From: Sean Edgar

To: ARB Clerk of the Board

Subject:Agenda Item 18-8-6: October 25 Board MeetingDate:Monday, October 22, 2018 5:10:03 PMAttachments:CleanFleets Coalition comments 10-22-18se.pdf

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I noted a message on the ARB website of a problem with uploading so I am attaching these comments and request you provide them to the Board.

Thanks Sean

Sean Robledo Edgar Clean Fleets.net 1822 21<sup>st</sup> Street Sacramento, CA 95811 916-520-6040 Ext 104

### CALMET SERVICES, INC.



## BLUE & LINE TRANSFER, INC.







October 22, 2018

California Air Resources Board Members 1001 I Street, Sher Auditorium Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Agenda Item 18-8-6 (10/25/18):

REFUSE INDUSTRY WILL SUPPORT IF AMENDED-Proposed Fiscal Year 2018-19 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives (Relating to the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program, or "HVIP")

Chair Nichols and Board Members:

The signatories to this letter operate and maintain refuse and recycling collection vehicles from Sacramento to San Diego and nearly all counties in between. We are environmental stewards in our recycling operations and we were the first privately operated fleet to accept the challenges and embrace the changes under your Board's Diesel Risk Reduction Plan adopted in September 2000. We consider ourselves pioneers in deploying the more costly natural gas engines and most of us have benefitted from the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program (HVIP) because it enables us to do early replacement of our aging diesel vehicle fleet in a streamlined fashion.

<u>Our objection:</u> The plan before you states, "[s]taff is proposing to discontinue offering a voucher for the purchase of new refuse trucks." <u>We cannot support because it eliminates the option for us to obtain the Low NOx "near-zero" 8.9-liter engine incentive when we purchase new vehicles.</u> During the past year the refuse industry has had a leading role in deploying hundreds of these engines and could not have done so in the same quantity without HVIP.

<u>Why we cannot support:</u> The staff proposal <u>only</u> allows funding for <u>repowering an existing refuse truck</u> <u>which is both uncommon and unlikely</u> to stimulate further HVIP participation. Among the limitations we experience when evaluating a repower are:

- Physical space-not all existing low cab forward (i.e. "cabover") can accommodate the Low NOx engine;
- Chassis age-a typical vehicle replacement cycle is 10 to 15 years. For a severe service vehicle like
  ours that is evaluating a repower anytime after 5 years there may be cab or body system
  problems that would trigger the concern that a brand new engine may exceed the useful life of
  the truck itself;
- Repower cost-in our experience the total cost of repowering to Low NOx engine (if physically possible) will be in the range of \$75,000 to \$100,000 when the cost of tanks are included. Even with the proposed incentive of \$45,000 this would not attractive for the reasons mentioned.

<u>What we need to support:</u> With more than 8,000 refuse vehicles statewide continuing to run on diesel, our companies <u>respectfully request flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine in communities where we can run CNG or LNG like your staff has allowed for the 12-liter engine that is eligible for HVIP.</u>

What we want from the Board: HVIP (and all the Board's actions for that matter) are most successful when the Board and the target fleets are aligned which is clearly not the case in this matter. If the Board desires deeper penetration of "near zero" engines in the communities we serve we will be your partners in that endeavor. If Board staff continues to pursue its analysis about the clean transportation future of our industry in a vacuum without consultation from our industry players it will reduce the air quality contributions we can make and short-circuit the collaboration that has survived nearly 20 years of a productive relationship that has measurable benefits to the environment.

We welcome further discussion at our email addresses listed in our signature block and also with our colleague Sean Edgar (email <u>Service@CleanFleets.net</u>).

Sincerely,
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SIGNATURE PAGES

I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely,

Jacob Panero

**Chief Executive Officer** 

Varner Bros., Inc.

P.O. Box 80427

Bakersfield, CA 93380

### CALMET SERVICES. INC.

October 23, 2018 California Air Resources Board Members Agenda Item 18-8-6

I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Kalpakoff
Manager
Calmet Services, Inc.
gkalpakoff@calmetservices.com

# BLUE & LINE TRANSFER, INC.

October 23, 2018 California Air Resources Board Members Agenda Item 18-8-6

I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8,8} liter engine.

John Rossi

Fleet Manager

Blue Line Transfer Inc.

johnr@ssfscavenger.com

I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely,

Doug Button

President

South San Francisco Scavenger Co. Inc.

dougb@ssfscavenger.com



I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely,

Brian D. Borgatello

President

MarBorg Industries

bborgatello@marborg.com

I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely,

President

Turlock Scavenger, Recycling, Transfer amarchant@turlockscavenger.com

Olan Marchant

### E.J. Harrison & Sons, Inc.



October 19, 2018

California Air Resources Board Members 1001 I Street, Sher Auditorium Sacramento, California 95814

SUBJECT: Agenda Item 18-8-6 (10/25/18)

Dear Chair Nichols and Board Members:

We support the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely,

E.J. Harrison & Sons, Inc.

