

First Name,Last Name,Email,ZIP Code,State,Message

Brad,Davies,brad.davies1111@gmail.com,90032,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janice,Wood,janiceruthwood46@gmail.com,94133,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vergilia,Dakin,vdakin@gmail.com,95482,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Majeski,weequash@earthlink.net,94118,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Pezzuto,zutes.alors@gmail.com,94619,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Gill,gilldavid501@gmail.com,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maurie,Ange,mjange@msn.com,94530,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

don,gonzales ,gonzalesdon1968@gmail.com,92507,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sharon,DeBono,DENSHAY1616@GMAIL.COM,94513,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Basham,patbasham1@gmail.com,95501,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Monica,Moura,monicamobilenotary@gmail.com,95407,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas,Smith,tommyburtonsmith@gmail.com,93035,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Deborah,Knoblock,debi151@gmail.com,92376,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Howard,Weinstein,howardweinstein239@gmail.com,91306,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Werner,david.b.werner@gmail.com,94303,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Claire-France,Perez,cf@claire-france-perez.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vicky,Aguilar,vsuperior@earthlink.net,93711,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ronald Brian,Gardiner,rbriangardiner@gmail.com,95123,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

LeeAn,Lantos,leelee005@gmail.com,90292,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eileen ,Mizelle ,flomizelle@gmail.com,95065,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Petty,kathleenpetty@yahoo.com,93436,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Rosen,bjrosen8@gmail.com,93150-0603,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carolyn,Trovao,carolyntrovao@gmail.com,93722,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marge,Atkinson,margeatkinson1045@gmail.com,94706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

mark,russell,mark.russ24@gmail.com,96067,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paula,Trapp,genie.trapp@gmail.com,95401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debra, Reid, debbielreid@gmail.com, 92122, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John, Fasolas, jfasolas@gmail.com, 95018, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maria Elena, Cortinas, mecortinas@hotmail.com, 90037, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer, Marx, jeffycmarx@gmail.com, 96014, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elaine, Pendergrast, elaine.pendergrast@gmail.com, 94603, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Therese, Brummel, therese@brummel.com, 91104, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robin, Chapel, creat_one@hotmail.com, 90043, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anthony, Jackson, tonyjaxn@aol.com, 91711, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ted, Gorzny, tedgorzny@gmail.com, 92549, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Toni,Priore,tonipriore@gmail.com,93604,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margaret,Roberts,roberts808@gmail.com,95460,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephen,Manly,manlyone@gmail.com,95842,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Deborah,Park,rayandeb@gmail.com,92117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bonita,Lacy,brysnana@earthlink.net,91724,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Steinfeld,steinfi3@gmail.com,92083,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Clive,Chafer,clive@clivechafer.com,94610-2237,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael ,Haney, paso750@gmail.com, 94558, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dar1, Sabraw, desabraw@gmail.com, 92262, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda,Sroa,lindanewlight@comcast.net,94946,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marths,Proctor,mproctor@horizoncable.com,94937,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Baronoff,susanbaronoff@gmail.com,90291,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charles and Patricia,Sellers,cts.cpa@gmail.com,92129,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,McReynolds,sandy.mcreynolds@gmail.com,92057-4912,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Benjamin,Burch,benburch1950@hotmail.com,94705-2717,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amy, Moore, amyemoore1@gmail.com, 95124, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vera, Calabria, vlcalabria@mac.com, 91326, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jonathan,Bailin,jonathan4web@gmail.com,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Annemarie,O'Toole Dippre,rodippre@gmail.com,95521,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gerald,Petlock,ishielock@gmail.com,95409,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christopher, DiFonso, csdifonso@yahoo.com, 92692, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alan, Chuey, alanchuey@gmail.com, 92008, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jane,Lewis,janelewis402@gmail.com,94019,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kivi,Neimi,kivi08@gmail.com,94605,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daniel,Rossman,drdrums11@gmail.com,90292,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Levy,barbaraflevy@gmail.com,94114,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheila,Bernstein,daffodilsb1@gmail.com,94952,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

felicity,moore,fmoore62@gmail.com,93101,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alice,Gershman,quayle@earthlink.net,91607,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Block,dlblock@comcast.net,94530-1811,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

richard,obryan,rickobryan@verizon.net,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

PE,EP,axclusiv_97@hotmail.com,90210,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Emily,Lin,pastoremalee@gmail.com,94501,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David, Carter, dcarte@ucr.edu, 92373, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marjorie, Crump-Shears, mcshears@comcast.net, 94931, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marcene ,Van Dierendonck ,mvd1131@aol.com,94024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Hoffman,karenhoffm@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charlotte,Macdonald,cmacdonald51@yahoo.co.uk,94947,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pat,Gargaetas,pgar@charter.net,95531-3058,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Burt,burtbobno9@gmail.com,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charles,Ward,cwardrealtyusa@gmail.com,92708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan, Segal, susanannsegal@gmail.com, 94611, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

edwin, lawson, edwin.lawson53@gmail.com, 95519, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

ELLEN,RODIN,esrodin729@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard,Hackenberg,hack1276@yahoo.com,94708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jack,Gill,iroll2b1@gmail.com,94131,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ali,Schwarz,alischwarz@gmail.com,94619,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Roberts,martharoberts919@gmail.com,94803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rendon,Holloway,arnholloway@gmail.com,95136,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Horace,Birgh,hbirgh@sbcglobal.net,92256,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda,Dunkly,lindadunkly@gmail.com,95973,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Howard,Belove,hbelove@sbcglobal.net,94952,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joyce,Anderson Waters,jlaw46@gmail.com,95490,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Meghan,Nunez,meghanlnunez@gmail.com,95405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bill,Paxton,brnsmth04@gmail.com,92024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rhea,Pitchard,rhealred@gmail.com,95492,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephanie, Keefer, steph.keefer@gmail.com, 92626, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sharon, Collier, sharon@collierfam.com, 94061, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Fernando, Ayala, fernando@theayalas.com, 90245, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lora,Jerugim,lorajerugim@gmail.com,90048,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Constance,Miles,moonsong@sonic.net,95472,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alice, Neuhauser, apntrc@email.msn.com, 90266, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cheryl, Berkey, cheryl@berkeyresearch.com, 92129, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joel,Block,joellblock@gmail.com,90720,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

eula,wyatt,eulajaneen@gmail.com,94122,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eleanor, Meyers, esm@esmeyers.com, 91711, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terry, Yamada, teri.yamada@gmail.com, 90275, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linnea,Cook,linneacook@gmail.com,94550,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edward,Callahan,edcallah@gmail.com,95616,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Casillas,rcasillas3@yahoo.com,94066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Abe,Ordovery,abeordover@gmail.com,92007,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gwen,Jones,gwn.jones@yahoo.com,92126,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Crystal,Lujan,crystalmgmt@gmail.com,91325,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Ford,kathleenford7@gmail.com,91042,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cheri,Hinkley,cherihinkley@gmail.com,94609,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Schoor,cdsles@aol.com,91607,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paula K,Bauer,paula@bauerlaw.com,94590,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Kleeman,karen.kleeman@gmail.com,90402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Greg,Rose,gregrose129@gmail.com,95818,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Francis,Segura,fxsegura47@gmail.com,90802,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ashis,Sengupta,ashisksengupta@yahoo.com,94598-3615,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Simone,Clare,simone@homesbysimone.com,94553,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kay,Fontana,kayfontana@earthlink.net,95125,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vikki,Fulkoski,vikkisranch@gmail.com,92028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet,Lally,jlallyabc@gmail.com,90291,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Ireland,michael-ireland@att.net,95321-1048,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daniel,Morton,danmorton66@msn.com,92407,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Hallett,michael@countryarchitect.com,95421,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patrick,Henry,phenry@saber.net,95560,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alma, Fer, alma_nidia@yahoo.com, 91001, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer, Stanley, burlstanley@earthlink.net, 94117, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

hal,Weinberger,harwin@san.rr.com,92111,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jamie,Beutler,beutlerjamie@gmail.com,95762,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tony,Rivera,labluescasters@gmail.com,90601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mike,Aguilar,rivermikerat@outlook.com,92028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anna,Keim,akeimsnjm@gmail.com,91016,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Bancroft,suek.bancroft@gmail.com,92325-6389,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gail,Gester,ggester@jps.net,95415,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jerry,Stine,lifespan2@comcast.net,94533,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nikhilesh,Dholakia,nikdholakia@gmail.com,95023,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Keller,sting1951@gmail.com,92257,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alene,Shaibi,alene.free@att.net,90026,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Szondy,periwinkle32@gmail.com,91607,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kevin,Reneau,kreneau77@berkeley.edu,92117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Erin,Stuart-Jennings,estuart.jennings@gmail.com,94112,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Jackson,jredpoint45@gmail.com,94612,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edward,Michel,edwardmichel820@gmail.com,92544,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peter,Reinsch,pqreinsch@gmail.com,95050,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Adrienne,Jacoby,ajac37@charter.net,96002,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charity,Allen,allencharity@hotmail.com,94123,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Hartigan,jkingsleyh@earthlink.net,94118,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

david,stull,2dstull@gmail.com,94596,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Avie,Simone,1devonkelly@gmail.com,90026,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Allen, Yarowsky, ayarowsky@icloud.com, 92103, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia, A Baldwin, byebyebaldwin@gmail.com, 94116, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brian,Grimm,biangrimm@gmail.com,92371,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ryan,Davis,davisr137@gmail.com,94117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard,Savage,2rsavage@comcast.net,95409,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura ,Zahm ,puffin@sonic.net,95327,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

virginia,Aldridge,toby04849@yahoo.com,93924,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

c,ray,clinkpage@gmail.com,91030,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Mills,jmillswine1@me.com,95003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Wayne ,Whittaker ,wirolo@gmail.com,90005,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Cassidy,mjcassidy48@gmail.com,94708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shelly,Kosak,shelly.kosak@gmail.com,94040-1973,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pat,Hardy,avppat@gmail.com,93105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mercy,Grieco,mercygrieco@gmail.com,93720,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

sabina,Lanier,sabina.lanier@gmail.com,94703,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kelly,Krause,kelly.l.krause@gmail.com,94109-7233,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Yoklavich,laurayok@gmail.com,94901,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Cordes,korthelakis@gmail.com,95060-5719,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pia,Gregan,pgregan72@gmail.com,96002,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gerrie,Kilburn,ggkilburn@gmail.com,91107,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Luxton,dwluxton@gmail.com,92691,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lori,Carlson,carlsonlj@yahoo.com,95139,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pat,McFarland,pmponyfeathers@gmail.com,95468,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eva,Connell,evaconnell@gmail.com,92024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marina,Garras,marinagarras@gmail.com,94117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eric,Miller,ericmiller0160@att.net,93960-2949,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Cheney,laura.j.cheney@gmail.com,93955,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet,Weinstein,janweinstein88@gmail.com,94618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joyce, Greene, cjoyce.greene@gmail.com, 91356, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laurent, Bourdet, larry.bourdet@gmail.com, 95130, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Nelson,masmike@gmail.com,90290,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marguerite,Payne,ppkteach@gmail.com,94803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joseph,Cotham,jcotham93940@gmail.com,93940,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Merrilee,Newton,merrilee.newton@gmail.com,94559,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Luree,Nicholson,luree42@gmail.com,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gregory,Anderson,gla@db2law.com,90004,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paul,Boyington,obllo24@gmail.com,90403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia,Thomsen,cetathomsen@gmail.com,92104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peggy,Heppler,peggyhepler@gmail.com,92647,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steve, Mirassou, stevemirassou@gmail.com, 94558, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bruce, Hale, gecko273@cox.net, 93190, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dan,Stevens,DJStevens45@gmail.com,91362,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steven,Pardella,steve_mail@iactions.com,96145,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary Lee, Kimberlin, mpait3@gmail.com, 96022, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

