

## Proposed Emission Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement in California



**Air Resources Board Meeting  
April 20, 2006  
Long Beach**



**Air Resources Board**  
California Environmental Protection Agency

1

## Health Impact;

4

## Need for Plan

- Administration's Goods Movement Action Plan
- Community health and environmental justice
- ARB's Diesel Risk Reduction Plan
- Air quality standards and plans (SIPs)

2

## Air Pollutants from Goods Movement

- Particulate matter (PM)
  - Diesel PM
  - Nitrates (NO<sub>x</sub>) and sulfates\* (SO<sub>x</sub>) that form particles
- Ozone
  - From NO<sub>x</sub> and reactive organics (ROG)

\*Not yet included in goods movement analyses

5

## Overview

- Health impacts
- Plan development
- Emissions and strategies
- Benefits and costs
- Major issues
- Near term actions
- Staff recommendations

3


## 2005 Health Impact; from Goods Movement

	<u>Cases/Year</u>
Premature death*	2,400
Hospital admissions (heart)	830
Hospital admissions (lung)	2,000
Acute bronchitis	5,100
Asthma/other respiratory	62,000
Absences/restricted days	4.4 million

\*Uncertainty range is 720 to 4,100 deaths/year

6

## ■ Elements of Methodology



7

## ■ Ports—Increased Cancer Risk Ports of Los Angeles & Long Beach\*



Year 2002	
<u>Lifetime Risk (chances/million)</u>	<u>People impacted</u>
>500	50,000
>200	400,000
>100	1 million
>10	>2 million

\*October 2005 Draft ARB Study

10

## ■ PM and Premature Death

- American Cancer Society study (~500,000 adults, 1982-1998)
  - For PM<sub>2.5</sub>, over 300,000 adults in 51 cities
  - Pope (2002) analysis
  - Jerrett (2005) analysis for Los Angeles
- Staff working to incorporate other data
  - New studies on PM and premature death
  - Sulfate particle impacts

8

## ■ Rail Yards—Increased Cancer Risk Roseville Rail Yard\*



Year 2000	
<u>Lifetime Risk (chances/million)</u>	<u>People impacted</u>
100-500	20,000
10-100	150,000

\*October 2004 ARB Study

11

## ■ Health Endpoints Quantified

- Plan recognizes over 20 discrete health endpoints from PM and ozone exposure
- Staff sought sufficient evidence to quantify
- 8 outcomes now quantified, including some combined endpoints (all-cause mortality, asthma and respiratory effects)
- Remaining endpoints are addressed qualitatively

9

## ■ Freeways—Increased Cancer Risk Freeways and High Traffic Roads\*



<u>Lifetime Risk (chances/million)</u>
300-1,700

\*April 2005 ARB Land Use and Air Quality Handbook

12

## Context for Health Estimates

- Impacts from PM and ozone levels above the State standards = 9,000 premature deaths\* annually
- Range of average air toxic cancer risk in California's urban areas = 500-1,000 chances/million

*\*Uncertainty range is 3,000 to 15,000 deaths/year*

13

## Conclusions on Health

- Plan uses best available information on impacts of air pollution on health
- PM is the pollutant of greatest concern
- Goods movement accounts for a substantial fraction of the total health impacts

16

## Economic Value\* of 2005 Impacts (\$ in millions)

Premature death	\$19,000
Hospital admissions (heart)	\$34
Hospital admissions (lung)	\$67
Asthma/other respiratory	\$1
Acute bronchitis	\$2
Absences/restricted days	\$395

*\*Uncertainty range total is \$6,100 to \$36,000*

14

## Next Steps on Health

- Develop best method to incorporate other PM studies into premature death analyses
- Formal peer review process
- Update Board on health impacts from goods movement in late 2006

