7. REVIEW OF SPIRAL AND BOUNDARY DATA

The work statement for this project did not include data analysis, but it did include a brief review of the data to suggest appropriate directions for future analyses. We have reviewed the ozone data collected by the Aztec during spirals to identify the locations and frequency of occurrence of elevated layers and to estimate the northern boundary concentrations on the first day of several episodes. The results of these reviews and some suggestions for future analyses are summarized in this section.

7.1 METHODOLOGY

The STI Aztec made 27 sampling flights from July through October 1997. Twenty-five of these were in the northern Los Angeles Basin and Mojave Desert. These flights were reviewed to identify ozone layers aloft. The morning Mojave Desert flights were also reviewed to determine the northern boundary conditions at the start of five episodes. Of the 25 flights, 11 flights were made in the early morning (from 0400 to 0900 PST) and 2 were made in the midmorning. The remaining 12 flights were made in the afternoon. Continuous ozone measurements were made during all flights, and the ozone data collected during the flight spirals were used to identify the presence of layers on different days and at different times of day. Ozone, NO_v , and NO_w data were reviewed to determine boundary conditions.

For each spiral, the ozone concentration at the lowest altitude of the spiral was determined. The altitude of the spiral low-point was then compared to the ground-level elevation to estimate whether the measurement was representative of conditions near the ground. Above the surface layer, additional layers were identified, and the maximum ozone concentration (averaged over about 50 m) in each layer was noted. For elevated layers, we also noted whether the layer was detached from the boundary layer, with cleaner air in between the layers. Peak ozone was recorded for layers that were characterized by reasonably constant concentrations, indicating well-mixed conditions, as well as for layers characterized by sharp ozone increases. This information was summarized in separate tables for the morning and afternoon flights. These tables are included in Appendix B.

For the morning boundary condition flights, the Desert spirals and constant-level traverses were reviewed to determine the boundary layer concentrations of ozone, NOy, and NOw, out of the influence of nearby surface emissions.

Summaries and simple analyses of the above information are included in the remainder of this section along with some suggestions for future analyses.

7.2 REVIEW OF LAYERS SEEN IN **SPIRAL** DATA

7.2.1 Early Morning Spirals

Early morning spirals at all basin sites were characterized by substantially depleted ozone at the surface, with carried-over ozone above up to the subsidence inversion. At these sites, there is often a near-surface layer where fresh emissions are trapped and ozone is essentially fully depleted, with various layering above the surface inversion up to the subsidence inversion. To estimate the importance of these carry-over layers, we examined the differences in concentration between the low-point of those spirals that went to the surface (generally within 20 m) and the peak concentration in those aloft layers below 800 m agl (roughly 2500 ft agl). We picked the 800 m agl cutoff arbitrarily as a level for which aloft species would most likely be entrained in the mixing layer by midday on most episode days. Thus, layers below 800 m agl would likely contribute to surface concentrations later in the day. The aloft-surface differences were averaged for each site. Similarly, the heights of the layer peaks in msl and agl were determined and averaged for each site. The raw data are included in Appendix B and summarized in **Table 7-1.**

An example spiral for El Monte for August 5, 1997 is shown in **Figure 7-1.** The depleted ozone layer near the surface is evident in this figure. The surface concentration was almost zero as expected from the high NO_v concentrations; and the peak below 800 m was about 67 ppb at about 525 m. In this figure, the top of the subsidence inversion was about 1000 m msl as seen from the temperature plot and the drop-off in aged NO_y concentrations. Thus, during the day, it is likely that the layers under this height will mix together as the surface heating drives mixing. This example also shows a detached layer of ozone above the subsidence inversion that represents carryover of aged pollutants from the day before. In this case the ozone peak at about 1350 m msl reached 120 ppb. These layers are discussed more in the next section. It is not clear whether the detached layer can contribute to surface concentrations through mixing to the surface.

From Table 7-1, it is clear that the peak concentrations aloft in the early morning are substantially higher than at the surface and will increase surface concentrations when mixed down. For all of the spirals in the Basin, the peak ozone concentrations in layers aloft averaged 48 ppb higher than the surface concentrations, which averaged 16 ppb over all Basin spiral sites listed in Table 7-1. The average aloft concentration (48 ppb $+$ 16 ppb = 64 ppb) is higher than the clean-air ozone value of around 40 ppb, indicating carryover of ozone formed on prior days. However, this number is lower than we expected when compared to the comparable number for the Desert boundary conditions (see below) and with prior examples of carryover in the Basin. Since the aloft number is a peak number, the average concentration in the boundary layer will be even less. On some days, however, the concentrations carried over exceeded the 1-h federal standard, for example, the single Santa Barbara spiral in Table 7-1. For modeling purposes, it will be important to use the measured aloft initial conditions for the specific days of interest rather than the averages in Table 7-1. An estimate of the effect of the ozone aloft on surface concentrations could be obtained by integrating the early-morning ozone concentration through the boundary layer to get an idea of the concentration that would occur if the ozone in layers aloft were mixed to the surface.

Table 7-1. Early-morning spiral boundary-layer ozone peaks and differences from surface concentrations.

*When bottom of spiral was near the surface over the runway.

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

Figure 7-1. Morning spiral at El Monte airport on August *5,* 1997.

 14

The average height of the peaks in the upper layers in the Basin was 489 m agl, without much variability around that number. This height is typically at the top of the layer influenced by nighttime emissions, so the ozone at this level would not be depleted overnight.

In the Desert, there was much less depletion near the surface, but only a little less ozone left over aloft, at least on the first days of episodes when these flights were made. The average surface concentration was 41 ppb, with the peaks aloft averaging only 321 m above the surface and averaging only 19 ppb greater than the surface concentrations. The aloft average is 60 ppb (41 ppb + 19 ppb), which is only 4 ppb less than the comparable average for sites in the Basin on (mostly) episode days.

We also reviewed the morning spirals to examine the occurrence of detached layers that carry over above the boundary layer. These are discussed in Section 7.2.2. In addition, we examined the spirals near the coast on days when transport to Ventura was likely (Type 3 and 4 days using the SCOS97 episode classifications from Fujita et al., 1996). In the morning spirals, we did not find evidence of high concentration transport in low layers along the coast. We did see such layers in some afternoon spirals, which are discussed in Section 7.2.4.

