

Comment 1 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Monty

Last Name: Campbell

Email Address: drcampbell48@gmail.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Carbon credit trading scheme

Comment:

Sirs,

I understand that you intend to let market place economies reduce the cost of reducing carbon emissions by allowing emitters to trade surplus credits to those who need more credits in order to maintain their business.

Will there be any provision by which an individual who pays for credits (by purchasing taxed, carbon based energy, be it electricity or fuel) can save/reduce carbon emission & thereby have reduced carbon emission & have a means of selling his reduced emission to a company that needs them, as above?

Is there a way that a creative aftermarket company can sell a product that reduces carbon emission - and that company can generate credits that can be sold to those who need them?

I noticed that Tesla Motors sold its credits for electric car production to Honda Motor Company. Will there be a similar means by which other innovators can profit from working on "green" projects - to then be able to sell those credits, as a means to further develop new innovations?

Thank you,
Monty

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-08-23 10:40:49

No Duplicates.

Comment 2 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Douglas
Last Name: Baldwin
Email Address: info@baldwincompliancegroup.com
Affiliation: Baldwin Compliance Group Inc.

Subject: Commercial Diesel Trucks
Comment:

How will the scoping plan address commercial diesel truck emissions?
Will offsets apply to large and small fleets?
Can a fleet buy carbon credits to satisfy compliance?
If a fleet converts to hybrid or affects other dramatic CO₂ reductions will it gain credits to sell?

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-08-23 12:06:38

No Duplicates.

Comment 3 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Barry

Last Name: Vesser

Email Address: bvesser@climateprotection.org

Affiliation: Climate Protection Campaign

Subject: EIR needs to consider dividends and price floor

Comment:

We believe that the Environmental Impact Report should consider the following:

-An alternative that auctions 100% of permits and returns all revenues back to Californians as a per capita dividend.

-An escalating price floor on emission permits and limiting offsets.

Thanks

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-08-24 16:12:23

No Duplicates.

Comment 4 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Evan

Last Name: Edgar

Email Address: Evan@edgarinc.org

Affiliation: CRRC

Subject: CEQA Scoping Meeting for the Proposed Cap-and-Trade Program Workshop
Comments of August 23

Comment:

See attachment.

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/6-comment_ltr_carceqa_protocol_inclusion.pdf

Original File Name: comment ltr CARCEQA protocol inclusion.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-09 08:56:21

No Duplicates.

Comment 5 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Patti

Last Name: Krebs

Email Address: iea@iea.sdcoxmail.com

Affiliation: Industrial Environmental Association

Subject: CEQA Scoping Meeting Cap-and-Trade Regulation

Comment:

Thank you for holding the California Environmental Quality Act Scoping Meeting for the Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation on August 23, 2010.

I would like to submit the following comments:

*Compliance Instruments:

-Analyze effects of increasing amount of available offsets (beyond the 4% proposed or 8% at certain price point)

-Analyze broader use of offsets to include international resources

-Consider cap-and-trade program impacts based on actual (not potential) Western Climate Initiative (WCI) partners who have formally ratified participation at time of analysis

*Leakage:

-Analyze Medium and Low Risk categories of businesses (as required by CEQA to look at projected impacts) and reassess their significant and "high" potential to relocate their operations, or a portion of their operations, to other states or internationally.

*Research and Development:

-Representing an area with significant research and technology development activities, analysis needs to be conducted on how these R&D activities will be treated in overall facility emission limitations and whether they will be subject to the cap-and-trade/offset program requirements.

*Localized Impacts:

-Global warming is an international concern, and the cap-and-trade/offsets program should not be considered in the context of localized air quality health-related issues.

-Limitations or restrictions of the cap-and-trade/offsets program should not be linked to local air quality district boundaries, and criteria pollutants should continue to be addressed within only the existing regulatory framework.

Patti Krebs, Executive Director
Industrial Environmental Association
110 West "C" Street, #900
San Diego, CA 92101

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/8-iea_logo-blue_and_white.jpg

Original File Name: IEA Logo-BLUE AND WHITE.JPG

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-10 15:07:22

No Duplicates.

