

Subject: ATCM

From: John Cianfrini <mrs3625@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2007 15:54:03 -0800 (PST)

To: rsawyer@arb.ca.gov

CC: ARBboard@arb.ca.gov

Dear Dr. Sawyer and Board Members:

My husband and I have been following all the changes that have been happening with the perc machines and perc in general. Needless to say we are dry cleaners and have been in our location for 40 years.

We feel that getting rid of perc entirely is not only going to affect us but many others in the Los Angeles area and with all the restrictions already in place, perc pollutions by dry cleaners is almost nill.

My husband and I had considered retiring a couple of years ago when all this hassle began, and fortunately we were able to purchase a new perc machine, but at a considerable price. This price now means 4 more years (we've paid 3 years so far) not retiring and then possibly have to buy another machine while this one is still NEW . We are currently in our 70's.

Why are the decisions for southern California made up in Sacramento?? Why can't our own Air District make decisions?? Someone made comment about perc and cancer in mice that were tested. As far as I know, none of us will hire any mice and if my being in the industry for over 50 years and have not had I problem I'm sure you will find others in much the same situation, having been in family industries all their lives.

I would like for you all to reconsider your thoughts on this program and consider NOT to remove perc from use. It has far better cleaning and also disinfecting assets that other solvert do not.

Thank you sincerely for taking time to read our e-mail and hopefully my computer will let me send this and not lock me out as usual.

Carol Cianfrini

ATCM

Content-Type: message/rfc822

Content-Encoding: 7bit