7.2.2 Detached Layers

We also examined the morning and afternoon spirals to determine the occurrence of detached layers. These layers typically are above the polluted layer and usually above the subsidence inversion. They are separated from the boundary layer (or marine layer) by a layer of clean air. When viewed from the air they appear as a hazy layer separated from the haze below by a ribbon or layer of clear air. Ozone concentrations in these layers are typically 20-50 ppb greater than in the cleaner air below, but often similar to the same-day or previousday mixing layer concentrations nearer to the surface.

Measuring these layers was one of the original reasons for our aircraft flights near the mountains, although this objective was eventually superseded. Detached layers can be formed by upslope flow and subsequent recirculation over the Basin or by wind shear that displaces a horizontal slice of the earlier mixing layer by a layer of cleaner air. The frequency of occurrence of these layers for morning and afternoon flights is shown in **Table 7-2.** This analysis was hampered somewhat for the afternoon flights because three of the afternoon flights during the seven "episode" days we flew were flown in the Desert, and one was flown in the Ventura County area. These days included four of the six highest concentration episodes. Since these layers are likely to be most important on or after high-concentration episodes, we may have missed some important examples. In addition, the aircraft only spiraled higher than 1500 m msl in the Basin at Rialto (afternoon only), Azusa, San Gabriel River Canyon, Van Nuys (afternoon), and Camarillo (afternoon); so we would have missed layers at other sites above that altitude.

	$#$ of morning spirals with	% of morning spirals with	# of afternoon spirals with	% of afternoon spirals with
	detached	detached	detached	detached
Location	layers	layers	layers	layers
Basin sites				
Camarillo	2/13	15%	3/12	25%
Offshore Malibu	1/7	14%	0/3	0%
Simi Valley	1/1	0/5 100%		0%
Santa Paula	1/1	100%		
Santa Barbara	0/1	0%		
Van Nuys	2/13	15%	2/12	17%
El Monte	2/7	29%	1/8	13%
Azusa	1/6	17%	1/2	50%
San Gabriel	3/6	50%		
Reservoir				
Ontario	1/7	14%	1/8	13%
Rialto	0/12	0%	2/12	17%
Riverside	1/12	8%	3/11	27%
Total	15/86	17%	13/73	18%
Desert sites				
Agua Dulce	0/5	0%		
Bohunk's			0/4	0%
Rosamond	0/5	0%		
Hesperia	0/5	0%	0/4	0%
Yucca Valley	0/5	0%	0/4	0%
Banning	1/5	20%	0/4	0%
Total	1/25	4%	0/16	0%

Table 7-2. Detached layers observed during spirals in the STI Aztec.

From Table 7-2, it is clear that these layers are an infrequent occurrence, observed in less than 20 % of both morning and afternoon spirals in the Basin and not observed in the Mojave Desert during our flights. When layers were observed in the morning, they tended to be widespread. Morning layers were seen on five days with layers seen at three to five sites on three of the days and at only one site on the other two days. The site where the most morning detached layers was seen was over the San Gabriel Reservoir. This would be expected since that site is in a mountain canyon and would be subject to upslope and downslope flow and wind shear. An example of a detached layer is seen in Figure 7-1. The dates and sites of the morning layers are:

- 8/4 Banning
8/5 El Monte
- 8/5 El Monte, San Gabriel Reservoir, Van Nuys
8/7 Camarillo (two spirals), Malibu, Santa Paula
- 8/7 Camarillo (two spirals), Malibu, Santa Paula, Simi, Van Nuys
8/23 Azusa, El Monte, Ontario, San Gabriel Reservoir, Riverside
- 8/23 Azusa, El Monte, Ontario, San Gabriel Reservoir, Riverside
9/29 San Gabriel Reservoir
- San Gabriel Reservoir

Of these days, the layers seen exceeded 80 ppb on 8/5, 8/7, and 9/29, all of which followed episode (exceedance of the federal 1-h standard) days in the Basin.

The dates and locations of the afternoon layers were:

8/4 Ontario, Rialto, Riverside 8/*5* Camarillo 8/22 Riverside, Van Nuys 8/23 Riverside 9/4 Van Nuys 9/5 Camarillo 9/29 Azusa 10/4 Camarillo, El Monte, Rialto

Of these days, layers exceeded 80 ppb on 8/4, 8/22, 9/4, and 10/4.

It is hard to draw conclusions from these data regarding the relationship between morning and afternoon layers or regarding spatial relationships because the flight plans were not repeated from morning to afternoon, and the spirals did not all go to the same height. From scanning the data, however, it appears that the classic detached layers that were above the mixed layer are unlikely to have much of an effect on surface concentrations, except possibly in the mountains where they might impinge directly. The layers were typically less than 250 m thick and were over 1000 m above ground. They were in stable air, and entrainment to the surface would be difficult. If they were somehow entrained, they would be diluted by at least a factor of four. The exceptions to this generalization were the layers seen on August 7 during the Ventura County flight. These are discussed in Section 7.2.3. Additional information on the sources and fate of these layers could be obtained from an analysis of the windfields and trajectories associated with the layers.

7.2.3 Special Morning Ventura County - **Santa Barbara Flight on August 7**

August 7, 1997 was a "Type 4" episode day, meaning a day of eddy transport to Ventura County following a South Coast Air Basin (SoCAB) episode. A midmorning flight was made on this day covering various western basin sites extending from Van Nuys to Malibu to Santa Barbara. Six of the seven spirals on this flight showed high concentration detached ozone layers peaking at 1000 to 1200 m msl. The layers were trapped below the subsidence inversion or in some cases extended across it with peaks above and below. The total depths of the multiple layers were about *500* m thick and were clearly carried over from the prior day at

locations like Van Nuys and Malibu. In addition, the flight notes indicated a contribution aloft from fires in the mountains north of Santa Paula. The seventh spiral, at Santa Barbara, had similar multiple layers, but at a lower altitude, peaking at 500 to 800 m msl. The peak concentrations at Malibu, Santa Barbara, Santa Paula, and Van Nuys exceeded 120 ppb, and at the other sites exceeded 100 ppb. Since this was a Type 4 day, the layers were probably transported in part from the SoCAB from the prior day. This hypothesis can be tested by examining the windfield data for the study. Because of the widespread nature and large vertical extent of the layers and the fact that nearby mountains extend higher than the layers, it is possible that these layers contributed to surface concentrations later in the day, especially at inland and mountain locations where mixing could have brought the layers to the surface. This hypothesis can be tested further by using the available upper-air meteorological data to assess the transport and mixing of these layers later in the day. Examples of these layers can be seen in **Figures 7-2 and 7-3** which show the spiral data at Santa Paula and Santa Barbara, respectively.

