#### Comment on

"Regulation to Reduce Emissions of Diesel Particulate Matter and Other Pollutants from In-Use HHDD Fueled Vehicles"

> Dr.John McClelland American Rental Association

12/3/2003

## On and Off-Road Rule Comparison

- Off road rule is based upon 10-year turnover cycle. Good for business planning
- Off-road Rule recognized different engine sizes, and different years for Tiers
- On road rule doesn't allow a consistent turnover cycle. Bad for businesses that plan based on turnover.
- On-road rule doesn't recognize annual miles driven, deterioration.

12/3/2008

).

#### California On Road Fleets

- · ARB has two fleets
  - < 33,001 pounds Medium-heavy duty (MHD) diesel
    - Single Unit trucks, 20,000 pounds, 23,000 MPY, 208,000 Miles of use
  - ->33,000 pounds Heavy-heavy duty (HHD) diesel
    - Tractor Trailers, 65,000 pounds, 60,000 MPY, 700,000 Miles of use
- Engine standards and emission controls are independent of *fleet and engine size*
- Emissions depend on model year, vehicle weight, drive cycle, total miles of use

12/3/200

#### **Average Truck Fleet Performance Data**

Type NO<sub>X</sub>
Ton/yr/truck

HHD Tractor 1.11
In-state

MHD Single 0.23
In-State

12/3/2008

#### The Issues

#### Compared to MHD Vehicles

#### In-State HHD Diesel vehicles are

- · older,
- · driven many more miles per year,
- · emit more pollutants per mile

Average MHD Cost per ton is 1.8 times the average total HHD fleet cost per ton

12/3/2008

5

# MHD Example-Rental Fleet A low use fleet

Fleet

95% MHD

Weight

61% < 26,001 (No CDL)

95% < 33,001

Average

Limit

Age Odometer Miles/Yr

12/3/2008

6.5 Years 67,000 8,000 86% < 10 yrs 48,000 for 10 years

83% <12,500

6

# **Implications of Low Use**

#### Low use vehicles

- produce fewer emissions because of lower VMT
- Low use vehicles have fewer accumulated miles (odometer) & thus less emission deterioration

# The emission factor is strongly dependent on deterioration

- For MHD vehicles at 48,000/208,000 miles Ef ratio is approximately 0.93 for  $NO_{X}$  and 0.65 for PM.

12/3/2008

#### Rental Fleet Averaging Compliance— 10 Model years in Fleet Fails in 2014 & 2015

Year,	NO <sub>X</sub> Target	NO <sub>X</sub> Index	PM Target	PM Index
2011		6.37	0.38	0.25
2012		5.03	0.29	0.21
2013	8.5	3.69	0.17	0.17
2014	5.8	3.10	0.06	0.13
2015	5.8	2.51	0.06	0.10
2016	4.6	1.92	0.06	0.06
2017	4.0	1.60	0.06	0.06
2018	4.0	1.28	0.06	0.06
/3/2008				8

### **Rental Fleet Compliance**

- Most Rental Fleets comply always with NO<sub>x</sub> but fail PM for 2014 and 2015.
- These fleets will come into compliance by normal turnover in 2016.
- Since there is significant  $NO_X$  margin, least cost option is VDECS filters that result in less turnover and less  $NO_X$  reduction

12/3/2008

9

### The Fleets and Costs

The problem is not small delivery trucks

12/3/2008

10

## Compliance

- No account for the VMT and Deterioration
- Lower use MHD vehicles, the cost/ton is up to 7 times that of HHD vehicles
- According to it's own "cost effectiveness guidelines", much of the cost assigned to the MHD fleet is not "effective"

12/3/2008

11

# California 2008 Daily VMT and Emissions

	VMT	EF	Emissions, %	$NO_X$	
				TPD	
HH- trucks	76%	1.9	86%	683	
MH-In-State	24%	1	14%	125	
Ali				859	

2/3/2008

12

### **Total Compliance Cost**

Out-State HHD S

\$ 857 MM

Out-State HHD

\$ 499 MM \$ 1,281 MM

Subtotal-HHD

\$2,637 MM 70.8%

In-State MHD

\$ 1,086 MM

29.2%

MHD trucks produce 14% of the emissions but are being charged 30% of the cost.

12/3/2008

12

# Approximate Cost Effectiveness E-NO<sub>x</sub>= NO<sub>x</sub> + 27\*PM

HHD\$/tonHHD2,090MHD3,753MHD/HHD1.8 \$/\$

12/3/2008

1.4

# MHD Fleet Use Distribution for 2008

 Miles/year
 5,000
 7,500
 10,000
 Total

 % E-NO<sub>X</sub>
 0.43
 0.77
 1.67
 14.2

 Relative Cost (to HHD)
 6.7
 3.6
 1.8

12/3/2008

15

#### **Modifications to Rule**

- · Costs need to be more fairly distributed
- Give compliance flexibility to fleets with vehicles 10 years old and newer

12/3/2008

16

# Possible Actions-Equalizing Cost Effectiveness

1. Modify dates for BACT and averaging targets to bring "cost effectiveness" in line for MHD and HHD fleets

12/3/2008

17

## Possible Actions-Fairness for Low Use Vehicles

1. Create a "Low Use" definition for MHD Vehicles similar to that for Agricultural Vehicles

12/3/2008

18

# Possible Actions-Fairness for Lower Use Vehicles

#### And/or

- 2. Modify the averaging provisions to account for vehicle use
  - · Emission factors should depend on odometer
  - · Averaging should depend on VMT

12/3/2008

19

· ·	- ·		A company of the second second	A state of the second	num at Northead and
					\$ 1. No.
				•	Ît.
					****
•					
		•			
				•	
•					