## **APPENDIX F**

## **Economic Analysis Support**

For the cost analysis, each proposed modification was analyzed for its cost impact. The following tables show the cost assessment for some of the key proposed modifications to the regulation for illustrative purposes. The assessment was done for an "average manufacturer" and "large manufacturer" and scaled to apply to the fleet. To simplify the analysis, only costs that were easily quantifiable based on information and data available to staff and had significant cost impact were quantified. Because modifications that had potential cost savings were never accounted for (e.g., diesel feedgas generation monitoring test-out criteria exemption for NMHC catalyst and catalyzed PM filter) staff believe the simplifying assumptions made are reasonable and balanced by any cost savings. Additionally, modifications that were associated with gasolinepowered engines were not separately assessed since these engines only account for one-quarter of the heavy-duty engine fleet and the changes impacting these engines are significantly less than those for diesel engines. Since the bulk of the regulatory changes impact diesel engines, assuming the entire heavy-duty engine fleet is made up entirely of diesel engines should result in a worse case cost estimate. Regulatory changes that were considered clarifications of the existing regulation to ensure consistent implementation of OBD systems across manufacturers were also assigned zero costs because the associated costs should have previously been accounted for and/or the number of systems needing modifications to ensure consistent implementation were difficult to quantify to estimate the potential cost impact.

Table F-1. Average Engine Manufacturer Major Costs of Proposal

		% that require tech	Per Engine		Software/ Algo	% of Engines	Calibration Cost for	% of Other engines		% of Engines w/		% of Engines	# of total		
	Hardware	for OBD	Warranty	Component	Dev/Cal	needing algo	other	needing cal	Testing	testing	Other	w/ other	engines/		Total Annual
HD OBD Proposal	Cost	proposal	Costs	Costs	Cost	dev/cal work	engines	work	Cost	costs	Costs	costs	OEM mfr	Total Cost	Cost
IUMPR - Increase all monitors															
to 0.3 - 2022+MY					\$189,667	20%	\$52,975	80%					5	\$401,567	\$66,928
IUMPR - Require new diesel															
monitors to track and report															
data - 2022+MY	\$10	50%	\$0.01	\$5.01	\$1,000	100%			\$1,000	100%	\$200	100%	1	\$2,200	\$367
PHEVs - require 2 ignition cycle															
counters - 2022+MY	\$0	100%		\$0.00	\$2,000	100%			\$2,000	100%	\$200	100%	1	\$4,200	\$700
Change freeze frame															
requirements - 2022+MY	\$0	100%		\$0.00	\$2,000	100%			\$2,000	100%	\$200	100%	1	\$4,200	\$700
Monitoring Conditions -															
restrict SET cycle conditions to															
monitors that are IUMPR															
tracked and reported -															
2022+MY	\$0				\$996,470	5%	\$438,818	50%					5	\$1,346,162	\$224,360
Limit emissions-increasing															
intrusive diagnostics to run															
only after MIL already on	\$0				\$1,000	50%			\$5,000	50%			5	\$15,000	\$2,500
Add NOx emission thresholds					, , , , , , , , ,				, -,				_	, .,	. , ,
to PM filter filtering															
performance monitor and															
catalyzed PM filter conversion															
monitor - 2022+MY													5	\$0	\$0
EGR/Boost continuous														, -	,
monitors - add similar															
conditions requirements -															
2022+MY	\$0				\$200	100%							5	\$1,000	\$167
New NOx sensor monitor	70				7-30						1			<del>+=,300</del>	7-27
requirement - indicate fault															
when goes "inactive" when it															
should be "active" - 2022+MY					\$105,504	20%	\$20,178	80%					5	\$186,216	\$31,036
Add more stringent CV					ψ105/50 ·	2070	ψ20)170	0070					, and the second	<b>V100)210</b>	ψ51,050
monitoring requirements -														1	1
2025-2027 MY phase-in	\$8	10%	\$0.06	\$0.86	\$126,605	10%	\$24,214	10%					5	\$75,409	\$12,568
DDE - Change engine durability		10/0	Ş0.00	Ş0.00	7120,003	1070	<b>Ψ</b> Ε¬, ΕΙΤ	10/0						Ç, 3,403	712,300
aging requirements	\$0				\$0				\$694,756	20%			5	\$694,756	\$694,756
PVE (I)(2) - Require testing of	JU.				Şυ				7054,750	20/0				7054,730	2034,730
10 monitors already tested															
during DDE testing	\$0				\$0				\$1,000	20%			_	\$1,000	\$1,000
ממוווון טטב נפגנווון	, \$0		l	l	, ŞU	l	l	<u> </u>	\$1,000	20%	l	L	5	\$1,000	\$1,000