June, Ainsworth, jains04@yahoo.com, 92056, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth, Winant, lizwinant@gmail.com, 92075-1440, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lois, Friedland, lololandlo@gmail.com, 92211, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ben,Retamal,bret415@hotmail.com,92262,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

katherine,frere,katey.frere@gmail.com,91001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lonnie,Sheinart,inthepink8@gmail.com,90064,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William, Graham, t3st0s3r0n3@gmail.com, 90504, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John, Stirton, jastirton@gmail.com, 94619, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diane,Seaman,dkzseaman@gmail.com,90403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alison,Geballe,afgeballe@gmail.com,94123,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynnea,Hagen,lynnea317@gmail.com,95112,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jocelyn,Quijano ,jquijanopbvm@gmail.com,91786,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kevin,Grisham,kgrisham@gmail.com,95403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jenny,Irizary,jennyirizary@gmail.com,95436,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

katherine.adams,katiebearadams@gmail.com,91941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Cordova,rcordova61@sbcglobal.net,94904,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rachel,Laub,lunabyrd22@yahoo.com,92071,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

ted,goldstein,tedsgoldstein@gmail.com,92116,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amrita,Redding,amritaredding@gmail.com,91784-1043,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daniel,Hirtz,danielhirtz@gmail.com,94116,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lorna,Tario,lorna.tario@gmail.com,92126,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Isabelle,Choiniere-Correa,isabelletravelgenie@gmail.com,94601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donna ,Owens ,celticdlo@gmail.com,93940,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ron and Malinda ,Thal,mindyasti@gmail.com,95425,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Goldman,kjzlacrosse@gmail.com,90266,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Coffey,kathy.coffey2@gmail.com,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jorgina,Freese,ginapiano@gmail.com,95687,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michelle,Raine,mor1951x@gmail.com,93950,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Trevor,Placker,space.parasite@gmail.com,95125,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Ward,omirideus@earthlink.net,94710,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kashy,Malek,kashymalek@gmail.com,94087,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Douglas,Rees,author@douglasrees.com,95203-2160,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rosellen ,Trunnell,trunnellrosellen39@gmail.com,90732-5063,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,McAllister,cadysusanmcallister@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paul,Brennan,pbrennan479@gmail.com,92081,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martin,Vanderlaan,sfmist@sbcglobal.net,94122,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maggie, Lin, linw7n8@gmail.com, 94552, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dixie, Hanson, dixhanson@gmail.com, 93021, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Pearson,capears66@aol.com,93555,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard,PeatHanna,richard@creatacomputernetwork.com,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

J,L,dragade3789@gmail.com,94043,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Wirtz,nancywirtz@gmail.com,95969-5743,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Esmeralda,Ramirez,eramirez726@gmail.com,90002,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Philip,Groves,philipgrvs@yahoo.com,94502,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Megan,Gray,pumpkin.gray@gmail.com,90277,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elaine Meyer, emeyer3@gmail.com, 94301, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Doug McLean, dougmc99@gmail.com, 94087, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."
Alejandro, Delgado, alexdelur928@aol.com, 91331, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."
SUSAN, Shieldkret, susan@thepassionatecollector.com, 90027, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jonathan, Frank, irtxir@gmail.com, 94590, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne, Pier, annapier@me.com, 95476, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

MELINDA, BECKHAM, melinda.beckham@gmail.com, 92057, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Doug , Scott , dapperdoug1959@gmail.com, 92211, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara, Lucas, barbaralucas51@yahoo.com, 95815, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheryl, Knowles, knowles1111@gmail.com, 94022, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine,Wood,cathy@daneweb.com,92549,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Sopher,jamessopher@yahoo.com,92078,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brian,Champion,bwchampi@ucsc.edu,95136,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard, Splawski, rdsplawski@gmail.com, 93063, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

BROOKE, TRAUT, traut.brooke@gmail.com, 93657, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roger, Meras, serialcloser@yahoo.com, 93905, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nicholas, Haner, nickhaner@gmail.com, 93035, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Douglas, Donehoo, ddonehoo@gmail.com, 92262-2578, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donna, Przybylowicz, dprzybyl88@gmail.com, 94705, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Dayton,mrdayton@gmail.com,90065,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dave,johnson,djdavecj@gmail.com,92548,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Duane,Lewis,gurba1826@gmail.com,93535,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael ,Miller,myk92262@gmail.com,92240,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas,Goldenberg,obgtom@gmail.com,96150,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Carritte,dcarritt@asrclkrec.com,92324,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Katherine,Cameron,katherineyearzero@gmail.com,94501,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

MARLA,DIAMOND,mdiamond29@gmail.com,95648,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

stephen ,Suzman,stephen@zetterre.com,94114,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Philip, Schmierer, dunsmuirpap@gmail.com, 96025, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amy, Olson, amy@litany.com, 94577, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alejandro, de Avila, adeavilab@gmail.com, 94025, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Todd, Allis, yemisirwot@gmail.com, 95006, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Crahan,prcrahansf@gmail.com,94110-3433,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jim,McFadden,jameslawrencemcfadden@gmail.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Norma,Eckroate,normaofla@gmail.com,92590,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Anderson,grouchyboomer@gmail.com,92025,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Donnenfield,daviddonnenfield@gmail.com,94954,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Malone,lakmalone@me.com,94602,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

donald,cook,dcookintern@gmail.com,92307,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Priscilla, Marquis, priscilla@priscillamarquis.com, 94112, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

M F , Zuloaga, bonita77@aol.com, 91302, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brad,Hammett,soundwks@gmail.com,95602,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Valentino,P,hollerattino@gmail.com,94805,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Monique, Montgomery, moniqueemontgomery@gmail.com, 93111, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Raymond , Novak, jrnovak03@gmail.com, 92131, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

oscar,moz,oscarmoz@yahoo.com,91732,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer,Slattery,3fungirls@gmail.com,95130,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alexa, Anastasia, alexa@alexaanastasia.com, 93036, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peter B, Pitsker, petepits@me.com, 92648, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael, Finch, mikefinch1939@gmail.com, 95054, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nubia, Finch, nubiafinch@gmail.com, 95054, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth, Gomes, beteg79@yahoo.com.br, 95326, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Miguel,Avila,miguel.pacificcrest@gmail.com,93105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Katherine,Frere,kateyfrere@gmail.com,91001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert, Taylor, taylortrainu@aol.com, 95361, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joseph, Finelli, jfinel81@gmail.com, 92115, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

mary,miller,alexes.miller46@gmail.com,96057,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sean,Sell,ssellsd@gmail.com,92116,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gavin,Sellors,sellsgav03@gmail.com,95403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joey,Forsyte,joe.forsyte@gmail.com,90031,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Fuller,cloud.9389@hotmail.com,90745,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joanne,Buckley,joannebuckley1220@icloud.com,94703,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joanne,Boster,jeboster@gmail.com,92115,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Andrea,Wemette,wemeta3@gmail.com,96007,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eric ,Smith,alarmedresponder@gmail.com,90013,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard, Martin, rmartin@martinthermal.com, 93401, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

River, Bradley, riverbradleyca@gmail.com, 94602, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shawn,Salazar,742002bimmer@gmail.com,93921,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Leech,nancyleech@me.com,94303,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,murphy,thesmurph2021@gmail.com,94610,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

carol,davis,absolutemosaics@gmail.com,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Molly, Malloy, mollymalloy3@gmail.com, 95472, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karla , Nubling , knubling@gmail.com, 95051, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maryellen, Redish, eredish23@gmail.com, 92264, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janice, Dong, janron55@icloud.com, 95032, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Randall,Burkhardt,randy.burkhardt@gmail.com,93065,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judith,Menzer,jamenzer@protonmail.com,92071,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Celeste,White,ecelestewhite@gmail.com,96001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maricela,Cabrera,maricela0950@yahoo.com,92335,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Obermayer,billyober@gmail.com,94020,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Graham,Wilson,apUSDroidicus@gmail.com,95005,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edna,Jamati,ekjamati@gmail.com,94301,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Simon,lsimonlcs@gmail.com,90041,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terry ,Rhodes ,ttrapl1@gmail.com,90274,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Douglas,Herrera,douglas90808@gmail.com,90808,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anita,Brokaw,fbrokaw012019@gmail.com,94801,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Holly,O'Rourke,hdorourke0574@gmail.com,93428,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diann,Rose,diannrose1@gmail.com,94109,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cathy,Hunter,cahunter1955@gmail.com,91214,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Colin,Loveland,lovelandcolin@gmail.com,92346-6813,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peter,Murray,p.e.murray@gmail.com,94061,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Aung,Myint,aungmyint8888@gmail.com,94538,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pamela ,Kelly,pamelakellycoaching@gmail.com,90813,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

margot,johnson,margotkeithlowell@gmail.com,95070,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth,Smith,lizannsmith7@gmail.com,92081,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan, Montgomery, susanmontgomery5@icloud.com, 91362, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Harriett, Ferziger, harriettf4966@gmail.com, 94304, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard,Staley,00tiler-nearest@icloud.com,93402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ronald,Smith,smithyrong@gmail.com,92270,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jeannie,Reardon,factory.wow_0j@icloud.com,91364,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donald,Davis,groups-adorn0m@icloud.com,93705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Timothy,Phillips,tseanphillips@gmail.com,90045,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alan,Yamamoto,lane-harrows.0e@icloud.com,91321,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Bock,clove-cay0s@icloud.com,91361,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pat,Hardy,pmhardy@me.com,93105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Evelyn,Johnson-Todd,scald.mauler-0n@icloud.com,93727,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Liz,Cohen,qms.lizk17@gmail.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Patche,kmpatche001@gmail.com,95677,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy, OLeary-Tuer, flower.oleary@gmail.com, 92122, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda, Cornejo, glyphs_ripple0@icloud.com, 92835-1431, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Spreitzer,splines_fades_0z@icloud.com,92103,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jamie,M,jmarantz@efcps.net,94601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amiran,Kanukoev,akanukoev@gmail.com,92084,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steven,Hayashi,stevenhayashi@gmail.com,95032,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Celeste, Garcia, celestegar56@gmail.com, 95928-6309, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Fabian, Glazer, siphons.dopiest-0a@icloud.com, 94086-5934, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael, Arnold, quantum@quantum-leads.com, 95492, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Evelyn,Johnson-Todd,logon.recon-0a@icloud.com,93727,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia ,Olival ,c_olival049@yahoo.com,93514,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Haruyuki,Miyoshi,haruyukimiyoshi25@gmail.com,90230,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dorothy,Clark,auras.tittle.0x@icloud.com,96019,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ann,Poulson,grann1932@gmail.com,94061,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

ah,ho,galleys.ulna0b@icloud.com,94401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

amy,gorman,04-skittle.faces@icloud.com,94707,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ann,Denvir,02-lasagne.vivid@icloud.com,95616,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

george,shea,gshea605@gmail.com,91602,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bruce,Hopkins,candude@sonic.net,94590,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dawn,Wade,bskart@astound.net,95648,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Phillip,Bryan,hoarder-gavotte.0f@icloud.com,94122,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Molly ,Huddleston ,2024.mollyh.brown@gmail.com,95403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eric, Kestler, ekestler@gmail.com, 94549, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Garrett, Sullivan, garrettbass@gmail.com, 90291, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer,Robins,premajoydancing@gmail.com,95945,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephen,Richards,stumble_toxics_or@icloud.com,93308-2182,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Andrew, Duport, andyduport@yahoo.com, 90026-, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

