETC....AND NOT ABLE TO BE CONSIDERED BY SMOG TESTERS IN CALIFORNIA???????

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHO TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS ISSUE....PLEASE REPLY.

Janie Glidden

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:42:18

Form Letter 172 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Dmohowski
Email Address: daved@projectdesign.com
Affiliation: Premier Planning Group LLC

Subject: AB32 Scoping Meeting--Residential Impacts
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:44:51

Form Letter 173 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Pete
Last Name: Gibson
Email Address: pgg4646@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 10:58:25

Form Letter 174 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Mullin
Email Address: john@adcoatings.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on using emissions to stop housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:01:06

Form Letter 175 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Balestreri
Email Address: rbalestreri@khov.com
Affiliation: K. Hovnanian Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:21:46

Form Letter 176 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Debra
Last Name: Gaylord
Email Address: dgaylord@mhmb.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on AB 32
Comment:

I represent the third generation of my family in the housing industry. Two of my sons represent the fourth. People in the housing industry are experiencing the most terrible loss of jobs since the Great Depression.

AB 32 would make it difficult and expensive to build new homes or commercial buildings. We already have a new requirement for "green" building to deal with that is slowing the housing sector even more.

Even if AB 32 would help the environment, which has not been proven, now is not the time to add another burden on the already struggling housing sector.

Please vote no on AB 32.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:30:03

Form Letter 177 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Zales

Email Address: szales@obrienhomes.net

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:31:21

Form Letter 178 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: William
Last Name: Clevenger
Email Address: clevenger@geoconinc.com
Affiliation: GEOCON, Inc.

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing as an engineering geologist to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

As a geologist, I have studied the geologic history of the earth including global plate tectonic events, ice ages, climate history and astronomical history. It is my strong opinion that global climate change cannot be altered by man to any significant degree. Man is arrogant to believe that such power is within his grasp. Therefore, it is absurd to mandate such policies with the global climate change excuse.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Not only do I question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, there is no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. In fact, I believe that you should look toward relaxing those targets altogether.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 11:32:45

Form Letter 179 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dennis
Last Name: Bradley
Email Address: d.m.bradley@att.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Thank you

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:11:14

Form Letter 180 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve
Last Name: Madison
Email Address: smadison@biacc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote "No" on new emission standards for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:27:36

Form Letter 181 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Kemp
Email Address: makarc@aol.com
Affiliation: American Institute of Architects

Subject: Proposed AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:28:15

Form Letter 182 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Randy
Last Name: Bling
Email Address: rbling@florsheimhomes.com
Affiliation: Builder / Resident

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:55:41

Form Letter 183 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Murphy
Email Address: timothy.murphy@gencorp.com
Affiliation: Rancho Cordova Chamber Govt. Affairs

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

On behalf of the membership of the Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce, I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

The Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce strongly urges you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Tim Murphy
Chair, Government Relations Committee
Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 12:59:37

Form Letter 184 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: susan
Last Name: champion
Email Address: ojo316@yahoo.com
Affiliation: Lee & Associates

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:14:08

Form Letter 185 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Adam
Last Name: Smith
Email Address: smithac1974@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:16:34

Form Letter 186 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Duggins
Email Address: dave@ccinsul.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:17:13

Form Letter 187 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jay
Last Name: Bedecarre
Email Address: jay@lbbadvertising.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:17:25

Form Letter 188 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jill
Last Name: Workman
Email Address: jworkman@biaoc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:17:28

Form Letter 189 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Gaouette
Email Address: rgaouette@uhc LLC.net
Affiliation: Urban Housing Communities

Subject: NO on AB32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:18:30

Form Letter 190 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Norris

Email Address: gnorris@horizon-communities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:19:32

Form Letter 191 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Harding
Email Address: daveharding1225@yahoo.com
Affiliation: none

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

David Harding
6 Mozzoni Aisle
Irvine CA 92606

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:20:09

Form Letter 192 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Melinda
Last Name: Andrade
Email Address: melindaandrade@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:20:41

Form Letter 193 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Aida

Last Name: Hercules-Dodaro

Email Address: aida.hercules@us.bureauveritas.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burden on housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:21:26

Form Letter 194 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Reggie
Last Name: Morgan
Email Address: rmorgan@amesgrenz.com
Affiliation: BIA Association Member BIA of the Delta

Subject: Vote NO
Comment:

Dear Board Members:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Respectfully,
Reggie Morgan
Ames Grenz Insurance Services, Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:22:46

Form Letter 195 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lucy
Last Name: Dunn
Email Address: ldunn@ocbc.org
Affiliation: Orange County Business Council

