



April 7, 2023

Craig Segall Deputy Executive Officer California Air Resources Board 1001 | Street Sacramento, CA 95814

RE:

League of California Cities Comments on the Draft ACF Public Fleets Regulatory

Language

Dear Mr. Segall,

The City of El Cajon appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Advanced Clean Fleets (ACF) draft regulatory language (March 23 iteration) for public fleets as proposed by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). We are seeking clarity on several items, and we also have serious concerns about its aggressive timelines, which are unattainable due to local budget cycles.

We are grateful for the collaborative workshops held by CARB to discuss our concerns and for providing feedback on some of our suggestions. While the latest iteration reflects some of the exemptions and extensions that we requested, we remain concerned with the following:

Lack of Price Caps. We recommend that CARB consider imposing price caps to ensure the market responds positively with vehicles at competitive rates. Local governments strive to be good stewards of the taxpayer's dollars. Still, without price caps, the proposed regulations may result in zero-emission vehicles that are put to market at an artificially inflated rate. A percentage price cap would potentially prevent manufacturers from manipulating the market and help local governments protect taxpayer resources.

Inventories. We believe that CARB should provide a list of available manufacturers with market-ready vehicles in the medium- to heavy-duty class sizes, 2B-8. Availability of model/body types of multiple weight classes (and functions) is not confirmed by fleets but by manufacturers informing CARB which models will be available.

Timelines and Public Funding. The proposed regulations continue to impose aggressive timelines for compliance, and they do not consider public budgets and funding





methods for capital projects. Many local governments follow budget cycles that cannot be easily repurposed at the scale this proposed regulation would require.

Requiring compliance to start on Jan. 1, 2024, would require significant and immediate investment from local budgets for the preconstruction, which is simply unattainable. Electrifying service yards to support an electrified fleet is a much more significant undertaking than a simple electricity panel upgrade or some quick trenching in the parking lot. The time and costs for planning, engineering, and expansion of both the electrical capacity of a facility's system and the distribution system that feeds it are well beyond the available budget of cities. While savings are expected to eventually manifest from retiring or repurposing internal combustible engine assets, they will have no effect on the upfront expenses. For these reasons, local governments need an extension of at least five (5) years from regulation adoption.

The proposed regulations ignore existing market realities and the time needed to develop and ramp up an infrastructural system to support an electrified utility vehicle fleet. If required to comply with the proposed regulation as drafted, the projected infrastructure and fleet costs will add substantial rate increases across multiple public works and utility service departments.

Cities across the state are pursuing robust, environmentally sound strategies to decarbonize their communities. However, this proposed regulatory language does not account for rising utility costs and substantial mandates from multiple regulatory bodies. We urge CARB to consider these impacts to ensure this regulation succeeds without further exacerbating the affordability issues facing many of our cities and residents.

Again, thank you for allowing us the opportunity to provide written responses to the proposed Advance Clean Fleets Regulations. Please feel free to contact me at (619) 441-1785.

Sincerely,

Yazmin Arellano, PE, LS Public Works Director

cc:

Catherine Hill, League of California Cities Regional Public Affairs Manager, San Diego County and Imperial County Divisions