SIAMAK, SALEHI, seyaamak@gmail.com, 92694, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

MICHAEL,SCANLON,wsccoach@aol.com,93021,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martin,Pfeffer,mfef47@gmail.com,93010,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

J,Epps,jmepps@mac.com,90405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tracey ,Carlisle ,tacarlisle@outlook.com,91202,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Connie,Rogers,jrogers@garlic.com,95020,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

JUDY ANN,ALBERTI,judyann2007@earthlink.net,94705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Duerksen,SusanD619@gmail.com,92116,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Allen,Kanner,akanner@lmi.net,94706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gloria,Alpern,gloriaalpern@gmail.com,91607,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Helen,Hansen,dofcambridge@yahoo.com,92057,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mehry,Sebanlou,mmsebanlou@yahoo.com,90210,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edward,Griffith,griffinsummoner@gmail.com,95662,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brian,Skaggs,briska61@gmail.com,94114,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne, Spanier, spanier.anne@gmail.com, 94401, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marc, Hamman, marcuscad@gmail.com, 91354-4953, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nan,McGuire,nanmc@jimstevens.com,94709,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mj,Pramik,mjpramik@gmail.com,94115,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Zelaya,zelaya9@gmail.com,94709,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bill,Kaiser,purplecir@aol.com,91505,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vincent,Hoagland,vin.hoagland@sonoma.edu,95404,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

john,hardesty,john@goldflat.com,95959,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine,Hall,catherinehall53@gmail.com,94937,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Howard,Miller,mmhunify@aol.com,93003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bryan,Cahill,wffproductions@gmail.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Ferguson,fergs111@gmail.com,92028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Gelfand,david_gelfand@earthlink.net,94611,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maristsla,Penteriche,teka@newbossa.com,93117-1072,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Knudsen,marksknudsen@gmail.com,95037-4748,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joe,Cuviello,joe@cuviello.com,92075,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rodney,Parker,rodney1134@yahoo.com,94509,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George,Wood,george@radiowood.com,95482,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gerry,Roy,gerry@rjrassoc.com,94087,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amir,Burstein,amir.burstein@gmail.com,93402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dan,Lieberman,dan.pianoman50@gmail.com,92595,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steve,Isenman,sisenman@aol.com,93314,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

P,N,randeewestendorf@msn.com,93010,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rex,Sanford,sanfordfamily@gmail.com,95356,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joe, Veltri, veltrijoe@msn.com, 92082-5844, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thelma, Haw, thelmahaw@sbcglobal.net, 93710, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

B.,Tepp,briteppr62@gmail.com,90211,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Lanham,jmz@vom.com,94952,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Constance,Glenn,jg888999@gmail.com,95436,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martin,Lomax,pspsunman@gmail.com,92203,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roslyn,Jones,2buffjones@gmail.com,92258,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

CAROLYN,VOET,CVOET@SONIC.NET,92211,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Candice,Montgomery,bsmith747@gmail.com,91335,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Stokes,johnstokes1@mac.com,94131,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephanie,Allen,lutzallen@gmail.com,94087,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julianna, Martin, jemandler@gmail.com, 95123, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary, Ellett, maryoellett@gmail.com, 92019, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Philip,Quadrini,philquadrini@yahoo.com,94965,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Norma,Myers,nlmyers.lmft@lmi.net,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anton,Fleig,tony.fleig@gmail.com,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gabriella,Scileppi,mscileppi@gmail.com,90265,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David ,K,nkahakauwila@gmail.com,90803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Wallace,Pearce,denropro@gmail.com,95694,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sue,Colbert,sassiesuz@gmail.com,94522,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Hugh,Zike,hugh@coho.org,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Lawler,wjlawler@hotmail.com,94707,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet, Jacobson-Weiss, janetsue.jacobson@gmail.com, 94530, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy, Swearingen, nswearingen@hotmail.com, 94619, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynda,Koolish,lkoolish@mail.sdsu.edu,94708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Petranto,nancy.petranto@gmail.com,94949,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kris,Grabow,kristine.louise.g@gmail.com,95003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathryn,Jensen ,kmarijensen@ca.rr.com,91384,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Russ,Nester,mrnester@sbcglobal.net,93063-1742,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Loreen,Wilhelmy,lwilhelmy@sbcglobal.net,92067,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Warren,Haskell,warrenhaskell1@gmail.com,95926,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Shub,susans@crafts.net,94611,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michelle,Saul,michelle@possible.co,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Esther,McEgan,emcegan@mercywmw.org,94010,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dave,Fox,davefox@mac.com,94538,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our

toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stanley,Hutchings,stan.hutchings@gmail.com,94301,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

V L,Berg,vlberg1@aol.com,92203,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark, Spohr, mhspohr@gmail.com, 96145, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Grace, Silva, sparks707@yahoo.com, 91615, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sigrid,Painter,s.d.painter@sbcglobal.net,94903,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charles,Croft,seatv1@gmail.com,90057,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terr,Badger,ter.badger@gmail.com,93446,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Andrew,McCambridge,better2smilenow@gmail.com,94037,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

bruce,mallon,bmallon111@gmail.com,94954,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lee,Kenney,kennylee94@yahoo.com,92606,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Buchanan,nbuchanan@igc.org,90065-4033,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Aaron,Goodman,amgodman@yahoo.com,94158,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donna,Apodaca,donnapinata@yahoo.com,90807,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Meg,Murphy,meggis.murphy@gmail.com,90064-3614,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jim,Hieronymus,jhieronymus@gmail.com,94028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brooks,Geiken,brooks.geiken@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donna,GOLD,gramadonna@keppandbeeze.com,91307-1119,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mara,Veneman,msveneman@gmail.com,94019,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carter,Morgan,morganfamily1@cox.net,93117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Victoria, Fortin, vfortinmartin@socal.rr.com, 90277, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth, Luther-Olave, lutherell1@yahoo.com, 92019, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

JoAnna,Bisson,jbisson2012@gmail.com,92103-1833,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roderick,Miller,j3rp21@gmail.com,94618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eric,Johnson,sumi342@inreach.com,95204,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

carl,Schellenberg,cjschellenberg@korigan.net,95032-3805,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

steve,heckman,steve@steveheckman.com,94518,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jessica,Raeder,jessiethefeminist@gmail.com,95926,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Myrna,Tuttle,revmom13@gmail.com,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bonnie,Idso,bonnieidso@gmail.com,96130,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Francis,Rompon,frankjr.rompon@gmail.com,95134,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

pamela,Drechsel,pkdrechsel@gmail.com,92037-1956,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Emily,Rogers,greenrogers12@gmail.com,91001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jutta,Leibrock,leibrock@mcn.org,94954,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephen,Parsons,dwarkforg7@gmail.com,95407,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Raible,traible@maryknoll.org,94024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jill,Cullen ,soccermomjill@gmail.com,91325,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Nylan,mnylan@berkeley.edu,94709-1104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Peyton,mmpeyton@gmail.com,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheridan,Jackson,sjacksonmail@gmail.com,92637-3530,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

E.,Hammond,hmond23@gmail.com,93561,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Willepje,Kremer,wkremer@usa.net,94526,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Katie, Cartwright, katiecart.kathryn@gmail.com, 94949, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kate, Boxeth, kboxethjoy@gmail.com, 95776, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Miller,cmillerfoodie@gmail.com,92708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer,Slattery,jslattery2010@gmail.com,95130,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Zuniga,mzuniga@ucsc.edu,95064-1064,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Heather ,Rodriguez ,heatheragraef@gmail.com,95747,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dale,Dombkowski,dombkowski@earthlink.net,94503,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kevin ,Rennie ,kmrennie@gmail.com,94025,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Glen,Offield,goffield@me.com,92126,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Erin,McMahon,26lexi@gmail.com,94110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Emily ,Morris ,anemolie@gmail.com,95521,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rita,Rothman,only1queen50@gmail.com,94591,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jon,Nelson,bifflybone@gmail.com,91311,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Evelyn,Johnson-Todd,ejt724@icloud.com,93727,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

don,goodson,coso.poha@gmail.com,93555,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheila,Taipale,sheilaoc1@yahoo.com,91950,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Ryan,leyland.ryan@gmail.com,95402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gail,Stempler,gailstempler@gmail.com,94707,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Malcolm,Ridenour,mtmalc@gmail.com,96161,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan, Werlich, susanwerlich@gmail.com, 95051, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer, Lawson, jennifer@jenniferlawson.com, 94925, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elisse,De Sio,elisseds@gmail.com,94070,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Rabkin,marthasmithrabkin@gmail.com,94708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

C,ducey,fathmo@mac.com,94960,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our

toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Erika,Porter,erikaporter1@gmail.com,92082,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eve,Navarro,eve.political.mail@gmail.com,95441,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

LindaJean,Edwards,lindajeankevo@gmail.com,94951,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Johnson Bichsel,carol.johnson.bichsel@gmail.com,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lillie,Hill,lilliehilldhs@roadrunner.com,92240,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Iris,Murillo,irisjm@pacbell.net,95864,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary Lou, Loper, marylou1435@gmail.com, 90272, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Toni-Jo Heinze, Menasco, seawindway@yahoo.com, 93004, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diane,Miller,dianemill@msn.com,91105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ron,Marin,ronmarin@gmail.com,92104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen ,Tucci,kathleenmarytucc@aol.com,91201,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Hale',Tokay,haletokay@gmail.com,94602,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sam,Madison,sammj1106@gmail.com,92104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Raina,Schally,rschally@gmail.com,94018,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

john,holt,jcybholt@gmail.com,95469,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George, Capacete, geocete@gmail.com, 91335, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Juan, Parrino, juanparrino@sbcglobal.net, 91803, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