7.2.4 Afternoon Flights

Several types of layering were seen in afternoon spirals. At El Monte, Ontario, Van Nuys, and the coastal sites, we frequently saw undercutting as described by Blumenthal et al. (1978). This undercutting is shown for El Monte on October 4, 1997 in **Figure 7-4.** The undercutting is characterized by depleted ozone near the surface in the marine layer, with higher concentrations of older ozone remaining aloft under the subsidence inversion, in this case peaking at about 120 ppb at about 800 m msl. At El Monte and the coastal locations, the undercutting is usually caused by the intrusion of the sea breeze, often with higher humidities near the surface. At Van Nuys, however, the surface undercut layer sometimes had lower humidity than above, and may have been caused by some other windshear phenomena. These surface layers at all sites generally had higher concentrations of NO/NO_v than the layers above, indicating a partial contribution to ozone depletion from NO scavenging.

Figure 7-4 also shows an afternoon example of a detached layer aloft over the lower inversion, but under another inversion apparent at the top of the spiral.

Another type of layer seen along the coast at Malibu and Camarillo was characterized by high concentrations of ozone at the top of the marine layer, with a sharp drop in dew point above the layer. An example is shown in **Figure 7-5** for Malibu on September 28. These layers were typically below 500 m msl and were at 200-300 m msl on the days with the highest concentrations. Afternoon flights were made at Malibu on September 28, September 29, and October 4. The peaks in these layers were 184 ppb at 150 m msl, 128 ppb at 300 m msl, and 74 ppb at 550 m msl, respectively. At Camarillo, afternoon spirals were made on all 12 flights. Concentrations in these low layers exceeded 100 ppb only on the same days as the Malibu flights. The heights of the layer peaks were 200 m msl, 200 m msl, and *550* m msl, respectively, or similar to the heights at Malibu. It is not clear if or where these layers are mixed to the surface as they are transported inland, but it is likely that they impact the coastal mountains which are substantially higher than the layers. Again, the fate of the layers could be assessed through analysis of the extensive windfields obtained during SCOS97.

Figure 7-2. Morning spiral at Santa Paula airport on August 7, 1997.

Figure 7-3. Morning spiral at Santa Barbara airport on August 7, 1997.

 $7 - 10$

Figure 7-4. Afternoon spiral at El Monte airport on October 4, 1997.

7-11

Figure 7-5. Afternoon spiral offshore of Malibu on September 28, 1997.

We also briefly looked at the spirals to examine transport to the desert during the four desert afternoon flights. Of these four days, only two days (August 6 and August 23) were Type 3 episode days during which transport to the desert would be expected. The spiral at Hesperia was designed to examine the flow through Cajon Pass, and the spiral at Bohunk's Airport was designed to see flow from Newhall Pass. On the non-Type 3 days, concentrations in these spirals did not exceed about 80 ppb. On August 6, the peak concentration in the spiral at Hesperia was 108 ppb and at Bohunk's was 140 ppb, with concentrations almost as high extending through the mixing layer. Clearly transport was contributing to concentrations exceeding the federal 1-h standard in the western Mojave desert on this day. On August 23, the peak at Hesperia was only 72 ppb at 1900 m msl, with concentrations in the low 60s below, indicating that Cajon Pass was not a major transport route at the time of the spiral. At Bohunk's, the peak in the mixing layer was 106 ppb, with slightly lower concentrations above and below. On this day, transport to the desert was not sufficient to cause the 1-h standard to be exceeded, but it might have contributed to exceedance of the new 8-h standard at some locations.

7.3 **BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT DESERT SITES**

Five early morning flights were made in the desert on the first day of an episode to characterize the northern boundary. Spirals and traverses from desert locations during these flights were reviewed to assess the initial northern boundary conditions. The ozone, NO_v , and NOw concentrations for the portions of these passes above the nearby surface emissions are summarized in **Table 7-3.** On the days sampled, the boundary ozone concentrations were typically in the 40-70 ppb range with occasional gradients of 10-20 ppb across the Desert with higher concentrations to the west.

The NO_y concentrations usually ranged from 2-4 ppb on these days. NO_w concentrations were typically about half the NO_v concentrations. Except near the surface, we assume that little of the NO_y is $NO₂$, so the other half may be nitric acid, PAN, and other nitrates. These levels of ozone and NO_v indicate that the boundary air is not "clean air", although it has concentrations substantially lower than those seen in the Basin.

Even in the Desert, in the early morning, the NO and NO_y often spiked near the surface, indicating local emissions.

					Page 1 of 2
Location & Date	Spiral/Traverse	$Ozone* (ppb)$	$NOy* (ppb)$	NOw* (ppb)	Comments
August 4					
Agua Dulce	spiral	50	$1-3$	$0.5 - 2$	
Rosamond	spiral	70	$2 - 3$	$1-2$	
Rosamond-Hesperia	traverse	50-70	$2-4$	$1 - 2$	higher concentrations from midpoint to HES
Hesperia	spiral	60	$2 - 3$	$0 - 1$	higher concentrations near surface
Cajon-Soggy Lake	traverse	50-70	4	$\overline{2}$	
Yucca Valley	spiral	70	$2 - 3$	$0.5 - 1$	
Banning	spiral	60-70	$\overline{2}$	1	
August 22					
Agua Dulce	spiral	50	1	0.5	
Rosamond	spiral	$50 - 60$	$0 - 1$	$0 - 0.5$	
Rosamond-Hesperia	traverse	$55 - 65$	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	1	ozone dropped to about 35 ppb near HES
Hesperia	spiral	35	3	$\mathbf{2}$	
Cajon-Soggy Lake	traverse	40	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	$\mathbf{1}$	
Yucca Valley	spiral	35	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$	ozone was about 50 ppb above 1500 m msl
Banning	spiral	45	$1 - 2$	$0.5 - 1$	ozone dropped to 40 ppb above 1400 m msl
September 4					
Agua Dulce	spiral	$50 - 70$	$4 - 5$	$\mathbf{2}$	ozone jumped to 70 ppb above 1300 m msl
Rosamond	spiral	50-60	$\overline{2}$	$1-2$	ozone higher, NOw lower at top of spiral
Rosamond-Hesperia	traverse	50	$1.5 - 4$	$0.5 - 1$	NOy, NOw concentrations jump half way to HES
Hesperia	spiral	$50 - 65$	$2-4$	$0 - 5$	ozone and NOy increased with altitude
Cajon-Soggy Lake	traverse	50	$4 - 2$	$1-0$	higher NOy, NOw concentrations were near Cajon
Yucca Valley	spiral	50	$1 - 2$	$0 - 1$	higher concentrations were below 1450 m msl
Banning	spiral	50	1	$\bf{0}$	
September 5		80			
Agua Dulce	spiral		6	$\mathbf{2}$	top of mixing layer is about 1250 m msl, lower conc. above
Rosamond	spiral	70	4	$\mathbf{1}$	
Rosamond-Hesperia	traverse	70-60	$4 - 5$	$1-2$	concentrations dropped to lower numbers near HES
Hesperia	spiral	55-60	4	$\mathbf{1}$	ozone dropped to 30 ppb above 1600 m msl

Table 7-3. Boundary conditions in boundary layer above surface emissions during morning desert flights.