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:24:08

Form Letter 196 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Timothy
Last Name: Casey
Email Address: tim.casey@geolabswv.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:24:42

Form Letter 197 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Barbara
Last Name: Rossoll
Email Address: rossoll_1002@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:25:32

Form Letter 198 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Palazzo
Email Address: greg.palazzo@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:25:50

Form Letter 199 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Deborah
Last Name: Frias
Email Address: sfrias@biasc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:27:26

Form Letter 200 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Douglass
Email Address: rdouglass@pacbell.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

TAKE ACTION

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:27:59

Form Letter 201 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Sheley
Email Address: kevin.sheley@pulte.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:28:52

Form Letter 202 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Stephanie
Last Name: Drysdale
Email Address: sdrysdale@nossaman.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:29:13

Form Letter 203 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Moote
Email Address: p2moote@moote.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:35:30

Form Letter 204 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Franklin
Email Address: john@franklinred.com
Affiliation:

Subject: vote NO on new emmissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emmissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:38:02

Form Letter 205 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Young
Email Address: jyoung@younghomes.com
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation -Related Tarkets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board Not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation related GHG tarkets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of AB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB32 goals.

I srongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-related tarkets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:39:28

Form Letter 206 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Todd
Last Name: Priest
Email Address: todd@curtpringle.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Don't Burden Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:42:08

Form Letter 207 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Craig
Last Name: Lawson
Email Address: craig@pinnacle-homes.com
Affiliation: Pinnacle Homes

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:42:56

Form Letter 208 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mervyn
Last Name: Boyd
Email Address: mboyd@calpacifichomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely yours,

Mervyn J Boyd
California Pacific Homes

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:44:28

Form Letter 209 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lisa
Last Name: Martinez
Email Address: lmartinez@biasc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:45:38

Form Letter 210 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Juleigh
Last Name: Burke
Email Address: juleighburke@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:48:00

Form Letter 211 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michele
Last Name: Skupic
Email Address: mskupic@ctt.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32
Comment:

VOTE NO ON AB32!

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:55:35

Form Letter 212 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Todd
Last Name: leibl
Email Address: todd@victoryhomesinc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Todd Leibl

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 13:58:36

Form Letter 213 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Woodard
Email Address: gwoodard@woodardhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
Greg Woodard
Woodard Homes Inc

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:02:52

Form Letter 214 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: April
Last Name: Fisler
Email Address: afisler@woodardhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
April Fisler
Woodard Homes Inc

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:05:11

Form Letter 215 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Saied
Last Name: Naaseh
Email Address: saiedn@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:05:26

Form Letter 216 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Saied
Last Name: Naaseh
Email Address: saiedn@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:05:40

Form Letter 217 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Franz
Email Address: jfranz@woodardhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
John Franz
Woodard Homes Inc

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:06:13

**Form Letter 218 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Bill

Last Name: Watt

Email Address: wwatt@baywooddevelopment.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens on housing

Comment:

I urge you to let the SB375 and AB32 process work to determine the GHG targets, rather than imposing arbitrary and questionable targets that have the potential for extreme economic dislocations and hardships. Please do not increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:06:57

Form Letter 219 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mike

Last Name: Balsamo

Email Address: Balsamo3@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:07:33

Form Letter 220 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Joan
Last Name: Gillham
Email Address: jgillham@woodardhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
Joan Gillham
Woodard Homes Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:09:08

Form Letter 221 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lynn
Last Name: Conley
Email Address: lynn_conley@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:10:34

Form Letter 222 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Leah
Last Name: Williams
Email Address: leah@woodardhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
Leah Williams
Woodard Homes Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:10:50

Form Letter 223 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Cinda

Last Name: Woodard

Email Address: cwoodard@woodardhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
Cinda Woodard
Woodard Homes Inc.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:18:22

Form Letter 224 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Westcott
Email Address: davewestcott@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:23:23

Form Letter 225 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tracie
Last Name: Madison
Email Address: tlmadison10@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on additional emmissions burdens to housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emmissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:28:10

**Form Letter 226 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Stan

Last Name: Stringfellow

Email Address: Stan@noramres.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burden on housing

Comment:

The legislation burden Sacramento has/is placing on housing has
buried the idea of affordable housing in the State.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:29:17

Form Letter 227 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Edward
Last Name: Fitzpatrick
Email Address: efitzpatrick@shopoff.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:30:02

Form Letter 228 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Sands
Email Address: JohnSands@MBK.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:35:27

Form Letter 229 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Sands
Email Address: johnsands@mbk.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:49:08

Form Letter 230 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Humlicek
Email Address: davehumlicek@mbk.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:52:00

Form Letter 231 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michelle
Last Name: Prouty
Email Address: michelleprouty@mbk.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:53:19