AJ,Ackleson,aj.ackleson@gmail.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lee,Davis,l.davis@promoventures.com,92126,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ronnetta, Lawton, ronnel@gmail.com, 93065, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George, Engel, prceramics@aol.com, 90028, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rochele,Gardner,rleagardner@gmail.com,93420,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julia, Radel,sassyredhead21@gmail.com,95352,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Dale,nancy.dale@gmail.com,93463,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marsha,Fowler,marsharaven@gmail.com,91001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Polly,Chu,pollyc.email@gmail.com,90019,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susie,Gutowitz,susie.gutowitz@gmail.com,93021,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marina,Marcroft,marinamarcroft@msn.com,94602,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tanya ,Roberts ,tcdmitchell@gmail.com,91601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Argo,argomoto@hotmail.com,95423,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Suzanne,Lishon,happytbap@gmail.com,91364,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

andrew,heidemann,ahaid1@cox.net,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Suzanne, Guerra, sguerra@humboldt1.com, 95524, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diana, Clark, dithebrit@gmail.com, 94595, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barry,Bates,keytfish@gmail.com,95567,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Austin ,Rice,mraustin003@gmail.com,96130,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bradley ,Heinz ,brad.heinz@me.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joseph,Beeson,josephbeeson8@gmail.com,92024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Castillo,patricia.castillo101@gmail.com,93012,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year

and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steven, Ellis, sellis@sonic.net, 95403, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine, Caples, caplesfam@sbcglobal.net, 93723, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Monte, Leach, mleach47@gmail.com, 94122, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia, Neuman, cyn2781@gmail.com, 95818, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

kandie,demarest,kandiedem@hotmail.com,95437,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Claire,Kahane,ckahane@me.com,94709,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terry,Mitchell,terrog2@icloud.com,95757,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathy,Stuart-Hill,happyhillsdaycare@gmail.com,94595,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Evans,michael_evans_@hotmail.com,94563,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Missy,Cunningham,missy05091@gmail.com,93105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paul,Vesper,pontiffp@gmail.com,94703,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Romy,Campagna,xvalleygrl@icloud.com,92627,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roland,Wilhelmy,rwil@sbcglobal.net,92067-2448,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."
matthew.leivas,nuwuviman@gmail.com,92363,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."
Vince,Mariani,vm3@sbcglobal.net,95236,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rene, a lestage, egatsel@gmail.com, 94509, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen, Green, kathleenrgreen7@gmail.com, 92780, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David, Jones, gogogadget987654@gmail.com, 90027, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

j, Kelmon, jkelmon@greatschools.org, 94518, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

delilah,shank,delilahshank@gmail.com,94014,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary Jodi,Henrekin,mjhenrekin9@gmail.com,94601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,TRUE,truepnd@gmail.com,93010,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mandy,Sol,udnst33@gmail.com,92037,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Corinne, Van Houten, corinnevh6@gmail.com, 95835, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Greg, Thomsen, gregshel79@gmail.com, 92011, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ebrahim, Rad, e@radfis.com, 92677, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our

toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa, Colton, lisacolt@me.com, 90066, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jim, Scott, naughtyboynineyards@yahoo.com, 96469, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan, Reiner-Lyon, srl4fit@gmail.com, 94107, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roger, McElrath, rmcelrath@bsr.org, 94104, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debra,Shaw,debrashaw890@gmail.com,92546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth,Finnegan,elizabeth@margaretfinnegan.com,91030,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amrit,Schneider,amritschneider@yahoo.com,94530,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Friederike,Buelow,ikebuelow@gmail.com,94303,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terri,Edwards,terriedwards_99@msn.com,92374,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Valerie,Penny,v.penny@yahoo.com,92807,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisette,Garcia,redflag827@gmail.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brenda,Carrillo,brenda.kay.carrillo@gmail.com,92563,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Fjaere,Nilssen-Mooney,feefmooney@gmail.com,91606,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marsha,Yarbrough,marshay4@comcast.net,94536,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lucy,Pon,lucypon3@gmail.com,94131,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debbie,Bennett,debbie.bennett@comcast.net,95624,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Maslack,eyeonizer@msn.com,95762,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amity,Buxton,amitypb@gmail.com,94612,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mike,Emrich,solarguy011@gmail.com,93422,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Patane,d.patane@att.net,95128,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Antoinette,May,toni@antoinettemay.com,95245,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dale,Osborn,dosborn@thinkgroupinc.com,92130,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kate,Sims,kate.sims@sonoma.edu,94928,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Timothy,Root,timothyroot@icloud.com,91711,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roxanne,Williams,msroxwgoog@gmail.com,92223,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary Jane,Ryan,maryjane.ryan@gmail.com,94595,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rev sozui,Schubert,sozui3@gmail.com,92079,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Hughes,cahammill@hotmail.com,93662,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine,Bowes,cathymbowes@gmail.com,94947,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Zoe,Danielson,zoedanielson@sbcglobal.net,95695-6807,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Erik,Shank,erikshank@wavecable.com,95695,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julieta,Pontecorvo,jpontecorvo123@gmail.com,91913,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maia,de Raat,m@dandylionpress.com,94103,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michelle ,Martinez,shellmtz.81@gmail.com,93640,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephanie, Murphy, seerymur@gmail.com, 95820, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marina, Chavez, mchavez@ucsb.edu, 93117, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Caroline ,Gelsman ,mavis@sonic.net,94952,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marianna,Spatt,soupsoap59@gmail.com,93301,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Meyer,patricia.meyer@lmu.edu,90405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gregory,Fite,gregfite@gmail.com,94541,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julie,Emard,emardjulie@yahoo.com,95542,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ellen,Lake,elake944@gmail.com,94610,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer,Alonso,jemalaro10@gmail.com,92110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne G, Politeo , tajsf885@gmail.com, 94121, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Luiz, Netto, assis_netto@yahoo.com, 94112, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine,Wenner,catherine_wenner@outlook.com,92804,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dirk,Koopman,dirk.koopman@live.com,92037,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anahata,Pomeroy,22anahata@gmail.com,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Chris,Seaton,seatopwr@gmail.com,93101,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Olson,nso2431@icloud.com,94301,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shirley,Koch,shirleykoch12@gmail.com,95650,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tim,Gerrits,salty@saltyspirateden.com,95451,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

C.,Martin,chezzamsf@gmail.com,94108,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Desiree,De Bond,desireemary@earthlink.net,91201,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judy,Cawley,jcaw100@gmail.com,92646,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alan,Dwillis,adwillis48@gmail.com,95330,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Erin,Tucker,realdogstar@gmail.com,90265,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jeff,Pollak,jspollak@icloud.com,91214,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jessica, Barker, isoxys@pm.me, 94607, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jeremy, Mayfield, maynardboer@gmail.com, 95422, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ann,Willard,anncw65@gmail.com,94028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sondra,Sharee,01-saw.yelp@icloud.com,96161,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debbie, Yousef, dy.mkalthwy@yahoo.com, 92548, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elisabeth, Bathgate, elisabethbathgate@icloud.com, 94546, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Larry, Jean, nickels-bows-0a@icloud.com, 95547, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kevin,Arst,armfuls.escapee-0h@icloud.com,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Josephine,Riordan,quasars_focused_0k@icloud.com,95135,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Renee,Cossutta,reneecossutta@earthlink.net,91024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Axelrod,mark.axelrod@gmail.com,90403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tom, Agosto, midwife.snitch-0h@icloud.com, 92052, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sue, Roberts, ether-docents00@icloud.com, 91306, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michelle, Montano, night_jockeys.0q@icloud.com, 95212, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Evelyn, Johnson-Todd, 08_shire_foggy@icloud.com, 93727, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jim,Hanley,jimhanley23@gmail.com,95407,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cathy,Mullin,goo.crapes0z@icloud.com,94038,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William, Gerharter, mahout_cavers.0f@icloud.com, 90631, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol, Quin, toluene_farmers0s@icloud.com, 92262, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Evelyn, Johnson -Todd, mood-regress0n@icloud.com, 93727, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Theo,Dawson,theo@dawson-west.org,91342,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Deborah,Santone,writs.colobus-0u@icloud.com,94523,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Chris,Kale,gibbon.airtime_0k@icloud.com,92630,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karhleen,Dameron,kcelebrates@gmail.com,94610,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Teresa,Yrastorza,dragnet.trilby_0c@icloud.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

C,D,banjos.posts-0b@icloud.com,94703,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laverne,Breed,geysers.scopes-0q@icloud.com,95367,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Francesca,Suzio,glue-wormier.0o@icloud.com,94803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

J,K,udelary1@gmail.com,92038,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carmen,Comstock,lupolobo48@gmail.com,92543,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julio,Aviles,superb.races_00@icloud.com,90042,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Adrienne,Jacoby,caret_cliff_0y@icloud.com,96002,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kenneth,Pennington,elbows-rayon-0s@icloud.com,93001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tim,Miller,lulunuts2u@duck.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

H,Shakur,tremaxx55@gmail.com,91001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet, Maker, fanatic_reactor.0p@icloud.com, 90024, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Katie, Thibodeau, katiethibodeau@me.com, 93101, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Harry,Blumenthal,hryblumen@gmail.com,95501,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jeanne,Mattole,menial_victor.04@icloud.com,95521,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Victor ,Paglia ,tones_09_nebular@icloud.com,92663,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kate,Considine,dragonstorm7998@duck.com,93030,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

ah,ho,newt.agog.03@icloud.com,94401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marisa,Williams,deonwill35@outlook.com,90291,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julie,Emard,emardjulie@yahoo.com,95560,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Aracely,Alvarado,aracelyalvarado98@yahoo.com,90023,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Frabel,nancykayfrabel@yahoo.com,96001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia,Fox,cfoxrn@hotmail.com,92109,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ann,Wettrich,awettrich@gmail.com,94601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ruth, Montes de Oca, montesdeocaruth@gmail.com, 90028, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roya, Savoji, royasavoji@yahoo.com, 92064, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marco,Mora,drarosariogutierrez59@gmail.com,92243,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kelly,Whalen,kellylwhalen09@yahoo.com,95380,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas,Ervin,thomas.ervin@comcast.net,94903,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margaret,Bruce,celtsandlats@comcast.net,94401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amy,Caboara,amyalisa@gmail.com,92069,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

nelson,pineda,chinameca@sbcglobal.net,90018,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kevin,Pendlebury,krpendlebury@gmail.com,90809,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Franceia,McCord,famccord@yahoo.com,92563,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marie,Gaillac,mgailac@gmail.com,92868,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gloria,Rivera,gloria.rivera@sbcglobal.net,95386,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Traceh,Thornton,traceht@socal.rr.com,90274,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

MARIA,GONZALEZ,mg01241982@gmail.com,93033,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robin,Fletcher,robinfletcher24@hotmail.com,92025,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Zarcone,surbly@yahoo.com,93920,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Heather,Regino,heather10968@yahoo.com,94401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nicole,Wallick,mrs.nicolefranklinealy@gmail.com,94803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

LOUISA,jacobs,weejim25@roadrunner.com,91361,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jeanne,Holbrook,housebrook@aol.com,92596,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheryl,Kaplan,sherylk18@gmail.com,92020,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Hensley,susanhensley8@gmail.com,95014,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia,Keirstead,c.keirstead@icloud.com,90723,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Josie,Porras,jahsolstar@yahoo.com,95817,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

