**BILL CONNELLY, Chair** Supervisor, District #1

STEVEN JERNIGAN, Vice Chair

Vice Mayor, Oroville

JANE DOLAN Supervisor, District #2

MARY ANNE HOUX Supervisor, District #3

**CURT JOSIASSEN** Supervisor, District #4

Supervisor, District #5

November 15, 2006 KIM YAMAGUCHI

JOHN G. BUSCH

Clerk of the Board Mayor, Biggs

California Air Resource Board

SCOTT GRUENDL 1001 I Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor Mayor, Chico Sacramento, CA 95814

FRANK COOK Mayor, Gridley

Comments on Proposed Amendments to the Emission Inventory Criteria and Re:

W. James Wagoner Air Pollution Control Officer

(530) 891-2878 Fax

(530) 891-2882

ROBIN HUFFMAN Guidelines Report for the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Program Councilmember, Paradise

The Butte County Air Quality Management District (District) is contacting the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to provide comments on the proposed amendments to the Emissions Inventory Criteria and Guidelines Report (EICGR) for the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Program. In preface, the District appreciates CARB staffs effort to work with the various stakeholders to develop amendments to the EICGR that seek to streamline implementation of the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act of 1987 (Act).

The provisions of the Act are covered in California Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 44300 et. seq. California Health and Safety Code section 44324 states "... This part does not apply to any facility where economic poisons are employed in their pesticidal use, unless that facility was subject to district permit requirements on or before August 1, 1987..." Prior to 2003, HSC 42310(e) exempted "...Any equipment used in agricultural operations in the growing of crops or the raising of fowl or animals..." from district permit requirements. Our legal counsel advises that agricultural facilities that apply economic poisons are not subject to reporting under the Act because these facilities were not subject to district permit requirements on or before August 1, 1987. In order to clarify the applicability of the EICGR, the District recommends providing a reference to the statutory exemption in proposed section XI, paragraph A:

A facility is not subject to this regulation if a facility prioritization score, a screening health risk assessment, or a health risk assessment is equal to or less than one, as described in section XI.D.(1)(b). Facilities described in Health and Safety Code section 44324 are also not subject to this regulation.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed amendments to the EICGR.

Comments on the Proposed Amendments to the EICGR Page 2  $\,$ 

Please feel free to contact me at (530) 891-2882 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

W. James Wagoner

Air Pollution Control Officer