 $\sim 10^7$

Location & Date	Spiral/Traverse	$Ozone* (ppb)$	NOy* (ppb)	Now* (ppb)	Comments
Cajon-Soggy Lake	traverse	60-80	$4 - 5$	$1-2$	highest concentrations in middle of traverse
Yucca Valley	spiral	60	3		
Banning	spiral	40-60	2	$\mathbf{0}$	ozone dropped to 40 ppb above 1000 m msl
October 3					
Agua Dulce	spiral	$30-50$	$2 - 7$	$1-4$	ozone increased; NOy, NOw decreased with altitude with
					jump at about 1100 m msl
Rosamond	spiral	44	$\overline{2}$	$0 - 0.5$	
Rosamond-Hesperia	traverse	45	3		
Hesperia	spiral	45		Ω	
Cajon-Soggy Lake	traverse	45	2		
Yucca Valley	spiral	40-50	$2 - 1$	$1-0$	ozone increased, NOy, NOw decreased with altitude above
					surface layer
Banning	spiral	44	$1-2$	$0 - 1$	NOy to 25 ppb in sfc layer, ozone jumped to 64 ppb at
					2400 m msl

Table 7-3. Boundary conditions in boundary layer above surface emissions during morning desert flights.

*concentrations given are approximate numbers outside the influence of near surface emissions. NOw is a measurement of NOy with the nitric acid and particle nitrate filtered out.

7.4 SUGGESTIONS FOR ADDITIONAL ANALYSES

The analyses that can be performed with the aircraft data alone are limited, but many useful analyses can be envisioned by combining the full range of SCOS97 data available. The aircraft provide point measurements in time and space; but the widespread, continuous upperair meteorological measurements provide a means to assess the source and fate of pollutant concentrations seen in the aircraft data. The measurements by multiple aircraft and the lidar provide a means to extend the few STI spiral measurements at a given location and further assess the formation mechanisms for layers seen, especially at El Monte.

Some specific analyses of the source and fate of ozone layers that can be performed with SCOS97 data include:

- Combining aircraft data with wind data to analyze the formation mechanisms for the detached layers seen near the mountains. The upper-air wind data can be used to perform forward and back trajectory analyses of the layers and surrounding air.
- Using meteorological data and trajectory/dispersion analyses to determine the source and fate of the high-concentration layers at the shoreline below 500 m. Forward and back trajectory analyses can be performed. The layers can be used as a source for Monte-Carlo-type multi-particle analyses to see where the ozone ends up.
- Using meteorological data as above to analyze the fate of aloft ozone on August 7. The evolution of the mixing layer can be assessed from the radar profiler and rawinsonde data, and the contribution to surface concentrations can be estimated by examining where the layers were likely to be by midday and estimating a mixed layer integral assuming the layers under the mixed layer were mixed to the surface.
- Using continuous lidar data, radar profiler data, and aircraft spirals from multiple aircraft to analyze in detail the undercutting mechanisms and formation of elevated layers at El Monte.

Using simple analyses and more-sophisticated modeling, the aircraft data can be used to estimate the effect of the carry-over aloft ozone on surface concentrations. Such an estimate could be obtained by integrating the early-morning ozone concentration up to the midday and afternoon mixing heights to get an idea of the surface concentrations that would occur if the aloft ozone were mixed to the surface. To do this the midday and afternoon mixing need to be calculated from the various aircraft data and from the upper-air meteorological data. The transport of the layers aloft could be estimated to find the midday and afternoon locations where the layers might affect surface concentrations and to find the proper mixing height to use in the calculation. A more refined way to perform such an analysis is to run a threedimensional photochemical grid model with and without the measured initial carryover to assess the effect of carryover on surface concentrations.