KJ,Douet,kijana.douet@gmail.com,93004,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pearly,Masters,pearly.masters@gmail.com,94402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Arthur,Garcia,arthurcg715@berkeley.edu,91730,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diana,Keffalos,dianetomas@gmail.com,90403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nicole,Rosselle,nickelpickle7@hotmail.com,94559,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Yesenia,Contreras,yc31304@gmail.com,92509,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George,Berticevich,gberticevich@yahoo.com,94920,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jessica,Creasy,jess_blue21@hotmail.com,95926,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George,Grace,gmrnet1@gmrnet.com,90027,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Heidi,Matz,hmatz888@gmail.com,91106,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julia,Wallace,juliawallac@gmail.com,91914,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas,Manley,thomas_manley@yahoo.com,94606,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ed,Camacho,econcretel1@gmail.com,92673,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christopher,Coco,finally4@gmail.com,92040,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Chris,Cannon,chris.geebear@gmail.com,90731,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Portillo,1094jfp@gmail.com,93727,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Angela,Batakovic,angibata@yahoo.com,92109,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christina,Duclos,ducloschristina9@gmail.com,93313,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debbie,Belmessieri,debbie.belm@gmail.com,95123,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carlos,Saco-Vertiz Deza,carlossacovortiz@yahoo.com,92867,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alyssa,Montes,alysmontes@gmail.com,92677,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sarah,Thomas,sarahdt04@gmail.com,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Casey,Piotrowski,charliewindow@hotmail.com,90650,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Corinne, Van Houten, corinnevh6@gmail.com, 95835, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vonnie, Alamon, valamon@att.net, 90746, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Victor, Ramirez, vicramirez11@hotmail.com, 90650, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rick,Gordillo,rrickgo@comcast.net,94015,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Gibson,tricia.gibson.tg@gmail.com,93063,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

marion, Garver, contraflutist@gmail.com, 92122, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Allia, Arite, alliaarite@gmail.com, 91604, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roberto,Alexander,robertoealexander@gmail.com,92503,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joseph,Cardenas,josephc.1992@gmail.com,90013,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marie,Mann,marie_mann4@yahoo.com,94606,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Matthew,O,mph_64@hotmail.com,90210,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dennis,Neeley,denusarmy40@gmail.com,95687,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Curtis,Morton,curtman76@hotmail.com,92881,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dr Jeannine,McAdams,jeanninemcadams@gmail.com,96161,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet,Bell,jbell@gardensenseinc.com,94025,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

mary,whalem,mwhalem@hotmail.com,90002,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Josephine,Hyde,daliodada@yahoo.com,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eileen,Espinosa,eegatter@gmail.com,91763,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda,Lane-Pentolino,drlindalane2@yahoo.com,92821,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Inetta,Horton,inettahorton@att.net,92656,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christine,Pattison,beachhoppers4@gmail.com,93402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Blanca,Garza,blancanader@yahoo.com,93618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maria,Ahverdyan,mahverdyan@gmail.com,90012,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dina,Earl,dinaearl@icloud.com,90278,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ian, Lawrence, ianalawrence.il@gmail.com, 91411, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

G, Cook, gc2872@yahoo.com, 91607, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carrie,Collins,cmcollins64@yahoo.com,94109,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Corwyn,Kalenda,ckalenda@gmail.com,95112,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donald & Mary,Bridges,kdbridges2@gmail.com,95838,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ryan,Olson,dr.ryanolson.nd@gmail.com,95472,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Gomes,mary.gomes@sonoma.edu,94706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kermit,Mallette,oralimpldr@hotmail.com,90016,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tanya,Bell,msbell09@gmail.com,91786,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Khodr, Khodr, kmkhodr@gmail.com, 91801, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia, Knight, pjtkr.knight@gmail.com, 92119, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cheryl,Czekala,dimplewalrus@gmail.com,94102,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kessel,Davis,kesseldavis@earthlink.net,91786,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Breen,michaelfrancisbreen@gmail.com,95608,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

C,Fo,fcstuff129@gmail.com,90603,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Fiedler,barbara@fiedlers.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

pamela,Drechsel,pkdrechsel@gmail.com,92037,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carla,Ternieden,tercarla@hotmail.com,93612,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Copeland,carolcopeland920@gmail.com,90230,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Bjerke,marybjerke7@gmail.com,95066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dan, Brown, dabrown@mlode.com, 95370, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dudley and Candace, Campbell, cdcampbl@roadrunner.com, 91401, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Cordes,korthelakis@gmail.com,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tina,Schumikowski,lovetheland67@gmail.com,94952,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Staci,Boden,staci@dancing-tree.com,94044,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Betty,West,bettyswest@aol.com,91356,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Beth,Phelps,bphelps98@aol.com,94109,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sylvia,Marie,wearth@sonic.net,95473,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jill,Remez,jillremez@me.com,90004,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janice,Hynek,mutable_mercies0p@icloud.com,90027,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julie,Knutson,rocknqueenie@gmail.com,92345,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

N,Loy,nkahakauwila@gmail.com,90803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kiki,Powers,kiki@kikipowers.com,93955,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joyce,McIntire,jimjoycemc@gmail.com,91320,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Francis,Rompon,frankjr.rompon@gmail.com,95134,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nishanga,Bliss,nishangabliss@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maggie,Lord,lord.maggie@gmail.com,95959,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nathaniel,Shrage,nbs248@knights.ucf.edu,90039,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brice,Mace,brimac05@btinternet.com,94104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

K. Yvonne, Thompson, yvonneee.k@gmail.com, 92211, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tina, Harbour, crocksnssocks@gmail.com, 93314, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tracy,Lettner,tracy.lettner@yahoo.com,90210,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Arianna,Walsh,ariannawalsh39@gmail.com,92564,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Green,mkatgreen@icloud.com,95205,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terri,Weiss,terriweiss@mac.com,91405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ron,Grummer,rongrummer@gmail.com,95841,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Scott,Herman,scott.herman@unconxio.us,95818,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Max,Bollock,julykids722@gmail.com,95468,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Naomi,Lattanzi,nmlattanzi@gmail.com,94024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Howe-Grant,mhowegrant@gmail.com,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Johnson Bichsel,carol.johnson.bichsel@gmail.com,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Erickson,erickson.wade@gmail.com,94706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Remoosh,Minassian,bellami@charter.net,91206,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas,Tews,print_70130@yahoo.com,91335,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kit,Dennis,kontaktkit@gmail.com,90024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne,Landsburg,spot_smells.06@icloud.com,91604,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cassandra,Kapsalis,cassandramb6@yahoo.com,94610,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judith,Sartor,jsartor@roadrunner.com,90277,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steve,Isenman,sisenman@aol.com,93314,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lance,Sousa,lsousa67@aol.com,93225,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Frank,Pinto,2frapinto@gmail.com,95618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

LEONARD,DAVIDS,angelsgatela@gmail.com,90731,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

M,Mullertz,michaeldane0022@gmail.com,94110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

edwin,lawson,edwin.lawson53@gmail.com,95519,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

JACOB,HUSKEY,jdmh99@gmail.com,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne,McMullen,annemcm2150@gmail.com,90005,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Larry,Struck,lstrucknsonoma@gmail.com,95446,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joan,Schrier,joan.schrier@icloud.com,98104,WA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joe,Madrigal,banner.grackle0e@icloud.com,91702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Urania,Hunter,ourania@zoho.com,95540,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Hitoko,Ohnsman,tashiohnsman@gmail.com,91202,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Weeks,nweeks1357@gmail.com,91040,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dan, Lieberman, dan.pianoman50@gmail.com, 92595, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kari, Stalbaum-Grilley, hugey@earthlink.net, 94590, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynne, Scalapino, lynnescalapino@gmail.com, 94708, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas, Deetz, trdeetz7@gmail.com, 95076, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael, Poprawa, cozpop@juno.com, 95503, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen, Hansen, karenhansen1938@gmail.com, 93105, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jacqueline,Gershen,jackiegershen1@gmail.com,92009,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Sundell,k.sundell@icloud.com,90265,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sabine,Freudiger,manlier_martyrs_0w@icloud.com,94062,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Virginia,Arnold,illuminate.art@icloud.com,93960,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Iris,Lapidus,budiris31@gmail.com,92122,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gaye,Taubensee,gayebec@me.com,92122,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Phyllis,Vincent,philly54@hotmail.co.uk,92111,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Luise,Coxon,roncoxon@aol.com,94043,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Belcher,carolbelcher@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Agostina,Lombardo,agostinal@yahoo.com,90016,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tanya,Roberts,tcdmitchell@gmail.com,91601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daniel,Williams,yosepoet@msn.com,95389,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jon,Moens,jon_moens@sbcglobal.net,95492,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Toni,Newman,toninewman27@icloud.com,95833,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Andrea,Hackin,andyhackin@gmail.com,90404,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynnette,Barrera,gramalynnette@gmail.com,95311,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Powell,wp29291@gmail.com,92311,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brooke,Cook,brookecook@att.net,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bernhard,Krevet,bernhard.krevet@gmail.com,94559,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Randall,Goetzl,gogoetzl@sbcglobal.net,94110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James, Blume, jblume3184@gmail.com, 94705, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Fay, Rudio, fayrudio@comcast.net, 94965, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mike.,Skeen,joeblow3021@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peter,Jacques,peterjacques67@gmail.com,92508,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susie, Gutowitz, susie.gutowitz@gmail.com, 93021, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michelle, Martinez, shellmtz.81@gmail.com, 93640, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ellie,Hessl,percent07_talk@icloud.com,95746,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Brandi,barbb858@hotmail.com,92126,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

YVONNE,CHAVEZ,yvonnechavez@mac.com,92008,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Azita,Ghafourpour,aghafourpour@hotmail.com,94110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Melissa,Costa,gosling19@aol.com,95525,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sayeh,Ghazi,sayeh.ghazi@gmail.com,92618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,White,kawhite5284@yahoo.com,94538,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kim, Pedersen, kdplaw99@gmail.com, 94966, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth, Brittonsmith, bbrittonsmith@gmail.com, 94590, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Jansen,r_c_jansen@yahoo.com,92804,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roxanne,Alden,jacksonlove@mac.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maria,Faur,noprod@gmail.com,92637,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

G P,Suddeth,jeepsuds@gmail.com,90278,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Arthur, Gregory, arthra999@yahoo.com, 92373, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

