

October 14, 2016

California Air Resources Board 1001 I Street P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812

Re: Comments in Response to Air Resource Board's Proposed Modifications to Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Funding Plan

Dear Chairwoman Nichols:

BYD Motors (BYD) writes in response to the proposed modifications for the Fiscal Year 2016-17 Funding Plan for Low Carbon Transportation and Fuels Investments and the Air Quality Improvement Program (Funding Plan). BYD thanks you and the Board for the opportunity to submit these comments.

Heavy-Duty Vehicles and Off-Road Equipment Funding

Funding for Truck/Bus Solicitation

As discussed in our previous funding plan comments to the Board submitted in June 2016, we strongly urge the Board to move additional funding into its Zero-Emission Truck & Bus Pilot Commercial Deployment Projects (Truck/Bus). The Air Resources Board's (ARB) own notice of public comment for the proposed 2016-2017 funding plan revisions acknowledges the greatly oversubscribed nature of the Truck/Bus solicitation. Such projects are 'shovel ready' and can commence immediately upon receiving funding. Compared to other existing alternatives, we believe that funding even a small additional number of Truck/Bus projects represents the quickest and most efficient pathway to further reduce GHG emissions and spur the zero emission market forward in California over the next 1-2 years. As such, we urge ARB to modify its proposed funding allocations and move additional funding into its Truck/Bus solicitation program.

Priority for Zero Emission Technologies

In light of receiving less than anticipated funding resources, we encourage the ARB to reevaluate its proposal to fund non-zero emission technologies. ARB should instead concentrate its reduced programmatic resources on viable, market/near-market ready, and increasingly price-competitive zero emission technologies, rather than pour millions of dollars into non-zero technologies. Doing so may have made sense in prior years, but we think that investments in non-zero emission technologies now would be a lost opportunity to further accelerate the development and market acceptance of advanced, competitive zero emission alternatives. As such, we urge the



Board to reevaluate proposed allocations to non-zero emission technologies like Low NOx technologies and instead concentrate its limited resources on zero emission technology programs.

Sincerely,

Zachary Kahn

Director of Government Relations

Zy sku