Form Letter 232 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Odle
Email Address: odleassociates@aol.com
Affiliation: Vote No

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 14:55:43

Form Letter 233 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Andrew

Last Name: Weisser

Email Address: aweisser@earthlink.net

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Comment:

As someone living with asthma, I urge the CARB Board to adopt a strong global warming scoping plan for California to both slow global warming and achieve immediate reductions in smog and other dangerous air pollutants that cause illness and death. A strong plan will set the standard for national and international action. I also urge the state air board to include a stronger focus on measures to reduce emissions from driving which contribute both the largest percentage of greenhouse gases and dangerous air pollutants in California. Therefore, the plan should include a much more aggressive statewide goal for reducing vehicle trips and measures to promote progressive action by local governments. To reach the state's targets for greenhouse gas reduction targets, the plan should additionally include a strong goal and additional regulatory measures for reducing pollution from industrial sources such as petroleum refineries and cement manufacturing facilities. It is also critical that any measures taken to reduce greenhouse gases contain do not increase hot spots of pollution in communities already impacted by multiple sources of pollution.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:01:49

Form Letter 234 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Musella
Email Address: musella@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:08:46

Form Letter 235 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Henry
Email Address: timhenry@conquestconcrete.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:14:05

Form Letter 236 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Guithues
Email Address: mguithues@jdtplaw.com
Affiliation: Jackson DeMarco

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

Pick the low hanging fruit, the houses like mine which were built in the 70's where minor changes make a big difference.

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:20:26

Form Letter 237 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Brian
Last Name: Jacobson
Email Address: bmichaelj@verizon.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emissions burden for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:36:14

Form Letter 238 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Marie
Last Name: Basdakis
Email Address: Mbasdakis@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you,

Marie

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:44:25

Form Letter 239 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: McMillan
Email Address: dpmcmillan@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:45:45

Form Letter 240 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: lester

Last Name: tucker

Email Address: lester.tucker@lennar.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:46:59

Form Letter 241 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: J.P.

Last Name: Kapp

Email Address: jkapp7676@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emmissions standards for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emmissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:56:40

Form Letter 242 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: norman

Last Name: Skinner

Email Address: jay.skinner@pardeehomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 15:56:43

Form Letter 243 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lars
Last Name: Dennert
Email Address: lars@larsdennert.com
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you
Lars Dennert

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:06:09

Form Letter 244 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Ragan
Email Address: cragan@msce.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:22:18

Form Letter 245 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ethen
Last Name: Thacher
Email Address: ethent@makarproperties.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:40:45

Form Letter 246 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tim
Last Name: McGinnis
Email Address: tmcginnis@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on emissions burdens for new housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:44:18

Form Letter 247 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Campanella
Email Address: john@bdcdevelopment.com
Affiliation: Bermant Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 16:49:41

Form Letter 248 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: eddie
Last Name: wang
Email Address: ew913@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 17:04:53

Form Letter 249 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Hixson
Email Address: m.hixson@ryness.com
Affiliation:

Subject: NO Vote Requested on Increased Transportation Target Reductions
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 18:04:14

Form Letter 250 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Donald
Last Name: Babbitt
Email Address: don@heartwoodcommunities.com
Affiliation: Heartwood Communities

Subject: VOTE "NO"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Happy Holidays!
Don Babbitt

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 18:22:27

**Form Letter 251 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Marisa

Last Name: Cornejo

Email Address: build_dream_homes@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burden for housing

Comment:

I am expressing my extreme concern for AB32.
Please vote NO!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-04 18:55:47

Form Letter 252 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: stephen

Last Name: lyons

Email Address: slyons@crestwoodcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: vote "no" on emissions burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 07:17:41

Form Letter 253 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Nicole
Last Name: Burdette
Email Address: nburdette@brookfieldsouthland.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 07:32:20

Form Letter 254 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dan
Last Name: Boatwright
Email Address: dboatwright@castlecompanies.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please vote NO on higher emission targets
Comment:

I am concerned about the proposed recommendation to increase the target amount of the AB 32 Scoping Plan to more than 5 million metric tons.

Please do NOT increase the Regional Transportation Targets any further. The burdens will already be tremendous and our economy simply cannot bear the weight of additional requirements.

I have been following the implementation possibilities of Senate Bill 375. It is readily apparent that there is still no clear process and outcome for SB 375. That process needs time and effort to work. Any action by your Board to increase limit numbers will make SB 375 implementation more cumbersome, more uncertain, and ultimately make SB 375 implementation take longer.