Mike Harrison, P.E. Engineering Manager

(mikeh@ejharrison.com)

October 23, 2018

14048 Valley Blvd P.O. Box 60009 City of Industry, CA 91716-0009 Fax (626) 330-4686 (626) 336-3636

California Air Resources Board Members 1001 | Street, Sher Auditorium Sacramento, CA 95814

Re:

Agenda Item 18-8-6 (10/25/18):

REFUSE INDUSTRY WILL SUPPORT IF AMENDED-Proposed Fiscal Year 2018-19 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives (Relating to the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program, or "HVIP")

#### Chair Nichols and Board Members:

The signatories to this letter operate and maintain refuse and recycling collection vehicles from Sacramento to San Diego and nearly all counties in between. We are environmental stewards in our recycling operations and we were the first privately operated fleet to accept the challenges and embrace the changes under your Board's Diesel Risk Reduction Plan adopted in September 2000. We consider ourselves pioneers in deploying the more costly natural gas engines and most of us have benefitted from the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program (HVIP) because it enables us to do early replacement of our aging diesel vehicle fleet in a streamlined fashion.

Our objection: The plan before you states, "[s]taff is proposing to discontinue offering a voucher for the purchase of new refuse trucks." We cannot support because it eliminates the option for us to obtain the Low N0x "near-zero" 8.9-liter engine incentive when we purchase new vehicles. During the past year the refuse industry has had a leading role in deploying hundreds of these engines and could not have done so in the same quantity without HVIP.

Why we cannot support: The staff proposal <u>only</u> allows funding for <u>repowering an existing refuse truck</u> <u>which is both uncommon and unlikely</u> to stimulate further HVIP participation. Among the limitations we experience when evaluating a repower are:

- Physical space-not all existing low cab forward (i.e. "cabover") can accommodate the Low N0x engine;
- Chassis age-a typical vehicle replacement cycle is 10 to 15 years. For a severe service vehicle like
  ours that is evaluating a repower anytime after 5 years there may be cab or body system
  problems that would trigger the concern that a brand new engine may exceed the useful life of
  the truck itself:
- Repower cost-in our experience the total cost of repowering to Low NOx engine (if physically
  possible) will be in the range of \$75,000 to \$100,000 when the cost of tanks are included. Even
  with the proposed incentive of \$45,000 this would not attractive for the reasons mentioned.

What we need to support: With more than 8,000 refuse vehicles statewide continuing to run on diesel, our companies <u>respectfully request flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine in communities where we can run CNG or LNG</u> like your staff has allowed for the 12-liter engine that is eligible for HVIP.

What we want from the Board: HVIP (and all the Board's actions for that matter) are most successful when the Board and the target fleets are aligned which is clearly not the case in this matter. If the Board desires deeper penetration of "near zero" engines in the communities we serve we will be your partners in that endeavor. If Board staff continues to pursue its analysis about the clean transportation future of our industry in a vacuum without consultation from our industry players it will reduce the air quality contributions we can make and short-circuit the collaboration that has survived nearly 20 years of a productive relationship that has measurable benefits to the environment.

We welcome further discussion at our email addresses listed in our signature block and also with our colleague Sean Edgar (email <a href="mailto:Service@CleanFleets.net">Service@CleanFleets.net</a>).

October 23, 2018 California Air Resources Board Members Agenda Item 18-8-6

I am in support of the Refuse Industry letter requesting flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine.

Sincerely, Marty Mitchell

**Director of Maintenance** 

**Athens Services** 

Email MMitchell@athensservices.com

626-336-3636



October 23, 2018

California Air Resources Board Members 1001 | Street, Sher Auditorium Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Agenda Item 18-8-6 (10/25/18):

REFUSE INDUSTRY WILL SUPPORT IF AMENDED-Proposed Fiscal Year 2018-19 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives (Relating to the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program, or "HVIP")

Chair Nichols and Board Members:

The signatories to this letter operate and maintain refuse and recycling collection vehicles from Sacramento to San Diego and nearly all counties in between. We are environmental stewards in our recycling operations and we were the first privately operated fleet to accept the challenges and embrace the changes under your Board's Diesel Risk Reduction Plan adopted in September 2000. We consider ourselves pioneers in deploying the more costly natural gas engines and most of us have benefitted from the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program (HVIP) because it enables us to do early replacement of our aging diesel vehicle fleet in a streamlined fashion.

Our objection: The plan before you states, "[s]taff is proposing to discontinue offering a voucher for the purchase of new refuse trucks." We cannot support because it eliminates the option for us to obtain the Low NOx "near-zero" 8.9-liter engine incentive when we purchase new vehicles. During the past year the refuse industry has had a leading role in deploying hundreds of these engines and could not have done so in the same quantity without HVIP.