17

## Scientific Peer Review


Prof. James Corbett (University of Delaware)  
Prof. John Froines (UC Los Angeles)  
Prof. Michael Jerrett (USC)  
Prof. Jane Hall (CSU Fullerton)  
Aaron Hallberg (Abt Associates, Inc.)  
Prof. Robert Harley (UC Berkeley)  
Prof. Michael Jerrett (USC)  
Dr. Melanie Marty (OEHHA)  
Dr. Bart Ostro (OEHHA)  
Prof. Costantinos Sioutas (USC)  
Prof. Akula Venkatram (UC Riverside)

15

## Plan Development

18

## Good; Movement Action Plan Process



19

## Emission; and Strategies

22

## Emission Reduction Plan Development

- December 2005 draft plan
  - Ports and international goods movement
- March 2006 proposed plan
  - Expanded to include all goods movement
  - Regional analyses added
  - Now meets stated goals

20

## Nature of the Problem

- Existing emissions impacts, especially near ports, rail yards, high traffic corridors
- Growth in international trade and goods movement in general
- “Legacy” fleets of diesel engines needing controls or replacement

23


## Meeting; in Highly Impacted Communities; 2005-2006



21


## Key Emission Source;

- Heavy diesel trucks
- Locomotives
- Ships
- Harbor craft
- Cargo handling equipment




24

## Good; Movement Contribution to Statewide Emissions in 2005



25

## NOx from Good; Movement



\* Includes TRUs

28

## Growth Projections 2001-2020


2001-2020

- Continued increase in international trade -- cargo through ports triples by 2020
- California population grows 25%
- Truck travel increases 50%
- Cargo carried on rail grows by 110%
- Emissions grow at a slower rate due to controls and efficiency improvements

All Plan numbers include growth

26


## SOx from Good; Movement



\* Includes TRUs

29

## Diesel PM from Good; Movement



\* Includes TRUs

27

## Goals for Good; Movement

"No net increase"

1. By 2010, reduce statewide emissions back to 2001 levels and below

Diesel PM risk

2. By 2020, reduce statewide risk 85%

30

## ■ Goal; for Good; Movement

### Attainment of federal standards

3. Reduce South Coast NO<sub>x</sub> 30% in 2015 and 50% in 2020 (preliminary targets)
4. Apply strategies statewide to aid all regions in attaining standards

31

## ■ Ship; Background

### Background

- Ship emissions will more than double by 2020
- International standards are inadequate
- US EPA standards limited to US flagged ships
- High sulfur fuels are used

34

## ■ Future Work

- Achieving attainment of California and more stringent air quality standards
- Abating remaining “hot spots”

### Clean Air Every Day



## ■ Ship; New Strategies

### New Strategies

- Cleaner new engines and fuels
- Add-on emission controls
- Operational changes
- Shore-based electrical power in port (aka, “cold ironing”)



35

## ■ Regulation; and Strategies

- Regulatory actions are, and will remain, the framework for emission reductions
- Incentive programs are essential
- Leases, agreements, or trading are potential mechanisms



33

## ■ Ship; In/Near Port

- ✓ ARB rule for cleaner auxiliary engine fuel (Adopted December 2005)
- Strategy to cut dockside emissions
  - Use of plug-in shore power
  - Alternative at-dock technologies (like channeling exhaust through barge-mounted control devices)

36

## ARB Report on Shore Power



- “Evaluation of Cold-Ironing Ocean-Going Vessels at California Ports” (March 2006)
- Most cost-effective for passenger, container, and refrigerated cargo ships
  - Prime candidate ports: LA, Long Beach, Oakland, San Diego, SF, Hueneme
  - 2/3 of capital & benefits at LA/Long Beach

37

## Targets for Cleaner Ships

- Plan seeks increasing percentage of ship visits by vessels using cleaner technology

	Ship Visits by Year		
	2010	2015	2020
30% Lower Emissions	20%	50%	40%
Best Technology	--	25%	50%

40

## Targets for At Dock Controls

- Plan seeks increasing percentage of ship visits to use shore power or alternatives

	Ship Visits by Year		
	2010	2015	2020
Shore Power	20%	60%	80%
Alternate Measures	20%	40%	20%