Home builders want to see SB 375 and AB 32 work, but we want to do it in a manner that is predictable, and works for our State's economy as well. If you create a moving target, then I'm afraid there will be a backlash against SB 375. You need to keep the AB 32 limits realistic in order to maintain the support of the construction industry throughout California and eventually throughout the United States.

Again, please do not increase the Regional Transportation-Related Greenhouse Gas Targets. Thank you for your consideration.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 08:12:16

Form Letter 255 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Winter
Email Address: mwinter@sares-regis.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

TAKE ACTION

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 08:14:53

Form Letter 256 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Russ
Last Name: Davis
Email Address: r.davis@elliottthomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Russ Davis

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 08:23:55

Form Letter 257 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Grable
Email Address: tom.grable@lyonhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Tom Grable

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:46:07

Form Letter 258 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jason
Last Name: Wilson
Email Address: jason@phwsinc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Regards,

Jason Wilson

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:48:22

Form Letter 259 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Johnson
Email Address: stevej@stjamesproperties.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:55:04

Form Letter 260 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Trudy
Last Name: Zarnowiec
Email Address: trudy_z@roadrunner.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 09:58:39

Form Letter 261 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kendall

Last Name: Carre

Email Address: kendallc@ambrosiainteriors.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 10:36:51

**Form Letter 262 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Melissa

Last Name: Auten

Email Address: mauten@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

NO!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 10:57:04

Form Letter 263 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jeanette

Last Name: Roberts

Email Address: jroberts@calpacifichomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:05:02

Form Letter 264 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Hostin
Email Address: rhostin@roadrunner.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:31:13

Form Letter 265 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ryan
Last Name: Ortman
Email Address: rortman@trumark-co.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:46:23

Form Letter 266 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Crisand
Last Name: Giles
Email Address: crisand.giles@pardeehomes.com
Affiliation: Pardee Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am concerned about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing extreme economic hardships adding to our current economic crisis.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Crisand Giles

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:46:25

Form Letter 267 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Dix
Email Address: pdix@biasc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO! on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 11:54:00

Form Letter 268 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Medeiros
Email Address: pmedeiros@shhomes.com
Affiliation: Land development consultant

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 12:08:07

Form Letter 269 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Bottarini
Email Address: daveb@obriengroup.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 SCOPING PLAN
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 13:22:34

Form Letter 270 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Stan
Last Name: Weiler
Email Address: stanweiler@hwplanning.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Stan Weiler

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:17:09

Form Letter 271 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dyan
Last Name: Lorenzen
Email Address: dyanl@benchmarklandscape.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:17:41

Form Letter 272 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Teri
Last Name: McHugh
Email Address: tmchugh@brookfieldhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:17:55

Form Letter 273 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Nicole
Last Name: Zilliox
Email Address: nzilliox@hgfenton.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:19:47

Form Letter 274 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Wesley
Last Name: Anderson
Email Address: wanderson@desadesign.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:22:15

Form Letter 275 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Anderson
Email Address: jdasd@san.rr.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:22:46

**Form Letter 276 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Toni

Last Name: Ricord

Email Address: sthompson54@cox.net

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions In Calif.

Comment:

Please Vote No - this is not a fair bill.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:23:00

Form Letter 277 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Katie
Last Name: Hansen
Email Address: khansen16@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO!!!!
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:23:32

Form Letter 278 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Danielle
Last Name: Gould
Email Address: dani.gould@mdch.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:25:51

Form Letter 279 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Barbara

Last Name: Metz

Email Address: metzpr@san.rr.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emmissions

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:26:24

Form Letter 280 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Manning
Email Address: pmanning80@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:28:06

Form Letter 281 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: DAVID
Last Name: OCHOA
Email Address: daveochoa@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSION BURDENS FOR HOUSING"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:28:48

Form Letter 282 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: M.
Last Name: Kilfoil
Email Address: mkilfoil@firstam.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed AB 32 scoping plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Regards,

M. Kilfoil

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:29:10

Form Letter 283 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tim

Last Name: McCain

Email Address: tmccain@propertyenterprises.com

Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:29:23

Form Letter 284 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kristin
Last Name: Chambers
Email Address: kristiechambers@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:29:48

Form Letter 285 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Pete
Last Name: Reeb
Email Address: reeb@san.rr.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:30:35

Form Letter 286 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dawn

Last Name: Norton

Email Address: dnorton@pacoastcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:31:15

Form Letter 287 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Diane
Last Name: Ingram
Email Address: dingram@hunsakersd.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:33:24

Form Letter 288 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Andrew
Last Name: Cabrera
Email Address: acabrera@motivational.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:33:28

Form Letter 289 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Kelly
Email Address: kevinkelly.hotrod@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:33:41

Form Letter 290 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Gustafson
Email Address: rich@citymark.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:34:09

