

CALIFORNIA CONFERENCE OF LOCAL HEALTH OFFICERS

January 11, 2010

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC

HEALTH

1615 Capitol Ave., Suite 73.754
P.O. Box 997377, MS 7003
Sacramento, CA 95899-7377

PHONE 916.440.7594

FAX 916.440.7595

Roberta.Lawson@cdph.ca.gov

RDH, MPH

Executive Administrator

Roberta Lawson

Kevin Kennedy Air Resources Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95812

RE: Public Health Input on Preliminary Draft Regulation for a California Cap and Trade Program

Dear Mr. Kennedy:

On behalf of the California Conference of Local Health Officers (CCLHO), I am writing to urge you to strengthen the preliminary draft regulation (PDR) for a California cap and trade program by including significant changes that reflect public health concerns. CCLHO appreciates the efforts of cap and trade staff of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) in providing the opportunity for the public input early in the process and we look forward to your responses to our recommendations.

CCLHO represents the 61 legally appointed physician Health Officers in California. CCLHO was established by statute in 1947 to advise the Department of Health Services (now Department of Public Health), other departments, boards, commissions, and officials of federal, state and local agencies, the Legislature and other organizations on all matters affecting health.

As a medical public health organization, we are very concerned about the potential for disproportionate health impacts and other inequities that can result from a statewide cap and trade policy. The key design features of cap and trade, specifically policies regarding the allocation and trading of allowances, investment of allowance value and the availability and use of offsets will have a great impact on the decisions made by regulated sources on whether to upgrade and clean up their operations. These decisions in turn will affect local communities and determine whether they experience improvements in air quality or continue status quo exposures to unhealthy levels of industrial emissions.

AB 32 specifically requires that, prior to the inclusion of market-based mechanisms in regulation, CARB must "consider the potential for direct, indirect, and cumulative emission impacts from these mechanisms, including localized impacts in communities that are already adversely impacted by air pollution" and design the system to "prevent"

any increase in the emissions of toxic air contaminants or criteria air pollutants." We are concerned that these assessments of emission impacts and impacts to vulnerable communities have not yet been completed although CARB has already circulated draft regulatory language.

In order for the cap and trade program to better reflect public health priorities, we are making the following recommendations about the process of developing the cap and trade regulation and the key design features that the regulation should include:

1. Health impact assessment of cap and trade program must be integral to the rulemaking process and highlighted in future drafts of the regulation. The AB32 Public Health Workgroup (headed by CARB and the California Department of Public Health) has begun a very important process to evaluate potential cap and trade program designs from a public health perspective and this process should be carefully integrated into the process of developing the regulations.

This Health Impact Assessment (HIA) should evaluate the range of health benefits and impacts that will result from a cap and trade program using key design elements such as: auctioning of allowances, use of offsets, placing quantitative limits on offsets and limiting the ability of facilities in highly polluted areas to purchase offsets or trade allowances. The health impact assessment should also evaluate the health benefits from investing auction revenue in a range of public health programs and air quality and greenhouse gas reduction measures.

As referenced in the PDR, CARB is also preparing a methodology to identify disadvantaged communities based on existing levels of pollution and socio-economic demographics. This is a critical tool to better understand vulnerable communities that may be disproportionately impacted by cap and trade and we look forward to reviewing this draft identification method as soon as possible.

The results of these efforts will have clear implications on the final structure of the cap and trade program. Therefore, it is critical that all stakeholders are made aware of these processes and their potential impacts, by providing information on the HIA process and the key policy issues that are being evaluated within the regulatory documents and rulemaking timeline going forward.

2. CARB should include 100% auction of allowances to maximize health benefits. Auctioning of allowances establishes a clear price for polluting our air. Continuing a system wherein covered entities are not required to pay for their emissions lessens the incentive to invest in cleaner technologies to reduce emissions and maximize air quality benefits in the near term. We support a 100% auction of allowances at the start of the program to signal the cost of pollution, spur cleaner technologies and to begin generating revenue for investment in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies.

¹ California Health and Safety Code, Section 38570 (b) 1-2.