8. REFERENCES

- Anderson J.A., Koos J.C., and Hammarstrand R.G.M. (1989) Summary of SCAQS upper air measurements performed by the STI aircraft. Report prepared for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Sonoma Technology, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA, STI-97010-902-FR, Contract No. A6-098-32, April.
- Anderson J.A., Hansen P.W., Prouty J.D., and Gardner J.M. (1993) Data collected by the STI aircraft during the 1992 ARB Southern California Transport Asessment Study. Data volume prepared for the California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Sonoma Technology, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA and Mercado-Gardner, Cloverdale, CA, STl-92120-1238-DV, January.
- Anderson J.A., Wright D.B., and Blumenthal D.L. (1998) The real-time measurement data collected aboard the STI aircraft during SCOS97 sampling. Data volume prepared for the California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, STI-997190-1807-DV, May.
- Blumenthal D.L. and Ogren J.A. (1976) Pollutant characterization over ocean during offshore flow regime. Preliminary report prepared for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Meteorology Research, Inc., Altadena, CA, MRI 76 R-1460, Contract No. A5-064-87, October.
- Blumenthal D.L., White **W.H.,** and Smith T.B. (1978) Anatomy of a Los Angeles smog episode: pollutant transport in the daytime sea breeze regime. *Atmos. Environ.* **12,** 893-907.
- Blumenthal D.L., Smith T.B., and White **W.H.** (1980) The Evolution of the 3-D Distribution of Air Pollutants During a Los Angeles Smog Episode: July 24-26, 1973. In The *Character and Origins of Smog Aerosols. A Digest of Results from the California Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACHEX),* Vol. 9, Hidy **G.M.,** Mueller **P.K.,** Grosjean D., Appel B.R., and Wesolowski J.J., eds., John-Wiley & Sons, New York, pp. 613-650.
- Blumenthal D.L., Smith T.B., Lehrman D.E., Alexander N.L., Lurmann F., and Godden D. (1986) Analysis of aerometric and meteorological data for the Ventura County Region. Final report prepared for the Western Oil and Gas Association (WOGA), Los Angeles, CA by Sonoma Technology, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA and Environmental Research & Technology, Inc., Newbury Park, CA, STI-90094-511-FR, Contract No. 83-8.0.05(3)- 14-01-SOT.
- Bumiller K. (1998) University of California, Riverside, College of Engineering, Center for Environmental Research & Technology (CE-CERT), Riverside, CA. Personal communication.
- Carroll J.J. and Dixon A.J. (1997) Aircraft measurements in support of the NOAA 2-D lidar demonstration. Final report prepared for the California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by the University of California, Davis, Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, Davis, CA, March.
- Fujita E.M., Green M.C., Keislar R.E., Koracin D.R., Moosmuller H., and Watson J.G. (1996) 1997 Southern California Ozone Study (SCOS97) field study plan. Draft report prepared for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Desert Research Institute, Reno, NV and The 1997 Southern California Ozone Study (SCOS97) Technical Committee and Working Groups, Contract No. 93-326, June.
- Fujita E.M., Moosmuller H., Green M., Bowen J., Rogers F., Dolislager L., Lashgari A., Motallebi N., Pasek R., and Pederson J. (1998) SCOS97-NARSTO, 1997 Southern California Ozone Study and Aerosol Study, Volume IV: Summary of Quality Assurance. Report prepared for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Desert Research Institute, Reno, NV, Research Division, California Air Resources Board, and the 1997 Southern California Ozone Study (SCOS97) Technical Committee and Working Groups, April.
- Keifer W.S., Muller S.A., Jahnsen L.J., Blumenthal D.L., and Knuth W.R. (1979) Threedimensional characterization of surface and subsidence layers in coastal and inalnd valleys - summer 1978. Data volume prepared for Southern California Edison Company by Meteorology Research, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA, MRI 79 DV-1662, Contract B212-8903.
- Main H.H., Roberts P.T., Anderson J.A., and Fung K. (1991) Pollutant concentrations along the western boundary of the South Coast Air Basin part II: analysis of June 1990 data collected offshore. Final report prepared for the South Coast Air Quality Management District, Diamond Bar, CA by Sonoma Technology, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA, STI-90080- 1149-FR, July.
- Main H.H., Kore M.E., Roberts P.T., Lester J., and Hogo H. (1993) Comparison of 3-D air quality data with UAM sensitivity runs for the South Coast Air Basin. Paper M81l.4 presented at the *Air* & *Waste Management Association Regional Photochemical Measurement and Modeling Studies Conference, San Diego, CA, November 8-12,* (STI-1383).
- McElroy J.L. and Smith T.B. (1993) Creation and fate of ozone layers aloft in Southern California. *Atmos. Environ.* **27A,** 1917-1929.
- Miguel M. (1999) Quality assurance report. Prepared by the Quality Assurance Section, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, January.
- Roberts P.T. and Main H.H. (1992) Characterization of three-dimensional air quality during the SCAQS. In *Southern California Air Quality Study Data Analysis. Proceedings from the SCAQS Data Analysis Conference, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, July 21-23,* Air & Waste Management Association, Pittsburgh, PA, (STl-1223), VIP-26.
- Roberts P.T., Main H.H., Lindsey C.G., and Kore M.E. (1993) Ozone and particulate matter case study analysis for the Southern California Air Quality Study. Final report prepared for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Sonoma Technology, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA, STI-90020-1222-FR, Contract No. A932-050, May.
- Smith T.B., Saunders W.D., and Shair F.H. (1983) Analysis of Santa Barbara Oxidant Study. Report prepared for California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Meteorology Research, Inc., Altadena, CA and California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, MRI FR - 1900, Contract No. A2-086-32, December.
- Smith T.B., Lehrman D.E., Knuth W.R., and Johnson D. (1997) Monitoring in ozone transport corridors. Final report prepared for the California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA by Technical & Business Systems, Inc., Santa Rosa, CA and Ogden Environmental and Energy Services, Boulder, CO, July.
- Watson J. and Warren M. (1997) Preliminary performance audit. Prepared by the Quality Assurance Section, Monitoring and Laboratory Division, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, June.

APPENDIX A

THE SCOS97 AIRCRAFT SAMPLING **PROGRAM** CHECKLIST USED BY THE STI FLIGHT CREW

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 \sim

SCOS97 AIRCRAFT SAMPLING PROGRAM

PREFLIGHT CHECKLIST AND FLIGHT PROCEDURES FOR AZTEC N6670Y

- I. START OF PROJECT
- II. ONE HOUR BEFORE TAKEOFF
- III. POWER TRANSFER
- IV. TAXI/ RUN-UP
- V. SAMPLING
- VI. POST FLIGHT

I. START OF PROJECT

1. CABLES, TUBES, FITTINGS, ETC.:

A. Inspect general condition OK

2. EXTERIOR SAMPLE INLET TUBES:

A. Cap or plug

3. STI POWER SWITCH

(Silver toggle switch on the pilot's instrument panel):

A. Switch "OFF" (Down)

4. INSTRUMENT RACK TOGGLE SWITCHES:

- A. Inverter #1: Switch "OFF" (Down)
- B. Inverter #2: Switch "OFF" (Down)

5. INSTRUMENT RACK BREAKER SWITCHES:

A. Pull "OUT"

6. PROJECT EQUIPMENT POWER:

A. Switches "OFF" (Down)

7. SHORE POWER CORD (115V-60Hz):

A. Connect to the "Hubbel" power connector located inside the baggage door of the aircraft (aft rack near the floor)

8. DEW POINT HYGROMETER:

A. Function Switch: "OFF"

9. AIRBORNE INSTRUMENT PACKAGE (AIP):

- A. Power: Turn "ON" (UP). No light at this time.
- B. All switches: Turn Full "CCW" (Counterclockwise)

C. AIP Breaker Switch: Push "IN"

10. NO/NO_v MONITOR (TECO Model 42S):

- A. Desiccant: Check color and replace if necessary. Mount desiccant container vertically, with screw on cap and inlet on the bottom and suction to instrument on top.
- B. Charcoal Filter: Connected to instrument exhaust
- C. Front Panel Settings:
	- I. Temperature: 350° Celsius
	- 2. Power: Tum "ON"
	- 3. Ozone Lamp: Tum "ON"
	- 4. PMT: Tum "ON"
	- 5. RUN/TEST: Tum "ON"
	- 6. Chamber Vacuum: 25 27 inches Hg.
	- 7. LED Readout: Displays greeting then indicates NO/NO_y values
	- 8. STAT Switch: Press repeatedly to display the following:
		- a. F Scale
		- b. NO Range
		- c. NO_x Range
		- d Troubleshooting Parameters
			- CL: Cooler Temperature $(\sim -10^{\circ})$
			- CT: Converter Temperature $({\sim} 350^{\circ})$
			- RC: Reaction Chamber $({\sim} 49.5^{\circ})$
			- Bl: NO Zero.
			- $B3: NO_v Zero.$
			- SF: NO Span Factor
			- BF: NO_v Span Factor
			- CE: Converter Efficiency (~99.7%)
			- NR: Number Register Ignore
			- 0: Offset Leave at 0.0
			- DIP: Dip Switch. 2, 4, 6, and 8 "ON"
			- P: Software Version Number. Record this in equipment log during calibrations.
			- PT: Pressure and Temperature. Reads "ON"
			- oc: Temperature inside unit. Should be <40°C.
			- FSCALE: End of Troubleshooting mode.
	- 9. Z/FS Button: Pressing Z/FS displays a Ofirst, then -.23 volts.

