

From: Ted Myatt [mailto:tmyatt@eheinc.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2009 9:39 AM
To: Jenkins, Peggy@ARB
Subject: Indoor Air Cleaner Regulation

Hi Ms. Jenkins:

I work with David MacIntosh at EH&E – he gave me your email address.

I listened with interest to the presentation given on June 12th, especially the section on the proposed change regarding in-duct devices.

David and I have participated in testing several in-duct devices – and agree that the concept of banning in-duct devices that intentionally introduce ozone. The area of concern is the word “intentional” – while none of the devices we have tested intend to introduce ozone – all electronic air cleaners will produce some (with varying degrees). We were wondering how ARB may be planning on defining “intentional”? We would not want ARB to limit any change to the regulation to those that are specifically designed to introduce ozone as that may miss some products that clearly have a high ozone generation rates, but conversely would not think ARB wants the change the regulation as to be so broad as to capture other electronic air cleaners that do not produce a significant amount of ozone.

I'd be interested in hearing you comments – thanks and have a nice holiday, Ted

Ted Myatt, Sc.D.
Senior Scientist

Environmental Health and Engineering, Inc.
117 Fourth Avenue
Needham, Massachusetts 02494-2725

(Tel) 781-247-4300
(Fax) 781-247-4305
www.eheinc.com