- 3. A significant portion of allowance value must be invested in public health infrastructure adaptation and protecting vulnerable communities from climate change. We urge you to direct allowance value investments to mitigation and adaptation strategies, and particularly to improving public health readiness and benefitting historically disadvantaged communities. In order to avoid the most severe consequences of climate change, allowance value must be directed in the following ways:
 - Allowance value should be invested in building and preparing California's public health infrastructure to deal with the overwhelming impacts of climate change. While California has established a climate adaptation plan,² the agencies tasked with carrying out the adaptation strategies lack the funds necessary to implement the recommendations. This fact is particularly true for state and local public health agencies. Therefore, we strongly urge the establishment of a public health adaptation fund.³ A fixed fraction of allowance value should be allocated to assisting health professionals in preparing for and responding to the public health impacts of climate change. Specific needs are noted within the California Climate Adaptation strategy and include:
 - Assisting with emergency preparedness
 - Communication and response plans
 - Creating tools for predicting and monitoring climate change health effects
 - Identifying and prioritizing vulnerable communities
 - · Conducting climate and health research
 - Enhancing disease surveillance systems
 - Investments in healthier, sustainable community planning as envisioned by SB375
 - Allowance value should be invested in helping disadvantaged communities. Disadvantaged communities must not be bypassed by allowance value investments. AB32 calls for CARB to "direct public and private investment toward the most disadvantaged communities in California." Therefore, we support a more directed use of allowance value into a Community Benefit Fund (CBF) to address the burdens faced by low-income communities and communities of color that experience disproportionate health impacts from current levels of air pollution and climate change. The CBF would provide competitive grants for projects within such communities for such strategies as reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and co-pollutants, minimizing health impacts caused by global warming, and improving community emergency preparedness for extreme weather events caused by global warming.
- 4. CARB Should Establish Stricter Quantitative Limits on Offsets. Studies have demonstrated that offsets lessen the opportunities for AB32 to deliver significant

² California Natural Resources Agency. 2009. <u>California Climate Change Adaptation Plan. Dec. 2</u>, 2009.

⁴ CA Health and Safety Code, Section 38565.

³ The US House of Representatives passed such a provision within the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (HR 2454). Title II, Section 212 establishes a Climate Change Health Protection and Promotion Fund to prepare and respond to the impacts of climate change on public health.

co-pollutant reductions and public heath benefits to California. For example, a March 2009 UC Berkeley study concluded that the use of offsets, particularly from outside the state, in a California cap and trade program would undermine the air quality co-benefits achieved by other AB32 measures⁵. CARB should limit the use of offsets to ten percent of the total reductions required under the cap and trade program during a compliance period. The 49% offset limit currently proposed in the draft regulation establishes a system wherein sources could purchase offsets to fulfill the entirety of their emission reduction obligations under the cap and trade program until the third compliance period starting in 2018.

Allowing offsets to make up the majority (and perhaps the entirety) of compliance obligation in the early years will subject California communities to ongoing exposure to criteria and toxic air pollutants and reduce the incentive for near-term investment and technological innovation to achieve reductions in heavily polluting and fossil fuel intensive industries. This over-reliance on offsets could significantly reduce the public health and air quality benefits of the AB 32 program to California and divert investment from critical pollution control technologies.

As stated earlier, we believe the cap and trade program can be significantly strengthened through our recommendations and additional input from public health experts and organizations. It is critical that the public health implications of market mechanisms be evaluated as quickly as possible and prior to the adoption of the rule in 2010 and that the forthcoming cap and trade regulatory documents should clearly illustrate the potential for significant design changes based on the ongoing work of the Public Health Workgroup.

We thank you again for your commitment to public health and look forward to continued opportunities to strengthen this program to the benefit of all Californians.

Sincerely,

Edward Moren, M.D., M. P. H

President -

California Conference of Local Health Officers

⁵ Roland-Holst, David. 2009. Carbon Emission Offsets and Criteria Pollutants: A California Assessment. University of California, Berkeley Center for Energy, Resources and Economic Sustainability. March 2009. http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/global_warming/Offsets-and-Criteria-Pollutants.pdf