## **Comment 1 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Bob Last Name: Whiteman Email Address: BobWhiteman@PoetEP.com Affiliation: Poet Ethanol Products

Subject: Regulatory Amendments Commentary Comment:

Please see attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/1carb\_lcfs\_regulatory\_amendments\_commentary\_7-30-11.pdf

Original File Name: CARB LCFS Regulatory Amendments Commentary 7-30-11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-29 11:28:14

## **Comment 2 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: John Last Name: Braeutigam Email Address: John.Braeutigam@valero.com Affiliation: Valero

Subject: Comments for July 22 Workshop Comment:

Please see attached pdf file.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/2-valero\_lcfs\_rugulation\_changes\_and\_july\_22\_workshop\_comments.pdf

Original File Name: Valero LCFS Rugulation Changes and July 22 Workshop Comments.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 11:41:25

## **Comment 3 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Tamara Last Name: Rasberry Email Address: trasberry@semprautilities.com Affiliation: State Agency Affairs Manager

Subject: Comments on July 22 Workshop Comment:

Attached are comments on behalf of San Diego Gas and Electric on the July 22 LCFS Workshop.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/3-sdge\_comments\_on\_arb\_lcfs\_regulation\_amendments\_07052011.pdf

Original File Name: SDGE Comments on ARB LCFS Regulation Amendments 07052011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 13:05:51

## **Comment 4 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Tamara Last Name: Rasberry Email Address: trasberry@semprautilities.com Affiliation: State Agency Affairs Manager

Subject: Comments from Southern California Gas Company Comment:

Attached are comments on the July 22 LCFS Workshop filed on behalf of the Southern California Gas Company.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/4socalgas\_comments\_on\_arb\_lcfs\_regulation\_amendments\_07052011.pdf

Original File Name: SoCalGas Comments on ARB LCFS Regulation Amendments 07052011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 13:09:50

## **Comment 5 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: John Last Name: Braeutigam Email Address: John.Braeutigam@valero.com Affiliation:

Subject: Regulatory Amendments Commentary Comment:

Please see attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/5-valero\_lcfs\_rugulation\_changes\_and\_july\_22\_workshop\_comments.pdf

Original File Name: Valero LCFS Rugulation Changes and July 22 Workshop Comments.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 13:53:09

## **Comment 6 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Geoff Last Name: Cooper Email Address: gcooper@ethanolrfa.org Affiliation: Renewable Fuels Association

Subject: RFA Comments re: July 22 Workshop Comment:

See attached comments.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/6-rfa\_comments\_july\_22\_carb\_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: RFA Comments\_July 22 CARB Workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 15:21:19

## **Comment 7 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Anthony Last Name: Andreoni Email Address: tandreoni@cmua.org Affiliation: CMUA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed LCFS Amendments - Electricity Credits Comment:

Please see the attached CMUA comment letter. Thank you.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/7arb\_lcfs\_comments\_cmua\_final\_08\_05\_2011.pdf

Original File Name: ARB\_LCFS\_Comments\_CMUA\_Final\_08\_05\_2011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 16:13:28

### **Comment 8 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Lily Last Name: Mitchell Email Address: lmitchell@hanmor.com Affiliation: SCPPA

Subject: Comments of SCPPA on 7/22/11 proposed changes to LCFS Regulation Comment:

Please find attached the comments of the Southern California Public Power Authority on the changes to the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Regulation presented at the ARB workshop on July 22, 2011.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/8-3002260011mm08051101\_lcfs\_comment.pdf

Original File Name: 3002260011mm08051101 LCFS comment.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 16:32:54

## **Comment 9 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: William Last Name: Westerfield Email Address: wwester@smud.org Affiliation: Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Subject: SMUD Comments on Proposed Regulatory Changes for Low Carbon Fuel Standard Regulations Comment:

Attached find SMUD's Comments on Proposed Regulatory Changes for Low Carbon Fuel Standard Regulations.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/9smud\_comments\_on\_proposed\_regulatory\_changes\_for\_lcfs\_regulations.pdf

Original File Name: SMUD Comments on Proposed Regulatory Changes for LCFS Regulations.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 16:26:07

## **Comment 10 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Nancy Last Name: Allred Email Address: nancy.allred@sce.com Affiliation: Southern California Edison Company

Subject: Comments of SCE to CARB on LCFS Regulatory Amendments Workshop Comment:

Attached are SCE's comments to the LCFS draft regulation.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/10-sce\_comments\_on\_lcfs\_draft\_regulation\_08-05-11.pdf

Original File Name: SCE Comments on LCFS Draft Regulation 08-05-11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-05 16:22:32

## **Comment 11 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Vivek Last Name: Narayanan Email Address: vnn2@pge.com Affiliation: Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Subject: Comments of PG&E on LCFS proposed rulemaking Comment:

PG&E appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Low Carbon Fuel Standard proposed rulemaking. Attached are the comments from PG&E on the LCFS program.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/11-pge\_response\_to\_carb\_regulation.pdf

Original File Name: PGE response to CARB regulation.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-08 17:07:16

## Comment 12 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Eileen Last Name: Tutt Email Address: eileen@caletc.com Affiliation:

Subject: LCFS Comments on July 22 Workshop Comment:

Please see attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/12-arb\_lcfs\_comments\_8-8-11\_final.pdf

Original File Name: ARB LCFS Comments 8-8-11 FINAL.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-10 13:50:34

## Comment 13 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Jessica Last Name: Wiechman Email Address: jwiechman@rpmgllc.com Affiliation:

Subject: LCFS Comments First Workshop Comment:

Attached are RPMG, Inc comments received by email on 8/8/2011.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/13ca\_lcfs\_comments\_first\_workshop\_rpmg.pdf

Original File Name: CA LCFS Comments First Workshop\_RPMG.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-16 11:35:28

## Comment 14 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Eileen Last Name: Tutt Email Address: eileen@caletc.com Affiliation:

Subject: ARB LCFS Comments Comment:

Attached are CalETC comments submitted on  $8/8/2011. \ \ Replace 8/5 submittal.$ 

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/14-arb\_lcfs\_\_comments\_8-8-11\_final\_caletc.pdf

Original File Name: ARB LCFS Comments 8-8-11 FINAL\_CalETC.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-16 11:42:05

## Comment 15 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Alana Last Name: Chavez-Langdon Email Address: AChavez@ecotality.com Affiliation:

Subject: EV Service and Equipment Provider (EVSEP) Coalition Position - Proposed Amendments to LCFS Comment:

Attached are EVSEP comments sent by email.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/15evsep\_coalition\_carb\_lcfs\_letter7\_22.pdf

Original File Name: EVSEP\_Coalition CARB LCFS Letter7\_22.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-08-16 11:55:35

## Comment 16 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Simon Last Name: Mui Email Address: smui@nrdc.org Affiliation:

Subject: NRDC comments on July 22, 2011 LCFS Regulatory Workshop Comment:

Please find our comments on the LCFS regulatory changes attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/17nrdc\_comments\_july\_22\_lcfs\_regulatory\_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: NRDC comments\_July 22 LCFS Regulatory Workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-27 10:47:36

## Comment 17 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Bob Last Name: Whiteman Email Address: BobWhiteman@PoetEP.com Affiliation: Poet Ethanol Products

Subject: 2nd Workshop Regulatory Amendments Commentary Comment:

Please see attached comments.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/18carb\_lcfs\_regulatory\_amendments\_commentary\_9-27-11.pdf

Original File Name: CARB LCFS Regulatory Amendments Commentary 9-27-11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-27 13:36:26

### Comment 18 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Simon Last Name: Mui Email Address: smui@nrdc.org Affiliation:

Subject: Submissions on the High Carbon Intensity Crude Oil Provision Comment:

On behalf of both NRDC and CEERT, please find attached a letter providing ARB feedback on the High Carbon Intensity Crude Oil Provision options. Thank you,

Simon Mui (NRDC) John Shears (CEERT)

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/19nrdc\_ceert\_comments\_hcico\_approaches\_09\_27\_11.pdf

Original File Name: NRDC\_CEERT\_Comments\_HCICO\_approaches\_09 27 11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-27 14:25:31

#### **Comment 19 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Cal Last Name: Hodge Email Address: A2ndOpinionInc@aol.com Affiliation:

Subject: 65486 of Proposed LCFS Ammendments Comment:

A2O Comments on LCFS Regulatory Amendments filed September 28, 2011 using the comment submittal form located at: http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/regamend/regamend.htm with Courtesy Email copies to: asideco@arb.ca.gov, fvergara@arb.ca.gov, hchowdhu@arb.ca.gov, jcoutis@arb.ca.gov, jduffy@arb.ca.gov, wingram@arb.ca.gov, rcorey@arb.ca.gov, mwaugh@arb.ca.gov

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS). The comment period is very limited. Therefore, I will focus on the changes to §95486 that have the greatest potential to make it prohibitive or even create a unintended trade barrier for biofuel producers that wish to supply California with cleaner burning low carbon fuels.

A 2nd Opinion, Inc.'s client, Neste Oil Corporation (Neste) is the world's leading producer of renewable diesel fuel. California is a key potential market for this cleaner burning, NOx reducing, low carbon renewable diesel fuel. Therefore, we all (A2O, California and Neste) have a vested interest in making sure that changes to the LCFS regulations do not make it prohibitive to improve California air quality while lowering carbon emissions. The proposed changes to §95486 may create unintended trade barriers that make it more difficult to satisfy California's desires for cleaner air and lower carbon emissions and reduce the authority of the Executive Officer. Because things that were legal under the existing regulations may not satisfy the proposed changes, there may be a conflict with Clause 1 of Section 10, Article 1 of the US Constitution.

The most problematic revision occurs in §95486(a)(2). The phrase, "all important respects" is not defined. We will explain why that is a problem and suggest a revision that will not restrict low carbon fuels producers' ability to supply California any more than the current regulations. I will now recap the existing regulations and how the proposed regulations may help or hurt.

The existing regulation §95486(b)(2)(B) requires the regulated party to use the Carbon Intensity value in the Lookup Table that most closely corresponds to the production process used subject to approval by the Executive Officer. §95486(a)(3) of the existing regulation requires that if the Executive officer disagrees with the regulated party's choice, the Executive Officer "shall choose a carbon intensity value, in the Carbon Intensity Lookup Tables for the fuel or blendstock, which the Executive Officer determines is the one that most closely corresponds to the pathway for that fuel or blendstock...." Under the existing regulation, the Executive Officer must choose a value and does not appear to have the option to determine that Method 1 does not apply.

§95486(a)(2) of the existing regulation requires a regulated party for any other fuel or blendstock to use Method 1 unless the regulated party is approved for using either Method 2A or Method 2B, as provided in section 95486(c) or (d). In §95486(c) and (d) the regulated party proposes and demonstrates pathways subject to the Executive Officer's approval. If the regulated party does not propose a 2A or 2B pathway, the Executive Officer cannot approve the use of the 2A or 2B pathway. If he does not propose a 2A or 2B  $\,$ pathway the regulated party must use Method 1. The proposed new language for §95486(b)(2)(B) that requires a regulated party to determine if the Carbon Intensity Lookup Table contains pathways that correspond to the regulated party's fuel pathways and then use the CIs from the table subject to the Executive officer's approval might be a good improvement if the proposed §95486(a)(2) language is revised. It provides a way to deal with feedstocks and processes that are not in the Lookup Tables.

