

## California Council for Environmental and Economic Balance

100 Spear Street, Suite 805, San Francisco, California 94105 415-512-7890 phone, 415-512-7897 fax, www.cceeb.org

September 21, 2009

Mary Nichols Chair California Air Resources Board 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95812

## **Re: Agenda Item 09-8-5 (September 25, 2009): Public Meeting to Consider Staff Recommendations to Provide Further Locomotive and Railyard Emissions Reductions**

Dear Chair Nichols:

The California Council for Environmental and Economic Balance (CCEEB) is a coalition of business, labor and public leaders, which strives to advance collaborative strategies for a strong economy and healthy environment. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the ARB staff's *Draft Recommendations to Implement Further Locomotive and Railyard Emission Reductions* that is being presented to the Air Resources Board on September 25<sup>th</sup>. We understand that the CARB staff's preferred approach is to pursue an incentive funding program that will maximize the emissions efficiency benefits of rail transportation. CCEEB supports such an approach.

The CARB has had significant success with past incentive programs and enforceable agreements with the Railroads and other international trade emissions sources. Based on measures already adopted, your staff "*estimates that diesel PM emissions from all sources at railyards will be reduced by about one-third by 2010, about half by 2015, and about two-thirds by 2020, even with a strong projected growth in rail operations*" (Page 5). These real reductions are significant. They came about through a carefully balanced combination of federal locomotive standards, state regulations of other rail yard sources (such as cargo handling equipment), as well enforceable agreements with the railroads, over a decade or more.

While the CARB may or may not be correct that it has regulatory authority over a narrow range of existing locomotives, CCEEB believes it is correct for the CARB not to exercise that authority, as doing so would only force the railroads to install the old emissions control technology (Tier 0), instead of encouraging them to install the most stringent emissions controls available (Tier 2 or better).

Transporting goods by rail yields criteria pollutant emission benefits, reduces GHGs, and improves freeway congestion. Rail is the most environmentally sound way to move goods over land and is a key component of the goods movement system in California and across the nation. International trade is a vital economic engine in California and must be allowed to thrive, especially in tough economic times where railroads and other industries have experienced a 20-30% reduction in California business activities. A well-targeted and realistic incentive program, such as the one preferred by your staff, will preserve competitiveness and efficiency of the goods

Mary Nichols September 21, 2009 Page 2

movement system, while driving down emissions to protect employees' and residents' health throughout California.

CCEEB believes the Railroad-Air Resources Board partnership is on the right track. Targeted incentive funding will help continue such a program into the future.

Sincerely,

Tend D Security

GERALD D. SECUNDY President

cc: Members of the Board Mr. James Goldstene Mr. Mike Jaczola Mr. Harold Holmes Mr. Kirk Marckwald Ms. Sarah Weldon Mr. Michael Barr Mr. William Quinn Mr. Jackson R. Gualco Mr. Allan Lind