

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY

MANAGEMENT

DISTRICT

ALAMEDA COUNTY Tom Bates (Chairperson) Scott Haggerty Jennifer Hosterman Nate Miley

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY John Gioia (Vice-Chair) David Hudson Mark Ross Gayle B. Uilkema

MARIN COUNTY Harold C. Brown, Jr.

NAPA COUNTY Brad Wagenknecht

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY John Avalos Edwin M. Lee Eric Mar

SAN MATEO COUNTY Carole Groom Carol Klatt

SANTA CLARA COUNTY Susan Garner Ash Kalra (Secretary) Liz Kniss Ken Yeager

> SOLANO COUNTY James Spering

SONOMA COUNTY Susan Gorin Shirlee Zane August 8, 2011

Mary Nichols, Chair California Air Resources Board 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95812

Dear Ms. Nichols:

I am writing to provide comments on the Proposed 15-Day Modifications to the Regulation for the Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Mandatory Reporting Regulation) and the California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-based Compliance Mechanisms (Cap-and-Trade Regulation). The Proposed 15-Day Modifications were made available for public review on July 25, 2011, and are being issued as a follow-up to CARB staff's suggested modifications to the original regulations that were distributed at the Dec. 16, 2010 Board hearing, and Board Resolution 10-42, which directed CARB staff to make additional modifications to the regulations before being finalized.

As we indicated in our letter to you on the original staff proposal, and in testimony provided at the Dec. 16, 2010 Board hearing, the District is pleased that new proposed language in the Mandatory Reporting Regulation section 95133(h)(1) establishes a presumption that multiple functions performed by air districts as a part of their regulatory duties do not constitute a potential for a high conflict of interest with regard to verifying emissions of greenhouse gases under the mandatory reporting program. The District is satisfied that our concerns have been met regarding the conflict of interest issue associated with the Mandatory Reporting Regulation.

Regarding the Cap-and-Trade Regulation, we are also very pleased to see that CARB has incorporated all the points regarding conflict of interest proposed by the District and CAPCOA for section 95979(g): Specific Requirements for Air Quality Management Districts and Air Pollution Control Districts. This new provision will ease the way for air districts to offer offset verification services to facilities subject to the regulation.

We note however, that contrary to the inclusion in the Mandatory Reporting Regulation of a presumption that air districts' multiple functions do not constitute a potential for a high conflict of interest, new language in the Cap-and-Trade Regulation included in section 95986(d)(3) specifically precludes entities (presumably including air districts) from acting both as a verification body and as a provider of registry services. Likewise, section 95814(b)(1) continues to preclude entities (presumably including air districts) from holding compliance instruments if they are also verifiers, verification bodies or manage Offset Project Registries.

Jack P. Broadbent EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO Page 2

We continue to believe that the performance of multiple functions is a fundamental characteristic of any regulatory agency. Therefore, we again ask for the inclusion of the language proposed by CAPCOA in a new section 95989: California Air Pollution Control Districts or Air Quality Management Districts, to align the Capand-Trade Regulation with the principle resolved in the Mandatory Reporting Regulation (see attachment). This would ensure the issue is addressed consistently throughout the Climate Protection Program.

The District also continues to support the ongoing operation of a Cap-and-Trade Implementation Working Group with CARB and air districts. Such a working partnership between CARB and the air district staff will help ensure the success of the Cap-and-Trade program.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our comments on CARB's Proposed 15-Day Modifications to the Mandatory Reporting Regulation and the Cap-and-Trade Regulation. CARB staff is to be commended for their hard work on these complex and critical requirements of California's Global Warming Solutions Act.

Sincerely,

Jack P. Broadbent

Executive Officer/APCO

John P. Bwodler

Attachment

cc: James Goldstene

## § 95989. California Air Pollution Control Districts or Air Quality Management Districts.

California air pollution control districts or air quality management districts shall be approved for multiple roles, which include verification of offset projects or emissions data for mandatory reporting, holding compliance instruments, implementing offset projects that are verified by a third party and approved by CARB, and running a Registry; provided the appropriate training, accreditation or approvals are obtained from CARB pursuant to sections 95132, 95978, 95814 and 95986. Decisions on such approval requests shall be provided in a timely fashion.