The display then scrolls up and can be stopped at any displayed voltage so that DAS readouts can be checked. To stop the displayed voltage, press the Z/FS switch a second time.

- 10. REMOTE, ENT, and CAL Buttons: Disabled.
- 11. RUN Button: Press to activate. This is the normal operating mode as well as the instrument default mode. All diagnostics are canceled and the instrument is placed in automatic sampling mode. Display shows NO, Pressure, or NO_x as chosen by the DISP button.
- 12. DISP Button: Press to change displayed sample values. NO concentration is indicated by a number "1" followed by the current concentration. Pressure is indicated by the value following number "2" and NO_x concentration follows number "3".

11. NO_w MONITOR (TECO Model 42S):

A. Repeat steps 1-12 as listed under the NO_y instrument.

12. OZONE MONITOR (ML8410):

0. Sample Tube: Confirm that the sample inlet is securely connected to the glass manifold.

P. Exhaust Tube: Confirm that the exhaust is securely connected to the exhaust manifold.

13. JUNCTION BOX:

- A. Verify that all sockets have signal connectors (or shorting connectors) attached.
- B. Connect desired signal inputs to the strip-chart recorder.

14. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM:

15. PRINTER:

16. HYDROCARBON SYSTEM:

- A. Confirm inlet line capped
- B. Confirm purge "T" capped

17. AIRCRAFT WALK-AROUND:

 \sim

II. ONE HOUR BEFORE TAXIING

1. EXTERNAL CHECKS:

- A. Remove all pitot covers.
- B. Confirm that the ROG and carbonyl inlet lines are capped.
- C. Confirm that all other inlet lines are free of obstructions.
- D. Inspect the AIP temperature sensor and its vortex housing for obstructions.
- E. Inspect the dew point sensor inlet and exhaust free of obstructions.
- F. Inspect the sampling instrumentation "EXHAUST OUTLET" free of obstructions.
- G. Inspect the Rosemont temperature probe free of obstructions
- H. Inspect the turbulence probe free of obstructions.

2. RECORD AIRCRAFT FLIGHT TIMES:

A. Record aircraft Hobbs times in flight log

3. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM

- B. ZIP drive disks: Label on extra disk as follows:
	- I. Project Name
	- 2. Date
- C. Place emergency disk in drive"A", **but do not insert all the way.**
- D. Make sure ZIP disk is in drive and drive-light is green.
- E. Emergency Disks: Confirm that at least two additional 3.5" emergency boot/data disks are aboard and readily accessible.
- F. Press **SHIFT-FS** to enter DAS Setup Menu
- G. Time and Date are displayed on the monitor
	- 1. If changes to either time or date are required, select "T" or "D" and make corrections
	- 2. Ifno changes are required, press <ENTER>, then <X> for the system to reboot and display the DAS program main screen
- H. Printer Paper: Ifless than 1/4 inch of paper remains on the roll, the roll must be replaced.
- I. Confirm that Printer is "ON" and "ON LINE"
- J. Record the following on the Systems check sheet:
	- I. DAS serial number
	- 2. DAS display time in "DAS" block
	- 3. Watch time in "Watch Time" block

4. SYSTEMS CHECK SHEET:

- A. Fill in the appropriate blocks of the *Flight Information* section of the AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS CHECK SHEET:
	- l. Date
	- 2. Time
	- 3. Location
	- 4. Flight $#$
	- 5. Operator Name
	- 6. Altimeter setting from A TIS
	- 7. Observed weather (visibility, ceiling, winds, temp, dewpoint) from ATIS

FILL IN THE *INSTRUMENT INFORMATION* **SECTION OF** *THE AIRCRAFTSYSTEMS CHECK SHEET* **WHILE CHECKING THE FOLLOWING INSTRUMENTS. INCLUDE CROSS CHECK VALUES WHEN POSSIBLE.**

5. DEW POINT HYGROMETER:

- C. Balance Control: Adjust for a centerline meter reading
- D. Function Switch: Select "OPERATE"
- E. Record Channel 3 DAS value on check sheet
- F. Record front panel meter reading (% of full scale) of dewpoint signal conditioning unit on check sheet

6. OZONE MONITOR (ML84I0):

- A. Record Ozone analyzer serial number on check sheet
- B. C₂H₄ Bottle Valve: "OPEN"
- C. Record high and low pressure gage readings on check sheet
- D. "Snoop" ethylene connections.
- E. C_2H_4 <u>Bottle Valve</u>: "CLOSED"
F. Watch high pressure side of regular
- Watch high pressure side of regulator for a pressure drop (indicating a leak)

- P. Record O_3 monitor front panel meter reading in the instrument column
- Q. Record Span Pot setting on the check sheet
- R. Range Switch: Verify or select "RANGE 2" (500 ppb)
- S. Time Constant: Verify of select "5 SEC"
- T. Record Range Switch setting on the check sheet
- U. Record Time Constant setting on the check sheet
- W. C_2H_4 Supply Valve: "CLOSED"

7. NO/NO_v MONITOR (TECO Model 42S):

- A. Record NO/NO_y Analyzer serial number on check sheet
- B. Verify Front Panel Settings:

- 2. Power: "ON"
- 3. LED Display: Displaying NO/NO_v readings
- 4. Ozonator Lamp: Tum "ON"
- 5. PMT: Tum "ON"
	-
- 6. RUN/TEST: "ON"
- 7. Chamber Vacuum: 25 27 inches Hg.
- 8. Record chamber vacuum reading in check sheet
- 9. STAT Switch: Press repeatedly to display and verify the following:
	- a. F Scale
	- b. NO Range Record on check sheet
	- c. NO_v Range Record on check sheet
	- d. Troubleshooting Parameters:
		- CL: Cooler Temperature (\approx -10°)
		- CT: Converter Temperature ($\approx 350^{\circ}$)
- RC: Reaction Chamber (\approx 49.5°)
- Bl: NO Zero=
- $B3: NO, Zero =$
- SF: NO Span Factor=
- $BF: NO_v Span Factor =$
- CE: Converter Efficiency (\approx 99.7%)
- NR: Number Register Ignore
- 0: Offset Leave at 0.0
- DIP: Dip Switch. 2, 4, 6, and 8 "ON"
- P: Software Version Number Record this in equipment log during calibrations.
- PT: Pressure and Temperature. Reads "ON"
- oc: Temperature inside unit. Should be <40°C.
- FSCALE: End of Troubleshooting mode.
- 9. Z/FS Button: Pressing Z/FS displays a Ofirst, then -.23 volts. The display and all of the analog outputs then scroll up and can be stopped at any displayed voltage so that NO/NO_v monitor readings and DAS readings can be cross checked. To stop the displayed voltage, press the Z/FS switch a second time. Verify agreement at three points: low, middle, and high.
- 11. RUN Button: Press to activate. This is the normal operating mode as well as the instrument default mode. All diagnostics are canceled and the instrument is placed in automatic sampling mode. Display shows NO, Pressure, or NO_v as chosen by the DISP button.
- 12. DISP Button: Press to change displayed sample values.
	- a. NO concentration is indicated by a number "l" followed by the current concentration. Record this value in instrument column of check sheet
	- b. NO2 is indicated by the value following number "2". Record this value in instrument column of check sheet
	- c. NO_v concentration follows number "3". Record this value in instrument column of check sheet
	- d. Record Channel 8 (NO) DAS value in the DAS column of check sheet
	- e. Record Channel 9 (NO_v) DAS value in the DAS column of check sheet

8. NO/NO_w MONITOR (TECO Model 42S):

A. Same as for NO_v monitor listed in #7 above.

9. 28 VDC SYSTEM:

- B. Aircraft Master Switch: Turn "ON"
C. STI Power Switch: Turn "ON"
- STI Power Switch:

10. AIRBORNE INSTRUMENT PACKAGE (AIP):

- B. Low Calibration Position:
	- 1. Set all switches to low calibration position (FULL COUNTERCLOCKWISE)
	- 2. Observe Channels 1, 4, and 19 on the DAS monitor for the following readings:
		- a. Channel 1 reads ~626
		- c. Channel 4 reads ~030
		- d. Channel 19 reads ~050
- C. High Calibration Position
	- 1. Set all switches to high calibration position (ONE POSITION CLOCKWISE)
	- 2. Observe Channels 1, 4, and 19 on the DAS monitor for the following readings:
		- a. Channel 1 reads \sim 750
		- c. Channel 4 reads \sim 217
		- d. Channel 19 reads ~450
- D. Operate Position
	- 1. Set all switches to operate position (FULL CLOCKWISE)
	- 2. Record values for Channels 1, 4, and 19 on the check sheet
- E. Record aircraft thermometer (OAT) reading on check sheet
- F. Record Channel 01 DAS reading in DAS column on check sheet

11. VOCPUMP

- A. Pull out breaker on rack (above inverter switches)
- B. Tum on both pump switches near pumps (under ozone monitor)
- C. Test that both pumps are running/producing flow from the can-fill tee.
- D. Tum off both pumps
- E. Pull out VOC pump breaker

12. 28 VDC SYSTEM:

- A. STI Power Switch: Turn "OFF"
B. Aircraft Master Switch: Turn "OFF"
- B. Aircraft Master Switch:

13. EVENT CODE SWITCH:

A. Run through all the numbers on the Event Code Switch to confirm that DAS Event Code Channel reading follows the switch

14. LAST MINUTE DETAILS:

- A. Confirm that all cables, connectors, and sample lines are securely connected to instruments
- B. Be sure the O_3 , sampling line is securely connected to glass manifolds $C.$ NO/NO, NO/NO, instruments are securely connected to respective inta
- NO/NO , NO/NO_w instruments are securely connected to respective intake manifolds
- C. Verify that all junction box sockets have signal connectors or shorting plugs attached
- D. Be sure the headsets are aboard, connected and operational
- E. Be sure sufficient Flight Record Sheets are available for flight notes
- F. Load required canisters, bags, tags, and crescent wrench
- G Notify appropriate ground personnel of expected takeoff time and proposed flight route
- H. Remove plug from hydrocarbon and carbonyl inlet lines

III. POWER TRANSFER

1. ENGINES: Start

2. WITH BOTH ENGINES RUNNING:

- **3. SHORE POWER: Disconnect**
- **4. DOOR:** Close and Latch
- **5. EMERGENCY EXIT:** Check that door is *SECURE and CLEAR*

6. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM:

- A. Monitor reboot (if required)
- B. Press SHIFT-Fl to begin recording data
- C. Record start time on check sheet
- D. Check that system is recording data (records counting up)
- 7. **EVENT SWITCH:** Set to Code 1, press button (light on)
- **8. DAS:** Confirm that system is recording data
- **9. PRINTER:** Confirm that it is printing data
- 10. **SEAT BELTS:** Securely fastened

IV. TAXI / RUNUP

$1₁$ **EVENT SWITCH:** Turn to "4"

2. SAMPLE MONITORS: Switch to **ZERO** as follows:

- A. Ozone: use zero mode switch
- B. NO/NO_v and NO/NO_v- Confirm the following:
	- 1. Ozonator Switch: "ON"
	- 2. PMT: "OFF"
	- 3. Mode: "RUN"
- 3. OZONE: Record zero value on Flight Record Sheet
- **4. NO/NOl:** Record zero values on Flight Record Sheet
- 5. NO_v/NO_w: Record zero values on Flight Record Sheet
- **6. ALTITUDE:** Record runup area LORAN indicated pressure altitude on Flight Record Sheet.
- 7. **EVENT SWITCH:** Turn "OFF"
- **8. SAMPLE MONITORS:** Switch to **SAMPLE** as follows:
	- A. Ozone: sample mode
	- B. NO/NO_v and NO/NO_w Confirm the following:
		- 1. Ozonator Switch: "ON"
		- 2. PMT: "ON"
		- 3. Mode: "RUN"

9. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM: Confirm it is recording data

- 10. PRINTER: Confirm it is printing data
- 12. ROG PUMP: Check that "OFF"

STOP AND THINK!

HYDROCARBON CANISTERS: Are sufficient canisters aboard?