38

## SOx Emission Control Area (SECA) or Alternative

- Up to 45,000 ppm sulfur in ship fuel now
- A SECA caps fuel sulfur at 15,000 ppm
- ARB doing extensive SECA analyses
  - Need 5,000 ppm sulfur or less by 2015
- May not go far enough or fast enough
- Alternative is ARB rulemaking for CA only

41

## Ships at Sea



- Cleaner propulsion engine fuel
- Retrofit controls for existing engines
- Bring cleaner ships to California service
  - Step 1: 30% lower NOx and PM emissions than existing standards, beginning 2010
  - Step 2: Best technology at 90% NOx and at least 60% PM control, beginning 2015

39

## Commercial Harbor Craft Background

- Current US EPA standard achieves 30–45% control for new engines
- ARB rule requires low sulfur fuel in 2007
- Fishing boats may need incentives

42

## Harbor Craft New Strategies



- ARB fleet rule for existing engines (underway)
- Shore-based electrical power in port
- Tighter U.S. EPA emission standards for new engines (or ARB adoption)

43

## ARB Report on Port Truck Modernization

- “Evaluation of Port Trucks and Possible Mitigation Strategies” (April 2006)
- Basic elements in plan
  - Incentives to replace oldest trucks and retrofit controls on the rest
  - ARB rule to push owners to incentives
  - Ports as gatekeepers for clean fleet

46

## Heavy Diesel Trucks Background

- 2010 standards for new engines
- Downward emissions trend
- Existing fleet is the problem, especially older, dirtier trucks in port service
- Some truck fleets may need incentives

44

## Private Truck Fleets Rule

- Part of 2000 Diesel Risk Reduction Plan
- Staff just launched public process in April
- Plan assumptions draw on prior diesel fleet measures; specifics are very preliminary
- Many details to work out in public rule development process

47

## Trucks New Strategies



- Port “drayage” truck modernization program
- ARB rule for privately-owned truck fleets (underway)
- Enhanced enforcement of truck idling limits in communities
- ✓ ARB rule for international trucks (Adopted January 2006)

45

## Locomotives Background

- South Coast fleet will be 65% cleaner by 2010
- Growth overcomes the statewide benefits of current controls by 2020
- Low sulfur diesel fuel being introduced
  - Intrastate locomotives in 2007
  - 80%+ of interstate locomotives by 2008
  - Nationwide beginning in 2012

48

## ■ Reducing Risk at Rail Yard;

- 2005 MOU reduces localized risk from diesel PM at rail yards
- Idling restrictions in place, training and enforcement underway
- Community meetings and health risk assessment process have begun
- Public meeting to identify potential new control measures scheduled for April 25

49

## ■ Cargo Handling Equipment

### Background

- 2012 standards for new engines
- ARB port risk assessment indicated this equipment is a priority

52

## ■ Rail Yard Locomotives

### New Strategies



- Upgrade switcher/local yard locomotives
  - Multiple off-road engines (gen-sets)
  - Diesel-electric engines (Green Goats)
  - Alternative fuels

50

## ■ Cargo Equipment

### New Strategies



- ✓ ARB rule for new and existing equipment (Adopted December 2005)
- 85% PM control on all engines

53

## ■ Long-Haul Locomotives

### New Strategies



- National Tier 3 locomotive standards
  - 90%+ PM and NOx control on new engines, cleaner rebuilds, diagnostics, anti-idling
- Tier 3 locomotives brought to California service

51

## ■ Other Activities Underway

- Plan highlights activities of ports, railroads, local agencies, and US EPA to reduce emissions or improve efficiency
- These programs are important contributors to the benefits in the plan
- We expect these efforts to continue

54

## ■ Partnership Critical to Success

- Ports and shippers
- Railroads
- Truckers
- Air districts
- Local governments and communities
- US EPA/federal government

55

## ■ Plan Benefits Public Health

- By 2020, over 1,500 premature deaths would be avoided
- Corresponding reduction in:
  - Hospitalizations for heart & lung disease
  - Asthma
  - Acute bronchitis
  - Absences from school or work

