

Motorcycle Riders Foundation

P.O. Box 9090, Peoria, IL 61612 (202) 546-0983 / mrfoffice@mrf.org / www.mrf.org

January 14, 2024

California Air Resources Board 1927 13th Street Sacramento, CA 95811

Re: Proposed Amendments to On-Road Motorcycle Emission Standards and Test Procedures and Adoption of New On-Board Diagnostics and Zero-Emission Motorcycle Requirements

Dear Members of the California Air Resources Board,

On behalf of the Motorcycle Riders Foundation (MRF), thank you for the opportunity to submit comments regarding the proposed on-road motorcycle (ONMC) regulatory amendments. We recognize the far-reaching impact that decisions by the California Air Resources Board have on all Americans, not just those who reside in the Golden State.

The MRF provides leadership at the federal level for state motorcyclists' rights organizations as well as motorcycle clubs and individual riders. The MRF is chiefly concerned with issues at the national and international levels that impact the freedom and safety of American street motorcyclists. The MRF is committed to being a national advocate for the advancement of motorcycling and its associated lifestyle and works in conjunction with its partners to help educate elected officials and policymakers in Washington and beyond. As such, the MRF and its network of over 250,000 motorcyclists are pleased to offer the following comments.

Motorcycles are a unique and key component of our transportation system. Rising fuel prices and increased congestion, have seen Americans continue to turn to motorcycles as a reliable, fuel efficient and affordable means of transportation. According to the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, in 2021 there were 952,977 registered on-road motorcycles in the State of California. That number is more than double the 409,170 registered in 2002.¹

This increase in motorcycle ownership clearly demonstrates that consumers see the advantages motorcycles provide in our complex transportation ecosystem. However, proposed changes to emissions standards and a push for 50% of new ONMC to be zero emission motorcycles (ZEM) by 2035 will not meet the needs of consumers. As the CARB report states, riders strongly prefer the range, performance, and aesthetic characteristics of internal combustion engines (ICE) and

¹ https://www.iihs.org/api/datastoredocument/bibliography/2225



Motorcycle Riders Foundation

P.O. Box 9090, Peoria, IL 61612

(202) 546-0983 / mrfoffice@mrf.org / www.mrf.org

motorcyclists will be reluctant to adopt ZEM alternatives. While there is no doubt a small set of consumers will seek out ZEMs, the vast majority of riders have chosen and continue to choose motorcycles with ICE.

Mandates that force motorcyclists to buy new ZEM motorcycles might in fact push them away from the new motorcycle market. Motorcyclists would instead seek the purchase of used bikes or extend the life of their current biker. The average age of motorcycles on the road would increase and the resulting emissions from them would be the opposite goal of this plan.

Aside from personal preference, there remains much skepticism about the physical infrastructure surrounding the push towards ZEM. There are dramatic differences between the parts, components and needs of passenger vehicles as compared to motorcycles. The fact that ONMC were not originally included in the proposed push to electric vehicles underscores that a one size fits all approach towards electrification of our transportation system does not make sense.

As this new technology is deployed, concepts like adding a reduced speed mode, present major safety concerns for motorcyclists. In the rush to electrify our transportation network, we hope that the safety of all road users is not lost.

We also believe that a focus strictly on emissions is misguided and ignores negative repercussions of the push for ZEM. Increased weight of these vehicles will no doubt increase the impact on infrastructure and require increased maintenance. Disposal of these vehicles at end of life is very worrisome. The batteries needed to power them contain materials that are harmful to our soil and ground water.

Sourcing the critical minerals needed to power ZEM is also problematic. The consulting group Benchmark Mineral Intelligence estimated that China controlled 58 percent of the global production of lithium compounds in 2022, 69 percent of nickel sulfate, 69 percent of synthetic graphite, 75 percent of cobalt, 95 percent of manganese and 100 percent of spherical graphite. Creating a system that turns over the source of a core part of ZEM production to authoritarian governments, with unsafe labor practices and human rights abuses is upsetting.

The motorcycling community is not limited to just riders. The support system around motorcycling includes aftermarket parts dealers, who have for over 100 years provided the parts and expertise to repair and improve bikes. The ability to work on one's motorcycles, customize, repair, and rebuild it is a critical part of motorcycling. A shift towards ZEM will destroy that unique part of our lifestyle and a historic part of American culture. It will also cause unknown



Motorcycle Riders Foundation

P.O. Box 9090, Peoria, IL 61612

(202) 546-0983 / mrfoffice@mrf.org / www.mrf.org

economic damage to the hundreds of small businesses across the state that service and maintain the nearly 1 million motorcycles on California roads.

Our concerns about the push for ZEM are not without merit. For nearly 40 years the MRF and its members has traveled the country meeting, talking, and learning from bikers from coast to coast. We understand the mindset of the American street rider, and fear that a push to ZEM will destroy a vibrant and distinctively American lifestyle. Forcing consumers to purchase a product they don't want, and will not use, is not an effective public policy. Allowing the market to freely determine the products available to consumers will result in the best outcomes for all parties involved.

Kirk Willard

President, Chairman of the Board Motorcycle Riders Foundation

Jus L. Wills