Form Letter 291 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Karen
Last Name: Van Ert
Email Address: kvanert@rickengineering.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTEN NO for new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:34:46

Form Letter 292 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Fred
Last Name: Martin
Email Address: fred@martinroofing.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON AB32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:35:13

**Form Letter 293 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Poole

Email Address: dpoole@brookfieldhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: ABN 32

Comment:

Please vote against imposing new requirements on the Homebuilding
industry

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:35:33

Form Letter 294 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Pursglove
Email Address: pmpursglove@drhorton.com
Affiliation:

Subject: SB 375
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:38:04

Form Letter 295 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tory
Last Name: Walker
Email Address: tory@trwengineering.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:38:22

Form Letter 296 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Fredrick
Last Name: Anderson
Email Address: fanderson@isidc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:38:45

Form Letter 297 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gregor
Last Name: Connors
Email Address: gconnors@newlandcommunities.com
Affiliation: Nelwand Communities

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:39:18

Form Letter 298 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Dann

Last Name: Mallec

Email Address: dmallec@rickengineering.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on the neww emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:39:28

Form Letter 299 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Rhonda
Last Name: Wilkerson
Email Address: rhonda_wilkerson@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:45:42

Form Letter 300 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Alex
Last Name: Merrill
Email Address: alex_merrill@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While I question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, I know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:47:32

Form Letter 301 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bryan
Last Name: Binney
Email Address: bryan.binney@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions standards on housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:50:34

Form Letter 302 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris

Last Name: Hall

Email Address: chris.hall@brehmco.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:50:49

Form Letter 303 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Les
Last Name: Leininger
Email Address: lleininger@mcmillin.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:51:29

Form Letter 304 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Taylor
Email Address: ttaylor@taylortrim.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:52:01

Form Letter 305 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott
Last Name: Wissbaum
Email Address: swissbau@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:55:49

Form Letter 306 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott

Last Name: Brusseau

Email Address: sbrusseau@newportnational.biz

Affiliation:

Subject: CARB

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:56:58

Form Letter 307 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Pete
Last Name: Relph
Email Address: prelph@motivational.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 14:57:38

Form Letter 308 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: jeremy
Last Name: louden
Email Address: louden72@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:02:47

Form Letter 309 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott
Last Name: DeGoler
Email Address: sdegoler@imfunds.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:04:40

Form Letter 310 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ralph
Last Name: Snyder
Email Address: rsnyder@firstam.com
Affiliation:

Subject: ScolpingPln 08
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:08:01

Form Letter 311 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Rossoll
Email Address: markrossoll@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:09:19

Form Letter 312 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jeffry

Last Name: Brusseau

Email Address: jbrusseau@newportnational.biz

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on New Emmissions Burdens

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you for your consideration

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:11:06

Form Letter 313 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Birch
Email Address: tbirch@hunsakersd.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:15:33

Form Letter 314 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Brenda

Last Name: Bender

Email Address: brenda.bender@lyonhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for new housing

Comment:

Homebuilders do not make or sell automobiles; therefore homebuilders should not be burdened with GHG bills. These bills should be targeted toward the automobile manufacturers, or a special tax to the purchaser should the automobile NOT meet specific criteria.

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:17:10

Form Letter 315 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jerry
Last Name: Green
Email Address: jgreen@prestigeconcrete.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote no on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:20:19

Form Letter 316 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Tomlinson
Email Address: ttomlinson@mcmillin.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:27:20

Form Letter 317 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Bwarie
Email Address: tom@bwariegiftbaskets.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Tom Bwarie

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:35:35

Form Letter 318 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Joe
Last Name: Shielly
Email Address: jshielly@gmail.com
Affiliation: San Diego BIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:43:00

Form Letter 319 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Ray

Last Name: Emnace

Email Address: Ray@phwsinc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 15:45:56

Form Letter 320 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Alex
Last Name: Plishner
Email Address: alex.plishner@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:02:39

Form Letter 321 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Pisano
Email Address: capisano@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:08:26

Form Letter 322 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Anthony
Last Name: Badeaux
Email Address: tony.badeaux@paladinreg.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for business and housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:37:24

Form Letter 323 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Neal
Last Name: Reynolds
Email Address: neal@reynoldscommunities.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 16:54:11

Form Letter 324 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Tallman
Email Address: jtallman@kmscommunities.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emission Burdens for Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 18:00:57

Form Letter 325 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Merkin
Email Address: rob.merkin@cbre.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Rob

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-05 18:17:47

Form Letter 326 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Stephen
Last Name: Nieto
Email Address: swcstephennieto@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 07:18:58

