November 13, 2015

The Honorable Mary Nichols, Chair  
California Air Resources Board  
1001 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

(Comment submitted electronically, Reference: INVESTPLAN2-WS)

RE:  Cap-and-Trade Auction Proceeds, Second Investment Plan

Dear Chair Nichols,

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding the Air Resources Board’s (“ARB”), Cap-and-Trade Auction Proceeds Second Investment Plan (the “Second Investment Plan”).

Community Fuels produces advanced biofuels which are a drop-in, renewable and clean blend component to diesel fuel. Our production facility is located at the Port of Stockton where we not only produce biodiesel fuel but we also create family-supporting advanced manufacturing jobs in an economically distressed area. We are very proud of the work that we do and the quantifiable benefits to the environment and the economy. Implementing a funding framework to support existing in-state biofuels producers is critical since the state is primarily supplied by imported fuels that benefit from production subsidies and lower costs of production in other states or countries.

I would like to emphasize our company’s support for California’s innovative and robust low carbon fuel policies including the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS), Cap-and-Trade, Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (“GGRF”), and the California Climate Investments. This policy framework is a powerful catalyst driving low carbon fuel demand locally, nationally, and globally.

We support ARB’s overall strategy to invest GGRF revenues on a diversified basis to achieve the maximum feasible GHG reductions as cost-effectively as possible. We are supportive of the references in ARB’s Second Investment Plan to the establishment of incentives for the in-state production of low carbon intensity fuels. Currently, over 80% of the low carbon fuels used in California are being imported from other states and foreign countries. As the nation’s clean economy leader, California deserves to receive the economic development and job benefits of its own state policies.

ARB’s decision to invest GGRF funds to expand in-State low carbon fuels production is fiscally and environmentally prudent because:
The transportation sector is the largest source of GHG emissions currently and is also the largest source of planned GHG reductions that are to be achieved primarily through Cap-and-Trade, and the LCFS.

Liquid and gaseous low carbon fuels have delivered 89% of the GHG reductions in the LCFS to date and will continue to deliver the overwhelming majority for the next five years.

Liquid and gaseous low carbon fuels can be stored, blended and distributed via the existing petroleum distribution network and utilized in existing gasoline, diesel, and natural gas powered vehicles.

These fuels and vehicles are the only technologies that can be immediately deployed to deliver substantial GHG and other emission reductions to California’s rural and urban disadvantaged communities.

California’s Climate Commitment of cutting petroleum use in half by 2030 will be facilitated by doubling the use of low carbon fuels including biofuels and renewable natural gas.

We support the specific policy structure known as the California Climate Incentive Fuel Program (CCIFP) developed by the Low Carbon Fuels Coalition with broad industry involvement.

We appreciate the ARB’s inclusion of low carbon fuels production within the scope of its Second Investment Plan. Thank you for your consideration of this comment.

Sincerely,

Lisa L. Mortenson
Co-Founder and CEO
American Biodiesel, Inc. dba Community Fuels

Cc: Graham Noyes, Low Carbon Fuels Coalition