Why we cannot support: The staff proposal <u>only</u> allows funding for <u>repowering an existing refuse truck</u> which is both uncommon and unlikely to stimulate further HVIP participation. Among the limitations we experience when evaluating a repower are:

- Physical space-not all existing low cab forward (i.e. "cabover") can accommodate the Low NOx engine;
- Chassis age-a typical vehicle replacement cycle is 10 to 15 years. For a severe service vehicle like
  ours that is evaluating a repower anytime after 5 years there may be cab or body system
  problems that would trigger the concern that a brand new engine may exceed the useful life of
  the truck itself;

Repower cost-in our experience the total cost of repowering to Low NOx engine (if physically possible) will be in the range of \$75,000 to \$100,000 when the cost of tanks are included. Even with the proposed incentive of \$45,000 this would not attractive for the reasons mentioned.

What we need to support: With more than 8,000 refuse vehicles statewide continuing to run on diesel, our companies <u>respectfully request flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine in communities where we can run CNG or LNG like your staff has allowed for the 12-liter engine that is eligible for HVIP.</u>

What we want from the Board: HVIP (and all the Board's actions for that matter) are most successful when the Board and the target fleets are aligned which is clearly not the case in this matter. If the Board desires deeper penetration of "near zero" engines in the communities we serve we will be your partners in that endeavor. If Board staff continues to pursue its analysis about the clean transportation future of our industry in a vacuum without consultation from our industry players it will reduce the air quality contributions we can make and short-circuit the collaboration that has survived nearly 20 years of a productive relationship that has measurable benefits to the environment.

We welcome further discussion at our email addresses listed in our signature block and also with our colleague Sean Edgar (email <a href="mailto:Service@CleanFleets.net">Service@CleanFleets.net</a>).

Sincerely,
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SIGNATURE PAGES

October 23, 2018

California Air Resources Board Members 1001 I Street, Sher Auditorium Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: **Agenda Item 18-8-6** (10/25/18):

REFUSE INDUSTRY WILL SUPPORT IF AMENDED-Proposed Fiscal Year 2018-19 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives (Relating to the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program, or "HVIP")

Chair Nichols and Board Members:

The signatories to this letter operate and maintain refuse and recycling collection vehicles from Sacramento to San Diego and nearly all counties in between. We are environmental stewards in our recycling operations and we were the first privately operated fleet to accept the challenges and embrace the changes under your Board's Diesel Risk Reduction Plan adopted in September 2000. We consider ourselves pioneers in deploying the more costly natural gas engines and most of us have benefitted from the Hybrid Voucher Incentive Program (HVIP) because it enables us to do early replacement of our aging diesel vehicle fleet in a streamlined fashion.

Our objection: The plan before you states, "[s]taff is proposing to discontinue offering a voucher for the purchase of new refuse trucks." We cannot support because it eliminates the option for us to obtain the Low N0x "near-zero" 8.9-liter engine incentive when we purchase new vehicles. During the past year the refuse industry has had a leading role in deploying hundreds of these engines and could not have done so in the same quantity without HVIP.

Why we cannot support: The staff proposal <u>only</u> allows funding for <u>repowering an existing refuse truck which is both uncommon and unlikely</u> to stimulate further HVIP participation. Among the limitations we experience when evaluating a repower are:

- Physical space-not all existing low cab forward (i.e. "cabover") can accommodate the Low NOx engine;
- Chassis age-a typical vehicle replacement cycle is 10 to 15 years. For a severe service vehicle like
  ours that is evaluating a repower anytime after 5 years there may be cab or body system
  problems that would trigger the concern that a brand new engine may exceed the useful life of
  the truck itself;
- Repower cost-in our experience the total cost of repowering to Low NOx engine (if physically possible) will be in the range of \$75,000 to \$100,000 when the cost of tanks are included. Even with the proposed incentive of \$45,000 this would not attractive for the reasons mentioned.

P. O. B ox 1865 • Turlock, CA 95381-1865

RECYCLING • (209) 668-6060 • FAX (209) 668-7496

SCAVENGER • (209) 668-7274 • FAX (209) 668-6064

TRANSFER • (209) 668-6049 • FAX (209) 668-7496

What we need to support: With more than 8,000 refuse vehicles statewide continuing to run on diesel, our companies respectfully request flexibility on funding both purchase and repower using the 8.9-liter engine in communities where we can run CNG or LNG like your staff has allowed for the 12-liter engine that is eligible for HVIP.

What we want from the Board: HVIP (and all the Board's actions for that matter) are most successful when the Board and the target fleets are aligned which is clearly not the case in this matter. If the Board desires deeper penetration of "near zero" engines in the communities we serve we will be your partners in that endeavor. If Board staff continues to pursue its analysis about the clean transportation future of our industry in a vacuum without consultation from our industry players it will reduce the air quality contributions we can make and short-circuit the collaboration that has survived nearly 20 years of a productive relationship that has measurable benefits to the environment.

We welcome further discussion at our email addresses listed in our signature block and also with our colleague Sean Edgar (email <a href="mailto:Service@CleanFleets.net">Service@CleanFleets.net</a>).

Sincerely,

Olan Marchant