The proposed language in §95486(a)(3) that requires the Executive Officer to rule out the use of Method 1 also provides a way to deal with feedstocks and processes that are not in the Lookup Tables. It does however, undermine the Executive Officer's authority to choose the Carbon Intensity that most closely corresponds to the pathway for the fuel or blendstock when the biomass feedstock and conversion process are in the Lookup Tables and save staff man-hours. Let me illustrate by use of a couple of examples.

It is easy to determine that there is no catfish to ethanol pathway in the Lookup Tables. Having the flexibility to "rule out the use of Method 1" rather than having to "choose a carbon intensity value, in the Carbon Intensity Lookup Tables" expands the Executive Officer's authority to deal with feedstocks and processes that are not in the Lookup Tables.

The existing pathways include renewable diesel from soy beans. The regulated party who converts soybeans to renewable diesel is going to select the same carbon intensities whether he "must use" under the current regulation or "must determine...shall use..." under the revised §95486(b)(2)(B). Without the escape hatch that allows the Executive Officer to "rule out the use of Method 1" the Executive Officer has the authority to observe that most of the carbon intensity of a renewable diesel is determined by the renewable biomass selection and the conversion process used to transform it to a usable motor fuel. He has the authority to observe that because the same work has to be done to produce the biomass regional differences will probably be small and because similar transformations must occur regardless of the sources of the soy oil the energy and carbon inputs required to pretreat and transform it to motor fuel will be of similar magnitude. Without the escape hatch the Executive Officer has the authority and actually the obligation to choose a carbon intensity from the lookup table that corresponds to the feedstock and process. Without the obligation competitors could file lawsuits arguing that the Executive Officer did not have the authority to choose a carbon intensity and that the Executive Officer exceeded the Executive Officer's authority. The proposed change in §95486(a)(3) should be revised as follows:

"If Carbon Intensity Lookup Tables do not contain a fuel pathway with a feedstock and conversion process that closely corresponds with the regulated party's fuel pathway, as specified in 95486 (a)(2), the Executive Officer shall rule out the use of Method 1 for determining the regulated party's fuel carbon intensity."

This change would provide the authority to deal with feedstocks and processes not contained in the Lookup Tables while preserving the Executive Officers authority to move the LCFS forward and keep staff resources focused on substantial Type 2A pathways or Type 2B pathways.

The main problem with the proposed 95486 language occurs in 95486(a)(2) with the sentence:

"In order to closely correspond with a Carbon Intensity Lookup Table pathway, a regulated party's pathway must be consistent in all important respects with the technical supporting document behind that Carbon Intensity Lookup Table pathway."

The key cause of the problem is "all important respects" is not defined. If it includes only feedstock selected and conversion process, one can conclude that the practical application of the regulation has not changed and that the language changes are for clarification. On the other hand, if "all important respects" includes all the line items in the typical "Table A. Summary of Energy Use and GHG Emissions for the ... " that occurs in many pathways or in an even worse case , all the entries in the CA GREET model, the revised regulation limits renewable fuel supplies to only those fuels that precisely match Lookup Table fuels. Until a very large number of Type 2A and 2B pathways are completed and approved, this could create a supply shortfall that could significantly increase LCFS compliance and consumer fuel costs, create an unintended trade barrier or make something that was legal under the existing regulation illegal. To avoid excessive staff work load and these potential problems the troublesome sentence should be changed to:

"In order to closely correspond with a Carbon Intensity Lookup Table pathway, the feedstock and conversion process used in the regulated party's pathway should be similar to the feedstock and conversion process used in the technical supporting document behind that Carbon Intensity Lookup Table pathway."