Form Letter 327 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Mertz
Email Address: mertz16514@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 11:30:55

Form Letter 328 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: PATRICIA
Last Name: TOLPINGRUD
Email Address: pattie.tolpingrud@pardeehomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Patricia Tolpingrud

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 13:51:35

Form Letter 329 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: marie
Last Name: keefe
Email Address: trctldy@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan- vote no!!!
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 15:22:25

Form Letter 330 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Winter
Email Address: mwinter@sares-regis.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-06 17:23:02

Form Letter 331 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Marc

Last Name: Kaplan

Email Address: mkaplan@aspeninsbrokers.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emissions burden for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 10:45:00

Form Letter 332 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Harwood
Email Address: jharwood@brookfieldhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 11:03:22

Form Letter 333 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Connie
Last Name: Sundstrom
Email Address: bmwfav@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 12:01:57

Form Letter 334 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Geoff
Last Name: Scott
Email Address: geoffscott@hotmail.com
Affiliation: BIA

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 14:10:35

Form Letter 335 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: John
Last Name: Kelting
Email Address: jkelting@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 15:26:00

Form Letter 336 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Hammar
Email Address: dhammar@hunsakersd.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals. I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 18:48:49

Form Letter 337 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Vahid
Email Address: petev@msland-llc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

In this economic climate, we need to be encouraging the construction, building and home building industries by allowing home buyers to be able to purchase and sell homes. While I am an advocate for the clean business practices as well as consumer practices, the jump to levels above 5 MMT would cause unreasonable economic hardship through excessive regulation on homebuilding and community building. There is a housing problem in California with overcrowding. Legislating mandates on homebuilding will decrease construction activity and cause more loss of jobs to an already hard hit construction industry which provides good paying jobs to American and Californian families. Lets be reasonable and realize the effects for driving businesses into severe financial difficulties and driving up home prices and adding to the affordability problem with regulations discussed in the Sacramento.

Thank You

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 19:57:37

Form Letter 338 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Vahid
Email Address: petev@msland-llc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-07 20:35:41

Form Letter 339 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Allen
Last Name: Donnelly
Email Address: adonnelly@khov.com
Affiliation: K. Hovnanian Homes

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thanks, Allen Donnelly

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:17:12

Form Letter 340 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chad
Last Name: Brown
Email Address: Chad@empirehomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:54:11

Form Letter 341 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Stuart
Last Name: Skoglund
Email Address: sskoglund@mcmillin.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:56:32

Form Letter 342 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Greg
Last Name: Ponce
Email Address: greg.ponce@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 07:59:05

Form Letter 343 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Max
Last Name: Greene
Email Address: max@aes2.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:18:30

Form Letter 344 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Vogelsang
Email Address: vogelsang.kevin@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. There is no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions than those already legislated are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board. These bills were supported by the homebuilders association - administratively bypassing them makes a mockery of the legislative process that is necessary for the type of broad based consensus necessary for this legislation to work.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:33:45

Form Letter 345 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bob
Last Name: Cummings
Email Address: bcummings@barratt.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Thank you,

Bob

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:42:29

Form Letter 346 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Daniel
Last Name: Koser
Email Address: koser@utilityspecialitst.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely, Daniel L. Koser

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:44:30

Form Letter 347 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lynn
Last Name: Assman
Email Address: lassman@younghomes.com
Affiliation: Exec. Assistant

Subject: Vote No On New Emissions Burdens for Housing
Comment:

To Whome it May Concern,

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

Sincerely,

Lynn Assman

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 08:59:10

Form Letter 348 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Texter
Email Address: ctexter@ktgy.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:04:14

Form Letter 349 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jason
Last Name: Shepard
Email Address: jshepard@mcmillin.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:06:12

Form Letter 350 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Don
Last Name: Mitchell
Email Address: dmitchell@mcmillin.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No!
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Don Mtchell

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:11:08

Form Letter 351 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Scott
Last Name: Hedenkamp
Email Address: scott@hedenkamp-architecture.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:12:31

Form Letter 352 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Harrison
Email Address: chris @lightfootpg.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:18:01

Form Letter 353 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Marcus

Last Name: Cook

Email Address: mcook@integralcommunities.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:24:31

Form Letter 354 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jerry
Last Name: Gaeir
Email Address: jgaeir@cassconstruction.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

[Click here for CARB Scoping Plan Comments](#)

Unsubscribe Instructions

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:35:29

Form Letter 355 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Bill
Last Name: Record
Email Address: bill@trwengineering.com
Affiliation: Concerned Citizen and Engineer

Subject: Vote NO on AB32
Comment:

Dear Public Servant,

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,

Bill Record, a member of the public

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:40:38

**Form Letter 356 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Walter
Last Name: Heiberg
Email Address: wheiberg@mcmillin.com
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: AB 32 Vote No
Comment:

I strongly oppose AB-32 and any increased requirements of green
house gases and particulate reduction over 5 million metric tons.

