

**Subject:** Comments about AB2276

**From:** Howard McClary <hmcclary@cwtozone.com>

**Date:** Fri, 29 Jun 2007 15:48:04 -0700

**To:** aircleaners@listserv.arb.ca.gov

Ms. Peggy L. Jenkins  
California Air Resources Board  
Research Division, Fifth Floor  
1001 I Street, P.O. Box 2815  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms Jenkins

We watched the June 11, 2007 workshop for AB 2276 via the web cast.

With respect tot the draft regulation as written we have the following comment:

We agree with the new definition of Industrial usage and labeling.

The other comments we have concern the UL test procedure. We realize that you have already replied to theses comments.

1) The room that the device is tested in needs some amount of air exchanges or it will not be a realistic test. The test calls for 0 to 0.35 /hr. We would propose that the spec be 0.2 to 0.35/hr.

Reason. A room that has no air exchanges over a 24 hour period would be most likely unbearable for a human.

2) The Ozone level in the room should be monitored at a greater distance than two inches from the product. We suggest at least two feet.

Reason: We can't imagine someone putting their mouth 2 inches from an Ozone generator for 24 hours.

We look forward to participating in the next workshop

Best Regards

Cameron Tapp

President  
ClearWater Tech

Howard McClary

Director of Engineering  
ClearWater Tech