

**Form Letter 1 for Comment 30 for Scoping Plan Update: The Proposed Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target and Draft Environmental Analysis (scopingplan2030) - Non-Reg.**

First Name: Thomas

Last Name: Patterson

Email Address: t.c.patterson@comcast.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Reject Cap and Trade

Comment:

I urge you to reject the false climate solutions of cap and trade and a carbon tax in favor of direct source reductions, i.e., emission reductions made directly at the source of pollution.

A 2016 report found that industrial facilities are more often located in low-income communities and communities of color, and that many of these industrial polluters (which are covered by the cap-and-trade market) have had increases, not decreases, in localized greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, carbon cap and trade has not been successful in achieving significant and rapid emission reductions anywhere it has been implemented.

Similarly, a carbon tax has not been proven as an effective means to reduce emissions. British Columbia's carbon tax actually saw an increase in taxed emissions of 4.3 percent from 2009 to 2014. Given the immediate threat we now face, we do not have time to "wait and see" if a carbon tax will work a decade from now. Rapid, significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed now.

Only by adhering to the legislature's mandate for "direct source" reduction approaches and by forgoing market "solutions" will California achieve the significant and swift emission reductions our planet needs. This can be achieved if ARB adopts the "Alternative 1: No Cap-and-trade" scenario of its scoping plan.

Please enact meaningful protections for our climate through direct source reductions, rather than market schemes like cap and trade.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2017-03-04 18:19:18

**Form Letter 2 for Comment 30 for Scoping Plan Update: The Proposed Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target and Draft Environmental Analysis (scopingplan2030) - Non-Reg.**

First Name: Lynn  
Last Name: Campbell  
Email Address: lynn@shearperfection.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reject cap and trade  
Comment:

Mar 4, 2017

Tracy Jensen  
1001 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Jensen,

I urge you to reject the false climate solutions of cap and trade and a carbon tax in favor of direct source reductions, i.e., emission reductions made directly at the source of pollution.

A 2016 report found that industrial facilities are more often located in low-income communities and communities of color, and that many of these industrial polluters (which are covered by the cap-and-trade market) have had increases, not decreases, in localized greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, carbon cap and trade has not been successful in achieving significant and rapid emission reductions anywhere it has been implemented.

Similarly, a carbon tax has not been proven as an effective means to reduce emissions. British Columbia's carbon tax actually saw an increase in taxed emissions of 4.3 percent from 2009 to 2014. Given the immediate threat we now face, we do not have time to "wait and see" if a carbon tax will work a decade from now. Rapid, significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed now.

Only by adhering to the legislature's mandate for "direct source" reduction approaches and by forgoing market "solutions" will California achieve the significant and swift emission reductions our planet needs. This can be achieved if ARB adopts the "Alternative 1: No Cap-and-trade" scenario of its scoping plan.

Please enact meaningful protections for our climate through direct source reductions, rather than market schemes like cap and trade.

Sincerely,

Lynn Campbell

Los Angeles, CA 90043-4051

lynn@shearperfection.com

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2017-03-04 18:11:28

**Form Letter Log for Comment 30 for Scoping Plan Update: The Proposed Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target and Draft Environmental Analysis (scopingplan2030) - Non-Reg.**

There are 213 non-docket system comments associated with this item.