

**Form Letter 1 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Barnes
Email Address: peter@tomales.org
Affiliation: Tomales Bay Institute

Subject: Proposed cap and trade regs
Comment:

- (1) I like the price floor starting at \$10/ton.
- (2) In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits.
- (3) In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced.
- (4) In the electricity sector, you should protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to consumers via electronic rebates (dividends). There is no need for utilities as middlemen. Using them as middlemen will result in 'skimming' and is likely to eliminate or mute the price signal.
- (5) I urge you to follow your own expert panel's advice that "the largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households" in the form of dividends.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-11-28 18:36:35

**Form Letter 2 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jane
Last Name: Paneitz
Email Address: jpaneitz@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade regulation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Thank you,
Jane Paneitz

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-03 08:57:09

**Form Letter 3 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nabeel
Last Name: Al-Shamma
Email Address: nabeel@alshamma.com
Affiliation:

Subject: proposed Cap & Trade regulation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Nabeel Al-Shamma

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-03 18:30:45

**Form Letter 4 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Cassandra B.
Last Name: Lista
Email Address: clista@sonic.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Cassandra B. Lista

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-04 19:59:00

**Form Letter 5 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Gang

Email Address: pete@commonsensedesign.com

Affiliation: Architect, Common Sense Design

Subject: Proposed Cap and Trade Regulation

Comment:

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 16:14:28

**Form Letter 6 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Carolyn
Last Name: Scott
Email Address: jardine.caromia7@gmail.com
Affiliation: Cool Planet Labs

Subject: Cap and Trade regulation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Your name

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 21:28:58

**Form Letter 7 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Shirley
Last Name: Johnson-Foell
Email Address: johnsons@sonoma.edu
Affiliation:

Subject: Dear CARB,
Comment:

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Shirley Johnson-Foell

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 06:38:01

**Form Letter 8 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stacey
Last Name: Meinzen
Email Address: smeinzen@climateactionplans.com
Affiliation:

Subject: permit price floors, auctions and dividends
Comment:

Dear CARB,

I have several comments regarding the proposed cap and trade regulations:

Permit Price Floor: Thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton - essential to stabilize prices so businesses can plan.

Dividend Check: I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Transportation fuels sector: I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits.

Industrial sector: The free allocations are excessive and should be reduced.

Electricity sector: The final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check.

Sincerely,

Stacey Meinzen

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 12:02:18

**Form Letter 9 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: William

Last Name: Carney

Email Address: williamcarney@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Dividend

Comment:

Dear CARB,

Bravo to California for providing strong leadership on a full range of carbon reduction measures. Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,

William Carney, President
Sustainable San Rafael

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 20:45:15

**Form Letter 10 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Barnes
Email Address: peter@tomales.org
Affiliation: Tomales Bay Institute

Subject: Cap and trade proposed regulations
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton.

In the transportation sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits.

In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be scaled way back.

In the electricity sector, you should return allowance value directly to consumers through equal monthly dividends wired to their bank accounts. There is no need to use the utilities as middle-men. Follow your own expert panel's recommendation that "the largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of per capita dividends.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 21:15:17

**Form Letter 11 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Frank
Last Name: Lossy
Email Address: ftlossy322@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Dividend
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." -- in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,

Frank Lossy & Barbara Steinberg

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 00:06:59

**Form Letter 12 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sam
Last Name: Ruark
Email Address: samruark33@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Implementing AB32
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Sam Ruark

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 08:13:24

**Form Letter 13 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Yale
Last Name: Abrams
Email Address: yale.abrams@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade regulation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,

Yale Abrams

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 08:15:12

**Form Letter 14 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jane
Last Name: Paneitz
Email Address: jpaneitz@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: The proposed Cap & Trade regulation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Thank you,
Jane Paneitz

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 08:23:11

**Form Letter 15 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Edward

Last Name: Myers

Email Address: bikeman52522000@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Fewer giveaways, more rebates

Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check. This will go a long way to provide popular support and counter the "business as usual" mindset.