This concludes our comments on  $\S95486$ . We are examining the other changes and considering missing elements and will file comments as time permits.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/21a2o\_comments\_on\_lcfs\_regulatory\_amendments\_filed\_september\_28.pdf

Original File Name: A2O Comments on LCFS Regulatory Amendments Filed September 28.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-28 08:05:47

## Comment 20 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: John Last Name: Braeutigam Email Address: john.braeutigam@valero.com Affiliation: Valero

Subject: Proposed LCFS Regulation Changes Comment:

See attached letter.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/22-valero\_lcfs\_regulation\_changes\_and\_september\_14\_workshop\_comments.pdf

Original File Name: Valero LCFS Regulation Changes and September 14 Workshop Comments.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-28 11:32:00

## **Comment 21 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Ralph Last Name: Moran Email Address: Ralph.Moran@bp.com Affiliation:

Subject: BP Comments on LCFS Reg Amendments Comment:

Attached please find our comments.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/23bp\_comments\_to\_carb\_on\_lcfs\_elec\_reg\_party\_9\_11.pdf

Original File Name: BP comments to CARB on LCFS Elec Reg Party 9 11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-28 13:38:25

## Comment 22 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Jessica Last Name: Wiechman, RPMG, Inc. Email Address: jwiechman@rpmgllc.com Affiliation:

Subject: Comments of Renewable Products Marketing Group (RPMG) in regard to LCFS Proposed Regulatio Comment:

Comments of Renewable Products Marketing Group (RPMG) in regard to LCFS Proposed Regulation Amendments

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/25comments\_of\_renewable\_products\_marketing\_group\_\_rpmg\_\_in\_regard\_to\_lcfs\_proposed\_regu lation\_amendments.pdf

Original File Name: Comments of Renewable Products Marketing Group (RPMG) in regard to LCFS Proposed Regulation Amendments.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-28 15:18:00

## Comment 23 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Geoff Last Name: Cooper Email Address: gcooper@ethanolrfa.org Affiliation: Renewable Fuels Association

Subject: RFA Comments Re: Second Regulatory Amendments Workshop (Sep. 14) Comment:

Please find attached RFA's comments in response to the second regulatory amendments workshop.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/26-rfa\_comments\_2nd\_arb\_lcfs\_reg\_amdts\_wkshp\_092811.pdf

Original File Name: RFA comments\_2nd ARB LCFS reg amdts wkshp\_092811.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-28 16:21:37

### **Comment 24 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Cal Last Name: Hodge Email Address: A2ndOpinionInc@aol.com Affiliation: A 2nd Opinion, Inc.

Subject: LCFS LUC Workgroup Sep 14 2011 comments Comment:

See attachement for details. But based upon the data presented I look forward to lower ILUC contribution to carbon intensities.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/27a2o\_comments\_re\_lcfs\_luc\_sept142011\_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: A2O comments re LCFS LUC Sept142011 Workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-29 07:52:12

## Comment 25 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Gina Last Name: Grey Email Address: ggrey@wspa.org Affiliation: Western States Petroleum Association

Subject: WSPA Comments on LCFS Regulatory Amendments - September 14 Workshop Comment:

Attached are WSPA's comments on the issues discussed during the 9/14 proposed LCFS regulatory amendments workshop.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/28-lcfs\_reg\_amendments\_wspa\_comments\_911.rev2.pdf

Original File Name: LCFS Reg amendments WSPA comments 911.rev2.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-09-29 08:09:56

## Comment 26 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Debrán Last Name: Jones Reed Email Address: DXJones@semprautilities.com Affiliation:

Subject: LCFS Regulation Amendments Comment:

Attachment.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/29-draft\_lcfs\_sept\_28.seu\_commts.pdf

Original File Name: DRAFT LCFS Sept 28.SEu Commts.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-03 08:55:54

## Comment 27 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Jesper Last Name: Kløverpris Email Address: jklp@novozymes.com Affiliation:

Subject: Public Comments for the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Proposed Rulemaking Comment:

Please, see attachment.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/30-letter\_to\_carb\_from\_novozymes\_\_sept\_2011.pdf

Original File Name: Letter to CARB from Novozymes - Sept 2011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-03 23:21:06

## **Comment 28 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Vance Last Name: Klager Email Address: Vance.Klager@FHR.com Affiliation:

Subject: Flint Hills Resources' Informal Comments Comment:

Attached is a scanned version of Flint Hills Resources' informal comments on CARB's proposed LCFS amendments.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/31-fhr\_informal\_comments.pdf

Original File Name: FHR Informal Comments.PDF

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-04 13:10:57

# Comment 29 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

This comment was posted then deleted because it was unrelated to the Workshop item or it was a duplicate.