BRENDA, STOKES, stokes2965brenda4869@outlook.com, 95688, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Martinez,aytutata@gmail.com,95127,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

jo,disney,joannedisney29@gmail.com,91780,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jill,Hyman,jbhyman@earthlink.net,95033,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gretchen,Harper,grettieduck@gmail.com,95688,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Frank,Alexander,frank597@comcast.net,95966,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Jorgensen,mary.j.jorgensen@gmail.com,95377,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jane,Brenner,handart@sonic.net,94618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marian,Hardin,marianjhardin@gmail.com,94015,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda,David,retake.flavors-0z@icloud.com,91942,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Celeste, Meneses, celeste_meneses@yahoo.com, 95148, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lariza, Gunther, lariza7@yahoo.com, 92024, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pierre,Vuilleumier,pierrevuilleumier@gmail.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Fusco,earthdiamond4@gmail.com,94708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joy,Hurst,joyhurst625@gmail.com,94560,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michele,Massey,michelemassey73@gmail.com,92582,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jeanne,Mattole,jeannemattole@icloud.com,95521,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peggy,Cooley,peggy.cooley@yahoo.com,96150,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pam,Schmitt,schmittpam7@gmail.com,92505,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Follingstad,kfolling@mail.sdsu.edu,91977,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Loewenstein,wellnetglobal@me.com,93422,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael, Laughlin, mic-lau@msn.com, 93455, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kap, Young, kapyoung@mac.com, 93030, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Crisman,Cooley,civicshout.qqulz@passmail.net,93003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Wesley,Hudson,weshudson@cox.net,92104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marilyn,Regan,marilynregan@icloud.com,95051,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Joy,Keeseey,joykeeseey@icloud.com,95003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Carlson,ndcsfo@gmail.com,94109,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Misha,Askren,misha.askren@gmail.com,90019,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cecilia,Culverhouse,cpculver@gmail.com,94563,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Scarlet,La Rue,scarlet10452@gmail.com,95864,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Andy, Lee, andylee2@aol.com, 90020, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,West,califitalif@gmail.com,95126,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

karl,knobler,karl.knobler@gmail.com,94707,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lenore, Roiz, lroiz@comcast.net, 94131, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edgar, Brinkley, treyb4@gmail.com, 90048, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lee,Paxton,lpaxton2001@yahoo.com,90068,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheila,Shane,sshane3377@aol.com,92649,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jesduca,Perez,jessicaperez308@gmail.com,90640,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Axelrod,android.caller0q@icloud.com,90403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dana,Monroe,danamonroe@cox.net,91950,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jan,Weits,pear_counts.0k@icloud.com,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judith,Dunn,judithdunn@gmail.com,95628,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Hofrichter,patrhofr@yahoo.com,95050,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Norris,rfn1969@yahoo.com,92262,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jesus,Hurtado,chuy1976@gmail.com,95367,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jacqueline,Moreno,morenojacqueline@yahoo.com,90640,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia,Olival,c_olival049@yahoo.com,93514,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary Jane,Guerrero,majagu@live.com,92227,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Yohany,Corona,yohany.corona@hotmail.com,91945,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George,Munoz,rockyvangogh@yahoo.com,95207,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sharon,Croskery,scroskery@mac.com,90404,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jerry,Moore,bebopp91@gmail.com,94949,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tracy,Moore,tracys68@icloud.com,90019,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Buchan,bob.buchan.co@gmail.com,91910,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debbie,Rabourn,debbie.rabourn@gmail.com,95630,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tovya,Wager,general_pencil_0m@icloud.com,94920,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Deborah,Sorrill,debsorrill@gmail.com,95695,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

A,B,ra3ajw@sbcglobal.net,94086,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Gauquier,writenrg@gmail.com,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Derek,Beauchemin,konekuffilms@gmail.com,93063,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margarita,Ventura,mimi_9999@hotmail.com,94040,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Leigh,McGuire,zigzags_damson_0u@icloud.com,95366,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Juanita carol,Hays,seymourk79@gmail.com,92071,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David, Pedersen, dspedersen51@hotmail.com, 94954, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rosina, Wilson, rosinawilson.com@gmail.com, 94903, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kay,Pearsall,kayakfc@gmail.com,93555,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Albert,Acosta,imensly@hotmail.com,91790,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bob,Lurie,blurie181@gmail.com,94027,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Ferguson,ot_muse@yahoo.com,93301,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Grant,Lupher,gmlupher@hotmail.com,90006,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Trisha,Pahmeier,beintheblk@aol.com,92084,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kim,Agur,kagur1114@aol.com,95928,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia, Fishtein, pfishstein@cox.net, 92105, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard, Bailey, richbailey_ca@yahoo.com, 93458, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephen, Snyder, snygar71689@outlook.com, 95482, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

caroljean, teuffel, prospercjt@gmail.com, 93401, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy, OLeary-Tuer, floweroleary@gmail.com, 92122, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer, Melnick, jennifermelnick@gmail.com, 94114, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nowlin,Haltom,nolhaltom805@gmail.com,93036,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jill,Ottaviano,jillottaviano@icloud.com,94502,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Yackley,jy-yack@hotmail.com,96051,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daniel,Ezso,dan.kathy@twc.com,91607,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathy,Hoffman,k_hoffman2013@yahoo.com,91321,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marta,Basile,basilemarta27@gmail.com,91910,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margaret,Rose,positivepsychology@iinet.net.au,94501,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donna,Groves,donnagroves@me.com,90292,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shannon,Richards,culp-sags-0d@icloud.com,92009,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mike, Amagrande, mikeamagrande@aol.com, 92307, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dudley, Boone, dudleyboone617@gmail.com, 92373, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

bobbi,loeb,bobbil@sonic.net,94956,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margaret,Foltz,mafoltzy@gmail.com,90604,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sandra,Macciocchi,sandmac05@hotmail.com,90241,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Adella,Logan,nozzle-03-forced@icloud.com,94561,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Claudia,Verano DaMetz,cdametz@yahoo.com,92131,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nuala,Ryan,ca1.nualaryan@gmail.com,91324,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edith,DeLonay,edithdelonay@gmail.com,91945,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sara,Fournier,saraberry_1@msn.com,92627,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ann,Ekberg,edscookie@sbcglobal.net,94558,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Viorica,Jennings,vjennings00@gmail.com,95465,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Adrienne,Jacoby,ajac37@charter.net,96002,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dorothy, Paton, patondann@gmail.com, 92103, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Iris, Lapidus, iris.lapidus@icloud.com, 92122, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Sheehan,william_s33023@yahoo.com,91355,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Brock,marthajune2005@gmail.com,92627,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jean, Molina, jeanmolina@icloud.com, 90815, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rick and Sharon, Norlund, norlundfamilyent@hotmail.com, 95938, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Massimo, Dobrovic, mdobrovic@icloud.com, 90069, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

C,Ryan,conryan1943@gmail.com,92064,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

m,rausch,talknraven@aol.com,92021,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carlos,Rodriguez,doncrodriguez@gmail.com,90601,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mia,Clarke,clarke.mia7@gmail.com,93105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Taylor,Fritts,tfritts036@gmail.com,92345,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Grajeda,pinkorchid1031@hotmail.com,91730,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Peter,Adler,peter@drpeteradler.com,93933,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Adam,Glick,asglick@yahoo.com,90292,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Athena,Carrillo,athena0008@sbcglobal.net,91104,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sally,Mancini,nanasally2@gmail.com,94025,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne G,Politeo,tajsf885@gmail.com,94121,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alice,Amour,alice110@cox.net,91942,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Vezzali,mvezzaliarhs@gmail.com,94044,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jim,Parks,00-strings-poster@icloud.com,92081,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christine,Conigliaro,rodehaver@msn.com,94533,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

sergio,santos,ser910.san@gmail.com,92703,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bill,Schuler,slowilly4@gmail.com,93401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Malissa,Babe,mm.babe@hotmail.com,92691,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Claudia,Shaw,claudia.shaw@comcast.net,95610,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margaret,Okeefe,soundingthebell@gmail.com,92057,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Waldrenna,Hibler,drennahibler@gmail.com,90305,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

jesse,salisbury,northcalgreens@yahoo.com,95531,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynette,Broom,lrobins2@hotmail.com,90631,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Belinda, Poropudas, belinda.poropudas@gmail.com, 94901, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jonda, Burns, jondaburns@comcast.net, 93291, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gary, Monahan, yrag622@gmail.com, 91506, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Coby,Leibman,cobyleibman@yahoo.com,95404,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alexa,Fraser-Herron,darkartsdaycare@gmail.com,94102,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bryant,Wisheropp,regrets.exist0n@icloud.com,93263,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,House,leases.mutter-0j@icloud.com,94061,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Braddy,david@davidbraddy.com,94061,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Stephen,Pardys,spardysmd@gmail.com,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Veronique, Bucherre, bucherre@gmail.com, 94707, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Victoria, Aja, victoria.aja.art@gmail.com, 93023, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maricela,Cabrera,maricela0950@yahoo.com,92335,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Angela,Morgan,agustave@gmail.com,91350,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

robert,white,rwcreate@gmail.com,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ani,Kerrigan,anik_737@hotmail.com,94302,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jacob,Brisco,otto.jb27@gmail.com,94591,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diane,Racine,shrill.bond05@icloud.com,90024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pablo,Cortez,pablocortez1975@icloud.com,91606,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Smith,mudhen444@icloud.com,92128,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sandra,Sellers,therspgal@sbcglobal.net,92823,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Russell,Shubert,russellshubert5@gmail.com,92029,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Gorman,sgorman422@yahoo.com,94901,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne,Cotta,akalea48@gmail.com,94960,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Loree,Draeger,loreedraeger@gmail.com,94947,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Virginia,Love,lovee.virginia@gmail.com,90044,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Graham,jimbogw21jf@gmail.com,94613,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Meagher,robert@meaghers.net,95818,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Hollie,Borden,poppy-sensor-08@icloud.com,96003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ben,Cowitt,benlcowitt@gmail.com,91364,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Inge,Sorensen,ingesorensen@comcast.net,94568,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jacqueline,Vrooman,jacquelinevrooman@gmail.com,91792,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

KENNETH,ADLER,kiaaal@sbcglobal.net,90035,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Suzie,Holland,suzanneholland@sbcglobal.net,94565,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Aya,Yagi,ayayagi@alumni.stanford.edu,94564,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Petty,kathleenpetty@yahoo.com,93436,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Avis,Peterson,sunningdale1@att.net,90717,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Angelina,Garcia,angel.gar61@gmail.com,90045,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judith,Holten,judith.holten@gmail.com,94806,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gary,Bender,garybenderafis@gmail.com,92646,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

kim,nero,knero618@yahoo.com,92627,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

jenifer,wilson,jenifer_yaila@hotmail.com,94901,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

JESSE,GRIFFIN,tanktramp@yahoo.com,93536,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Howard,Cohen,howard@cohensw.com,94306,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Victoria,Bauer,mydogboots@mail.com,92311,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julio,Aviles,sickles.charger.03@icloud.com,90042,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Audrey,Hanson,audreyhanson21@gmail.com,94705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet,MillerDavis,jmdscraps@gmail.com,95610,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ryan,Duncanwood,rmduncanwood@gmail.com,96003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

B.,Tepp,briteppr62@gmail.com,90211,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Skip,Conley,22atsea@gmail.com,96003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Harold,Wakefield,lancesrabbits@yahoo.com,91367,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Caro,Attia,caroattia@gmail.com,94577,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Guy,Biagiotti,guyabus1@gmail.com,92705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Loi,Tran,loitran454@gmail.com,91706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christopher,Wing,chris@chwing.net,95835,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathy,Green,kempkid623@sbcglobal.net,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judy,Alberti,judyann2007@earthlink.net,94705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carolyn,Buckland,c.buckland@yahoo.com,93705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Reginald,Edwards,reginald.edwards49@yahoo.com,92324,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Samuel,Wong,samtheveggie@msn.com,91792,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Cline,mrcline@clinefoto.com,92284,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

dan,Savage,daniel.savage@ca.rr.com,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rev. Richard,Lyons,quaker1@comcast.net,94102,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debbie, Woods, dwoods1961@gmail.com, 94928, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laurie, Lerner, lserenity7@gmail.com, 90232, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Edith,Davis,edithdavis111@gmail.com,90803,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Ferguson,johnferguson507@gmail.com,93060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ari,Stocking,aristocking@gmail.com,94903,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dae,Medman,daemedman@gmail.com,91367,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Chelsea,Pritchard,roxiholic@yahoo.com,92503,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Claude,Hopkins,hurdlehopkins@gmail.com,94539,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jack,Parker,jajulpa@charter.net,93405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Gill,iroll2b1@gmail.com,94131,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth,Ramirez,cyclonliz53@gmail.com,90033,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine,Browsers,catbrows@gmail.com,92648,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Keiko,Pulin,kpulin@outlook.com,92805,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shirley,Stead,pachypall@yahoo.com,95355,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carole,Leadem,carole.leadem@gmail.com,94521,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Priscilla A,Sturm,pasturm@earthlink.net,94040,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marjorie,Crump-Shears,mcshears@comcast.net,94931,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia,Clark,grammieclark1954@gmail.com,92020,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christy,Lester,clester33@gmail.com,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,McDonald,sgmcdonald44@aol.com,92241,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Hilery,Owens,hilery@mac.com,92105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cassandra,Huston,cassandramhuston@gmail.com,95008,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