Comment 6 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Jason

Last Name: Barbose

Email Address: jason@betterworldgroup.com

Affiliation: Global Warming Action Coalition

Subject: Letter regarding scope and content of FED

Comment:

I would like to submit the attached letter from three environmental groups that responds to issues raised at the August 23 workshop on the scope and content of the FED.

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/9-group_letter_on_scope_and_content_of_fed.pdf

Original File Name: Group letter on scope and content of FED.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-10 15:46:25

No Duplicates.

Comment 7 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Brian

Last Name: Nowicki

Email Address: bnowicki@biologicaldiversity.org

Affiliation: Center for Biological Diversity

Subject: Review of the environmental impacts and co-benefits of an AB 32 cap-and-trade program

Comment:

comments on behalf of the Center for Biological Diversity with regard to the California Air Resources Board's (ARB) development of a cap-and-trade program under California's Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32), and the review of the environmental impacts and co-benefits of that proposal

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/10-center_for_biological_diversity_re_ceqa_and_cobenefits.pdf

Original File Name: Center for Biological Diversity re CEQA and cobenefits.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-10 16:19:01

No Duplicates.

Comment 8 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Timothy

Last Name: O'Connor

Email Address: toconnor@edf.org

Affiliation: Environmental Defense Fund

Subject: Transparent process for future env. analysis of emissions reduction project qualification
Comment:

Please see attached letter.

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/11-edf_comment_letter_on_ab32_ceqa_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: EDF comment letter on AB32 CEQA workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-10 16:33:40

No Duplicates.

Comment 9 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Casey

Last Name: Creamer

Email Address: casey@ccgga.org

Affiliation: Ag Coalition

Subject: CEQA Comments

Comment:

Attached are comments from several agricultural organizations on the CEQA Scoping Meeting.

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/12-ceqa_comments.docx

Original File Name: CEQA Comments.docx

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-10 16:20:13

No Duplicates.

Comment 10 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Lily

Last Name: Mitchell

Email Address: lmitchell@hanmor.com

Affiliation: SCPPA

Subject: SCPPA comments on CEQA analysis of cap and trade regulation

Comment:

Please find attached the comments of the Southern California Public Power Authority on the ARB workshop "California Environmental Quality Act Scoping Meeting for the Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation", held on August 23, 2010.

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/13-300226001lmm09101001_ceqa_analysis_of_cap_trade.pdf

Original File Name: 300226001lmm09101001 CEQA analysis of cap&trade.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-10 16:46:06

No Duplicates.

Comment 11 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Jason

Last Name: Barbose

Email Address: jason@betterworldgroup.com

Affiliation: Global Warming Action Committee

Subject: Environmental Comments for Scope and Content of the FED

Comment:

Please accept the attached letter from Center for Biological Diversity, Ebbetts Pass Forest Watch and Sierra Club California

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/14-group_letter_to_arb_re_fed.pdf

Original File Name: Group letter to ARB re FED.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-11 12:43:28

No Duplicates.

Comment 12 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Kelly

Last Name: O'Donnell

Email Address: kelly.odonnell@sce.com

Affiliation: Southern California Edison

Subject: Resubmittal of 9/10/10 comment letter

Comment:

Per a voicemail message I received from CARB staff on 9/13/10, I am resubmitting the attached 9/10/10 comment letter from Southern California Edison Company (which apparently did not upload properly on 9/10/10). Thank you very much, and please contact me with any questions.

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/15-sce_comments.pdf

Original File Name: SCE Comments.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-13 09:37:18

No Duplicates.

Comment 13 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Harvey

Last Name: Eder

Email Address: harveyederpspc@yahoo.com

Affiliation: Harvey Eder/Public Solar Power Coalition

Subject: StoryofCap&Trade/HistoryDerivatives60Min/SCD GHGE-mails

Comment:

see attached, another submital will follow HEPSPC

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/16-9-sub2_story_of_cap_and_trade-history_derivitives_solar.doc

Original File Name: 9-sub2_story_of_cap_and_trade-history_derivitives_solar.doc

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-13 11:24:56

No Duplicates.