58

## ■ Benefits and Costs

## ■ Cumulative Costs and Benefits

- Cumulative cost to implement plan strategies (2006-2020): \$6 to \$10 billion
- Goods movement contributes more than \$200 billion/year to California's economy
- Plan provides \$3-8 in benefits for each \$1 spent on controls

56

59

## ■ Plan Meets Goals

- ✓ Goes further than no net increase by 2010, bringing emissions 20-40% below 2001 levels
- ✓ Reduces diesel PM risk 85% by 2020
- ✓ Achieves preliminary South Coast SIP targets for 2015 and 2020
- ✓ Achieves substantial reductions in South Coast, SJ Valley, Bay Area, San Diego, & Sacramento

57

## ■ Major Issues

60

## ■ Accuracy of Health Assessment

- Commenters assert that the health impacts analysis underestimates premature deaths
- Jerrett study and others would change estimates of mortality from all PM
- Staff seeking expert advice on how to best incorporate new analyses
- We expect to resolve later this year

61

## ■ Funding

- All industries involved in goods movement must share investment costs
- Incentives critical for some sectors
- Bond issue pending
- Role of container fees or other potential funding sources uncertain

64

## ■ Stringency of Goals

- Commenters urge Board to apply “no net increase” goal to each sector in 2010
- Achieved for all sectors except ships
- Not feasible for ships unless number of visits to California ports are restricted

62

## ■ Enforceability

- Use regulatory power to maximum extent
- Convert strategy into SIP commitments by region, as appropriate

65

## ■ Localized Impacts

- Concern that plan reduces, but doesn't eliminate, localized health risk
- Staff is proposing process to address
- Land use decisions matter
- Staff will continue advising local land use decision makers



63

## ■ Role of MOUs and Trading

- All options on the table for discussion
- Any voluntary agreements would be subject to new Board and public process for development and approval
- Any trading program would need to consider community impacts

66

## Truck Strategies

- Concerns over inclusion of these strategies
- Many questions on specifics of each
- Port truck report evaluates multiple options
- Private fleets rule just starting development
- Public process will determine best course
- Plan does not constrain ARB rulemaking

67

## Other ARB Actions

- ✓ Roseville Rail Yard risk assessment
- ✓ 2005 agreement on diesel PM at rail yards
- ✓ Ports of LA/Long Beach risk assessment
- ✓ Shore power feasibility study
- ✓ Port truck modernization report

70

## Near Term Actions

## 2006-2007 ARB Rulemaking or Effective Alternatives

- Port trucks
- Privately-owned truck fleets
- Low sulfur marine propulsion engine fuel
- Shore power for ships and harbor craft
- Harbor craft fleets
- New harbor craft engine standards
- Upgrade switcher/yard locomotives
- Bring cleaner ships to California

68

71

## ARB Rulemakings

- ✓ Low sulfur fuel for trucks, equipment, harbor craft, in-state locomotives
- ✓ Truck idling limits
- ✓ Marine auxiliary engine fuels
- ✓ Cargo handling equipment fleets
- ✓ Ban on cruise ship incineration
- ✓ International border trucks

69

## 2006-2007 Pending US EPA Rulemaking & Actions

- Advanced technology standards for NO<sub>x</sub>/PM
  - New and rebuilt locomotives
  - Auxiliary/main engines on ships in US waters
  - New harbor craft engines
- SO<sub>x</sub> Emission Control Area for West Coast of North America

72

## ■ Link to Cal/EPA-BTH Action Plan

### *"Simultaneous and continuous improvement"*

- Relies on ARB Plan to set emission targets by corridor for 2010, 2015, 2020
- Calls on ARB to verify progress toward targets
- Proposed ARB Plan consistent with progress goals and verification, but broader due to other drivers

73

## ■ Recommendation;

And direct staff to:

- Expeditiously pursue proposed ARB rules and other actions
- Initiate public process to identify additional strategies to reduce localized health risk
- Report back in November and every 6 months thereafter

76

## ■ Staff Recommendations

74

## ■ Recommendation;

We recommend that the Board approve:

- Plan goals
- Overall strategy
- Near-term action items

75