## **Comment 30 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Batchelor Email Address: sbatchelor@bio.org Affiliation:

Subject: BIO LCFS Comments - potential ILUC/carbon intensity changes Comment:

BIO and its member companies wish to provide brief, high level comments in response to the model structure and parameter changes; specifically related to GTAP work on indirect land use change (ILUC), and other indirect effects from biofuel production. We understand CARB will be incorporating this data, and subsequent modeling work on indirect effects, to update the overall carbon intensity (CI) calculations for at least four biofuel pathways in California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS).

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/33-bio\_comments\_10-04-2011.zip

Original File Name: BIO comments 10-04-2011.zip

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-04 17:04:45

## Comment 31 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Chris Last Name: Malins Email Address: chris@theicct.org Affiliation: ICCT

Subject: iLUC modelling revisions Comment:

The ICCT's comments are attached

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/34-icct\_comments\_on\_revised\_iluc\_modelling\_oct\_2011.pdf

Original File Name: ICCT\_comments on revised iLUC modelling Oct 2011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 07:30:56

## Comment 32 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Jamey Last Name: Cline Email Address: cline@ncga.com Affiliation:

Subject: ILUC Comments from NCGA Comment:

ILUC Comments from NCGA

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/35-ncga\_comments-oct-11.pdf

Original File Name: NCGA Comments-Oct-11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 12:23:57

## Comment 33 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Chris Last Name: Bliley Email Address: cbliley@growthenergy.org Affiliation:

Subject: Growth Energy Comments Comment:

Please see the attached comments from Growth Energy CEO, Tom Buis. Thank you.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/36-gecarbcomments10052011.pdf

Original File Name: GECARBcomments10052011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 13:10:02

## Comment 34 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Geoff Last Name: Cooper Email Address: gcooper@ethanolrfa.org Affiliation: Renewable Fuels Association

Subject: RFA Comments re: Change to ILUC Analysis (9/14 workshop) Comment:

Comments attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/37-rfa\_comments\_on\_carb\_iluc\_changes\_sep14.pdf

Original File Name: RFA\_comments on CARB ILUC changes\_Sep14.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 13:46:59
## Comment 35 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Shelby Last Name: Neal Email Address: sneal@biodiesel.org Affiliation:

Subject: Comments on Soy Biodiesel ILUC Comment:

Attached, please find public comments regarding the recently released work to estimate ILUC for soy biodiesel.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/38-nbb\_comments\_on\_soy\_iluc\_10-5-11\_\_2\_.zip

Original File Name: NBB Comments on Soy ILUC 10-5-11 (2).zip

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 14:50:05

### Comment 36 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Nancy Last Name: Allred Email Address: nancy.allred@sce.com Affiliation: Southern California Edison Company

Subject: SCE Comments on LCFS Regulatory Amendments Workshop and Proposed Regulation Order Comment:

Please see SCE's attached comments on the LCFS Regulatory Amendments Workshop and the Proposed Regulation Order.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/39sce\_comments\_to\_carb\_on\_lcfs\_regulation\_amendments\_october\_2011.pdf

Original File Name: SCE Comments to CARB on LCFS Regulation Amendments October 2011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 16:20:40

### Comment 37 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Max Last Name: Baumhefner Email Address: mbaumhefner@nrdc.org Affiliation: Natural Resources Defense Council

Subject: Comments on the September 14, 2011 Low Carbon Fuel Standard Workshop Comment:

See attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/40nrdc\_comments\_september\_14\_lcfs\_regulatory\_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: NRDC Comments\_September 14 LCFS Regulatory Workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 16:26:21

### Comment 38 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Lily Last Name: Mitchell Email Address: lmitchell@hanmor.com Affiliation: SCPPA

Subject: SCPPA comments on 9/14/11 revisions to LCFS regulation Comment:

Please find attached the comments of the Southern California Public Power Authority on the proposed changes to the Low Carbon Fuel Standard regulation discussed at the workshop on 9/14/11.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/41-3002260011mm10051101\_scppa\_comment\_on\_lcfs\_regs.pdf

Original File Name: 3002260011mm10051101 SCPPA comment on LCFS regs.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 16:41:31

### **Comment 39 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Roger Last Name: Conway Email Address: rconway703@gmail.com Affiliation: Rosslyn Advisors

Subject: Comments to ARB Regarding Yield-Price Elasticities Comment:

Attached are comments on the Berry paper evaluating price-yield elasticities for the GTAP model.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/42-note\_on\_price-yield\_elasticity\_docx10\_4\_2011.docx

Original File Name: Note on price-yield elasticity docx10 4 2011.docx

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 18:42:49

### **Comment 40 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Jamie Last Name: Knapp Email Address: jamie@jknappcommunications.com Affiliation:

Subject: NGO Comments on ILUC Comment:

Comments attached from environmental advocates on ILUC re: Sept. 14 workshop.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/43-ngo-carb\_iluc\_letter\_final.pdf

Original File Name: NGO-CARB\_ILUC\_letter\_final.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 18:49:12

## Comment 41 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Timothy Last Name: Searchinger Email Address: tsearchi@princeton.edu Affiliation: Princeton University

Subject: Comments on GTAP Land Function Comment:

Enclosed please find some comments on the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GTAP}}$  land expansion function.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/44searchinger\_comments\_on\_gtap\_land\_function\_\_october\_25\_\_2011\_.pdf

Original File Name: Searchinger Comments on GTAP Land Function (October 25, 2011).pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 19:05:13

#### **Comment 42 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Leticia Last Name: Phillips Email Address: leticia@unica.com.br Affiliation: UNICA- BRazilian Sugarcane Industry Assc

Subject: Comments on September 14, 2011 - LCFS Regulatory Amendments Workshop Comment:

Please see attached the Brazilian Sugarcane Industry Association's (UNICA) comments on the September 14 LCFS Regulatory Amendments Workshop. Thank you, Leticia Phillips

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/45unica\_comments\_to\_carb\_20111005.pdf

Original File Name: UNICA comments to CARB 20111005.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-05 20:45:20

# Comment 43 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.

First Name: Eileen Last Name: Tutt Email Address: Eileen@caletc.com Affiliation:

Subject: CalETC Comments on Sept LCFS Workshop Comment:

Attachment.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/46arb\_lcfs\_\_comments\_sept\_workshop\_final.pdf

Original File Name: ARB LCFS Comments Sept Workshop final.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-06 08:35:39

### **Comment 44 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 2nd Workshop.**

First Name: Simon Last Name: Mui Email Address: smui@nrdc.org Affiliation:

Subject: 29 Organizations Support Inclusion of HCICO Comment:

Please find attached a letter from 29 nonprofit, health-based, religious, and businesses supporting the inclusion of a high-carbon intensity crude oil provision.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/47final\_letter\_to\_mary\_nichols\_from\_29\_organizations\_lcfs\_highcarbon\_fuels\_september\_2011.pdf

Original File Name: Final\_Letter to Mary Nichols from 29 Organizations\_LCFS High-Carbon Fuels\_September 2011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-06 14:44:07

## Comment 45 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Meg Last Name: Meal Email Address: mmeal@sfwater.org Affiliation: SFPUC

Subject: Resubmittion of Comments Regarding Sept. 14th Workshop Comment:

Please see the attachment for our comments.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/48-sfpuc\_lcfs\_workshop\_9-14\_comments.pdf

Original File Name: SFPUC\_LCFS\_Workshop\_9-14\_Comments.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-17 16:32:07

### Comment 46 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Cathy Last Name: Reheis-Boyd Email Address: cathy@wspa.org Affiliation: WSPA

Subject: WSPA Comments on Oct. 14 LCFS Reg Amendment Workshop Comment:

Attached is WSPA's comment letter on the October 14th LCFS regulatory amendment workshop.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/49-lcfs\_reg\_amendments\_wspa\_comments.1011.pdf

Original File Name: LCFS Reg amendments WSPA comments.1011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-20 16:00:52

#### **Comment 47 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.**

First Name: Cal Last Name: Hodge Email Address: A2ndOpinionInc@aol.com Affiliation: A 2nd Opinion, Inc.

Subject: Proposed LCFS Amendments Comment:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed changes to the Low carbon Fuel Standard. These comments pertain to the draft of the proposed regulation that was published for use in the October 14, 2011 Workshop. The proposed changes will make satisfying the LCFS more difficult.

The attachment contains a table that compares §95486 of the exisitng regulation with the proposed §95486. I believe the table shows that the changes are significant and that they make it more difficult to supply California with low carbon blendstocks.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/51a2o\_comments\_on\_111411\_draft\_proposed\_lcfs\_regs.pdf

Original File Name: A2O Comments on 111411 draft proposed LCFS regs.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-21 14:49:41

### Comment 48 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Nancy Last Name: Allred Email Address: nancy.allred@sce.com Affiliation: Southern California Edison Company

Subject: SCE Comments on Third LCFS Regulatory Workshop Comment:

Please see attached for Southern California Edison Company's comments on the Third LCFS Regulatory Workshop.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/52sce\_comments\_to\_carb\_on\_third\_lcfs\_regulatory\_workshop\_oct\_21\_2011.pdf

Original File Name: SCE Comments to CARB on Third LCFS Regulatory Workshop Oct 21 2011.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-21 16:07:30

### Comment 49 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfsregamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Cassie Last Name: Doyle Email Address: sfran-gr@international.gc.ca Affiliation:

Subject: Government of Canada Comments on LCFS Comment:

To Whom It May Concern: Attached please find the Government of Canada comments on LCFS.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/53-government\_of\_canada\_comments\_on\_lcfs.pdf

Original File Name: Government of Canada Comments on LCFS.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-21 16:35:30

# Comment 50 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Max Last Name: Baumhefner Email Address: mbaumhefner@nrdc.org Affiliation: NRDC

Subject: Comments on the October 14, 2011 Low Carbon Fuel Standard Workshop Comment:

see attached

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/54-nrdc\_comments\_on\_10-14-11\_lcfs\_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: NRDC Comments on 10-14-11 LCFS Workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-21 16:47:50

# Comment 51 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Max Baumhefner Last Name: Baumhefner Email Address: mbaumhefner.nrdc@gmail.com Affiliation: Natural Resources Defense Council

Subject: NRDC Comments on Draft Electricity Language Comment:

Attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/55-nrdc\_comments\_on\_10-14-11\_lcfs\_workshop.pdf

Original File Name: NRDC Comments on 10-14-11 LCFS Workshop.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-24 11:45:41

# Comment 52 for Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) - 3rd Workshop.

First Name: Genevieve Last Name: Dufau-McCarthy Email Address: GFD3@pge.com Affiliation: Pacific Gas and Electric

Subject: Comments PG&E LCFS Regulatory Language Comment:

Attached.

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/lcfs-regamend-ws/56-pg\_e\_response\_10.21.11.pdf

Original File Name: PG&E Response\_10.21.11.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-10-24 11:56:03

There are no comments posted to Comments on the LCFS Proposed Rulemaking (lcfs-regamend-ws) that were presented during the Workshop at this time.