

From: John Dunlap <john@dunlapgrp.com>
Date: November 14, 2018 at 5:28:19 AM PST
To: John Eisenhut
Subject: Update on ARB Board Action on Price Ceiling

John, please see below and attached. It is our hope that you may be able to support this approach. Please let me know. Kind regards, John D

John,

We now have more than 50 local faith leaders, chambers of commerce, businesses and civil rights leaders coming to testify against the price ceiling proposal on Thursday. We had a discussion today with those attending on ARB hearing protocol in hopes we will maximize our time and be efficient in our messages so we are not being duplicative but are clear that the price ceiling proposal will result in costs disproportionately paid by rural, low-income and communities of color throughout the state. As part of our ongoing discussion with our diverse coalition, an idea came up we wanted to pass along. In order to keep the process moving forward, is it possible the ARB members could move forward with a majority of the regulation and hold on the specific part so many are concerned about? This would give time for the incoming administration and new class of legislators, from where the regulation originated, to weigh in on whether they believe the costs associated with the price ceiling are in line with the legislative intent and give all sides the appropriate time to evaluate the costs and work on a solution that will not be overly burdensome for those who can least afford it. I have attached some draft language for you to review and share, as you see appropriate.

Thank you,

Brooke