## Table F-1 continued.

	Per Engine	% that require tech			Software/ Algo	% of Engines	Calibration Cost for	% of Other engines		% of Engines w/		% of Engines	# of total		
	Hardware		_	Component	_	_	other	needing cal			Other	w/ other	engines/		Total Annual
HD OBD Proposal	Cost	proposal	Costs		Cost	dev/cal work	engines	_	Cost	costs	Costs	costs		Total Cost	Cost
Readiness - add separate															
diesel exhaust gas sensor															
heater readiness bit for J1939															
vehicles and add gasoline															
O2/exhaust gas sensor heater															
bit; for 2022+MY, take PM filter															
frequent regen and															
active/intrusive injection out															
of readiness	\$0				\$200	100%			\$0		\$0		5	\$1,000	\$167
New NOx performance control															
tracking parameters - 2022+MY					\$8,500	20%	\$1,600	80%	\$5,000	20%	\$0		5	\$19,900	\$1,990
New GHG-related parameters -															
2022+MY					\$2,000	20%	\$1,600	80%	\$5,000	20%	\$0		5	\$13,400	\$1,340
New over the air											Ì				
reprogramming requirements -															
2022+MY	\$0	50%			\$2,000	20%	\$1,600	30%	\$2,000	20%	\$20,000	20%	5	\$26,400.00	\$2,640
New certification doc															
requirements (e.g., torque															
PIDs correlation, Nox sensor															
status flag, 1 Hz data showing															
the instantaneous NOx mass															
emission rate)	\$0				\$0				\$0		\$400	100%	5	\$2,000	\$2,000
Manufacturer self-testing -															
basic proposal (not "good															
performance" proposal)									\$305,000	20%			5	\$305,000	\$305,000
- Additional Phase 2 and 3															
test costs														\$58,717	\$58,717
Manufacturer self-testing -															
"good performance" proposal									\$189,267	20%			5	\$189,267	\$189,267

Table F-2. Large Engine Manufacturer Major Costs of Proposal

		% that require tech	Per Engine	Per Engine Total	Software/ Algo	% of Engines	Calibration Cost for	% of Other engines		% of Engines w/		% of Engines	# of total		
	_	for OBD	_	Component	Dev/Cal	needing algo	other	needing cal	Testing	testing	Other	w/ other	engines/		Total Annual
HD OBD Proposal	Cost	proposal	Costs	Costs	Cost	dev/cal work	engines	work	Cost	costs	Costs	costs	OEM mfr	Total Cost	Cost
IUMPR - Increase all monitors															
to 0.3 - 2022+MY					\$189,667	10%	\$52,975	90%					10	\$666,442.27	\$111,074
IUMPR - Require new diesel															
monitors to track and report															
data - 2022+MY	\$10	50%	\$0.01	\$5.01	\$1,000	100%			\$1,000	100%	\$200	100%	1	\$2,200	\$367
PHEVs - require 2 ignition cycle															
counters - 2022+MY	\$0				\$2,000	100%			\$2,000	100%	\$200	100%	1	\$4,200	\$700
Change freeze frame															
requirements - 2022+MY	\$0				\$2,000	100%			\$2,000	100%	\$200	100%	1	\$4,200	\$700
Monitoring Conditions -															
restrict SET cycle conditions to															
monitors that are IUMPR															
tracked and reported -															
2022+MY	\$0				\$996,470	5%	\$438,818	50%					10	\$2,692,324.80	\$448,721
Limit emissions-increasing															
intrusive diagnostics to run															
only after MIL already on	\$0				\$1,000	50%			\$5,000	50%			10	\$30,000	\$5,000
Add NOx emission thresholds															
to PM filter filtering															
performance monitor and															
catalyzed PM filter conversion															
monitor - 2022+MY													10	\$0	\$0
EGR/Boost continuous															
monitors - add similar															
conditions requirements -															
2022+MY	\$0				\$200	100%							10	\$2,000	\$333
New NOx sensor monitor															
requirement - indicate fault															
when goes "inactive" when it															
should be "active" - 2022+MY					\$105,504	10%	\$20,178	90%					10	\$287,106	\$47,851
Add more stringent CV															
monitoring requirements -															
2025-2027 MY phase-in	\$8	10%	\$0.06	\$0.86	\$126,605	10%	\$24,214	10%					10	\$150,818	\$25,136
DDE - Change engine durability														· '	
aging requirements	\$0				\$0				\$694,756	20%			10	\$1,389,513	\$1,389,513
PVE (I)(2) - Require testing of	,				,										
10 monitors already tested															
during DDE testing	\$0				\$0				\$1,000	20%			10	\$2,000	\$2,000

## Table F-2 continued.

HD OBD Proposal	Per Engine Hardware Cost	% that require tech for OBD proposal	Per Engine	Per Engine Total Component Costs			other	% of Other engines needing cal work		% of Engines w/ testing costs	Other Costs	% of Engines w/ other costs	# of total engines/ OEM mfr		Total Annual Cost
Readiness - add separate															
diesel exhaust gas sensor															
heater readiness bit for J1939															
vehicles and add gasoline															
O2/exhaust gas sensor heater															
bit; for 2022+MY, take PM filter															
frequent regen and															
active/intrusive injection out															
of readiness	\$0				\$200	100%			\$0		\$0		10	\$2,000	\$333
New NOx performance control															
tracking parameters - 2022+MY					\$8,500	10%	\$1,600	90%	\$5,000	10%	\$0		10	\$27,900	\$2,790
New GHG-related parameters -															
2022+MY					\$2,000	10%	\$1,600	90%	\$5,000	10%	\$0		10	\$21,400	\$2,140
New over the air															
reprogramming requirements -															
2022+MY	\$0	50%			\$2,000	10%	\$1,600	40%	\$2,000	10%	\$20,000	10%	10	\$30,400	\$3,040
New certification doc															
requirements (e.g., torque															
PIDs correlation, Nox sensor															
status flag, 1 Hz data showing															
the instantaneous NOx mass															
emission rate)	\$0				\$0				\$0		\$400	100%	10	\$4,000	\$4,000
Manufacturer self-testing -															
basic proposal (not "good															
performance" proposal)									\$305,000	20%			10	\$610,000	\$610,000
- Additional Phase 2 and 3															
test costs														\$117,433	\$117,433
Manufacturer self-testing -															
"good performance" proposal		]	]	<u> </u>					\$189,267	20%			10	\$378,533	\$378,533