Sincerely,

Ed Myers

Sebastopol, CA

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 08:51:21

**Form Letter 16 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John
Last Name: Garn
Email Address: lfntrout@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 Support
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton in the proposed Cap & Trade regulation. I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits in the transportation fuels sector. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Thank you for moving this forward and implementing direct action to reduce the immense impacts from global climate change. Once again, the world looks to California to lead the way!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 09:16:35

**Form Letter 17 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nils-Michael

Last Name: Langenborg

Email Address: nils-michael@wholehealthmarketing.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade regulation

Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 09:20:53

**Form Letter 18 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Leonardo

Last Name: Salas

Email Address: leoasalas@netscape.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed Cap and Trade regulation

Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Leo Salas

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 09:22:26

**Form Letter 19 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joy
Last Name: Helstien
Email Address: joybird.helstien@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Joy Helstien

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 10:05:28

**Form Letter 20 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Suzanne

Last Name: Beers

Email Address: suzbeers@hughes.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Reduce giveaways

Comment:

I support your great idea for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. Thank you for making such a wise decision. In the transportation fuels sector, I also support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are simply too much and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Many of the costs are going to be passed on to the ratepayers and they should be treated as a serious player with financial considerations as well. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Beers

Your name

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 10:35:53

**Form Letter 21 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Raymund
Last Name: Gallian
Email Address: rgallian@comcast.net
Affiliation: Sonoma Biochar Initiative

Subject: Cap and Dividend
Comment:

Dear CARB,
Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.
Sincerely, Ray Gallian, Sonoma

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 12:06:20

**Form Letter 22 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: :HolLynn
Last Name: DeLil
Email Address: hdlil@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Capandtrade 10
Comment:

Dear CARB,

It is important that, regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, you have included a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. Thank you.

In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
HolLynn DeLil

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 12:28:50

**Form Letter 23 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dwight
Last Name: Sims
Email Address: dsims@sonic.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and dividend
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Dwight Sims

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 13:14:39

**Form Letter 24 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Cassandra B.
Last Name: Lista
Email Address: clista@sonic.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Climate Protection
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 13:33:39

**Form Letter 25 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John & Sara

Last Name: Donnelly

Email Address: donnellyj63@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Capandtrade 10

Comment:

This week, CARB will vote on staff's recommendations for implementation of AB 32. Although many of these recommendations are sound, we believe others would mean big giveaways to industry - paid for by Californians and the environment. Because this legislation is a model for the nation, we need to get it right. Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, we support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, we urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 15:17:04

**Form Letter 26 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: bonita
Last Name: coughlin RN
Email Address: karunabo@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade10
Comment:

Dear CARB,

I am writing because lowering CO2 in the atmosphere is the greatest health threat that we face. I am happy that you are including a permit price floor of \$10/ton.

I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning of 100% of permits.

I feel strongly that the free allocations are excessive and need to be reduced in the industrial sector.

In the electrical sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to the residential customers as a rebate check. Please follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "the largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households in the form of dividend checks.

Sincerely,
Bonita Coughlin RN

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 19:19:24

**Form Letter 27 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Sicaud
Email Address: sicaudj@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Yes, we need to move forward aggressively to combat global warming.
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

I would be proud to be a Californian if this state took an aggressive stance to slow global warming. It is imperative to the future of this planet that this state continue to be among the world leaders to find solutions and be less of the problem.

Sincerely,
Julie Sicaud

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 20:13:13

**Form Letter 28 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Natasha
Last Name: Granoff
Email Address: njgranoff@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade regulation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Natasha Granoff

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:11:38

**Form Letter 29 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Cone
Email Address: cone@sonic.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Support cap/trade dividend check recommendation
Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Chris Cone

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-15 08:23:07

**Form Letter 30 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tara
Last Name: Brown
Email Address: tarasb@igc.org
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Dear CARB,

I am writing in regard to the proposed Cap & Trade regulation. Thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely, Tara Brown

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-15 08:51:24

**Form Letter 31 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kathleen

Last Name: Palmer

Email Address: kathleenmpalmer@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Implementing AB2

Comment:

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-15 09:15:55

**Form Letter 32 for Comment 25 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steve

Last Name: Tassano

Email Address: tassano@climateprotection.org

Affiliation:

Subject: Please Support Dividends!

Comment:

Dear CARB,

Regarding the proposed Cap & Trade regulation, thank you for including a permit price floor starting at \$10/ton. In the transportation fuels sector, I support the upstream point of regulation and auctioning 100% of permits. In the industrial sector, the free allocations are excessive and should be reduced. In the electricity sector, the final regulation should direct utilities to protect ratepayers by returning allowance value directly to residential customers as a rebate check. Finally, I urge you to follow your expert economic panel's recommendation that "The largest share (roughly 75%) of allowance value should be returned to California households..." in the form of a dividend check.

Sincerely,
Steve Tassano

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-15 10:15:36