We have thousands of people out of work in many industries and to
force further reductions without a cost benefit analysis of jobs is
irresponsible.

Walter Heiberg

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 09:56:09

Form Letter 357 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tonya
Last Name: Short
Email Address: tonya@kernhba.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE No on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

The Home Builders Association of Kern County has a very strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping Plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently enacted SB 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board. Homebuilders have a vested interested in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interested in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders, consumers and families will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Sincerely,
Tonya Short
Home Builders Association of Kern County

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 10:09:30

Form Letter 358 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Niki

Last Name: Moore

Email Address: nmoore@isidc.com

Affiliation:

Subject: No on AB32 Scoping Plan 08

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 10:28:40

Form Letter 359 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gladys
Last Name: Eddy-Lee
Email Address: geddy-lee@brookfieldhomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 11:08:38

Form Letter 360 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: James
Last Name: Swanek
Email Address: Cwanek@netzero.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Comments on Land Use Sector Target
Comment:

I wish to offer comments on the Land Use Sector Target, and to urge it NOT be increased to a higher level. The Planning and Conservation League suggests the "land use" target should be raised from 5 million metric tons of CO2 equivalent (MMT) to 11-14 MMT. They state "as shown by the analysis of Dr. Reid Ewing and Dr. Arthur C. Nelson, the 5 MMT target is based upon models that are widely acknowledged to underestimate the benefits of dense, mixed-use development, while the higher target is achievable with policies California is already considering".

It is incredibly elitist to suggest no one but the rich in the future need dream of a new home on its own newly platted lot. The rich will always be able to buy enough offset credits to build their mansions in outlying areas on huge estates, but to posit policies that deny everyone else the right to have a home on its own lot in a new suburban area is elitist and racist. I used to work for the Watts Labor Community Action Committee, and its great founder Ted Watkins. He knew as well as anyone that African-American families wanted and needed the reduced stress of lower density neighborhoods than the inner city provided (not HIGHER densities!), and it would racist now to deny that dream to people who have passed their entire lives in poverty just trying to save up enough for their kids to actually live that dream...Does anyone really believe the folks in South Central would prefer a future (mandated for them!) of a stacked flat in a 20 story building near Skid Row over a nice home with its own yard in Corona?

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 12:24:12

Form Letter 361 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David

Last Name: Powers

Email Address: dpowers@breproperties.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 12:55:53

Form Letter 362 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Beucler
Email Address: cbeucler@beuclerhomes.com
Affiliation: Builder

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 13:16:37

Form Letter 363 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Johnson

Email Address: sjohnson@cassconstruction.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 13:26:43

Form Letter 364 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jennifer
Last Name: Phillip
Email Address: jphillip@hbacc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: "VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing."
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 14:19:02

Form Letter 365 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: therese
Last Name: fairbanks
Email Address: tdfairbanks@verizon.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing"

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 15:06:21

Form Letter 366 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Donna
Last Name: Carpenter
Email Address: dcarpenter@sikand.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 15:47:34

Form Letter 367 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Amy
Last Name: Glad
Email Address: amy.glad@pardeehomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Vote NO on further increases to Transportation Targets
Comment:

Dear Chairperson Nichols and Members of the Board:

On behalf of Pardee Homes, I am writing to urge the you NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets beyond the proposed 5 MMT level in the AB 32 Scoping Plan.

This year the Legislature passed and Governor Schwarzenegger signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work.

As a builder of master planned communities and a company that has been recognized by the Governor and the US EPA for green building and land development practices, Pardee Homes has a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. We also have a vested interest in helping the state and the home building sector out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

We strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets beyond the current proposal of 5 MMT.

Thank you for your consideration of our position.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 16:05:36

Form Letter 368 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lucy
Last Name: Kajrys-Dietz
Email Address: RealtorLucy@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed A.B. 32 Scoping Plan – Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Target for Regional Transportation
Comment:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Concerning the pending Scoping Plan for A.B. 32, I write to express concern about the proposed recommendation to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons annually of greenhouse gases from regional transportation and land use. Specifically, I understand that the Board and its staff are being asked by some to increase the recommended target for reductions from regional transportation even higher still, above the 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board not to increase the reduction target for regional transportation any further. First, we are unaware of any evidence that would indicate that even larger reductions are reasonably achievable and would not cause harsh dislocations and extreme economic hardship. Moreover, local governments, county transportation commissions and transportation planning agencies, and countless stakeholders are already faced with implementing the recently-enacted Senate Bill 375, which sets forth a difficult process to spur changes to transportation and land use planning in order to achieve A.B. 32 compliance. Bigger reduction targets for regional transportation in a scoping plan - above the 5 million metric tons annually last proposed - would lead to economic ruin for many sub-regions if they were to be translated, in any way, into S.B. 375 regional reductions.