DISKETTES: Are **extra** disks aboard?

SAMPLE INLETS: Are they all unplugged?

CRESCENT WRENCHES: You will probably need two.

NOTIFICATIONS: Have you informed the appropriate ground personnel of expected takeoff and landing times?

SURVIVAL GEAR: Well?
V. SAMPLING

1. EMERGENCY PROCEDURES:

- A. C_2H_4 : Turn both valves "OFF"
B. STI Power Switch: Turn "OF
- B. STI Power Switch: Turn "OFF" (except C_2H_4 leak)
C. Invertor #1: Turn "OFF"
- Invertor #1: Turn "OFF"
Invertor #2: Turn "OFF"
- $D.$ Invertor #2:
- E. AIP Breaker: Pull "OUT"
- F. All other breakers on racks: Pull "OUT"

2. EVENT CODES:

3. FLIGHT RECORD SHEETS:

Complete as required for each pass or sampling event

4. SYSTEMS CHECK SHEETS:

Complete at least one in-flight check

5. DAS POWER LOSS PROCEDURES:

A. Confirm DAS has rebooted and is writing data to the hard drive (red light on DAS case flashing) and to the ZIP drive (amber light on ZIP drive flashing).

6. DAS EMERGENCY OPERATION (Only if DAS will not boot by itself):

- A. Push emergency disk already in drive a: all the way in.
- B. Press Reset button on front of computer (reboot)
- C. Confirm DAS booted from, and is writing data to drive a:
- D. After flight, remove and label disk from drive a:

7. **GRAB SAMPLES:**

- A. Hydrocarbon (ROG) Canister Samples:
	- 1. Samples will be collected according to sampling instruction in flight manual (differing for each sampling route).
	- 2. Connect canister to purge "TEE" 3-5 minutes before it is time to begin the sample collection.
	- 3. Tum on ROG pumps 2-3 minutes prior to the start of ROG sampling.
	- 3. Document required information on manila tag and securely connect tag to canister. Record required information on Flight Record Sheet
- B. Carbonyl Bag Samples
	- 1. Samples will be collected at the same times and locations as ROG samples (as per flight plans in flight manual).
	- 2. Connect bag to carbonyl line with bag valve "OFF"
	- 3. Open bag valve and fill bag
	- 4. Close bag valve and disconnect sample line from bag
	- 5. Document required information on manila tag and securely connect tag to canister. Record required information on Flight Record Sheet

8. GENERAL SAMPLING GUIDELINES:

- A. All sampling is done on a best effort, weather and safety permitting basis.
- B. Maintenance problems should be handled immediately
- C. Ethylene (C_2H_4) is flammable, explosive, and heavier than air. **ALWAYS** leak check very carefully when changing ethylene bottles. If an ethylene odor is detected, secure the main bottle valve immediately. Ethylene pressure should decrease approximately I 00 psi during a four hour flight.

VI. POST FLIGHT

- **1. HYDROCARBON PUMP:** ROG pump breaker pull "OUT"
- **2.** LANDING TIME: Record landing time on check sheet
- **3. EVENT SWITCH:** Turn to "4"
- **4. SAMPLE MONITORS:** Switch to **ZERO** as follows:
	- A. Ozone: select the zero mode
	- B. NO/NO_v and NO/NO_v- Confirm the following:
		- 1. Ozonator Switch: "ON"
		- 2. PMT: "OFF"
		- 3. Mode: "RUN"
- 5. OZONE, NO/NO_v, NO/NO_v: Record zero values.
- 6. **EVENT SWITCH:** Tum to "O"
- 7. OZONE, NO/NO_x, NO/NO_x: Place in sample mode.
- **8.** DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM: Press SHIFT-F1 to stop recording
- **9. DAS STOP TIME:** Record system stop time on check sheet
- 10. C_2H_4 BOTTLE: Tum both valves "OFF"
- **11. SHORE POWER:** Connect to socket in back of airplane
- **12. INVERTOR #1:** Turn "OFF"
- **13. INVERTOR #2:** Tum "OFF"
- 14. STI POWER SWITCH: Switch "OFF"
- **15. ENGINES:** Shut down
- **16. DEW POINT:** Tum "OFF"

17. HYDROCARBON LINE: "CAP"

18. DAS PRINTER: Remove and document printout

19. DOCUMENT THE FOLLOWING

- A. All hydrocarbon canisters
- B. All carbonyl bags
- C. Data disks and copies
- D. Printer output

20. **NOTIFICATION:** Notify appropriate ground personnel of landing

- 21. **DEW POINT SENSOR:** Clean as required
- 22. FUEL: Fuel and service aircraft

 $\ddot{}$

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF MORNING AND AFTERNOON ALOFf LAYERS

SUMMARY OF MORNING ALOFT LAYERS

 \mathbb{Z}^2

 \sim \sim

Bold **entries are detached layers above** or at top of the boundary **layer.**

 \sim \sim

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

Bold entries are detached layers **above** or at top of the boundary layer.

 \sim \sim

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\sim 10^{11}$

 ~ 100

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 \mathcal{L}^{max} , \mathcal{L}^{max}

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\Delta \phi$

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 \sim

SUMMARY OF AFTERNOON ALOFT LAYERS

 \sim \sim

 \sim \sim

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 \sim

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\sim 10^7$

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 \sim

 λ

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 \sim

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 \sim

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 ~ 10

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

PM flight summary

Spiral Location	MAL - Offshore Malibu (Elevation 0 m)								
Layer	Flight pass	Bottom		Aloft		2 nd Aloft		3 rd Aloft	
Measurement	information						O3 (ppb) Altitude (m) O3 (ppb) Altitude (m) O3 (ppb) Altitude (m) O3 (ppb) Altitude (m)		
Flight Number / Date									
3 8/4/97 PM									
5 8/5/97 PM									
8/6/97 PM									
10 8/22/97 PM									
12 8/23/97 PM									
15 9/4/97 PM									
17 9/5/97 PM									
19 9/6/97 PM									
21 9/28/97 PM	Pass 21-13 15:05-15:18 PST	56	50	184	150	84	450	$\overline{52}$	725
23 9/29/97 PM	Pass 23-13 14:50-15:04 PST	$\overline{52}$	50	128	300	96	475	102	700
25 10/3/97 PM									
4:23 - 4:40									
27 10/4/97 PM	Pass 27-9 15:28-15:38 PST	48	50	74	550	$\overline{76}$	750	88	1100

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 \bar{z}

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
PM flight summary

 \sim

 \sim

Bold entries are detached layers above or at top of the boundary layer.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$