

Form Letter 1 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Jeremy
Last Name: Snyder
Email Address: jeremysnyder1@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: ZEV RULING
Comment:

I am shocked and greatly dissapointed that the Board's decision to reduce the minimum number of pure zero emissions vehicles by 70%, from 25,000 to a mere 7,500 during Phase III (2012 to 2015). It really seems you have cracked under pressure from oil lobbyists and major auto makers.

we urge the Board to:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Snyder

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-13 12:24:58

Form Letter 2 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Kevin
Last Name: Harney
Email Address: kharney@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: ZEV Mandate Changes
Comment:

I strongly urge the board to consider the following changes to the ZEV mandate.

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond.

Kevin Harney

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-13 12:38:50

Form Letter 3 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Hedge
Email Address: phedge@shaw.ca
Affiliation: none

Subject: Re: Changes to ZEV programme
Comment:

I do not understand why the proposed changes to the current ZEV programme have been put forward.

Plainly the EV is here to stay and is being produced in ever growing numbers by companies such as Tesla whci is based in California.

Europe is already embracing the EV and this will only increase in future years.

In short, I do not know where you got your numbers from.

I therefore propose the following:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond.

Peter J Hedge

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-13 18:37:29

Form Letter 4 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Jay
Last Name: Snable
Email Address: jsnable@mac.com
Affiliation: none

Subject: Proposed Changes to the ZEV Mandate
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols,

I am writing in support of Tesla Motor's recent communication. As a Californian and soon-to-be owner of two California-manufactured fully electric vehicles, the Tesla Roadster and Aptera Typ-1e, I find it hard to believe that we are considering rolling back requirements for true ZEVs in favor of more hybrid automobiles. As a current hybrid owner, I appreciate the advances they represent in reducing air pollution and the need for more oil. However, the hybrid market is well established; the need for inducements seems analogous to tax breaks for oil companies for exploration.

To reiterate, I support:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

Sincerely,

Jay Snable

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-13 21:24:31

Form Letter 5 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Ted
Last Name: Knight
Email Address: tckkono@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: ZEV Mandate changes
Comment:

I urge the Board to:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond. Additionally, look at the reaction to the increase in oil/gas prices recently.....the marketplace is demanding ZEV's whether you act or not. Do the right thing and for once act in accordance with the wishes of the people you supposedly serve.

Sincerely,

Ted C. Knight
informed consumer

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-14 09:07:19

Form Letter 6 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: William

Last Name: Davis

Email Address: wdavis@stellarsolutions.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Zero Emission Vehicle Program proposed changes

Comment:

Hello,

Electric vehicle adoption in this populous state is now a decade behind where it should be. CARB needs to set bold targets if it is to have any lasting relevance to people of this state. I urge the Board to:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond.

Regards,
William Davis
Union City, CA

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-14 10:02:32

Form Letter 7 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: eric
Last Name: swenson
Email Address: eric@ericvfx.com
Affiliation:

Subject: More not less Electric Vehicles
Comment:

Dear Mr Nichols,

It is incredulous that you are considering lessening the requirement for full Electric vehicles in favor of more hybrid automobiles. This does not help our smog situation and spurs the argument for drilling for oil off our coasts.

Please:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

Thank you,

Eric Swenson

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-14 22:30:05

Form Letter 8 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Gerry
Last Name: Stansgar
Email Address: gerry@danceheads.com
Affiliation:

Subject: plans to lower zev
Comment:

I urge you to consider:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond.

Please help us, not hinder us to move forward to a better future.

Thank you,

Gerry Stansgar

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-15 14:58:35

Form Letter 9 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Heath

Last Name: Hyllested

Email Address: rocky_2010@hotmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Zero Emission Vehicle Program

Comment:

I appreciate your encouragement of public involvement. Everyone seems to be looking to our new president for fuel changes, but it is going to take work from our entire government. Please consider the following points.

1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);

2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;

3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;

4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-16 12:08:20

Form Letter 10 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Carl
Last Name: Davidson
Email Address: carlhpretired@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Maintain Pressure to Deliver Pure ZEV's in California
Comment:

By any measure, CARB's minimum quota of 25,000 pure zero emission vehicles for 2012-2015 can be met today. Whose interest will be served by easing the requirements to a paltry 7,500 vehicles?

The CARB now has the opportunity to to be at cause for "Who Revived the Electric Car" - go for it!

In summary I urge the Board to:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

With these actions the Air Resources Board will once again be able to recapture its credibility and assume the mantle of leadership in advancing the goal of true zero emissions transportation in the state of California and beyond.

Sincerely,
Carl E. Davidson

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-18 07:22:10

Form Letter 11 for Comment 29 for ZEV 2008 (zev2008) - 15-1.

First Name: Brian
Last Name: Albert
Email Address: bra2106@columbia.edu
Affiliation:

Subject: ZEV Mandate
Comment:

- 1) Increase not decrease the minimum number of Pure ZEV required in Phase III (2012-2015);
- 2) Eliminate the substitution of Pure ZEVs with Enhanced AT-PZEVs;
- 3) Set the minimum ZEV requirements on a yearly basis rather than for three years, thus preventing manufacturers from getting an additional three year grace period and eliminating "blackout" years;
- 4) Change the carry forward provision of gold ZEV credits earned by any manufacturer that exclusively manufactures pure ZEVs to expire 3 years from the date of transfer to another manufacturer.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-08-18 13:04:14