DuWayne,Nash,madisonmax1@gmail.com,93101,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Javier,Andre,javier@javierandre.com,90063,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thomas,West,tomwest3@sonic.net,95472,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Valerie,Johnson,valerieajohnson@earthlink.net,91345,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pat,Lenz,05.impulse-petal@icloud.com,92240,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terrence,Mealy,tmealy@gmail.com,92882,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alan,Hidy,u2r5150@gmail.com,93285,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Damon,Maguire,maguire@humboldt1.com,95519,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laurie,Crosbie,lcrosbie@cvip.net,93651,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

D.E.,King,dunking@aol.com,90405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Basilio Tim,Castaneda,jazzngo@gmail.com,95386,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vincent and Margo, Hoagland, vin.hoagland@sonoma.edu, 95404, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gilbert, Souza, tonysouza27@comcast.net, 95301, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

anne,behroozi,1annebehroozi@gmail.com,95125,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jan,Sherrill,pacomom@gmail.com,93465,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathy,Cencirulo,kathy_cencirulo@yahoo.com,92373,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Celeste,Pierce,celestepierce@msn.com,92201,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Haidie,Simonet,haidiesimonet@me.com,93720,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cynthia,Thurber,cynthiathurber@gmail.com,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bruce,Dincan,bkduncan@sbcglobal.net,94510,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alfonso,Carmody,alfonsocarmody555@gmail.com,91406,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Colbert,sassiesuz@gmail.com,95422,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Chris,Applegate,glare_minders@icloud.com,92203,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

vivian,blackstone,vivblack@gmail.com,92128,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rolf,Neuschaefer,rolfneu3@gmail.com,92656,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

rachelle,farber,creativthinkg@aol.com,92011,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jean,Dimler,jeanmariedimler@gmail.com,92694,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diana,Stokes,stokes_dd@att.net,95765,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gary, Ablard, garyablard@aol.com, 91730, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Betsy, Kramer, kramerbetsy@ymail.com, 95610, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sharon,Hansford,hansfor@hotmail.com,93449,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Louise,Hambrick,lhambric.62@gmail.com,95336,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Delayne,Auerbach,camps_showery_0e@icloud.com,95003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sandra,Sharf,smsharf@icloud.com,92708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Deborah,Myers,debsings7@yahoo.com,92374,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rick,Larsen,moocow@cruzio.com,95062,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Suzanne,Abrams,smarba73@gmail.com,93710,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Scott,Crawford,latool7@gmail.com,90026,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

marlene,Cohen Adair,mcrescico@gmail.com,93923,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jean,Wedekind,wedekindjean@gmail.com,95436,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Franklin,Nickell,franklinnickell@yahoo.com,93637,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jamie,Legon,jamielegon@gmail.com,95975,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marsha,Goodman,mykosh@gmail.com,94598,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Julienne,mjuliennehb@gmail.com,92646,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sheila,Majors,shemaj0220@gmail.com,94558,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Keith,vonborstel,keith@keithvb.com,95616,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tim,Higginson,lthigginson@gmail.com,96021,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ileana,Ramirez,05zone.subdued@icloud.com,92653,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charleen,Peppmuller,twoold2play@yahoo.com,96097,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mandy,Sol,udnst33@gmail.com,92037,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Luzviminda, Aguinaldo, ltodmpao6.barotacviejo@gmail.com, 90007, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Florence, Walker, florence.walker@yahoo.com, 92027, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Helen,Hansen,dofcambridge@yahoo.com,92057,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

ALANA,JACOBS,alanajacobs@gmail.com,94114,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Eleanore,Kaufmann,eleanorekaufman@gmail.com,92806,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Graham,Wilson,apusdroidicus@gmail.com,95062,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marisol,S,marisolsalasyo@yahoo.com,91706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jim,Hieronymus,jhieronymus@gmail.com,94028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debbie,Bennett,debbie.bennett@comcast.net,95624,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laurel,Gavin,laurel.h.gavin@gmail.com,95945,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Evelyn,Johnson-Todd,07-crest.pipers@icloud.com,93727,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laurie,KASPARIAN,loddyg@gmail.com,92691,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

RAYMOND,GONZALES,wonderkey6@gmail.com,93960,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patsy,BROWN,patsyfbrown1950@gmail.com,91932,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

BJ,Cignatta,coyoteman@gmail.com,93422,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terry,morawitz,heyterry777@icloud.com,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shannon,Tolson,michaletthen@gmail.com,95667,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kimberly,Loftus,kimb.loft@gmail.com,91342,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margarita,Navarro,navarro.aurora@gmail.com,95648,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Nelson Colton,lisacolton85@gmail.com,90066,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

D,S,dcstoll@sbcglobal.net,92011,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donnie,May,donnie.may@gmail.com,91761,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jon,Nelson,bifflybone@gmail.com,91311,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Micki,Anderson,mickilove@msn.com,91304,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Allan,Rohnke,alrohnke@gmail.com,91709,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Marchant,dmarchant05@gmail.com,91342,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Daley,msmarynj@gmail.com,94574,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Juliann,Berman,jeffr46858@aol.com,94061,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laurel,Harken,aldmtharken@gmail.com,94507,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martha,Collins,peyote07_barres@icloud.com,91320,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amity,Buxton,amitypb@gmail.com,94611,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Livia,Hunter,horrormoviebufy@yahoo.com,90631,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Goldman,kjzlacrosse@gmail.com,90266,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christina,Lacquement,gochister2@aol.com,90020,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Emily,Edmond,frosted.dignity.0h@icloud.com,95814,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elizabeth,Finnegan,elizabeth@margaretfinnegan.com,91030,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Denis,Schnaible,schnaibledenis@gmail.com,93291,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diane,Bridgeman,dianebridgeman@mac.com,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tracey,Canziani,traceysum11@gmail.com,92673,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Scott,Mason,masepdx@yahoo.com,94553,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Salles,bammamary63@gmail.com,96003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

M,Pelfrey,pelf2006@googlemail.com,92804,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda,Bradshaw,essassociate@yahoo.com,94577,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Richard A,Salisbury,salisbury.richard@gmail.com,95835,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rebecca,Erickson,raeonthebay@hotmail.com,94010,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anne,Walker,annefwalker@gmail.com,94805,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Allison,Tans,allisonltans@gmail.com,95519,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sara,McDowell,goarmygirl@hotmail.com,95967,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Emmanuel,Francisco,egf@thefranciscos.biz,94538,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Janet,Bunje,janetbunje@gmail.com,91711,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Earl, West, earlwestdc@gmail.com, 94014, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

K, Dixon, kdix@aol.com, 95616, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

M,Selk,merryselk@gmail.com,94706,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cecilia,Rogers,ceciliarogers@roadrunner.com,93003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

susan,tope,stoep@dellroy.com,91042,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brigitta,Cohen,bwcohen@verizon.net,92708,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marcia,Sheaves,marelish1@gmail.com,95409,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julia,Radel,sassyredhead21@gmail.com,95352,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paul,Grantham,paulvgrantham@gmail.com,92101,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Antonia,Chianis,tonyaandandreas@charter.net,92317,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynne,Schae,lynneschae@gmail.com,92627,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

MICHAEL,LEWIS,clayfish4@gmail.com,93445,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Zoe,Goorman,zoegoorman@gmail.com,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Myrna,Tuttle,revmom13@gmail.com,93110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Larry,Charbonneau,larry@charbonneau.name,93436,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

George, Engel, prceramics@aol.com, 90028, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Milvi, Vanderslice, vanderslicemilvi@gmail.com, 92660, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daniel,Better,danoakili@gmail.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robert,Drynan,bdrynan2@gmail.com,95695,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Margarita "Maggie" Sandoval, maggiesn@att.net, 95348, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charles, Kristie, cmkristie1@gmail.com, 92677, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gloria,Novak,novak.gloria@gmail.com,95959,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Derek,Ryan,ookskywalker@protonmail.com,92223,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

sarah,jaes,saj4pz@gmail.com,94901,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charles,Roth,cmrdesignca@gmail.com,91106,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ivan,Green,ivangreen47@yahoo.com,91360,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kurt, Abney, eggson6th@gmail.com, 92264, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rosalind, Urista, rozurista@live.com, 92270, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julie,Owen,julieowen8@gmail.com,94558,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Meredith,Riekse,mriekse@hotmail.com,94158,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Johnson,cjbear37@yahoo.com,95966,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Suzanne,Cook,suzanne2@fastmail.fm,95519,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Roseme,karenroseme@hotmail.com,93514,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ruth,Persky,rpersky3@icloud.com,90035,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Simone,Reis,simone_kauri@hotmail.com,92612,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Dorothy,Nelson,dnelson1957@gmail.com,93065,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mike,A,michael.jay.ahn@gmail.com,90650,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Hammond,lisa.hammond@comcast.net,94306,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Terry,Mitchell,terrog2@icloud.com,95757,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gayle,Hiler,hilerg@gmail.com,92264,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Theo,Dawson,theo@dawson-west.org,91342,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nicole,Myers,nicolepele@hotmail.com,95404,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

D,Green,debbie.greenski@gmail.com,93117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Pec,Indman,works-peri0k@icloud.com,95129,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paulina,Rodriguez,rodriguezpaulina42@yahoo.com,92324,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rita,Rothman,only1queen50@gmail.com,94591,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Severn,msevern@sbcglobal.net,95991,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Brooks,Geiken,brooks.geiken@gmail.com,94702,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

William,Darnell,voman@mycci.net,95860,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Angela,Carter,acarter851@yahoo.com,90731,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kris,Grabow,kristine.louise.g@gmail.com,95003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mimi,Abers,mimiabers2@gmail.com,94707,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Willempje,Kremer,wkremer@usa.net,94597,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Victoria,Fortin,vfortinmartin@socal.rr.com,90277,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Saxen J., Martin-Jensen, atticuskent805@gmail.com, 93422, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sara, Lovell, s.lovell144@gmail.com, 94705, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen,Winter,kjwnter50@gmail.com,92683,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Madeleine,Berke,maddy069@icloud.com,95462,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

cindy,weever,cindyweever@gmail.com,92024,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lawrence,Mallach,lmallach@roadrunner.com,91360,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,Townsend,john_town@att.net,90068,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Benjamin,Burch,benburch1950@hotmail.com,94705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diane,DuBois,diane@duboistherapy.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marcella,Anderson,firs_eve_0j@icloud.com,93271,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Wallace,Pearce,denropro@gmail.com,95694,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kenneth,Burke,kennethburke@prodigy.net,92705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Trevor,Placker,trevor.placker@gmail.com,95125,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Daria,C,daria@dariajazz.com,94945,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary Ann,Lowe,bodywisdom13@yahoo.com,93063,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Y,S,fireshake777@gmail.com,95525,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