Comment 14 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Shelly

Last Name: Sullivan

Email Address: ombcomm@arb.ca.gov

Affiliation: AB 32 Implementation Group

Subject: Cap-and-Trade FED

Comment:

Thank you for meeting with the AB 32 Implementation Group earlier this week regarding the FED in a cap-and-trade system. Per the California Air Resources Board's request, the AB 32 Implementation Group would like to submit the following points for consideration as you move forward with the FED regulation development.

POINT ONE:

In the FED regulation development we ask you to continue to consider the existing criteria pollutant and air toxics control programs that are currently being complied with in existing law, as well as, recognizing a federal EPA program is on the horizon.

The cap-and-trade regulation must meet the appropriate CEQA standards, yet the outline of the regulation is still under consideration. We recommend that the broadest possible design elements be considered, yet the potential outcomes should be informed by what companies can reasonably be expected to do in response to the program requirements.

POINT TWO:

By design, the cap-and-trade program is a flexible program to allow companies to achieve emission reductions where they are most cost effective. Where emission reductions onsite are very expensive, untimely, or infeasible, the option to comply with trading of allowances or offsets is crucial to avoid economic, as well as environmental failure of the program. This makes it hard to predict exactly how, where and when projects and their environmental impacts will be felt. However, there are many factors besides the cost of allowances that will impact how a company will meet their compliance obligation. Other factors will incline companies to perform on-site reductions rather than purchasing allowances or offsets. Allowance trading and offsets would be used as a bridge during the years before such on-site reductions could be accomplished.

Onsite reductions may be done because:

In the early years, the amount and availability of offsets may be more limited than in the future. A higher price for allowances will encourage on-site reductions, and fears about the potential prices of allowances will spur all reasonable action to reduce emissions

onsite.

In the long term, energy efficiency measures will pay-off in a predictable way, while the risk of uncertain and potentially ever higher allowance prices may be unacceptable.

The company may have a strategic plan that includes on-site solar, wind or other high-technology energy efficiency measures to improve customer or public relations.

The onsite emission reduction strategy is only part of a comprehensive modernization or investment plan and the costs are partly absorbed in the larger project. Federal or other laws require on-site emission reductions, such as may be imposed by the EPA GHG regulations.

Because all offset projects under cap-and-trade must be additional to otherwise occurring emission reductions, it is safe for the FED to assume incremental GHG benefits from offsets, as well as, the mitigation of all other environmental impacts as stated above.

POINT THREE:

The major stationary sources covered by the cap-and-trade program are heavily regulated by regional, state and federal environmental agencies. Any project to reduce GHG emissions will likely require extensive environmental review. This makes it unlikely that this aspect of the cap-and-trade program will have an unmitigated impact on the environment. By the same token, offset projects will be subject to very stringent requirements and their use in the program will have no unmitigated impacts. The US EPA program will add another layer to this process and should be considered in the FED. The environment should be indifferent to the choices to be made in the cap-and-trade between these alternatives.

Thank you, again for your consideration of these points. If we can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us at (916) 858-8686.

Shelly Sullivan

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-16 08:21:06

No Duplicates.

Comment 15 for Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Michelle

Last Name: Passero

Email Address: ombcomm@arb.ca.gov

Affiliation: The Nature Conservancy

Subject: Additional analysis as background for CAR Forest Protocols

Comment:

see attached

Attachment: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws/18-final_report_-_car_wp_analysis1.pdf

Original File Name: Final_Report_-_CAR_WP_Analysis1.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-09-29 12:51:16

No Duplicates.

There are no comments posted to Analysis to Identify Potentially Significant Environmental Effects of Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulation (aug-23-fed-ceqa-ws) that were presented during the Workshop at this time.