

## **Comment 1 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Dan

Last Name: Boles

Email Address: dboles@live.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Point of Regulation question

Comment:

Could you please explain how this discussion is separate from the LCFS? If the fuels are regulated in the LCFS, why are they again being regulated in the larger cap-and-trade program?

Thanks,

Dan

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-06-23 14:01:34

No Duplicates.

## **Comment 2 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: David

Last Name: Ligh

Email Address: [david.ligh@exxonmobil.com](mailto:david.ligh@exxonmobil.com)

Affiliation: Exxon Mobil Corporation

Subject: Recommendation for Regulation of Transportation Fuels in AB 32 Program

Comment:

ExxonMobil submits the attached comments addressing the point of regulation for transportation fuels under CA's AB 32 regulatory program.

Attachment: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/2-em\\_comments\\_to\\_carb\\_on\\_linked\\_carbon\\_fee\\_-\\_june2009-final.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/2-em_comments_to_carb_on_linked_carbon_fee_-_june2009-final.pdf)

Original File Name: EM Comments to CARB on Linked Carbon Fee - June2009-Final.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-06-25 07:47:40

No Duplicates.

## **Comment 3 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Cathy

Last Name: Karlstad

Email Address: [Cathy.Karlstad@sce.com](mailto:Cathy.Karlstad@sce.com)

Affiliation: Southern California Edison

Subject: SCE Comments on Point of Regulation for the Second Compliance Period

Comment:

Attached please find SCE's comments.

Attachment: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/4-sce\\_comments\\_on\\_point\\_of\\_regulation\\_for\\_sources\\_of\\_fuel\\_combustion\\_included\\_in\\_second\\_compliance.doc](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/4-sce_comments_on_point_of_regulation_for_sources_of_fuel_combustion_included_in_second_compliance.doc)

Original File Name: SCE

Comments\_on\_Point\_of\_Regulation\_for\_Sources\_of\_Fuel\_Combustion\_Included\_in\_Second\_Compliance.DOC

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-07-14 14:37:01

No Duplicates.

## **Comment 4 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Kristin

Last Name: Grenfell

Email Address: kgrenfell@nrdc.org

Affiliation: NRDC

Subject: NRDC Comments on POR for fuels in cap and trade

Comment:

We respectfully submit these comments.

Attachment: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/5-2009-07-14\\_comments\\_on\\_point\\_of\\_regulation.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/5-2009-07-14_comments_on_point_of_regulation.pdf)

Original File Name: 2009-07-14 Comments on Point of Regulation.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-07-14 17:18:25

No Duplicates.

## Comment 5 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Daniel

Last Name: Ihara

Email Address: dmi1@humboldt.edu

Affiliation: Economics Instructor

Subject: re: Linking California's Cap & Trade to other GHG trading Program

Comment:

If the July 27th cap-and-trade public meeting is about "Linking California's Cap-and-Trade Program to Other Greenhouse Gas Trading Programs" I have the following comments:

1. If the Waxman-Markey Bill passes the Senate and is stronger than California's AB32, [i.e. the Waxman-Markey bill that passed the House call for what works out to be a 4% decrease over 1990 levels by 2020 while California's AB 32 calls for meeting 1990 levels by 2020] California will not be able to get approval to operate a more stringent program;
2. If the Waxman-Markey Bill passes the Senate and is NOT stronger than California's AB32, California may get approval to operate a more stringent program;
3. If California links to other Greenhouse Gas Trading programs this could be with RGGI states or provinces or internationally;
4. If with RGGI states or provinces RGGI developed provisions would guide linking;
5. If international links, it would seem there would need to be some "harmonization", i.e. method for determining the specifics of the linkage, what sectors, one-for-one trading of allowances permitted etc.;
6. If the public meeting is about "Linking California's Cap-and-Trade Program to Other Greenhouse Gas Trading Programs" I may have additional comments to submit via email during the meeting.

Daniel M. Ihara, Ph.D.  
Department of Economics  
Humboldt State University  
Arcata, CA 95521

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-07-22 21:22:50

No Duplicates.

## Comment 6 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.

First Name: Daniel

Last Name: Ihara

Email Address: dmi1@humboldt.edu

Affiliation: Economics Instructor/Researcher

Subject: Comments on Waxman-Markey and other aspects of Linking to Other GHG Trading Programs

Comment:

The comments supersede the previous comments (which were made prior to viewing the presentation).

1. If the Waxman-Markey Bill passes the Senate and is stronger than California's AB32, California [i.e. the Waxman-Markey Bill that passed the US House of Representatives essentially targets reductions to 4% below 1990 levels by 2020, while AB 32 proposes to meet 1990 levels by 2020] will not be able to get approval to operate a more stringent program;
2. If the Waxman-Markey Bill passes the Senate and is NOT stronger than California's AB32, California may get approval to operate a more stringent program;
3. If California links to other Greenhouse Gas Trading programs this could be with RGGI states or as part of WCI states and provinces or internationally;
4. If with RGGI states or WCI provinces WCI developed provisions would guide linking;
5. If international links, it would seem there would need to be some "harmonization", i.e. method for determining the specifics of the linkage, what sectors, one-for-one trading of allowances permitted, impacts on prices etc.;
6. If the public meeting is about "Linking California's Cap-and-Trade Program to Other Greenhouse Gas Trading Programs" I may have additional comments to submit via email during the meeting.

Daniel M. Ihara, Ph.D.  
Department of Economics  
Humboldt State University  
Arcata, CA 95521

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-07-22 21:45:48

No Duplicates.

## **Comment 7 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Norman

Last Name: Pedersen

Email Address: npedersen@hanmor.com

Affiliation: Southern California Public Power Authori

Subject: Southern California Public Power Authority Comment on the June 23, 2009 Workshop on Point Comment:

Please find attached the Southern California Public Power Authority Comment on the June 23, 2009 Workshop on Point of Regulation for the Sources of Fuel Combustion Included in the Second Compliance Period in a California Cap-and-Trade Program

Attachment: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/8-300226001nap07240901.pdf>

Original File Name: 300226001nap07240901.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-07-24 18:26:39

No Duplicates.

## **Comment 8 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Michael

Last Name: Murray

Email Address: mmurray@sempra.com

Affiliation: Sempra Energy

Subject: Sempra Energy Comments on POR for Sources of Fuel Combustion in 2nd Compliance Period

Comment:

Attached are Sempra Energy's comments for the June 23 public workshop: "RE: Point of Regulation for the Sources of Fuel Combustion Included in the Second Compliance Period in a California Cap-and-Trade Program."

Attachment: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/10-june23semprapc.pdf>

Original File Name: june23semprapc.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-08-04 15:41:15

No Duplicates.

## **Comment 9 for Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Busterud  
Email Address: JWBB@pge.com  
Affiliation: PG&E

Subject: PG&E's Comments on POR for Sources of Fuel Combustion in 2nd Compliance Period  
Comment:

Attached: "RE: Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Comments on the California Air Resources Board Staff's June 23, 2009 Workshop on Point of Regulation for the Sources of Fuel Combustion Included in the Second Compliance Period in a California Cap-and-Trade Program"

Attachment: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/june23-por-fuels-ws/11-june23pgepc.pdf>

Original File Name: june23pgepc.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2009-08-04 15:52:59

No Duplicates.

**There are no comments posted to Cap & Trade Public Meeting (june23-por-fuels-ws) that were presented during the Workshop at this time.**