

CalChamber





















December 10, 2008

Open letter to Ms. Mary Nichols Chair, California Air Resources Board 1001 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Nichols:

As leaders of California's major business and taxpayer organizations, we believe it is important to bring to your attention our serious concerns about the impact the AB 32 Scoping Plan will have on companies and workers in this state. We believe the Scoping Plan seriously underestimates the costs that the plan will impose on California consumers and businesses, and the negative impact this will have on jobs and the economy. We urge California Air Resources Board (CARB) to conduct a new economic analysis to make sure the plan is the right way to protect California jobs and the economy while reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The current plan will transform how we use energy in California, affecting every sector of our economy. Requiring the purchase of energy efficient technologies, imposing land use restrictions, increasing renewable electricity generation, changing the formulation of gasoline and limiting emissions through a cap-and-trade program are just part of the most ambitious regulatory program ever undertaken in California.

This economy-changing plan will not be cheap or easy to accomplish.

California companies and families will pay billions of dollars in higher electricity, natural gas, transportation fuel and consumer product costs. Policies aimed at residential and commercial buildings will increase the cost of a typical new home by \$50,000 and drive up costs for commercial and industrial buildings.

The business community has been skeptical of the CARB staff's findings that one of the most ambitious regulatory programs ever proposed would not increase costs. Others also doubt this rosy conclusion. Independent economists asked to review the plan concluded it underestimates costs and overestimates benefits. The California independent Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) says that the CARB analysis is biased, incomplete and inadequate. These experts are also concerned that CARB staff failed:

- To choose emission reduction strategies that are cost-effective.
- To conduct a cash flow analysis of how and when higher energy costs would impact particular companies, and how this would impact the competitiveness of these companies.
- To adequately compare the cost of the plan's heavy reliance on direct regulation with lower cost options such as the broader use of offsets and cap and trade systems.









Keeping costs low is vital. We need a healthy economy to purchase new green technologies, provide high wage jobs, and invest in cutting-edge research and development.

For this reason, we believe the CARB Board should hear and respond to the concerns of these independent expert economists and the LAO prior to voting on the plan. In addition, we recommend that early next year CARB engage an independent team of experts to prepare a new economic analysis that addresses the deficiencies identified by the LAO and your expert economists.

A new economic analysis and improvements you can make in the Scoping Plan will help Californians feel confident that you are developing a plan that is the best possible for the environment and the economy.

Sincerely,

AB 32 Implementation Group
Aera Energy LLC–Fee Lands Group
American GI Forum
American Chemistry Council
Associated General Contractors of California
Automotive Aftermarket Industry Association
Black Business Association
Building Owners and Managers Association of California
California Alliance for Jobs
California Apartment Association
California Automotive Wholesalers' Association
California Black Chamber of Commerce
California Building Industry Association
California Business Properties Association

California Chamber of Commerce
California Construction & Industrial Materials Association
California Forestry Association
California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
California Independent Oil Marketers Association
California Independent Petroleum Association
California League of Food Processors
California Manufacturers and Technology Association
California Metals Coalition

California Business Roundtable

California Natural Gas Association
California Nevada Cement Association
California Restaurant Association
California Retailers Association
California Small Business Alliance
California Small Business Association



































CONTRA COSTA TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION









California Taxpayers' Association California Trucking Association Carson Black Chamber of Commerce Chemical Industry Council of California Contra Costa Taxpayers Association Contra Costa Council

Independent Oil Producers' Agency Inland Empire Black Chamber of Commerce Institute of Real Estate Management International Council of Shopping Centers Johnson Controls

Kern County Taxpayers Association Kern County Black Chamber of Commerce Latin Business Association Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce Long Beach Black Chamber of Commerce Manufacturers Council of the Central Valley Metal Finishing Association of Southern California National Association of Industrial and Office Properties National Association of Women Business Owners, Sacramento Valley

National Federation of Independent Business Oakland African American Chamber of Commerce **Orange County Business Council Orange County Taxpayers Association Small Business Action Committee** Regional Black Chamber of Commerce, San Fernando Valley

> Regional Legislative Alliance of Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties Sacramento Black Chamber of Commerce San Diego Urban Economic Corporation Santa Rosa Chamber of Commerce

Solano County Black Chamber of Commerce Surface Technology Association

United Chambers of Commerce, San Fernando Valley & Region West Coast Environmental and Engineering Western Electrical Contractors Association, Inc. Western States Petroleum Association

Wine Institute

























NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WOMEN BUSINESS OWNERS Sacramento Valley











