







CALIFORNIA

FRESH FRUIT **ASSOCIATION** 







California Agricultural **Aircraft** 





Association







**CALIFORNIA** 

ueberry











IFORNIA









January 6, 2023

Mary Jane Coombs Branch Chief, Industrial Strategies Division California Air Resources Board 1001 I Street, P.O. Box 2828 Sacramento, CA 95814

> Re: Request to Deny Petition to Regulate Sulfuryl Fluoride

## Dear Ms. Coombs:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input in response to a petition by the Center for Biological Diversity and Californians for Pesticide Reform on October 27, 2022 to the Air Resources Board to initiate a rulemaking action on sulfuryl fluoride (SF). This coalition, consisting of a wide variety of industries and businesses in the agricultural sector, write to request denial of such petition, which includes the request to add SF to the state's greenhouse gas emission inventory and phase out use in California.

California's economic prowess as a global food producer is without question. Fresh and processed California-grown commodities are coveted worldwide because of their superior quality. Maintaining that level of quality is highly dependent upon compliance with food safety and pest management standards enforced through federal and international export requirements, for which SF is necessary. In short, SF is the primary product used for fumigation of export-bound commodities because it guarantees complete elimination of pest infestations that can transmit serious and sometimes life-threatening food borne diseases such as E. coli, Salmonella, and Listeria. In alignment with sustainable pest management, SF use rebuts pest resistance, is highly efficacious, and allows for shorter treatment timeframes that expedite processing and shipment of time-sensitive exports. The petitioners' statements about SF alternatives are neither practical nor consistent with food safety and trade protocols carefully negotiated by federal and international partners. Without access to SF, for which there is no alternative, California would be precluded from exporting some of its highest-value commodities, including dried fruits and nuts, and threaten the ability of the agricultural community to respond to food safety related pest outbreaks, resulting in billions of annual revenues and associated jobs lost.

It is critical to understand that its use as a fumigant is not without significant federal and state oversight. As a restricted use product, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Pesticide Regulation have evaluated SF for safety. In response, the state restricts applications to only licensed, trained professionals, impose strict mitigation standards to protect applicators, bystanders, and sensitive populations, and routinely conducts human and environmental monitoring. As result, SF is used judiciously along with other pest management methods as part of comprehensive Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programs designed to protect stored foods in a manner that minimizes unintended public health and environmental risks, as well as food commodity loss.

Finally, as members of frontline communities impacted by climate change and embracing both nature-based and engineered carbon solutions, we support the state's actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. However, any subsequent action to limit SF fumigation will result in a negligible impact on climate change and in exchange, would have significant impacts on public health, the environment, and the economy. Most importantly, this action would distract from the State's current important and impactful climate work. As evidenced by the recently adopted 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, we have more effective ways to regulate greenhouse gases in a way that meaningfully impacts climate change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See CARB, *Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy* (Mar. 2017), Appendix D: Research Related to Mitigation Measures, p. 7, available at <a href="https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/SLCP\_Appendix\_D.pdf">https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/SLCP\_Appendix\_D.pdf</a>.

Therefore, we respectfully request that Air Resources Board reject this petition and appreciate your consideration of this important issue.

Sincerely,

Will Scott, Jr., President African American Farmers of California

Richard Matoian, President American Pistachio Growers

Jeny Goge

ichard Matorian

Will Scott, In

Terry Gage, President California Agricultural Aircraft Association

Todd Sanders, Executive Director California Apple Commission California Blueberry Association California Blueberry Commission Olive Growers Council of California

Casey Creamer, President California Citrus Mutual Nicia Leringes

Tricia Geringer, Vice President of Government Affairs Agricultural Council of California

niwu Helms

Nicole Helms, Executive Director California Alfalfa and Forage Association

Ruthann Anderson, President/CEO California Association of Pest Control Advisers

Michael Miiller, Director of Government Relations California Association of Winegrape Growers

Christopher Reardon, Director of Legislative Affairs

California Farm Bureau Federation



Ian LeMay, President California Fresh Fruit Association

Roger Isom, President/CEO California Cotton Ginners and Growers Association Western Agricultural Processors Association

Roge Q. S.

Rick Tomlinson, President California Strawberry Commission

Mike Montna, President/CEO California Tomato Growers Association

Mike Month

Robert Verloop, Executive Director/CEO California Walnut Commission

Far West Equipment Dealers Association

Manuel Cunha, Jr., President Nisei Farmers League

Sharron Zoller, State President

California Women of Agriculture

manuel auto. p.

Renee Pinel, President/CEO Western Plant Health Association

0

Joani Woelfel, President & CEO

Chris Zanobini, President Plant California Alliance