

September 25, 2007

Tom Cackette, Acting Executive Officer California Air Resources Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95812

September 27, 2007 Agenda Item # 07-9-4 (Public Meeting to Consider Approval of the 2007 Ozone and PM2.5 Air Quality Plan for the South Coast Basin): Comments on Proposed Inclusion of Clean Air Action Plan Measures in State Implementation Plan (SIP)

Dear Mr. Cackette:

I am writing you today on behalf of the California Chamber of Commerce to encourage your Board not to adopt into the SIP several measures that are part of the South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 AQMP.

The proposed 2007 AQMP contains ill-defined, factually unsupported and legally problematic sections incorporating the San Pedro Ports' Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP) measures. The 2007 AQMP also proposes extremely ill-defined and legally inadequate place-holder Backstop Measures for these sections. CARB should not approve either the CAAP measures or the Backstop Measures as part of the current AQMP for the following reasons.

First, as Table 6-13 (formerly Table 6-12 in earlier versions of the AQMP) clearly demonstrates, the drafters of the 2007 AQMP have no sense of what reductions are possible (or necessary) to meet an unquantified shortfall in meeting the emissions targets proposed in the CAAP. While the shortfall is yet to be documented or reviewed, the remedies in the Backstop Measure are chilling and overreaching. On both counts, the 2007 AQMP measures should be set aside in the current approval proceeding.

Second, the CAAP on its face is a <u>voluntary</u> emissions reductions program adopted by the San Pedro Ports and targeted at several sources. And yet, were the 2007 AQMP measures and Backstop Measures on the CAAP to be incorporated into the SIP, these voluntary measures could then become non-voluntary and legally enforceable under federal as well as state law.

Third, some, if not most, of the sources covered by the CAAP have expressed their concerns either about the focus, the timing, the feasibility or a combination of all three issues pertaining to proposed measures in the CAAP. Conversations are ongoing between the real parties to sort these concerns out; as such, the Ports have described the CAAP as a "living document." It would be poor public policy and clearly override the ongoing local process were CARB to adopt the 2007 AQMP measures in this matter and, even if inadvertently, freeze the CAAP requirements at a point in time or content that might not reflect their ultimate form.

Fourth and finally, the Port of Long Beach itself urged the Executive Officer of the SCAQMD Board not to adopt these measures as part of the plan at this time. In their March 30th letter to the District's Governing Board, the Port raised clear and specific concerns that, among other things: (1) they urged that Chapter 6 – Port Emissions – be struck from the draft AQMP in its entirety, (2) Control Measure MOB #3 be struck

entirely, and (3) noted that no precedent exists for one local agency to set an emissions target for another agency in the South Coast.

For these policy reasons and many others, the CalChamber urges the CARB not to adopt the measures in the 2007 AQMP that pertain to the CAAP. This process is evolving locally; where it should.

Sincerely,

Jason Schmelzer Policy Advocate

Jasen Schuckyn

JS:dw