K,G,kgiamona@gmail.com,92101,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Beverley,Odell,joyfullybev@gmail.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

jon,schafer,jonws542@peoplepc.com,90260,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

John,McCann,johncfii@gmail.com,91977,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancie,Osorio,mo_olio@sonic.net,95405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Allen, Yarowsky, ayarowsky@icloud.com, 92103, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Chip, Goldstein, chipgoldstein@gmail.com, 94019, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia, M Segrestan, patsegrestan@gmail.com, 94803, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Melissa, Marote, melissa.matthews2005@gmail.com, 91303, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paul, Crippan, jpcrippan@gmail.com, 92264, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Denbaugh,ldenbaugh@gmail.com,92692,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Ure,uredianadavid@aol.com,91001,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jane, Ellis, jellisma@msn.com, 94710, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maria, Gordanier, maria_gordanier@yahoo.com, 95608, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Scott,Scherrman,sfscherrm@gmail.com,94951,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Randa,Johnson,randa_johnson@yahoo.com,95003,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lari,Davis,lari@primalgraphics.com,92647,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sue,Martin,suemmartin125@gmail.com,92102,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jean,Lowerison,infodame@gmail.com,92103,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michael,Kaufman,michaelekaufman@gmail.com,94965,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steve,Heckman,steve@steveheckman.com,94518,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mike, Bonar, mikenb@sbcglobal.net, 94044, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gail, Mitchell, gail.mitchell@me.com, 94949, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Siggi, Irwin, siggi.irwin@gmail.com, 91301, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Louise, Priest, bazwez@aol.com, 93060, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ruth,Wheelan,rjwheelan@gmail.com,93063,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cathy,Holden,holdenresearch@sbcglobal.net,95865,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bob,Stockwell,rfstockwell@sbcglobal.net,95060,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Viruet-Krevolin,mark.krevolin@comcast.net,94564,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maxi,Kogoi,maxikogoi@yahoo.com,93023,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Judith,Soburn,judithstanton1@hotmail.com,94550,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jordan,Kamnitzer,jkamnitzer@gmail.com,90034,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ryan,Olson,ryanolson5611@sonic.net,95403,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mark,Liebenguth,mark1714@yahoo.com,94590,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Christina,Gude,chriskgude66@gmail.com,94534,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cheryl,Krug,cherylkrug@comcast.net,95405,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."
melody,freeman,melodyfree04@gmail.com,93536,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."
sabine,freudiger,getgoatgirl@gmail.com,94062,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Raffaella,Pippa,peanut_laddies.0y@icloud.com,92130,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

June,Ainsworth,jains04@yahoo.com,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cristina,Wenzl,cwenzl@me.com,90802,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara,Simons,barbara.b.simons@gmail.com,94105,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elaine,Smith,elainesmith47@yahoo.com,91723,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Catherine,Corwin,catcorwin@gmail.com,90404,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Anna,Orias,annaorias@me.com,94618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary,Doan,doan.mj@gmail.com,92115,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Philip,Snelling,philipksnelling@gmail.com,95422,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Holly,Hollander,hollyhollander@gmail.com,91502,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charlene,Zanella,cdzcat47@gmail.com,95470,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bambi,Waterman,bambiwaterman@gmail.com,94953,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Amelia,del Aguila,treebark.jackleaf123@gmail.com,94506,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Martin,Erickson,marty44344@comcast.net,94954,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nancy,Ayala,nanayala@comcast.net,93705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathleen M,Devaney,teddyfan4ever@msn.com,93463,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rosalie,Salvato,rsalvato2012@gmail.com,91506,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kent,Koontz,enigmaturtle@hotmail.com,93726,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carla,Lewallen,boggs.carla@gmail.com,92506,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marlene, Metcalf, mmetcalf@hotmail.com, 94530, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rachel, Laub, lunabyrd22@yahoo.com, 92071, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Timothy, Franklin, timothyfranklin166@gmail.com, 93908, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has

no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jenny, Irizary, jennyirizary@gmail.com, 95436, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kay, Hogan, kayhogan1@att.net, 95945, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Florenda,Upshur Goins,fgoins16@gmail.com,94561,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Debi,Hughes,hugdeb10@gmail.com,91604,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kelly,Heiser,kellyheiser@yahoo.com,94590,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sharon,Belson,sharbelson@gmail.com,91377,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carmen,Torres,duck-digoxin.0i@icloud.com,92277,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Kathy,Boettcher,kathyannboettcher@gmail.com,90254,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Roy,Sakamoto,rbs2491@gmail.com,93755,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ronald,Rushford,rbrjmr@gmail.com,95688,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Doug,Perske,3perskes@gmail.com,95973,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

David,Gill,gilldavid501@gmail.com,94546,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Cindy,Thomsen,cetathomsen@gmail.com,92103,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paulette,Schuster,dstrtfriends@roadrunner.com,93551,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Alyssa,Bryan,degrees_slice01@icloud.com,93422,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda, Parena, lparena@me.com, 94547, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Emmett, McGuire, emmett.mcguire@verizon.net, 91024, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Ronnel,Gonzalez,ronnelg71@yahoo.com,90744,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jaki,Carroll,larder01unlit@icloud.com,91361,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Helen Louise, Mitchell, sspm1939@gmail.com, 94571, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Leslie, Davies, nopuppymills59@gmail.com, 92054, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Larry,Mintz,lawrencemintz@gmail.com,90401,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jennifer,Brown(-Leon),lozensfire@gmail.com,95818,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Bonnie Rose,Fernandez,brosienow@gmail.com,93933,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Arthur,Delgadillo,gloriaferro414@gmail.com,90813,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Gordon,Steele,gosteele56@gmail.com,94402,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

lucy jo,Stone,lucyjostone@me.com,95542,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Steve,McClure,smcclure@earthlink.net,94117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Thad,DeGroot,thaddegroot1@gmail.com,92203,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Leigh,Cavalier,sherry.crimp01@icloud.com,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Karen,Holl,karen.d.holl@gmail.com,95018,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maristela,Penteriche,teka@newbossa.com,93117,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

E,T,etjet@hotmail.com,90008,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Paula,Adams,paula.lucia@sbcglobal.net,91107,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lisa,Stempka,lstempka@gmail.com,92028,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Tatom,tatom707@comcast.net,94559,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

JOYCE,TYLER,joycetyler0102@gmail.com,90505,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Donte,Chatman,chatmancharles588@gmail.com,92395,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Ghattas,lgghattas@gmail.com,92260,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Nicole,Slaton,nikidots@icloud.com,95618,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Tammy,Faite,undue-senders.0h@icloud.com,92346,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

jack,Schwartz,jschwartz22@hotmail.com,91335,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

mike,williams,mwcreate@aol.com,94941,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Shani,Searcy,tariffs.strain_0w@icloud.com,91932,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jacqueline, Texier-Calhoun, jatexier333@gmail.com, 95132, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

B,Sandow,bysandow@gmail.com,94804,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Michale,Charnes,charnesmichael@gmail.com,95482,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Harris,jsharris2@me.com,94305,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mehry,Sebanlou,mmsebanlou@yahoo.com,90210,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Charles,Sharpe,chipsharpe@icloud.com,95524,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rikki,Janal,rikkijanal@gmail.com,92630,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

deborah,rogers,munckins2000@gmail.com,96056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Diana,Sanchez,winkydi14@yahoo.com,92316,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Robyn,Lutsky,robynlutsky@gmail.com,91356,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Suzanne,Lishon,happytbap@gmail.com,91364,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Marna,Skaar,marnaskaar@sbcglobal.net,95476,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Schneider,earless-noisome0f@icloud.com,91030,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Louise,Espinoza,louiseespinoza@hotmail.com,95407,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carmen,Marin,mscarmina@gmail.com,94110,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Elisabeth,Garst,elisabethg77@gmail.com,94705,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Lynn,Cohen,lynnbc@pacbell.net,91301,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julia,Ulrich,djsulrich@sbcglobal.net,95377,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sally, Raymond, sbsal@cox.net, 93105, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Sharena, Eaves, sharenaeaves@gmail.com, 90043, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Maria, Martinez, ms.mari@earthlink.net, 94530, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place

in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Mary, "Dean, Esq.", marydean1@earthlink.net, 94595, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Patricia, Goodson, spiritlady13@gmail.com, 95423, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Barbara, Saler, bfsaler@comcast.net, 94564, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Julie, Locatelli, juldavelocatelli@gmail.com, 95076, CA, "Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Vicki,Brady,vickibrady48@yahoo.com,92056,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Yonts,clouds-gabled-0w@icloud.com,95444,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Linda,B,justtwofabulous@gmail.com,90815,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Isabel Belle,Binder,belle.binder@gmail.com,91205,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for

public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Randy,Britton,randylbritton@gmail.com,92583,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Beth,Willer,betharu123@verizon.net,91361,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon

Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Rachel,Sterns,rlsterns@gmail.com,90036,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Jaki,Carroll,jakicarroll@me.com,91361,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

S,Mofidi,smofidi77@yahoo.com,94707,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in

our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Carol,Attia,carolattia@gmail.com,94577,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Susan,Davis Mantee,suzy_davis@hotmail.com,91302,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel

Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

Laura,Elizares,laura.elizares@gmail.com,95451,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."

James,Sopher,jsopher49@gmail.com,92078,CA,"Your proposed amendments to California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard are a climate policy failure that backslides on the state's role as a climate leader. The program subsidizes combustion fuels to the tune of billions of dollars per year and has no place in our toolkit of climate policies for the 2020s. There is too much on the line for our climate to get this critical program so wrong.

Your budget proposes significant delays and cuts of hundreds of millions of dollars to vital zero-emission transportation programs, which makes it all the more urgent to use the Low Carbon Fuel Standard to more fully support zero-emissions transportation. Historically, California has thrown good money after bad, and devoted 80% of the LCFS's \$3 to 4 billion each and every year to combustion technology. It would be wild to allow these funds to continue to languish on the climate sidelines, instead of anchoring our transition to a zero-emissions future.

The world has changed a lot since the implementation of the LCFS in 2009. Unlike the 2000s, we have a north star goal for our climate and the air we breathe: zero emissions transportation. Continuing to invest the billions in revenue from the LCFS into harmful and polluting biofuels that end up combusted, instead of electric vehicles powered by clean energy, hampers our efforts to fight the climate crisis while enriching oil companies and industrial agriculture.

I urge you to correct your course and modernize the program by reflecting your consensus that the only way to meet air quality standards is through eliminating combustion altogether, not piling on billions of dollars in lavish incentives for combustion each and every year. By focusing on real air pollution solutions, you could add a clean air multiplier to the credits system, especially for public fleets that transport many people at once, would deliver major benefits for California's air quality and throw a lifeline to cash-strapped transit agencies that low-income Californians depend on for mobility.

California cannot meet our clean air and climate goals without harnessing the power of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard and overhauling this multibillion-dollar program for our zero emissions future. Please act expeditiously to reform the program to achieve our state's ambitious goals."