

**Comment 1 for To collect comments on the economic evaluation document of the Scoping Plan. (sp-econ-eval-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Peter  
Last Name: Wilson  
Email Address: psfw\_66@roadrunner.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Cost Analysis  
Comment:

I am writing to point out, again, that investment and jobs in "greentech" induced by AB 32 implementation are properly categorized as a COST, not a BENEFIT, of the proposed implementation of AB 32. This confusion on your part is a deceptive and fatal flaw in your entire economic analysis.

CARB would be well advised to correct this flaw and resubmit an accurate economic analysis.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-09-18 16:09:56

No Duplicates.

**Comment 2 for To collect comments on the economic evaluation document of the Scoping Plan. (sp-econ-eval-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Richard  
Last Name: McCann  
Email Address: rmccann@mcubed-econ.com  
Affiliation:

Subject: Availability of models?  
Comment:

Is it possible to get the underlying spreadsheet models that were used to produce the cost and savings estimates in the App I, Model Documentation?

Also, how do we get a working copy of EDRAM, as it is stated that it's publicly available?

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-09-26 11:51:51

No Duplicates.

**Comment 3 for To collect comments on the economic evaluation document of the Scoping Plan. (sp-econ-eval-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Steven

Last Name: Smith

Email Address: Steven.B.Smith@Saint-Gobain.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Measure Documentation Supplement and Economic Analysis Supplement  
Comment:

Attached please find our comments on AB 32 Measure Documentation Supplement and Economic Analysis Supplement. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Attachment: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/sp-econ-eval-ws/3-ab32\\_econ\\_letter\\_10-2-08.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/sp-econ-eval-ws/3-ab32_econ_letter_10-2-08.pdf)

Original File Name: AB32 Econ Letter 10-2-08.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-10-02 10:50:13

No Duplicates.

**Comment 4 for To collect comments on the economic evaluation document of the Scoping Plan. (sp-econ-eval-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: John  
Last Name: Bloom  
Email Address: cseghers@arb.ca.gov  
Affiliation: CSCME

Subject: Supplemental Evaluations  
Comment:

Please see attached letter

Attachment: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/sp-econ-eval-ws/4-9\\_26\\_08\\_cscme.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/BARCU/barcu-attach-old/sp-econ-eval-ws/4-9_26_08_cscme.pdf)

Original File Name: 9\_26\_08\_CSCME.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-10-03 13:52:40

No Duplicates.

**Comment 5 for To collect comments on the economic evaluation document of the Scoping Plan. (sp-econ-eval-ws) - 1st Workshop.**

First Name: Robert

Last Name: Dutton

Email Address: DuttonAssociates@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: Cost Benefit Analysis

Comment:

I am deeply concerned about the cost to implement and the short term ( 3 to 5 year ) economic impact. Was a short term economic impact analysis done and if so where can I find the information. If the short term economic impact has not been considered, it needs to be. Small business owners must know what the costs are to them and can not wait 20 years for the benefit.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2008-10-05 10:47:53

No Duplicates.

**There are no comments posted to To collect comments on the economic evaluation document of the Scoping Plan. (sp-econ-eval-ws) that were presented during the Workshop at this time.**