Accordingly, I respectfully urge the Board not to increase any more the recommended, targeted reduction of greenhouse gases from regional transportation.

Sincerely,

Lucy Kajrys-Dietz

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 16:17:15

Form Letter 369 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Rice

Email Address: steve.rice@coldwellbanker.com

Affiliation: Realtor

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 17:27:43

Form Letter 370 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: matthew
Last Name: tippell
Email Address: mjtippell@studiotippell.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-08 22:15:50

Form Letter 371 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: James
Last Name: Jackson
Email Address: jjackson@jacksonpendo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 08:42:10

**Form Letter 372 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) -
45 Day.**

First Name: Bess

Last Name: Wakeman

Email Address: bess@wakeman.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on AB 32 no burdens for housing.

Comment:

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional
Transportation-Related Targets any further. This is not a good
solution for California. Please vote NO.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 09:01:30

Form Letter 373 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Steve
Last Name: LaMar
Email Address: lamar@legisight.com
Affiliation: CBIA

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 10:35:46

Form Letter 374 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Gail
Last Name: Fitzsimmons
Email Address: gfitzsimmons@engeo.com
Affiliation: ENGEIO Incorporated

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 10:40:58

Form Letter 375 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: David
Last Name: Aispuro
Email Address: david@phwsinc.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 10:45:39

Form Letter 376 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Mary Ellen
Last Name: Siewerth
Email Address: msiewerth@propertyenterprises.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO ON NEW EMISSION BURDENS FOR HOUSING
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 13:12:18

Form Letter 377 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Lawrence
Last Name: Conley
Email Address: larryconley@anastasi.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping plan - residential construction
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 13:56:40

Form Letter 378 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Marwan
Last Name: Younis
Email Address: marwan@maygroupinc.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Scoping Plan to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 14:00:43

Form Letter 379 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Jasen
Last Name: Torbett
Email Address: jasen.torbett@sheahomes.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-09 15:09:52

Form Letter 380 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Todd
Last Name: Smith
Email Address: todd@cannoncorp.us
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on New Emissions Burdens for Housing
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 07:48:27

Form Letter 381 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Perkins
Email Address: bperkins@curtishomes.net
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 09:11:10

Form Letter 382 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Darryl

Last Name: Stephenson

Email Address: dstephenson@rancongroup.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Vote No

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 09:48:07

Form Letter 383 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Laura
Last Name: Laflamme
Email Address: llaflamme@brandman.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 16:04:06

Form Letter 384 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Brandman
Email Address: mbrandman@brandman.com
Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing.
Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, we understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

We strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. While we question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, we know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

The recently-enacted Senate Bill 375 sets out the process to determine the actual regional transportation-related GHG targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board.

Homebuilders have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. Homebuilders also have a vested interest in assisting the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on California's homebuilders and consumers will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-10 16:05:39

Form Letter 385 for Comment 250 for AB 32 Scoping Plan (scopingpln08) - 45 Day.

First Name: Matt

Last Name: Hamilton

Email Address: matthamilton@mbkhomes.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOTE NO on new emission burdens for housing

Comment:

I am writing to express strong concern about the proposed recommendation within the AB 32 Scoping plan to target a reduction of 5 million metric tons (MMT) annually of greenhouse gases from Regional Transportation-Related Targets. Specifically, I understand that the Board is being asked by some to increase that target to something higher, potentially significantly higher, than 5 million metric tons.

I strongly urge the Board NOT to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further. I not only question whether sufficient scientific information exists to support quantifying greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions from passenger vehicles at the proposed 5 MMT level, but I also know of no credible scientific evidence that would indicate that larger reductions are reasonably achievable or sustainable without causing dislocations and extreme economic hardships.

Governor Schwarzenegger recently signed Senate Bill 375, which sets out the process to determine the actual Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets. That process needs to be given deference and allowed to work. It should not be preempted at this early stage by unilateral action by the Board!

As someone who lives in California and is concerned about GHG emissions, I have a vested interest in the success of SB 375 and AB 32. I also have a vested interest in helping the state out of its current and profound economic malaise. Imposing out-of-proportion burdens on new housing will neither help our struggling economy nor realistically achieve our AB 32 goals.

I strongly urge you not to increase the Regional Transportation-Related Targets any further.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-12-11 15:04:21